

EAST AFR. PROT

89

REC'D
REG. 1 JAN. 15

89th

Governor
Field 980

SURVEY STAFF (CADASTRAL BRANCH)
SALARY AND ALLOWANCES

411

1914

Submit explanations called for. Trusts action
will be approved.

1st November

Previous Paper

a
37020
164

In Re: The Governor's argument is as follows -

(a) We recognized the necessity for an improvement in the Surveyors' emoluments so that no further complaints should be received.

(b) Our arrangements - viz. the new scales of salary introduced in April last were inadequate for that purpose.

(c) Continuous home allowance - i.e. payment when the Surveyors were out in the field - had been forewarned when the complaint began. It is appropriate to the case of surveyors, & our intention was to pay it when they were out in the field.

Under 64 cons. 26 Jan 16

V.S.
12/11/14

Continuous home allowance

(2) Therefore the Governor has not
executed his instructions.

(4) It was letter to Secy: on 13/26/13 (amount
26200/13). We recognized that the
abridgement of the general principle of a
to have allowance work under the
Surveyor's emoluments accordingly. We did not
reassure the Governor's consolidated
allowances but proposed new scales of
salary in compensation. We have never
contemplated any increase in emoluments
beyond this compensation, & the Governor's
question so that "there should be no more
complaints - implying that he had
quite blame to reject complaints - is
inaccurate. We wanted that the new
scales of salary will prove satisfactory.
And that no further complaints will be
received."

dup. of
26200/13

(5) If the new scales of salary have not
satisfactory the obvious thing was to say
so. As a matter of fact they had two
defects:-

(1) As regards the senior officers, I compared
the new scales of salary with the old
scales, & found that the top of the old
scale naturally suffered if the new
put at the bottom of the new. The remedy
obviously was to adjust each man's place
on the new scale to his place on the old &
not put every body on the new scale. I

See memo on
18/2/13

that we should have been able to have
individual officers should come under
the new scheme

- (2) If a Surveyor saw the Accountants,
the figure for whom I acted in the draft
Stage or 13126. To bring them up to the
figure of the Gov^{rs} consolidated allowance
scheme the new salary should have
been £175 & not £150. I must have
taken their house & travelling allowance
rates as the same as those for the superior
officers. As a matter of fact ^{three} ~~four~~ of
the Assts. were promoted by special ^{order} and
all but ^{one} the highest ~~rank~~ of the others had as
vested interests under the old practice of
continuous house allowance 415

(4) But whatever the defects of my scales
they were definitely equalled in value
Equivalent, under the new conditions as to
house allowance, to the Governor's consolidated
allowance proposals (on a necessarily unequalled
basis as to a 2 of days travelling), and the
Governor ought to have disputed the
Equivalence rather than to have decided
to put a different reading on the house
allowance practice

- (5) The correspondence now and here shows
clearly that in March 1913 there was
no misunderstanding locally about the
position of Surveyors under the new
rules, as to house allowance. It arose
out of certain few agreements under
the new principle, the application of which

been ruled out by the Governor's proposed
compensatory allowances equivalent
to the lost privileges.

- (i) The S. G. proposed to consolidate
allowances, ~~to obtain~~ approval for
higher scales of salary for the purpose
of effecting the same equivalent.
- (ii) The Governor accepted three revised
scales & ticked on to them the very
privilege the discontinuance of which
led to his original proposal.
- (iii) And yet he claims that he has not
exceeded the S. G.'s authority.

I am not at all sure of the ^{effect} meaning of
the last part of para 6 of the despatch -
it may mean that surveyors do not
draw so much travelling allowance
as ^{before} the Gov. gave his reasons in 1913,
but I do not know.

The Governor makes no reference to
the point mentioned by L. T. Mansel
in 32582, and is personally by one of
the surveyors, that the new scales
were out of a groove on the part of the
Govt. surveyors. Possibly because there
was not a full and complete justification for
the reduction in the higher scales.

