elfield 1914 States has received report from D.P.W. upon Annex IV as to utilisation of waters of Juba for irrigation in which he expresses disagreement with Annex as drafted Encloses memo. by Mr Watta Recommends Annex be approved with few minor alterations suggested by Mr Watta. th July ast previous Paper. 26:18 My Assam Cfy to 70. with when Journey and ask if the Italiai fort are 4.1-R 6/8/14

GOVERNMENT HOUSE NAINOBI

FRICAL PROTECTOR ARE.

BRITISH EAST AFRICA

No:648;

July - 7th; 1914.

28606 28606

Sir

With reference to paragraph 7 of my

segorandum

despatch No. 318 of April 4th, I have the honour to inform you that I have now received a report from the birector of Pullio Works upon Annex IV after consideration of its contents and a visit to Jubaland.

- 2. Mr. McGregor Ross sees reason to express disagreement with the contents of the Annex as drafted on the ground that, while broad principles should be the subject of treaty, details of administration and methods of management in the adjacent territories should be left entirely to the discretion of the respective multiprities.
- 3. As it is apparent that the contents of the Annex embedy the proposals formulated by the Julit Commission after weeks of patient to endurse the view discussion. I felt rejuctant to endurse the view

oxirres<sub>ed</sub>,

HOROURABLE

IS HARCOURT; P.C., M.P.,

SARALRY OF ATATE FOR THE COLONIES,

boaning street, gondon, s.w.

**b**515

expressed by the Director of EuDlic Works and availed myself therefore of the presence in Mairobi of Mr.C.R.Watts, who was Chairman of the Commission of 1910, to place the matter become in the safe that will be the matter become in the commission of the process of the commission of

- 4. I subsequently conferred with he deciregor noss and ascertained from him that he had had no opportunity of consulting the Italian authorities. He further admitted, after hearing my views, that there might be good reasons for elaborating details which had not occurred to him.
- 5. In the circumstances therefore I recommend that Annex IV be approved as presented, with the few minor elterations recommended by Mr. Walts:

A I have the hondur to be,

vin.

Your hundle, obedient servant,

Albuwan Bey sed

BOVERNÓR.

INCLOSURE

In Despatch No 643 of July 742 1871

AMIEX TV

Hegulations for the utilization of the waters of the Juba for irrigation.

Assuming that the marginal notes refer to the recommendations made by the Anglo-Italian Commission of 1910, of which I was Chairman, I do not see how Annex IV can be simplified. Engineer Fano pointed out that we had no data to go on other than the few cross sections of the River taken by himself, Capt. Williams, R.E., and myself; and that before a scientific decision could be arrived at as to whether any large project should be sanctioned or not much further information was required. The Commission assumed that there would soon be a number of small projects made by Europeans on cither side of the River, and the Members formed the idea that any information due to the construction and working of these small schemes should be placed at the disposal of the Permanent Commission and recorded. Hence many of the petty details recommended by the 1910 Commission which are embodied in Annex IV specially the rules regarding hydrometers.

2. We (members of the 1910 Commission) were informed at the time that it is not the custom of the Italian. Government to give a free hand to the Head of the Public Works Department to settle petty details even in Italian Somaliland remote from the Juba River. Therefore we found it impossible to come to an

arreemen

. 2

agreement unless the British Members consented to allow proposals for Rules in detail to be laid down.

3. To take Annex IV in detail :- Article I calls for no comment.

Article 2 is framed on the recommendations of the 1910 Commission after weeks of discussion, and if the proposals are agreed to in five or ten years! time both Governments will know something about the rise and fall of the River.

Article 3. This is absolutely necessary as the natives are so unscientific in making their inlets from the River, and they change them so frequently. In many cases we saw where they had made a canal and they had not been able to control the flow at the intake. The consequence was that they had to ahandon the Canal and the water from it can to waste forming swamps till the intake silted up. Thus valuable land was destroyed, and mosquito breeding grounds were provided.

Article 4 is absolutely necessary as the idea is to restrict the Somalis to certain fixed watering places and to punish them if they water elsewhere on the River without permission of the Commission.

Article 5. This is based on the fact that the River banks are very friable, and that it is very dangerous for any amageur to make a large intake. The wording of the Article is quite clear to me as it stands. It might be divided into:

- (a) administrative sanction
- (b) executive manction.

I take It that (a) is covered by the first paragraph with the words added land for calling for detailed plans and estimates."

Para. 2 is correct.

Fara. 3. After the word "channel" I suggest adding the words "duly sanctioned by proper authority."

From "Each Government" onwards should be in a separate paragraph.

In the third line from the end after "all these" the word "Government" should be repeated.

Article 6 is absolutely necessary owing to the friable nature of the soil as a large defective intake might, the Commission thought, tend to cause the River to change its course. The words "concrete iron steel or earthenware pipes" should be added.

Article 7 was the subject of much discussion, and the Italian members laid considerable stress on it.

Article 3. I forget the difference of opinion between Engineer Fano and myself but we both wrote separate Minutes. This Article should stand.

Article 9. After the word "outlets", at the end of the sixth line from the bottom, I suggest adding "and drainage channels." It should be added that the Rules, in this Article apply to both Government and Private Prejects.

Article 10. The 1910 Commission agreed to this after very little alsoussion.

4. As regards the Director of Public Works proposals. I do not see how they can be accepted. without another International Commission being formed. The Commission of 1910 did not deal only with Annex IV but also with many questions concerning other Departments. If therefore Annex IV is to be so altered that no member of the 1910 Commission could recognize it, and Annexes under other sections are to betreated in the same way, then the approval of the labours of this Commission and intention to act on them - as conveyed in the mutual consent of the British and Italian Foreign Offices - are useless. I would ask the D.P.W. if he spent weeks discussing Annex IV with Italian officials, if he had no opportunity of doing so I do not see how he could find out to what extent the Italians would agree to in modifying Annex IV. The matter is an International, not a British one only, and the Commission of 1910 was only able to frame its Report by each side giving way to the other one after exhaustive discussions.

5. If the Italian Engineer now in Italian Somaliland agreed with the D.P.W. then Annex IV will probably have to be reconsidered.

G. K Walts

(late) Chairman; Anglo-Italian Juba Biyer; Commission of 1910;

ATHORY,

rd July 1914.

8 August 1914 The U.S. g S. With reference to the letter from Foreign Office this Office of the 22 of hely I am directed etc to informe 11. Harper 7/8/1914 11. Bottomley 7/8/14/5 youthat transmit to you, to be laid Sir H. Just. Sir J. Anderson. lafore Secretary dur Lord Emmott. Mr. Harcourt. Edward frey, the & accompanying copy blis 7 holy ga dispatel from the for the East sollikenclosur, for the subject of I the hansit and