

EAST AFR. PROT.
SOMALILAND

C.O
13855

13833 ~~W.W.W.~~

R
P 25 APR 13

~~not published
by W. Read's authority
Recd
sopts~~

1913

26 April 13

70729868492-Sold

20
1311st

Situation in Abyssinia

See G. Fiddes
W. Bettoway

I am sorry that the preparation of memorandum for the Colonial Est. Debate - a due majority for carrying out paper was prevented me from dealing with this more quickly.

I can't recall H.M. minister's report on Abyssinia for the past year. In that report he spoke of the extraordinary situation in Abyssinia due to the "living death" of Menelik & the refusal of Lij Yem, his successor to the throne of the Lion of Judah, to return to the capital to transact business. No one can or will commit himself to a decision on any point & Lij

you has taken the step between
his talk signs off with a strong
body of followers refusing to remain
a puppet in the hands of 'advisors'
as you desire with all re-
ponsibility & as however an
attitude that one is bound to
sympathise with.

If there is any serious prospect
of the 'break-up' of Abyssinia - by
which I understand the rise
of a number of absolutely
independent States in the
various provinces of Ethiopia -
~~or~~
it is ~~already~~ ~~impossible~~ that
they should have some
clear line of policy. At present,
the most important factor in
the situation appears to be the
Agreement between the U.K., France
& Italy signed at Addis Ababa 13 Dec. 1886.
The gist of this agreement is that,
come what may, these three Powers
shall try to maintain the
political & territorial 'States Gen.'
in Ethiopia; but in the event
of revolts or internal changes
in Ethiopia these three Powers'
representatives shall maintain

Ch 32
(copy)
arrived

neutral attitude respecting any
all intervention in the internal
affairs of the country - that is
to count of the 'states gen.' being
disturbed, they shall concert
together to represent their res-
pective interests which are
enumerated in Article 4 & which
may be summarised as the
Suez Canal for Great Britain, Erythrea
& the 'territorial concession' between
that District & Italian Somaliland
for Italy. "Djibouti", is handed
over to the Djibouti Railway for
France.

There are other
Articles about concessions etc.
which need not be considered in
this connection.

The theory of 'states gen.' has
lately received rather a serious
knock in the U.S. clearly con-
tingent that the old doctrine
may not be treated with greater
respect in Abyssinia than in the
United States.

You will remember when
Sir R. Wright was sent out to
explore in Somaliland, this
very question of its treatment of

Oppression & the consequent action
of neighbouring British Pts.
was referred to, in the letter
of inst^t sent to Sir R. Wright
15 April 1909, para 26 reads as
follows:-

But an even larger question
will come up for settlement
shortly. In view of the present
state of the Emperor Menelik's
health, it is probable that the
various British admin^s (including
Somaliland) in N. E. Africa
will shortly be faced with a general
break-up of Abyssinia, as it seems
the very sensible that they shd
decide beforehand what is to be
their policy in this eventuality
and soon to co-operate as far
as possible. I shall shortly have
an opportunity of consulting
the Governor of Uganda & the
S.A.P. personally on this
matter & I wd. suggest that
you shd discuss the question
with Capt. Cordean from the point

of view of the Sudan & Somaliland,
in order that some scheme of co-
operation between the two
admin^s may if possible be
arranged not only for the purpose
of meeting the contingency when
it arises but of preparing
for it meanwhile.

Sir R. Wright's remarks on this
subject will be found in Appendix
IV (b) of his report which I enclose.

The ~~other~~ ^{the} break-up of
Abyssinia wd. according to Sir R.
Wright, probably tend to lead to
whole-sale raiding, inter-tribal
warfare among the Gallas, Danals
& Abyssinians, widespread spoliation
In the event of a reverse to the
Abyssinians the tribes in the
neighbouring British Pts wd. be
tempted to make common cause
with those in revolt, but it wd. be
the duty of the Protecting Powers to
keep the peace among their tribes -
to urge them to protect themselves
against raiders. But no scheme
of co-operation between the British
Pts is in his opinion feasible.

looks towards the fact it can
fit what he remembers of the
too inadequate resources at its
disposal. His advice, in short,
is for each Admin to devise local
systems of defence at a fair
of the threatened ports leaving
the greater part of the frontier
to look after itself. Not a
cheerful policy, it must be
admitted.

This, of course, appears that
the territorial status quo of
Abyssinia is preserved; but
we are now up against the more
difficult question of what to do,
& the agreement of 1906 fails to
secure its object. This we
presumably have been dis-
cussed at the meeting of
Emperors suggested in Mr Read's
memorandum of 4/5/09 or WO 14973/09.
But to the best of my knowledge
such a discussion did not come
off. At least I can find no
record of it. There were discussions
as to the "Gezum" & "Mand" areas
for the Southern Abyssinian frontier.

Let's not touch the bigger question
or ~~unresolved~~ deal with. 112

For a general statement about
Abyssinia, Mr Clark's excellent
memorandum written at Adis
Ababa in April 1907 can be read.

