

Subscribers

herefore that I
do now and approve of
lower rent coupled
with no re-hire (C)
and no deposit
Question of transfer
is governed by
the agreement shortly
considered and stated
on page two to $\frac{1}{4}$ page
fourth
despatch of 8th Feb.
Concerning
transfer half lease
in question
in part - I must be
admitted
subject to a
transfer and other
indeed test (C) - but with
provision made

1911	EAST AFR. PROT.	501
	12335	
Nov 13 th		
Year		
Date	SATURDAY JANUARY 11-12	
Month		
Previous Paper	Rs. 12 copies together with the cost of the printer's Bills & other expenses. No copies only. The amount Statement made by the Treasury.	
Page	505	
	Mr. Fishes.	
	I notice two small discrepancies in the Estimates for the Estimates as submitted to and approved by the Treasury Page 56. The Hospital Assistants are lumped together under the title Sub-assistant Surgeons and it is explained in a foot-note that the grading and pensionable rights of the Sub-assistant Surgeons are at present under considera- tion. The total emoluments remain therefore at the figure approved by the Treasury.	
	Page 94. Item 5. In the Schedule of Public Works Extraordinary submitted to and approved by the Treasury ap- peared a sum of £1620 for a new Station, Kenya Province. This has now been changed into a new one, Fort Hall.	
	There is in addition a small error on page 101 which appeared also in the Estimates submitted to the Treasury. The sum of £16967 there stated to be required in aid of general expenditure is required for the year 1911-12 and no	
13. W.L. 25. 072 Rev. 11.10. A.S.E.W.		for
Subsequent Paper		

for 1910-11.

Budget

The Treasurer's ~~Statement~~ Statement covers ground which is already familiar to us, as the Estimates had been approved before it was framed. I would call attention to what is said at the top and bottom of page 2 of the Statement as to the excess of Assets over Liabilities. It was originally estimated that after making full provision for ~~the current year~~, the surplus of Assets over Liabilities would be ~~£20,000~~. The Treasurer now says that, owing to increased receipts from railway working and hut tax and to further saving of expenditure in 1910-11, the actual surplus will be about £15000. This will, I fear, increase the probability that the Treasury will decide, in accordance with paragraph 3 of their letter of the 16th February, that the Protectorate has an "excessive balance".

On page 3 of the Statement the Treasurer makes a cryptic remark about the projected loan. He says that, if the loan asked for is not after all forth-coming, alternative means of dealing with the works for which the loan was required will be considered. I do not know what he means, but I am sure it would be very difficult for the Protectorate to devise any other method of getting the large sums wanted. In any case, ^{this remark} make the Treasury less disposed to grant the loan. There are interesting remarks about the Lake Lagadi project on page 4, and a Supplementary estimate is promised on page 3 dealing with the military re-organisation, which will of course effect a saving.

In view of the remarks about excess of Assets over Liabilities and about the loan, I think whether it would be wise to send this Budget statement to the Treasury. We are not in any way bound so to do. We generally send the Budget Statement because it is necessary in order to explain the Estimates, but as the Estimates for this year have already been approved, the Secretary of State can give or withhold the Budget Statement at his discretion. On the whole I would only send the Treasury three copies of the Estimates as now printed with the observations on points of detail made at the beginning of this minute ^{and would} not refer them in any way to the budget.

GOVERNMENT HOUSE,

NAIROBI,

BRITISH EAST AFRICA.

EAST AFRICA PROTECTORATE.

March 23rd 1911.

No. 132.

(Incl. 3)

Sir,

I have the honour to transmit herewith

12 copies of the sanctioned estimates, two copies

Estimates

12 copies

of the Treasurer's Budget Statement and two copies

Budget Statement

one

of a Supplementary Budget Statement made by the

Supplementary

Budget Statement

Treasurer at the first reading of the Approp-

priation Bill 1911.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your humble, obedient servant,

GOVERNOR.

THE RIGHT HONOURABLE

LEWIS HAROURT, P.C., M.P.,

SECRETARY OF STATE FOR THE COLONIES,

BOWING STREET, LONDON, S.W.

INCLOSURE

In Date 1932. 1. 28. 3. 1932

Supplementary Budget Statement

of the Treasurer in the Legislative Council

March 20th 1932.

Your Excellency,

As has been the custom, in order that certain information necessary for the study of the estimates might be in the hands of Honorable Members before the Appropriation Bill is introduced, my budget statement for the year 1931-32 was issued some days ago.

I should now wish to make the following modification in that statement in consequence of further information which has come to hand since that statement was prepared:

(1) The expenditure under schedule F, Odisha Land Settlement Rs. 20,000 is only provisionally sanctioned pending a report which the Treasury have made to the Government from time to time, which is estimated to receive the Government when the work is undertaken.

(2) The item "Other Industry Grants in aid" Rs. 100, on page 79 of the draft estimates, is similarly only provisionally approved pending a report on the manner in which the money will be applied.

(3) The scale of salary required for the Treasurer by rule 37 of the draft estimates to remain in the present holder and liable to revision in the event of a Deputy Secretary or a corresponding officer being appointed.

(4) The Treasury have stated that, should the findings of

the year 1911-12 at a later date show that an unpaid balance, which was estimated at £80,647 when the estimates were considered by them, is likely to become excessive, they reserve the right to withhold or obtain a refund of a portion of the £115,000 grant-in-aid.

(5) The following additional appointments in the Public Works Department have been made pensionable:-

Two Overseers

Two Bridge Foremen

The Office Superintendent, Nairobi

The European Timekeeper.

Further through an oversight the appointments of:-

Electric Inspector

Chief Telegraph Inspector

Two 2nd Class Telegraph Inspectors on page 74 of the draft estimates were not starred as pensionable.

Gov. No. 355/1911.
East Africa Protectorate.

DRAFT.

Secretary

to the Treasury.

Mooring Street,

29 April, 1911.

MINUTE.

Mr. Butler. *Apr. 27* Sir

Mr.

Mr. Fiddes.

Mr. Just.

Mr. Cox.

Sir C. Lucas.

Lord Lucas.

Col. Seely.

Mr. Harcourt.

Estimates 1911-12 + 3 copies
copy to 1 - May

With reference to the letter from
 (on receipt) this office of the 21st of February, I am
 directed by Mr Secretary Harcourt to transmit
 to you, to be laid before the Lords Commis-
 sioners of the Treasury, three copies of the
 Estimates of the East Africa Protectorate for
 the year 1911-12, as appended by their Lord-
 shippers

Two small departures are noticed
 from the form in which the Estimates were
 finally sanctioned. On page 56, Hospital

*libar 200
and ready
not set 10.30*

assistant

Assistants are grouped together under the title of Sub-Assistant Surgeons, and it is explained in a foot note that the grading and pensionable rights of the Sub-Assistant Surgeons are at present under consideration.

The total emoluments remain at the figure originally approved. On page 94, item 5, ~~the Schedule of Public Works Extra~~ ordinary as "New Rail, Fort Hall, £1,200", whereas in the Estimates actually submitted to and approved by their Lordships the same expenditure was contemplated on a new station, Kenya Province. There is in addition a small error on page 101 which appeared also in the Estimates originally submitted to the Treasury. The sum of £10,000 was stated to be required in aid of general expenditure is required for the year 1911-12 and not for the year 1910-11.

I have, etc.,

(Sd.)