172 EAST AFR. PROT. · C. O. 13728 and the second 13728 NLUY 15 APR 1 . " 要要你是 oreign Offi Claim of Smil Jost. 1914 1apl. Satisti strain & render for concernence aff. note to ferman Romboi on the subject. t previous Paper 4:43: W. Formym to Read ho Bottande This off. note, 2 think, will meet the care to have unted out " or of the claim for R 1486 , but they have put turns 2 010 who we proposed to mk and ma for with items 7, 8,9 which may be oursidered frionerebly a recept over not convict us, filletters the noneed to pref for the min chate eaching 188.17 utern 2 - 10.

? ack - concern in terms of - a send for for inf n Ai Lf. copy of this concerp " Mith 7.0. acel 10pt/14 CG. 1074/14 Las, 8/4/14 at once. 1- J.R. 21/11/14

C 0:1 28

FOREIGN OFFICE

## April 11th, 1914.

With reference to your letter No.9343 of the 24th ultimo, I am directed by Secretary Sir E.Grey to transmit to you herewith the draft of a note which it is proposed to address to the German Ambassedor, respecting the claim of Emil Tost against His Majesty's Government.

It appears to Sir E.Grey that items 2 and 10 in the claim for expenses stand on much the same footing as items 7, 8 and 9, in that, while there is at present, in the absence of further particulars, no ground for admitting liability, it is possible that fuller information might indicate that part, at any rate, of the amount claimed represents expenditure properly incurred in connection with the case, which His Majesty's Government might accordingly be disposed to repay to Mr. Tost. The draft note has been prepared, subject to Mr. Harcourt's approval, with a view to declining to accept liability

ther Secretary of State, Colonial Office.

3244/14

Sir:-

liability at the present stage for items of this nature, while expressing the readiness of His Majesty's Government to consider any further information which may be furnished in connection therewith.

Sir E.Grey would be glad to learn whether Mr. Secretary Harcourt concurs in the terms of this draft.

I am,

Sir,

Your most obedient,

humble Servant,

. W. A angles

ry No. 13244/14.

Your Excellency: -

13728

ACG: 15 APR 14

HF L.

With reference to my note of the 26th February last I have the honour to inform Your Excellency that reports by the authorities of the East Africa Protectorate on the case of Emil Tost have now been received. The particulars of the claim put forward by the German Government on behalf of Tost were contained in Herr von Kühlmann's note of the 14th June 1912. and it was suggested that Rs. 3,000 would represent fair compensation for the occupation of the land in question by the local Government and that Herr Tost was entitled to receive a further sum of Rs.1,486 in repayment of expenditure incurred by him for various purposes in connection with the land.

From a legal point of view His

Majesty's

Draft.

an Ambassador.

Malesty's Government are unable to admit. that Mr. Tost and his predecessors were in undisturbed possession of the land for more than 12 years. or that a title to" land as against the Crown can be acquired by such undisturbed possession. Nor is it admitted that Mr. Tost was in possession or occupation of the land at the time when the Government erected buildings thereon. But although His Majesty's Government cannot admit that Mr. Tost's title to the land is legally a good one, they are, and always have been, ready to pay a proper sum to him by way of compensation, as a matter of grace and favour. In explanation of the fact that the case has not been previously settled in this way, I am to point out that an offer was made to Mr. Tost in 1910, but unfortunately he was at the time about to leave the Protoctorate, and he appointed a Mr. Graham Griffiths to negotiate the terms of a settlement. That gentlemen first

put

put in a claim which could not possibly be entertained, and indeed far exceeded that now put forward by the German Government, and on the Government of the Protectorate refusing to pay the amount claimed he notified them that he could not negotiate further without reference to Mr. Tost. It was, therefore, decided to await Mr. Tost's return and this decision was communicated to the German Vice Consul in July 1910. Nothing further was heard of the matter until the receipt of Herr von Kühlmann's note of the 14th June 1912.

The Governor when the case was first brought to his notice was ready, while not admitting any legal claim, to settle the matter by the payment of a sum equal to that which Mr.Tost would have received had his title been admitted and the land acquired by compulsory purchase, plus compound interest at 6% from the 18th

December

175

December 1906, the date on which the Government began building operations on the land. The value of the land on this basis is given as Es.30 an acre or as the size of the plot is about 15 acres, approximately \$3 for the whole area, and His Majesty's Government are willing to adhere to the Governor's offer, and to pay to Mr.Tost the sum of

£3 together with interest as indicated above, or say a total sum of £5.

This sum would, in the opinion of His Majesty's Government fairly represent the Value of the land at the time when the Government took possession of it, together with compensation for the loss of the enjoy ment of the land from the same date down to the time of payment.

That this estimate of the value of the Land is hot unduly low is shown by the fact that Mr. Tost himself states that the price be paid for it was Reits. In these circumstances has indeety's Government find it difficult difficult to understand how Mr. Tost can consider himself justified in putting forward a claim for Rs.3,000 for the value of the land, in addition to Rs.1,486 for expenses.

F. O.

With regard to Mr. Tost's claim for Rs.1,486, representing his expenditure in connection with the land, the particulars of which were given in Herr von Kühlmenn's note already referred to, His Majesty's Government are unable to admit that the Protectorate Government is liable for these expenses. They are however william as an act of grace, to new stems Nos. 1 (Purchase price) and 5, (Registration) amounting together to Rs. 24 or £1.12.05 though they must point out that it is not easy to see on what grounds Mr. Tost can be held entitled to payment of the pur chase

try No.

purchase price in addition to the value of

His Majesty's Government would also be prepared to give further consideration to the claim for Rs. 400 for improvement of the road, (Item 6) on receipt of evidence as to how far the improvement of the road was made by Mr. Tost for the use of the land in question. As to items Nos.3 (Clearing and planting of 180 cocoanuts) and 4 (Seed), it is clear that these must be covered by the amount which Mr. Tost would have received if the land had been acquired by compulsory purchase. Further His Majesty's Government understand that there were no cocoanut trees or other cultivation on the land when it was taken possession of by the Government. In the case of the remaining items the information given by Mr. Tost is so scanty that His Majesty's Government are at present unable to see on what grounds it is contended

that these sums should be paid to Mr. Tost

in addition to the value of the land.

If Mr. Togt desires them to be taken into further consideration he should furnish full particulars and indicate the grounds on which he considers that these items can properly be claimed from His Majes-

ty's Government.

J. 1. 1372871914 Sar 173 DRAFT 24 27 April 1914 Sir, The U.S. g.S. I am the to acknowledge *FO*. the receipt of your letter of the 11 of apl. (ho. 18244/4) and to import you that MB 23/4/1914 request you to inform then 23 Sea Sir Edward frey Hal he concurs in the terms ythe note which it is proposed to idars to the ferman ambanader respecting the claim 2 4.00 Smil Tost against HMs. 1, 113728 -The 27. ] for a grafie y which a component our fatty