There is no one going to be paid that with
the Govt. & it will be most, as regards the

just, to say that

- (i) The S. G.'s intention certainly was that
surveyors should not in future draw
home allowance while in the field, & that
it is difficult for him to realize how
the correspondence with the Treasury could
be read in any other sense.
- (ii) It cannot be admitted that the Govt. ¹¹⁷ had
any authority for granting to the surveyors
a privilege the discontinuance of which in
certain new agreements led to the correspondence.
- (iii) Even if it was observed that the new scales
of salary did not as had been anticipated,
offer sufficient compensation for the
loss incurred by surveyors through the
cessation of the travelling allowance which they had
& travelling allowance which they had
formerly enjoyed, it would have been better
for him to draw attention to the fact, when
the scales of surveyors, or ^{also} ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~the~~
Scales, would have been adjusted to their
position on the old scales and the salary
of the most surveyors could have been fixed
at a higher rate than that of £150 a year,
which it must be admitted was a ~~very~~ low
- (iv) The Government has already placed some
of the surveyors in a better position than they
would have had if his original proposal
of consolidated allowance had been accepted,
& the improvement could be regarded as
an attempt to secure them, as a cardinal point
of our scheme that the loss of some £100
balance over a period of years, allowing a ~~very~~

any for a
the amount of
it

for the operation of increments but also for
the benefit derived through the payment
of a higher rate of salary during leave of
absence.

As regards manufacture, the Governor has
devised a new scale of pay for the staff
which must create a great grievance to
most Government employees, not only
during periods of absence but until
promotion. But I do not think we
should abandon the attempt, in the case
of newly appointed or promoted officers (i.e.
at least about four or five years of the
normal scale), to regularise the
position of the staff in accordance with
the following principles:-

(1) Home allowance £50 per annum
for 1st & 2nd class, & travelling
allowance. **Rd R.** is imperfectly
and to be taken simultaneously.

(2) Two scales of salary to be worked out
which, with the allowances, as in (1), will
give a period of 12 months, including
the intervals of full pay leave, to
be nearly equivalent as far as possible to
the original scale of salary plus
the Government's proposed travelling
and allowances.

(3) For this purpose the number of days
travelling to be taken on the average
for the whole of the staff in each grade
should be ascertained. In this way the

future scales will most benefit those
who do most travelling, as is reasonable.

(4) The architect to be done in the Parliament
this time, & proposals sent here.

413

(5) Cases in which the operation of these rules
would result in a temporary reduction of
total cashments on promotion (e.g. when
a Senior Staff Surgeon has reached
the maximum of his scale before promotion)
to be considered as they arise, but not
with a view to continuing the present
of continuation, home allowance.

(6) Surgeons who have relinquished their
appointments for military service will,
if reappointed within a year of the
end of the war, retain pending promotion
the benefits to be given after war
which they enjoyed on the 1st of August 1914.

? Dft. for course on other lines.

[I have made a reference to G.O. 11.115
at Reg 247 because the sheet
letter of it does not appear
to have been broken.]

at rule
H. J. R.
12/1/15

EAST AFRICA PROTECTORATE.

No. 980.

GOVERNMENT HOUSE,
NAIROBI,
BRITISH EAST AFRICA.

November 21st, 1914.

89

411

Sir,

I have the honour to acknowledge the receipt of your despatch No. 827 of the 2nd September 1914, transmitting certain correspondence on the rates of salary, house allowance, and travelling allowance drawn by the officers of the Cadastral Branch of the Survey Department in this Protectorate.

I
32552

D. of S.
5-8-13

Treasurer
8-8-13

D. of S.
-10-13

Treasurer
-11-13

26200
1/2

2. I regret that you consider that the authority contained in your despatch No. 330 of 5th August 1913 has been exceeded, an opinion which, I venture to hope, will be modified after a perusal of the following observations.

3. The circumstances leading up to a consideration of the emoluments of the Surveyors of

THE RIGHT HONOURABLE
LEWIS HARCOURT, P.C., M.P.,
SECRETARY OF STATE FOR THE COLONIES,
DOWNING STREET, LONDON, S.W.

appears I have exceeded the authority given
by you.

