

EAST AFR. PROT.

23649

23649 1000 11 11

foreign

Date.

CUSTON'S ORDINANCENO.11 OF 1910.

8th July

States what is considered the best course to adopt with regard to the objections raised by the French and German Ambassadors Asks if abrogation of provision recost of feeding a guard placed on a ship and line which is proposed to adopt generally are agreed to.

st previous Paper.

To the former with regard of the former with regard of the former for the former former for the former former for the former former former former for the former fo

angles to the formal to the court of the cou 19/1 Sie 54 of the at reproduce without substantial affection see so the G Coast and took of culturing the provinces to pood but I within that the drawnoh existing on the decree of tradig waylets is applicable to 9 A. I tage beton out & that of We Moice 2 Pycul as Inspired 25 R 20/1 B

20

In any further communicate on this subject, please quote No. 25448/11.

The Under-Secretary of State. Foreign Office, London FOREIGN OFFICE

July 18, 1911.

23049

Sir:-

With reference to your letter No. 27340/1910 of the 10th. September last respecting the East Africa Protectorate Customs Ordinance No. 14 of 1910, I am directed by Secretary Sir E. Grey to state for the information of Mr. Secretary Harcourt that he has had the provisions of this Ordinance under his careful consideration, in consultation with the Board of Trade and the Board of Customs.

adopt with regard to the objections raised by the

Prench and German Ambassadors will be to take up the

position that the provisions to which their Governments object do not appear to go beyond the reasonable

precautions which must be taken in an uncivilized

country to prevent smuggling, or to divarge materially

from those sufficed in other British African Protec-

Under Secretary of State, Colonial Office. Protectorates, in the United Kingdom, and even in France itself. He proposes to add that if there are any particular provisions which the French Government consider to press unduly upon French ships, and to fetter the right of trade enjoyed by France under her Treaty to an unreasonable extent, His Majesty's Gov, ernment, will be happy to consider what modifications can be introduced to meet the French point of view. Sir E. Grey further considers that it would be advisable spontaneously to offer to abrogate the provision that the cost of feeding a guard placed on board a ship by the Customs should fall on the ship. He apprehends that this cannot be a very important item, while, as far as can at present be ascertained, its retention would be difficult, if not impossible, to defend on the analogy of Customs Regulations in force elsewhere.

I am to enquire whether Mr. Harcourt agrees to the abromation of this provision, and also generally to the line which it is proposed to adopt.

I am, Sir,

Your mest obedient, humble Servant,

Wangley

I have laid hope In USAS & hentery bureaut 80 your hate to escare/ of two st of help 158 9/7 in the subject of the h deller Butions much by he drived and from autorite cart fine to East history adve 1 4 4 1810, and 1 14 h dank he Bot and A to wh

Surtany of he E. Any, that I. appear to the aboyetion of the provision in section 54 of the advance. has he can't of feeding a pract splaced a board a ship 4 him Customs shows hall in the ship, and to to come proceed and the to fry proper to