

E. AFRICA

10238

REC 150  
REG 27 MAR 13

10238

Colonial

1913

MEDICAL EXAMINATIONS IN AFRICA  
PROCEDURE

March

Last previous Paper.

Minutes as to application of Colonial Regulation  
130.

for  
15629  
Hypold

See  
for Corcoran's minutes  
W. J. 34/13

March ✓

at 155  
102  
Hypold 92  
Rom 2 23

for

for  
16590  
Hypold

FOR SUBSEQUENT PAGES

Originals on 16089  
C.O.  
0238  
151  
27 MAR 13

whether the option of seeing one of the other W.A.s. could be allowed para. 3 of W.A. la.

Mr. Butler

In connection with the reprinting of E.A. la, Mr. Drayson has asked whether we should follow exactly W.A. la.

M.J.D. vls.  
2/8

When we started E.A. la. and E.A. b we excluded paragraph 4 and the rules on the back of W.A. la. and W.A. lb. See minute on C.O. 16089 E.A. herewith.

Now that C.R. 130 has been satisfactorily revised, I think we might make it applicable also to E.A. and therefore print the rules on the back of E.A. la and lb. as in W.A. la and lb.

If so, we must send a copy of the new C.R. to all four E.A. Protectorates - say that it will be applicable to East Africa - and the General Department should note that some kind of indication must be shown in the next edition of the C.R. that this particular regulation is applicable also to East Africa - and further the local Governments should be instructed to make the C.R. known to all East African officers.

A.F.C.P. 1/8.

At present the proposed new Colonial Regulation 130 is "subject to criticism" - see despatch of 25th June; and I should deprecate altering the E.A. la until it is fairly certain that no West African administration will propose to alter our new formula.

Why not reprint W.A. la, of course with the new list of districts, short supply, and bring up again as that supply threatens to run out?

A.F. 1/8

I agree with Mr. Fiddian

proceed as he proposes.

(n.b. the minutes from Mr. Parkinson's onwards, with a

the alteration of "East" for "West" in the heading  
General Department should see as to this.

W.G.B. 26/2

Reference

L.R. 26/11

Noted

J.A.S. 27/2

Mem. Mr. Fiddian and Mr. Bottomley have agreed to substitute for the proposed new E.A/1a and E.A/1b forms and the W.A/1a and W.A/1b, a new T.A/1a and T.A/1b common to E. and W. African use.

C.M.H. 6/3

~~M. Hand~~  
~~Mr. Bottomley~~  
~~Mr. Green~~

~~Fiddian~~  
~~Mr. Bottomley~~

On Feb 4 1963 E.A. (E. M. Dyer) I suggested that the optional clause in  $\frac{T.A}{1a}$  and  $\frac{T.A}{1b}$  might be varied.

I refer to para 1 of  $\frac{T.A}{1a}$  the first alternative (a) if you so desire etc...

and to the clause in  $\frac{T.A}{1b}$  para 1 'unless you are completely recovered'

I understand that the optional form of letter has been rarely used in the past. The case when I suppose it might be used, would be that of an Officer whose Med. Cert does not say that examination by the Medical Officer is necessary, but we consider that the evidence of the Cert. justifies us in giving him an opportunity of applying for a ~~renewal~~ but even then, I think we should have a mandatory form, as a rule. (FO)

The difficulty about the optional form is that  
I do not instruct the Officer to inform  
me whether he has received or not  
the M.A. so that we do not know  
whether he has gone or not

H.P.D. 26.13

I have discussed this with Mr. Drayson, who tells  
me that there are ~~some~~ a fair number of cases  
in which we use the optional form of T.A./11.  
I did not know that there were more than a very  
few. I am inclined to leave the optional word  
in, both in T.A./11A & T.A./11B. The difficulty  
to which Mr. Doune refers is, I think, more  
apparent than real. If we want the Officer  
to go, we shall of course use the mandatory  
form, if we don't, it won't matter how  
whether we know that he has gone or not.  
However, the M.A. will probably, after a decent  
interval, return the medical of which  
would be sent when in B/18 at the time.  
I should bear the forms along.

At 4/6/13

I agree.  $\frac{TA}{11}$  optional (or the  
old  $\frac{WA}{16}$  optional) is I think very rarely  
used, but I remember one or two  
instances, in which we have given  
a second class officer the option

A.T.H. 5/6

I agree. The forms are all right. The difficulty  
is the particular case arose from this case.

$\frac{TA}{11}$  presents no difficulty - the alternative form

cannot be overlooked & the quality of work  
will usually be omitted & so shall have to the same  
that they are omitted to call for good reason

If the rule, with regard to both forms, is that  
the mandatory form is to be used except where  
the optional form is specified in the minutes there  
should be further evidence of our steering a course  
up after compliance with the optional form.

W.C.S. 5/6/15

I think that 7A/16 may have itself be  
mistaken - in present form. But, as there is no  
evidence that such mistakes have occurred, it may as  
well be left alone

at once

J. M. G.  
- 6.3

Co  
H. M. East  
10238  
1/13

Q D  
12 FEB  
1913

EA  
10089

DRAFT.

E.A.P. No. 155  
Gen. Belfast  
Uganda No. 102  
Gen. Tachau,  
Nyassaland No. 87  
Somaliland No. 23  
Commissioner Byatt  
MINUTE.

4th March 1913.

- Mr. Stevenson 27/2/13
- Mr. Bottomley 27/2/13
- Sir G. Fiddes.
- Sir H. Just.
- Sir J. Anderson.
- Lord Emmott.
- Mr. Harcourt.

Sir,

I have the honor to transmit to you the accompanying copy of revised regulations which has been submitted for Colonial Regulation No 130 relating to the procedure to be observed with regard to the medical examination of officers proceeding a leave from West Africa, and which it is proposed to introduce in the case of officers serving East Africa. The necessary notice to the effect will be made in the Colonial Regulation when next issued.

Mr. Bottomley

As it has been decided to introduce the regulations I have not added copies for the administration.

Yours faithfully

17.2.13.

1 copy despatch  
2 copy 2/13

Copy of original despatch  
destroyed 4/13