421

5. In agreeing to a new scale of salaries for the Surveyors you laid it down that they "must be treated like other officers both in respect of travelling allowances and free quarters". Now other officers, who have recognised head-quarters in a township, either occupy Government quarters or are granted a house allowance: the large body of the Administration staff who live in the districts outside Nairobi, Mombasa and Kisumu do not of course draw house allowance, but, on the other hand, all officers whose head-quarters or stations are at either of the above named townships are granted house allowance. The question therefore was - were Surveyors of the Cadastral Branch, working in the field for periods of a month or so in various parts of the Protectorate, to be considered (1) as having any recognised head-quarters, or (2) as being on the same footing as the officers of the Administration in districts. It was decided, after due consideration, and I may add was a point seriously urged by the Director of Surveys, that Surveyors from the very nature of their work must be considered as having recognised head-quarters. I was all the more willing

willing to see the validity and propriety of this, for, if an opposite view had been taken, the result would have been exactly contrary to that which was desired, viz: an improvement in the emoluments of the surveyors concerned, and instead of that desideratum being attained we should have had representations made at once, representations which, in my opinion, would not only have been justifiable but would have received additional point from the fact that a distinction, to the surveyors' disadvantage, was being made against them as compared with other officers, and also that an emolument they had hitherto received was being withdrawn. In your despatch No. 630 of 5th August 1918 it was expressly stated that the Surveyors were to be treated as other officers as regards house allowance, as I have pointed out we do not stop the house allowance of an officer when he is travelling on duty in the district away from head-quarters; if that allowance were stopped in the case of Surveyors in the field I respectfully submit we should not be treating the latter as we do the former - a differentiation which, as I say, I did not consider was intended.

6. Here again I would beg to attach copies of correspondence which took place after the

receipt

3000
26200
B

In as
26000
13

receipt of your despatch No. 630 of 5th August 1913, and, as to the omission in the Treasurer's minute of any reference to the recognised head-quarters question, I would state that that point had already been the subject of consideration regarding the agreements of Surveyors, and had been settled by admitting the contention that Surveyors should be recognised as having head-quarters at one of the townships.

7. I would also observe that in the estimates for the year 1914-15 provision was made for the house allowances - without any deduction - for the Surveyors, and that reference was made in the Treasurer's Budget Statement (paragraph 15) to the fact that the scales of salaries had been improved. Had the course been followed, which I now gather from your despatch under acknowledgment you intended should have been, then the provision for house allowances should not have been inserted and the reference by the Treasurer regarding the improvement in salaries would have required modification.

8. I have not up to the present touched on the matter from the point of view of policy as that did not enter into my consideration after I had sent my despatch No. 201 of 27th

In
1312

March 1913, where however, in paragraph 3, I drew attention to the possibility of losing the services of the most efficient members of the present staff and the probability of not obtaining men either from home or South Africa. I do not wish it to be assumed that I am attempting to force a favourable view of the action I have taken in this matter, but at the same time I cannot conceal from myself that not only did I believe I was following out the intention to improve the conditions of the surveying staff, but that had I acted otherwise a very unfortunate state of affairs might have arisen. It must not be forgotten that the average income received by licensed Surveyors in the Protectorate is greatly in excess of the salaries of the surveying staff. I quite realise that on the other hand there are many advantages held by the latter in the security of tenure and the immunity from anxiety as to sufficiency of work being available at all times, but, as you are fully aware, it is hard to expect such considerations entering into the calculations of men who believe, rightly or wrongly, that they have a right to

9. With reference to the concluding paragraph of your despatch under acknowledgment I

beg to inform you that the reduced rates of travelling allowance have been applied to the Surveyors of the Cadastral Branch as from the 1st April 1914.

10. In conclusion I trust that my action, though considered as having been in excess of your authority, was, under all the circumstances, reasonable and politic, and that I may now receive your acquiescence.

I have the honour to be,

Tr,

Your faithful obedient servant,

Alonso, Reginal

W. MENOR.

INCLOSURE *has*

Despatch No. 980 of *21/3/14*

COPY.