It is extremely interesting - helped,
although some years old now.

I also annex a map of Abyssinia -
Great Britain's interests lie ~~south~~

in (1) the Harar Province -

(2) the Nile tributaries

(3) the Southern Province -
France's interests lie in the
immediate hinterland of Djibouti
up to Antsifer or Adis Ababa.

Italy's interests in the hinterland

of Erythrea according to the
1906 agreement it would appear that
they contemplated some kind of
territorial linking up Erythrea
with Italian Somaliland - i.e. pos-
sibly a kind of crescent-shaped
territory.

The Sudan will of course look after
the Nile tributaries: they are already
making efforts to establish some
trade relations especially at Gaukelli.

The L.O. is therefore concerned only
^{with} with the Harar Province - ~~also~~ I do not

whether the S.A.S. will wish to
extend further north; except in so
far as slight negotiations in the
Lyman line might be desirable.
As to the Harar Province, all the
views by Col. H. G. Ward (this,
I suppose, is the prospective
son-in-law of W. Belfield — an
Esel ~~man~~ friend). If anyone
has to take over the Harar Province,
it w^t be desirable that Italy
should do so, although in view
of the present position in Somaliland
it is not an understanding which
the Govt would be anxious to
agree to. It might, however, as
pointed out by W. Belfield, be
commercially profitable, as
well as strategically advisable.

I suppose that all that we can
do for the moment is to send
the Crown & S^t Head & Co to
the Govt of the Erit. copies of this
letter & enclosure in Secret Sig.
for cover & ask to be furnished
with any others — I ask for
very early reply.

Ask receipt addressed to F.O. to
say what we are doing. But

Frank HAR
Ad

* N.B. The
present sketch of
Italy's being
about to become
a part of Abyssinia
L.G.B.

but call attention to Sir R. Longfellow's
report as above. 113

Ad. 30/4/13
Frank HAR

If the tripartite agreement tripartition
ever comes off I do not think there can
be any doubt what will have to become
of the Harar Province. If the Sudan
gets the Lake system - Abbare, Blue
Nile, & ~~Sobat~~^{Obot} basins (I have roughly
indicated the watershed in pencil on the
map) and Italy gets a line extending
from Eritrea to Italian Somaliland
I do not see who is to refuse Harar to
France. It is unfortunate, as the trade
of Zeyta, depending on the Harar
Caravan route, would go to the Italian
railway even more than at present.

As regards Southern Abyssinia, it may
be possible to effect a better inter-treaty
frontier, but I do not think an extension
west of the Erit. would be an unwise
policy. If e.g. we took over the Boor
tribes we should gain a trade but
incurred great expense in administration.
We may be sure that any Italian
extension west in this region would
have no effect on our difficulties.
Italian Somaliland as it stands has no
administration except along the coast.

I do not think the R.O. stands to
gain much by partition - unless we
could have the harbour off with Italy
in return for the left bank of the lower
Iuba (and some rights of navigation being
of course preserved).

As proposed

30.4.13

by Field

As the border has the largest amount
in the matter it is at most uncertain from
a military point of view to make the changes
felt. I think that we should await the
views of Lord Kitchener & the Sudan. The D.O.
will no doubt move them shortly.

When they have been made & if I may
say so, I think that the best plan
will be to discuss the matter with
Major Drayton's wife (Mrs. Drayton 2nd
husband), who is on her way to the
country. Mr. G. Clark, who wrote the
memorandum to Lord & the D.O. associated
with the northern portion of Abyssinia, is
now in the D.O. & will be available if
necessary.

In the meantime, we might write to
the D.O. & ask what is proposed?

H. J. H.

8/5/13 AD. 8.5.13

Not until about
1st or 2nd June

The Agreement of May appears to weaken
Italy's hold over Abyssinia except the
Nil River going to It. or Italy. But
I am inclined to put my money on his plan
as proposed.

8.5.13

I am inclined to think also that
Lij Yassu knows his way about.

8.10.5.13.

Ad Buschbeck

8.10.5.13

R.A. 25.N.B

In any further communication
on this subject, please quote
No. 16726/13.
and address—
The Under-Secretary of State,
Foreign Office,
London.

FOREIGN OFFICE

April 24 1913.

I am directed by Secretary Sir N. Grey to transmit to you herewith copy of a despatch which has been received from His Majesty's Minister at Adis Ababa in regard to the political situation in Abyssinia.

You will observe that the state of affairs disclosed in this despatch is a very serious one and I am to say that Sir N. Grey would be glad to be furnished with Mr. Secretary Harcourt's views as to what action it would be desirable to take in the event of a disruption of the Abyssinian empire, in order to secure British interests in British Somaliland and British East Africa.

Mr. Harcourt is aware the agreement of 1906 between Great Britain, France and Italy contemplates the co-operation of those Powers in maintaining the territorial status quo in Ethiopia, but it may be well to keep in view the possibility

Under-Secretary of State,
Colonial Office.

(16726/13).

of such co-operation failing to secure its object, as well as
the possibility of British interests suffering from a pro-
longed state of anarchy and disturbance before order could be
restored and the integrity of the Empire assured.

