

1911

E. I. P. 4577

Recd by 15 Feb 11

For Miss 36

Date 19 Feb 11

Hon. Surgeon Percival Staff

Submits case of Karam Elshere who claims pension rights

Asks whether he is empowered under the Regs to add to pensionable staff without reference to G.O.

~~but~~  
but not

The F.O. Regs of 1905 are amended, also certain F.O. minutes purporting to deal with the question not merely concerned with an alteration in the words of a 'List'.

It is, I think, clear that the intention of these Regs meant all clerks in R. 125 from superiors to be pensionable. Provided that a clerk has been employed in this dept (as is doubt Karam Elshere was) & provided that there is no outside reasonable objection such as might necessitate refusal of pension under § 10, it seems

a copy comes to the Registrar, 27 Feb 11

pension the moment he comes  
in the £125 p.m. scale.

In these cases the only ref<sup>n</sup> from the  
P.O. to the C.O. in the case of any such  
person ~~is~~ will be at the end of  
a doctor's service, when Treas.  
approval is needed under § 9

I strongly suspect that Thomas  
Malakas's pension appeared  
without warning in Nigeria list

194-12 because only approved  
not only that he had ~~but proved to~~

just a subord<sup>inate</sup> & ~~was~~ in the  
reasonable staff under F.O.

regis. In fact to these but also  
to fix the amount in accordance  
with rule J.

It will not be very good asking  
the F.O. about it - but if you  
think my interpretation open to  
doubt, we could consult the  
Secretary.

otherwise reply in above lines  
A.S.S.

I agree with Mr. Parkinson that as these Nijera are  
drawn the persons mentioned in § 1 become automatically  
entitled to a pension as soon as they begin to draw  
salary at the rate of £125 per month

is become per

25/2  
25/2

next

W. R. ~~Smith~~

141

and from 0 to 4. accordingly

2/13

Sp. for ~~exam~~ <sup>rel 17</sup> - ~~was~~ <sup>was</sup> ~~found~~ <sup>found</sup> to be Sp.  
at sea. H. J. R.

17/II

4567

142

REC'D  
13 FEB 1911

GOVERNMENT HOUSE,  
NAIROBI,  
BRITISH EAST AFRICA.

EAST AFRICA PROTECTORATE.

January 12th 1911.

No. 36

Sir,

I have the honour to refer to the Foreign Office Regulations, for Pensions and Gratuities to the Subordinate Staff, of May 15th 1903 and to ask that your intentions are as regards their application.

1. When this Government was under the Foreign Office control every member of the Subordinate Staff appears to have come under these regulations although no letters of appointment were granted.

2. This question is raised on the assumption of one Karim Eliahie, an Assistant in the Veterinary Department, that, as he is now drawing a salary of over Rs.125 per mensem, he is placed in Class I and entitled to a pension or a gratuity and, under the above mentioned regulations, I believe this is correct.

3. I would however wish to have a ruling on this subject so that I may know whether I am empowered under the existing regulations to add

to

THE RIGHT HONOURABLE  
LEWIS HARGREAVES, F.C., M.P.,  
SECRETARY OF STATE FOR THE COLONIES,  
DOWNING STREET,  
LONDON, S.W.

to the pensionable staff of non Europeans  
without referring the matter to you.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your humble, obedient servant,

*A. C. Adair*

In the absence of the  
ACTING GOVERNOR.

22  
copy 4567 E.L.

DRAFT.

E.L. No. 99

copy for

MINUTE.

23 Feb 11

In

Dear the hon. to ask: the receipt  
of Mr. Hollis's Disp. No 35 of the  
15th of Jan. on the subject of the  
F.O. Regulations of ~~the~~ the 15<sup>th</sup>  
of May 1953 <sup>as to</sup> for Sessions &  
gratuitous for the subordinate  
the agenda and was a fine Protestants,  
to inform you that  
I am <sup>advised</sup> ~~of opinion~~ that, under these  
existing regulations, members  
of the non-European subordinate  
staff become automatically  
acquire <sup>status</sup> ~~responsible~~ of as soon as  
they are placed on a salary

- Mr. Hutchinson 1872
- Mr. P. S. R.
- Mr. Butler 20
- Mr. Eddes 21
- Mr. Just
- Mr. Cox
- Sir G. Lucas

Col. Selby

Mr. Harcourt

for comment

copy of cover<sup>a</sup>  
to be signed  
for inf. guidance  
dit.

24 Feb 11

7 R 125 <sup>to</sup> mess. serv. on a board.  
to consider <sup>these</sup> ~~the~~ <sup>pro</sup> ~~the~~ <sup>has</sup>  
Karen Ethie

~~to~~ ~~correct~~ ~~in~~

opening that, under  
these rules, he is now  
in the ~~personable~~  
establishment.

2 In these cases.

See as necessary for you

to refer to me ~~the~~

in the case of unusual  
additions to the ~~personable~~

establish. Under the above

mentioned regulations.

but ~~of course~~ under Reg<sup>n</sup>

No 9 persons ~~particulars~~

with ~~certificates~~ to be submitted

to the ~~officer~~ of the ~~Blanching~~

for sanction

Hand