No. 27.

Director of Surveys Office,

Nairobi.

March 5th, 1914.

426

Sir,

I have the honour to state that the present conditions governing the payment of allowances to Cadastral Surveyors are most unsatisfactory and that owing to differences in the agreements made by the Crown Agents with Surveyors many inconsistencies exist.

The majority of Cadastral Surveyors have asked me to try and obtain consolidated pay for them rather than the present house and subsistence allowances, so that there may be uniformity (in proportion to grade) of salaries in the Department.

Although it is recognised that allowances cannot be considered as salary, still the class of surveyor required will not come unless they are guaranteed a more definite and fixed income than is at present the case with the existing subsistence and house allowances; and this also cause for complaint with the present surveyors.

2. I therefore recommend that in lieu of house allowances at £50 and £36 per annum and subsistence allowances at Rs. 5/- and 4/- per diem as is at present paid to District, Senior Staff and Junior Staff Surveyors in the first case and to Assistant Junior Staff Surveyors in the second, consolidated allowances at the rate of £150 and £120 per annum respectively may be substituted payable while the Surveyor is actually employed on his duties (i. e. not payable while on leave, local leave or sick leave).

These

These figures are obtained from average of the last three years, as shown in Appendices A and B attached: there would therefore be no difference in the actual money spent on salaries and allowances.

I consider that the present salaries and these proposed allowances would then be suitable for the various grades of Cadastral Surveyors.

3. If this is approved, I beg to suggest that each Cadastral Surveyor be given the opportunity of completing his agreement as it stands or changing at once to the new system of consolidated allowance as suggested above: and that this new system be introduced in all new agreements made with surveyors.

4. I beg to point out that the present unsatisfactory conditions governing the payment of allowances for Cadastral Surveyors are causing serious discontent in the Department.

For example clause 4 (quarters) in the new agreements of several surveyors (i. e. Messrs. Woodruff, Boileau, Ramsey and Low) reads that when quarters are not available the person engaged will be provided with a tent or temporary shelter or in certain cases he will be granted an allowance in lieu of quarters at the discretion of the Government. Clause 4. in the old agreements allowed a surveyor to draw house allowance while in the field and on signing a new agreement the surveyors signed it in full faith that they would receive the same pay and allowances that they had received formerly, and that the words "in certain cases" would mean that they are entitled to house allowances whether at Headquarters or in the field: and discovered to their dismay that the new clause 4 was interpreted to mean that they only received house allowance when at headquarters: and that after (in some cases)

many years of Government service they were £40 or £50 per annum worse off than heretofore through no fault of theirs.

The present rates of pay with the consolidated allowances as suggested here are the minimum wages for which we can obtain the various grades of surveyors required; and if the money a surveyor receives in pay and allowances is in any way reduced (as in the case of alteration of clause 4 mentioned above) it will be impossible to obtain surveyors at all. I would like to point out that it has been difficult enough to induce properly qualified men to come under the old agreement; and that the Colonial Office has completely failed to fill our vacancies lately and instructed us to fill them ourselves.

However if surveyors are guaranteed pay and consolidated allowances as I have suggested or a proportional increase to their salaries, I am of opinion that the present state of dissatisfaction will cease and we shall in future be able to get satisfactory men.

I have, etc.,

sd/- G. C. Williams

Captain R. E.

Director of Surveys.

APPENDIX A.

Showing average subsistence allowance and house allowance actually drawn per annum, and proposed consolidated allowance to be allowed.

Subsistence allowance: at Rs. 5/- per diem for District, Senior Staff and Junior Staff Surveyors.