I am to add that Lord Kitchener has also been requested
to furnish Sir E. Grey with his views on the subject.

I am,

Sir,

Your most obedient,

humble servant,

W. Ransley

[This Document is the Property of His Britannic Majesty's Government.]

B

EAST-AFRICA.CONFIDENTIAL

[April 12.]

SECTION 1.

No. 1.

[16728]

Mr. Thesiger to Sir Edward Grey.—(Received April 12.)

(No. 18.)

Adis Ababa, March 20, 1913.

Sir,
I HAVE the honour to report that Lij Yasu, in spite of all his assurances to the Council, has not returned to Adis Ababa after his visit to the Zukwala monastery, but has gone on, having sent back to Adis Ababa for several machine-guns, large supplies, and about 12,000 men.

His intention is apparently to lead an expedition against the Dankali who recently added to their past misdeeds by raiding the Kerayu tribe in the neighbourhood of Mount Fantalli, and it is more than likely he will put into practice his long rumoured intention of visiting Harrar and the Arusi districts. It is consequently generally believed that he will not return to the capital for an indefinite period.

He has also summoned Negadras Haile Giorgis, Minister for Foreign Affairs and Commerce, to join him, and from the amount of stores which the latter has sent for, it seems very probable that he also will be absent for a very considerable time.

All business has thus been broken off without any notice, and no arrangements have been made by which the Council may have power to decide any of the many questions under discussion.

I might mention that General Famin, vice-president of the railway company, has just arrived at Adis Ababa. On hearing that Lij Yasu contemplated leaving the capital the French Legation asked for and received the most solemn assurances from Negadras Haile Giorgis that Lij Yasu would return on the 18th to discuss a settlement of the railway question with General Famin whose engagements only allowed him to remain here for a few days, and whose coming had been notified to the Government.

It is typical of the chaotic state of affairs now prevalent here, that General Famin should on his arrival find that not only was Lij Yasu absent, with no intention of an immediate return, but that also the Minister for Foreign Affairs had disappeared without any explanation or apology.

I saw Fitaurari Hapta Giorgis this morning, and some of the Ministers as appeared at the Council, and went through a long list of questions which had formerly been held over to await Lij Yasu's return and told them that as his Highness had again left for an indefinite period without doing any business I must now insist that they should give me definite answers in settlement of these affairs. Although they have promised to do so, it is certain that their replies will be evasive and unsatisfactory, as there is no one left here who would dare to accept the responsibility of deciding any question on which the Council were doubtful of Lij Yasu's views.

Since all the expectations which had been founded on Lij Yasu's return have now definitely failed, it is difficult to see what is to prevent the Government of this country from gradually sinking into such a state of chaos as will call for strong action on the part of the three Powers most interested. At present all hope of any amelioration in the condition of affairs seems absolutely hopeless.

I am addressing copy of this despatch to Lord Kitchener.

I have, &c.

WILFRED G. THESIGER.

7.07 138557, cat
Somaliland

118

Secret.

DRAFT.

U. S. & S.

F.O.

MINUTE.

P.S.

22 May 98

Mr. Reed 14/5/98
Mr. Bottomley 24/5/98

Mr. Read 14

Sir G. Fiddes.

Sir H. Just.

Sir J. Anderson.

Lord Emmott.

Mr. Harcourt.

Mr. [unclear]
Mr. [unclear]
Sir G. Fiddes.
Sir H. Just.
Sir J. Anderson.
Lord Emmott.
Mr. Harcourt.

and Committee
will again
affirm
as follows

to state, for the info.
of Secy. for Egypt, that
he is obtaining an
expression of opinion on
the

that it will ~~possibly~~
be useful for our 119
different question to be
described by representatives
of the F.C. & City C.O.
with major Wright
by him, who it is
understood is ~~coming~~
~~in the country~~ ~~as an~~
~~lawyer~~, when
the views of the
Lord Kitchener
~~authorities~~ on "the case"
~~of~~ ~~General~~ have
been received.

M.R.

R 15 MA
15120

7.07.13855 / ~~Sal~~ Sal
~~Igo~~ ~~Grenada~~

~~Sal~~ }
~~medalist~~ }
SECRET

DRAFT.

Premier & Envoy, Belfast, Cork
Conn & Hibernia, Cork

22 May 1913

MINUTE.

Mr. Allenby 1/3. I have the honour to communicate
bottom of page 450 to you for your consideration a
Mr. Read 1/4 copy of a letter from
Sir G. Fiddes.
Sir H. Just.
Sir J. Anderson.
Lord Emmott.
Mr. Harcourt.

15 May 1913. T.O. with a Dispatch
from His Excellency at
Adis Ababa in regard
to the political situation
in Abyssinia, & to request
that you will furnish
me ~~immediately~~ with any
news which you may

have received.

may have to offer with
regards

from the point of view
of the P.L.C. under gone
administration

2. I shall be glad to
receive a very early
reply to this despatch.

L.H.