

EAST AFR. PROT.

C. O.  
27670

27670

557

FINE UNDER COLLECTIVE PENALTIES ORDER

1913

on Kisaruni "Sirit" of the Parke (Masai) Moran.

Reports reasons for infliction:

Dr. J. Anderson

In view of the letter head of  
said 3,

approve of the Dr's action

W. J. K

13/8/13

Ch 13.8.13

13.8.13

FORMAL W. 2987-20  
1/1/13 A. A. B. W.

Next subsequent Paper

3052  
14

the Treasury that the  
Gov. of the E.A.P. has  
represented that  
in most cases it is  
not possible to specify  
a sub-head in which  
savings to meet the  
expenditure included  
in the Quarterly Returns  
will occur, although it  
is generally safe to say  
what sub-division of  
a head, i.e. "Personal  
Emoluments" or "Other  
Charges", will afford  
the required savings.

The Gov. points out  
that it has been  
held down in the Def.

Def. in this subject, 313  
the V.A. of which was  
approved by  
submitted to J.L.,

that so long as the  
gross authorized total  
for the year is not exceeded  
it is immaterial, so  
far as the Quarterly Returns  
are concerned, whether  
the excess is met from  
savings on another  
sub-head of the same  
head, or from savings  
on another head, or  
be submitted thereto  
that in cases where  
no specific sub-head  
can be given, the  
sub-division "Personal  
Emoluments" or

Gov 27669 Est

to

23 AUG  
1913

DRAFT

and 30546

The Sec. to the Treasury

25 August 1913

MINUTE.

Sr.

- Mr. Nepehan 22/8
- Mr. Ridd 22/8
- Sr. G. Fiddes
- Sr. H. Just
- Sr. J. Anderson
- Lord Emmott
- Mr. Harcourt

(6975/12)

with ref. to Gov. O. No 5091 of  
 the 20th of March 1910  
 the subject of the general  
 rules with regard to Supple-  
 mentary Estimates &  
 Quarterly Returns of  
 Special Expenditure in  
 the S. African Dis-  
 trict etc. to request you  
 to sign the L.C. of the

Copy to  
 Dya 412  
 Nya 314  
 30546  
 106

(2)

despatch under reply. It is not possible, in most cases, to specify a sub-head on which savings may accrue, though it may be generally safe to say what sub-division, i.e. "Personal Emoluments" or "Other Charges", will afford the required savings. In paragraph 5 of your despatch No. 179 of the 2nd of April 1912, it was laid down that "so long as the gross authorized total for the year is not exceeded it is immaterial, so far as the Quarterly Returns are concerned, whether the excess is met from savings on another sub-head of the same Head or from savings on another Head" and I would submit that, in cases where no specific sub-head can be given, the sub-division "Personal Emoluments" or "Other Charges" be held to be sufficient.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your humble, obedient servant

*C. Rowley*

In the absence of the  
GOVERNOR.

GOVERNMENT HOUSE,  
NAIROBI,  
BRITISH EAST AFRICA.

EAST AFRICA PROTECTORATE.

July 16th 1913.

No. 566

27689  
1 AUG 13

Sir,

I have the honour to acknowledge the receipt of your despatch No. 446 of the 13th of June on the subject of the quarterly returns of unforeseen expenditure, and with reference to paragraph 2 to state that the delay in forwarding the return for the quarter ended the 30th of September was due to what now appears to have been a misinterpretation of paragraph 5 of your despatch No. 179 of the 2nd of April 1912 which reads:

"It will probably be found convenient to lay before the Council at the earliest convenient date the Quarterly Returns above referred to and obtain a resolution approving them".

2. This sentence was taken to mean that the approving resolution of the Council should be a condition precedent to the forwarding of the returns to you; as however this inference appears to have been incorrect, the returns will be sent in future immediately they are complete.

3. I would venture to ask for a reconsideration of the instructions in the third paragraph of your despatch

THE RIGHT HONOURABLE

LEWIS HARCOURT, P.C., M.P.,

SECRETARY OF STATE FOR THE COLONIES,

DOWNING STREET, LONDON, S.W.

*Lucas*  
*1913*

*Lucas*  
*9/5/13*

the term "sub-division" could you  
kindly lay down for our guidance  
exactly what a "sub-division"  
of a "sub-head" of a "Head" in the  
Estimates should be taken to mean?

If you agree, I would like  
give the meaning the substance  
of para 3. may that I do  
purpose to (in use) subject  
to their seeing no objection

Accd  
19/1/13

W. D. Dept

For explanation of "sub-division" see  
Col. Reg. 234. The following is my definition  
of "sub-head" - a definition which is now pretty  
widely understood :- In the Revenue portion of  
the Estimates, each item under each head is  
a separate sub-head. In the Estimates of  
Exp. with, all the items under the sub-division  
"Personal Emoluments" together form one sub-  
head, <sup>but</sup> ~~each~~ each item under "Other Charges"  
is a separate sub-head.

Subject to my concurrence I see no objection  
to the present proposal, provided that it is  
applied only to cases where no specific sub-head  
can be given

W. D. Dept  
19/1/13

Accd 21/1/13

The reply to  
the  
21/1/13