Name	Period		Months on Duty	Amount of T.A. drawn		Average per annum
	From	To		Rs.	Cts.	
Adipati	1.1.10	31.12.12	30.70	1755	300	57.00
Anderson	1.7.10	31.12.12	4.00	20	00	100.00 (1.1.10 to 30.6.10 @ Rs. 3 rate)
Chatterjee	2.11.11	31.12.12	14.00	70	00	50.00
Chatterjee	2.11.11	31.12.12	14.00	70	00	50.00
Chatterjee	2.11.11	31.12.12	17.25	86	25	50.00
Chatterjee	21.7.10 1.9.12	31.3.11) 31.12.12)	14.35	71	75	4.77 (intervening period @ 3/- rate)
Chatterjee	1.1.10	31.12.12	2.00	10	00	51.00
Chatterjee	2.11.11	31.12.12	14.00	70	00	1.88
Chatterjee	2.1.12	31.12.12	12.00	60	00	137.92
Chatterjee	2.9.12	31.12.12	1.00	5	00	141.00
Chatterjee	1.10.10	31.12.12	23.00	115	00	50.00
Chatterjee	1.1.10	31.12.12	24.10	120	50	121.11 (6 months @ Land Ranger)
Chatterjee	11.8.12	31.12.12	4.25	21	25	2.40
Chatterjee	28.3.11	31.12.12	4.00	20	00	117.00
Chatterjee	1.1.10	31.12.12	2.00	10	00	50.00
Total	16.9.12	31.12.12	14.50	725	100	144.20 Rs. 1549.79

... to be recorded
... Dept. Books previous to this.

General monthly average	Rs. Cts.
	96.86
General yearly average	12
	<u>1162.32</u>
	1 S 0
	77.9.9
Yearly house allowance	<u>60.0.0</u>
Average of actual allowances received yearly	<u>1187.9.9</u>

To

APPENDIX A continued

To estimate a consolidated allowance at same rate the periods on which a Surveyor will not draw, during the year, this consolidated allowance are 14 days local leave and say 16 days sick leave - 1 month

$$\begin{array}{r}
 137 \\
 \hline
 12 \\
 \hline
 11.8.4
 \end{array}$$

Therefore the consolidated allowance to enable a surveyor to receive 137.9 yearly should be

$$\begin{array}{r}
 137.9 \\
 \hline
 11.8.4 \\
 \hline
 148.13.1
 \end{array}$$

Say £ 150 . 0 . 0 i.e. daily rate of 18. 8. 17

APPENDIX B

Showing average subsistence and house allowance actually drawn per annum, and proposed consolidated allowance in lieu.

Subsistence allowance at Rs. 8/- per diem for Assistant Junior Staff Surveyors.

Name	Period From	To	Months on duty	Amount of T.A. drawn		Average per month	
				Rs.	Cts.	Rs.	Cts.
Anderson	1. 1. 10	30. 8. 10	29.00	510.	00	85.	00
Chornhill	1. 4. 11	30. 6. 12	15.00	858.	00	57.	20
Dawson	1. 12. 11	31. 12. 12	13.00	439.	00	52.	31
Deaton	6. 8. 11	31. 12. 12	6.80	574.	00	83.	89
Finlay	1. 10. 11	31. 12. 12	4.65	427.	00	70.	00
Galley	1. 4. 10	31. 12. 12	29.70	1086.	00	56.	77
				Rs. 425.		41	

Rs. Cts.
General monthly average 70. 00

General yearly average = 850.80 @ Rs. 8/- rate =
 = 1184.40 @ Rs. 4/- rate =
 = 751.12 @

Yearly house allowance = 16. 0. 0

average of actual allowance received yearly = 111. 12. 6

Assistant Junior Staff Surveyors are entitled to a daily subsistence allowance of Rs. 4/- (not Rs. 8/- paid in error); they are now in receipt of the Rs. 4/- allowance.

To estimate a consolidated allowance at some rate the periods on which a surveyor will not draw, during the year, consolidated allowance are 4 days local leave and say 16 days sick leave = 1 month.

111 = 111 S d
 1 month = 12 = 27. 5. 0

Therefore

U.S. No: 279/176.

The Secretary,

433

Lahore, 27 March, 1913

The Hon'ble Chief Secretary,

Lahore.

Forwarding letter No. 27 of 27th March 1913 from
Director of Surveys - Consolidated allowance for House and
Travelling to Cadastral Surveyors.

