

1911

EAST AFR. PROT.

1911

GAMAR CLAIMS TO LAND IN MFTI

The copy of our report to the German Ambassador
asks if it can be inferred that no measures are being taken
by the French Govt in regard to their return of the
territory required by the French Ambassador from the
Germans, which still remains in their own hands.

27. 10. 1911.
at m.
A. J. R.

29/10

C O

1721

FOREIGN OFFICE

May 26, 1911.

333

Sir:-

With reference to your letter 11868 of the 13th ultime respecting the German claims to land in Titu, I am directed by Secretary Sir E. Grey to transmit to you herewith to be laid before Mr. Secretary Harcourt copies of correspondence with the German Ambassador on the subject.

Mr. Harcourt can be informed that no measures are being taken by the Government of India or Africa in regard to that portion of the property acquired by the brothers Denhardt from the Sultan of Titu which still remains in their own hands.

I am,

Sir,

Your most obedient,

Amable Servant,

W. Langtry

Under-Secretary of State,
Colonial Office.

C.O.
17211

GERMAN EAST AFRICA

29th March, 1921.

Your Excellency:-

The communication from His Britannic Majesty's Government of April 26th last, deals with the land claims of the German East Africa Company whereas the Imperial Germans, in their Note of March 29th last, referred to the rights of the Brothers Denhardt which ~~the~~ village have been violated.

There certainly exists a connection between both those claims, in that the German East Africa Company have become the legal successors of the Brothers Denhardt in so far as the Company have acquired an area which Fischer Denhardt obtained from the Sultan of Tulu by agreement dated April 1st, 1865. However at that time Denhardt also obtained other properties from the Sultan, especially Mangrove plantations, which he did not alienate. It is these properties which are in question at present. I have therefore the honour to

Refer

refer Your Excellency again to the considerations advanced in the Embassy Note of March 29th.

It is strongly to be recommended that in the interest of clearing up the legal case now in preparation, no decision with regard to the property in dispute should previously be taken.

I have &c.,
(Signed) P. Vetterlich.

C.O.
1724

RECORDED
FOREIGN OFFICE

APRIL 25 1911.

388/II.

Sir:-

I have the honour to inform you, in reply to your Note of the 29th ultmo on the subject of the claim claimed by the German East Africa Company in the Protectorate of Mtw., that the Governor of the East Africa Protectorate has reported by telegraph in answer to Mr. Secretary Harcourt's enquiry that no measures are being taken by the Protectorate Government in regard to the property in question.

I have the honour to be,
with high consideration,

Sir,

Your most obedient,

Humble servant,

(for the L.C.C.)
(Signed) T. A. Campbell

Mr. Williamson.

Mr. G. H. G.

~~7.2~~ 8 A.M.
17211

~~25~~ 30.

DRAFT Telegram to Worcester
forwarded ~~and~~ Your telegram No 75
Nanot

MINUTE

Mr. Reed, 24th of June, was present

Mr.

Mr. Pidgin

Mr. Dow

Mr. Cope

Mr. C. Ladd

Mr. Tracy

Mr. Munroe

States that property

referred to by them

involves ~~and~~ ⁱⁿ the portion

of ^{the} property which

is ^{also} ~~the~~ portion

not alienated to
speculators

Salman East April 3rd 1891

They mention especially

certain mangrove
plantations.

Are any measures

being taken in regard to
the unalienated

portion