

1911



EAST AFR. PROT.

27365

Rec'd
Rec'd 21/11/11

27365

Date.

APPLICATION TO EAST

States conditions on which he desires that his application will be granted.

Mr. Butler

To take & teach's two posts in addition to his
 I fear that Mr. Teach has
 misunderstood us in our first letter
²⁷³⁶³
 to him as to how his leasehold was
 only granted in the case of his
 farm not exceeding 820 acres, and
 we have never applied for his portion
 of land, and say that he can add to

granted a leave not granted

(2). I feel a little doubtful about his
son-in-law's permission to gather wild
grasses in not granted for man has a right
at a time, it is thought that his son-in-
law might be allowed to gather him a small
lot and have 5000 acres, but I don't
think it, as it is not deemed to encourage
his wild action in that way
the point that the boy's father has
been shown as despatched, but he is not
granted to become more the grant of man
have 5000 acres which he has gathered
of gathering of gathering will be well,
but that he will make further inquiry
in the point, if I have done it.

~~The above plan is correct.~~

As to the last sentence "say that he left
his no objection to the execution to
the agreement of a stipulation that
the enclosure may be divided gradually
the horses and being apportioned to different
horses under the supervision of a given person
last year, but say that the details
of such a stipulation would be given
X states briefly with other persons

W. Rock Riddle

I agree.

Barb.

Aug. 25

11. 25th

A. Gould 25/8

U. 28. 8

~~Gold~~
Each

34
"SPRINGFIELD",

Regd. No. 27355
Post 21 AUG 11

CANONBURY PARK SOUTH,

LONDON, N.

19th August 1911.

The Under Secretary of State,
Colonial Office,
Downing Street,
S.W.

965

Sir,

YOUR REFERENCE NO. 24640/1911.

I am in receipt of your letter of the 16th inst. relative to my application for a grant of land in the East Africa Protectorate.

Reviewing the whole of the correspondence, I assume:

1. That there is no objection to my being granted a grant of 6,000 acres for plantation and other purposes in the area for which I applied.

2. That there is no objection to my being granted the right to gather wild rubber over a further area; but that such permission is not to extend for more than a year at a time.

3. That in any event it is not possible to make grants as set out in clauses 1 and 2 above until the question of land titles in the area in question has been cleared up by the Coast Land Settlement Court.

I also assume that the concession will be made on the condition that a given portion of the area shall be developed annually, so as to allow my experts to do the work as economically and efficiently as possible.

I am,

Sir,

Yours faithfully,

Chas. Leach

L
27365 Oct

135

1 Sept.

DRAFT.

C. Beach has

MINUTE.

Mr. ~~MS~~ 29/8

Mr. Bates 30

Mr. Fiddes Read 30

Mr. Just.

Sir C. Lucas.

Lord Lucas.

Mr. Harcourt.

Copy of con. letter dth

27365 & 293640

also go to file info at.

(35263)

in the basement
about the sample of your
letter of the 18th of August
relative to your application
for a grant of land in
the East.

2 - Taking the trouble
which you have been added

as I am to James the

heat in his letter from

his draft of the 1st of

Dec last it was stated

that two parcels of land

Augt 1901
1 Sept.

SAC

in Jm

I am directed by

to forward account to

*ask the receipt of your
account of the cost of labour*

*relative to your application
for a grant of land in
two lots.*

2 Taking the form

which you desire in order

as I am to receive you

copy of con. with D.L.

left out in his letter from

27365 & 24640

his draft of the 26th of

Augt to you and Mr. H.

One had it was stated

that the friends of land

(35263)

at the disposal of

part of the land in my

possession is the case of

homestead farms but

including 820 acres

would
it have had to furnish

enough to make you

sufficient land of

5000 acres, but only

to furnish you a lease

of that area on the conditions

prescribed by the Govt.

As the Tenant

fails to pay the former's

expenses as his subject

of your application

that he is not imposed

of more than 5000 acres

which in his opinion

of planting or of gathering

wild rubber but he

is anxious to know

to make his lease sufficient

of the Govt.

for that part of the

desire it, as to the

advisability of granting you the

right to collect

wild rubber over a

fixed area under

an annual license

as you are permitted

in assuming that

in any event it would

not be lawful to take

any part of land

until the question of

land titles in the district

16

at the disposal of the
govt of India in my
hands in the case of
homestead farms not
exceeding 320 acres.
It would not be difficult
to secure from
the Forest Dept of
5000 acres, but only
for you to have
of that area on the conditions
prescribed by the Govt.
At his present
status from the previous
application on his subject
of your application
that he is not expand-

to whom ever his grant
of more than 5000 acres
whether for the purpose
of planting or of gathering
wild rubber but he
is known in Pahar
to make better arrangements
of land etc
so let him if you
desire it, as to the
advisability of granting you the
(3) you are correct
in assuming that
in any event it will
not be possible to take
any part of land
until the question of
land titles in the districts