There has been considerable difficulty experienced in engaging surveyors for this Protectorate, and I think it is recognised that our scale of salary and other circumstances are not altogether attractive enough for the class of men required. If matters could be improved by adopting the suggestion made by the Director of Surveys I think it would be advisable to do so, for not only would it put the Cadastral survey service on a definite basis, but it would obviate the certain objections to be made when the time comes for the execution of the new local agreements. There would be no increase in the present expenditure and no addition to possible pensionable emoluments.

I must however draw attention to the fact that the travelling allowance of the District, Senior Staff and Junior Staff surveyors is based on the Rs. 6/- per diem rates; in accordance with the recent decision of the Secretary of State (not yet brought into actual operation) they would only be entitled to Rs. 4/- per diem and it is possible that the Secretary of State might insist on the reduction of the consolidated allowance equivalent to a calculation of the Rs. 4/- per diem basis. Assistant Junior Staff

Surveyors

Surveyors would under the terms of the Secretary of State's Despatch still draw the Rs. 4/- per diem.

2. House allowances have under the old arrangements been granted to District, Senior Staff and Junior Staff Surveyors at Rs. 60 per month and Rs. 40 per month to Assistant Junior Staff Surveyors respectively whether stationed at headquarters or on the field.

In accordance with the instructions of the Secretary of State's Despatch of 27th of the 13th of March 1915 however the following rates have been inserted in the Schedule of the Surveyors' Rates and Conditions of Service: District Surveyors Rs. 60 per month; Senior Staff Surveyors Rs. 40 per month; Junior Staff Surveyors Rs. 40 per month; Assistant Junior Staff Surveyors Rs. 20 per month. It is suggested that the rates for District Surveyors should be increased to Rs. 75 per month and for Senior Staff Surveyors to Rs. 50 per month. It is also suggested that the rates for Junior Staff Surveyors and Assistant Junior Staff Surveyors should be increased to Rs. 45 per month and Rs. 25 per month respectively. It is further suggested that the rates for District Surveyors should be increased to Rs. 75 per month and for Senior Staff Surveyors to Rs. 50 per month. It is also suggested that the rates for Junior Staff Surveyors and Assistant Junior Staff Surveyors should be increased to Rs. 45 per month and Rs. 25 per month respectively.

It is suggested that the rates for District Surveyors should be increased to Rs. 75 per month and for Senior Staff Surveyors to Rs. 50 per month. It is also suggested that the rates for Junior Staff Surveyors and Assistant Junior Staff Surveyors should be increased to Rs. 45 per month and Rs. 25 per month respectively. It is further suggested that the rates for District Surveyors should be increased to Rs. 75 per month and for Senior Staff Surveyors to Rs. 50 per month. It is also suggested that the rates for Junior Staff Surveyors and Assistant Junior Staff Surveyors should be increased to Rs. 45 per month and Rs. 25 per month respectively.

It is suggested that the rates for District Surveyors should be increased to Rs. 75 per month and for Senior Staff Surveyors to Rs. 50 per month. It is also suggested that the rates for Junior Staff Surveyors and Assistant Junior Staff Surveyors should be increased to Rs. 45 per month and Rs. 25 per month respectively. It is further suggested that the rates for District Surveyors should be increased to Rs. 75 per month and for Senior Staff Surveyors to Rs. 50 per month. It is also suggested that the rates for Junior Staff Surveyors and Assistant Junior Staff Surveyors should be increased to Rs. 45 per month and Rs. 25 per month respectively.

It would therefore be desirable to suggest that the Secretary of State be approached with a

view to allowing.

(1) District Surveyors, Senior and Junior Staff Surveyors to draw a consolidated allowance of either £150 or £135 per annum the actual amount to be decided on being dependent on whether the basis of Rs. 5/- or 4/- per diem be taken for travelling Allowance.

(2) That Assistant Junior Staff Surveyors draw a consolidated allowance of £120 per annum and

(3) that if the above be sanctioned the allowance may be drawn from 1st April 1913, on the understanding ^{that} until the question is settled the agreements and the rulings of the Secretary of State (C. O. Despatch 147 of the 13th March 1912) be adhered to; that is to say that house allowance to only draw by those officers who have entered into the new agreements who had not provided with a tent or temporary shelter. As to the other Surveyors who were on the old agreement they must continue to draw house allowance till new agreements are executed; and as regards those Surveyors engaged locally or from South Africa they should be called on to pay into the local authorities now being visited; but they should be informed that the question of revision of the house allowance clause is under consideration.

H. A. Smallwood

Treasurer

INCLOSURE No. 3

Despatch No. 930 of Part 2/1913

No. 169.

Director of Surveys Office,

Nairobi,

October 17th, 1913.

435

Sir,

Reference is made dated 3.10.13.

I have the honour to request that I may be given a definite ruling on the following point:-

Colonial Office Despatch No. 630 dated 5.8.13 and enclosure lays down the rates of pay for Cadastral Surveyors from 1st April 1914 and states that they will adhere strictly to the general regulations as to the House allowances and Travelling allowances.

I find the general regulations as to the House Allowance somewhat obscure.

Will surveyors receive House Allowance (if not occupying a Government quarter) as heretofore that is for the whole period they are actually serving in the Protectorate whether away from headquarters on safari or not.

I believe that this is a general regulation for other Officials.

I have, etc.

G. C. Williams

Captain R. E.

Director of Surveys.

Honourable Chief Secretary.

Thru Honourable Member.

Nairobi.

INCLOSURE No 4

Despatch No 980 of Nov 21 1914

C. S. No. W 279/788

The Treasury,

Nairobi, 28th November, 1913.

439

The Honourable Chief Secretary.

Forwarding letter No. 169 of the 17th October 1913 from Director of Surveys.

House and Travelling Allowances of Surveyors.

In accordance with paragraph 2 of C. O. Despatch 650 of 6th August 1913, in which the Secretary of State states that Surveyors must be treated like other officers both in respect of travelling allowances and free quarters I am of opinion that it would be an impossible position to take up that Surveyors should have to forego house allowance when out in field. It would mean that every time they went out they would have to give up their houses with every prospect of not being able to get another on their return to headquarters. To carry such a proceeding to a logical conclusion it would mean that when any official, who is at present in occupation of Government quarters, goes on safari his quarters are thereby ipso facto, vacated. This of course is not done nor does an official, who draws house Allowance instead of Government quarters, have his house allowance deducted on the occasions he has to go on safari.

Surveyors must have recognised Headquarters and I am strongly of the opinion that Government, in the discretion allowed, should grant house allowance to Surveyors as formerly.

I. S. G. (Signature)

I further consider that in so doing the spirit and intention of the Secretary of State's instructions are being followed- for the improvement of the conditions of service was the object aimed at and if an alteration disadvantageous to the Surveyors were made the whole question would be as it was before; further more as Government has, very properly in my opinion, leaned to a generous interpretation of the house allowance question it would be a policy of discrimination were Surveyors to be treated differently. It must not be forgotten that Travelling allowances have been reduced and that this reduction affects Surveyors as a body to a possibly greater extent than any class of official.

As a matter of practical effect the new rates of salary, if house allowance is not to be granted, are not so good as the existing ones with house allowance.

W. A. G. G. G. G. G.
Treasurer.

Yours S.A.P.
89 1/15

433

26th January 1918

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge

the receipt of your despatch no. 980 of the 21st of November

on the subject of the terms of employment of members

of the Cadetral Branch of the Army Department

2. It was certainly my intention when I sent my despatch no.

530 of the 5th of August 1913

that these surveys should not continue to cause

some allowance to be made in the field, and after reading

the letter to the Secretary of the 23rd of 1913 a copy of

which accompanied my despatch, you will see that

DRAFT.

Belfield

MINUTE.

Mr. Northcote 14.1.15
Mr. Read 18
Mr.
Mr.

Sir G. Piddles
Sir H. J. J. J.
Sir J. Anderson
Lord Islington
Mr. Harcourt

for comment

Answers 43116

2630

understand how it could be
interpreted in ^{any other} ~~the~~ sense. The
conclusion was, at all events, for

Your despatch of 20th of the
27th of March 1912 not less
than from the local committee

(13/24/12)

which you have countermanded
here, out of the fact that
certain recent agreements
did not provide for the same
of home allowance club in the
field. You ~~it~~ admitted that

the old method of drawing
home allowance was "disorderly
and anomalous" and that it was

"very undesirable that two
sets of rules should be kept
by side under the same
administration", and you

proposed, on the basis of
the actual allowances
drawn during the preceding
three years, a system

of consolidated allowances, -
like of home and travelling
allowances, the grant of which
would cause general satisfaction
in the Dept. and would be

DRAFT.

3. The letter to the Treasury
set forth the new arrange-
ment with regard to house
allowances, and stated that
none of the staff members
would have to be granted by
the Treasury by way of
confirmation for the knowledge
previously enjoyed if the
staff was to be dealt
together, and he added that
he was not prepared to
accept your recommendation
of consolidated allowances.
Instead of three allowances ¹⁸⁹
no certain number of
salaries are required which
if worked out over a period
of six years, a family
allowance for two periods
of seven years, together
with the new regulations
which govern the payment
of house allowances, or
travelling allowances, would be
your

has had the effect of placing
some of the surveyors (allowing
for a reasonable amount of
leave) in a better
position in working than
if your original proposals
of 2000 regular posts
of 2000 regular posts
had been accepted, and the
allowance will be
accounted as increments
across, and has been especially
in view of the higher salary

which will be drawn during
absence. It is in
addition, to be expected that
the increments mentioned
above have been participated

with some of the survey
Officers who were promoted
between the dates of my
despatches of the 5th of Aug^r
1913 and the 2nd of Sept.
1914, and who cannot be

considered, in view of their
new positions, to have any
claim to ^{any increment} a consideration
of their former hold

6. It will be seen from
the great importance of providing
adequate means for the

(about 10)

16000/15
11577/1

DRAFT.

allowance, and the
conditions now existing
should be continued not
only during ^{current} existing operations
but up to the date of promotion.

I should however state
that it is possible in the
case of a newly appointed
promoted officers to give
effect to the principles which
I have had in mind, ~~that~~
to say, on the following
lines:—

- (a) Home allowance (at the
rate of £100 a year for
Subaltern and Senior and Junior
Staff surveyors and £60 a year
for least Junior Staff
Surveyors) and travelling
allowance (Rs 4 and Rs 25
a day respectively) not to
be drawn simultaneously with
the cost of water surveyors
and suitable to existing
allowance should be clearly
defined.
- (b) The scale of pay to

be worked out sheet,
with the allowances (a),
and, on a basis of two
years (reckoning two
months of full pay leave)
be as nearly equivalent
as is consistent with convenience
to the adoption of convenient
scales to the original scales
of salary (i.e. those existing
at the time of your draft of
the 27th of March 1912)
plus the consolidated
allowances proposed -
that is to say

(a) In this, however, the
amount of pay, including
allowances, in the average
year of the staff for
concurrent service, since
the 1st of January 1912, is
taken as the starting point,
although except those
cases in which the draft
is proposed

of draft of pay of 1912
will be the full amount of
there has to be provided
and will then be the same

for my approval with
such stress as you may
deem desirable. Cases in
which the duration of the
service has resulted in
a temporary reduction
of total emoluments on
promotion, for example, that
a Senior Staff Surveyor
has reached the maximum
scale of his salary before promotion,
will be considered as they
arise, but not with a
view to continuing the
privilege of drawing home
allowance while in the field.
& Some members of the Staff
have relinquished their
options for military service, but
if need, I hope be
possible to arrange for them
to be re-employed at ^{a later date} ~~the end of the war~~
if these cases
of officers' draft, if it is all right
within a year after the
end of the war, return
pending promotion. The

for which as to have
allowance which they
by order of the Secretary of
Department when they left
the Department.

Sr