

EAST AFR. PROT.

No. 30500

30509

26 JUN 07

Individual

House B. Comm (RN)

1907

An guest

Business Paper

1907

(Subject)

1. Salary for period 17 Oct 1906 to 20 Feb 1907.
2. Bonus money when invalidated, in 1904.

My date for recomms as to rate of, and arrears
 by receipt form

2. Asks that the amount (£87.7) paid by him
 may be repaid to him in the circumstances

Int. Recd.

(Minutes)

As to (2), please see on W 26/19/05. It was
 originally proposed to give 6 months but no
 leave when Comm. W. was invalidated, but
 on that paper it was decided to treat him
 under the provisions of agreement, as laid
 down in the Railway leave rules by which
 he got 6 months of leave out of 6 months.
 Comm. W. suggests that he should have
 special comm on this point on the
 ground that he need not have been
 invalidated at all. I attach extracts
 from the medical reports. The only divergence
 of opinion is as to the word "incapable"
 which is suggested by the medical
 board (Comm. W. has not gone into the
 matter), and it is quite possible that
 Comm. W. would be advised to have
 medical certificate he should be advised to have

coming home had done his job & had probably helped to get his eye.
Taking the eye tender and the pain & health together I think there can be no doubt that he was rightly awarded 4% that came then in no manner to interfere with the application of the law under which his agreement was made.

As to 2/3, the remuneration for the work done in this case is entirely apart from his agreement, and the rate at which he was to be paid was and at the discretion of the S. G. The period could not be regarded as coming under his agreement & even if the full rate of pay had been continued and I do not think therefore that any technical difficulty need be anticipated which would be avoided by giving him full pay.

The rate chosen was 2/3 of the full rate of £720 a year, which is the same thing as 2/3 of the normal full rate of £800 a year less 10% in respect of pension. ~~Probably~~ If we had adopted a £540 as the rate, there might have been reason for requiring a deduction of 10%, but ~~had~~ the provisions used we can safely state that the 10% deduction has already been made implicitly. In any case it is most improbable that any question will be raised and I see no reason to alter the words in that account.

We might mention it to him, saying that we will consult the Gov. as to 2/3 of the recommended pay with a deduction of 10%.

... of the balance available in respect of the transferred stores & whether that balance is still available, and in sending copy of it subject to Gov. with ref. to despatch of 17/5/72 for their points below, enclosing the extract from the Whitehouse letter to you which I have marked.

W. C. B.
28/8

S. G. for ... let us see if ...
above
K. J. R.
28/8

Dr. Proffat to Dr. Currie, 28 April 1905

664

There is nothing so far as I can see the matter with Blackhouse's eye beyond the fact that it is not a result of the inflammation he had two months.

There is certainly nothing which can be done by operation, the kind of work he is engaged in is not conducive to a speedy and complete recovery and I should certainly recommend his going home. What his eye wants is rest and not only that but a general improvement in his health, which he will only get by getting out of the country.

Medical Record 16 May 1905

Extensive amount of pus in the lower part of the base of left eye (conjunctiva, catarrh), floating bodies, and signs of old vitreous.

There is a history of the conjunctivitis of some standing (now removed from) and of frequent attacks of malaria.

The Board recommends that he be sent to England for change and special treatment.

Dr. Higgins 22 June 1905

He is suffering from a threatened cataract in the left eye, probably due to haemorrhage which is rapidly clearing up and I do not anticipate any permanent damage to eye.

11 Aug 1900

Dear Mr. ...

Don't be afraid - you will be paid, may be a quarter of what ...

... money of course ... expected at the end ...

... I am ... some ...

... from the way I am ...

... will ...

... about ...

R

~~I before~~

30509

26 UG 07

I beg to acknowledge receipt of your letter 12572
of the 17 June 1907 stating that the Comptroller
has decided to pay me \$4 salary for the period
17 Oct 1906 to 20 Feb 1907.

Under the agreement I was working on my pay was
\$500 per annum. I was also entitled to 10 per cent
of the amount of my pay in addition. The agreement
was not out. The arrangement for my pay after
I had no longer was to be a new one from which
I thought I should not again be deducted
by the Treasury. I
I have already drawn my pension, making the
same deductions for the first quarter of 1906. I
am, rest of 1907, that 1906 had been deducted
from my salary.

I therefore submit that I am entitled to receive
the same amount of my salary. I am entitled
to 10 per cent deduction. I have not
any of my pay for the same. I have the
no possible consideration so that my
I should be the same as I should be
I have the honor to request you that the
attention may be drawn to the
action of my part of my passage from
June to August 1907. I have in 1907
I would be glad to hear from you
I am, Sir, your obedient servant

In my private conversation stated that I had not yet returned

returned to work as quickly as possible

and I had to pay the rest of my salary without any further explanation.

It seems rather hard that I should have to pay \$87.71 for not having explained

therefore should state under these circumstances the matter of my pay will be pleased to pay the balance of my salary by check and to return the amount of my salary money as required. I am sure to be

Yours truly

Wm. H. ...

Secretary of State

Washington, D.C.

Received of the Secretary of State

the sum of \$87.71

for my salary

Received of the Secretary of State

the sum of \$87.71

for my salary

As my original correspondent stated that I had not yet returned

I returned to Paris as speedily as possible my passage was very comfortable but I had not such a pleasant one as I had expected that I had during the rest of my journey from my salary without any further explanation

It seems rather hard that I should have to pay 87.7.11 for not having returned

I therefore find that with these circumstances the matter is not so clear as it seems to be the possession of the Secretary of State and the amount of postage money is subject to the new regulations

Yours truly
J. B. [Signature]

Recd. 2/29/49 for 17/11

Copy of postage details given
2/29/49

List of passages charged against C. B. Williams
 as settled by Chief Act. Accounts Book
 No E 3492. $\frac{10}{19}$ August 1905.

P.C.O.
 30509

List of passage furnished to England by
 Messrs. The Steamship Co. Ltd. No 35 of
 May 1905. £ 69.4.0

2-46-07
 11-58-2
 44. 4. 4

List of passage from England to
 Mombasa

Railway fare London to Trieste
 via Vienna. returned
 From Trieste to Mombasa £ 37.10.0
 Less 10% rebate 3.15.0

} 10.9.4
 33.15.0

 43.14.4

List of passage returned Vienna
 & Mombasa paid by C. B. Williams

} 1.0.9.
 £ 47.7.11

True Copy
 H. K. Williams
 C. B. Williams
 1st 1905

30809

620

31 August 1907

DRAFT

Commander B. Whitehouse R.N.

MINUTE.

Mr. Northey 29/8

x Mr. Sand 30

Mr. Dent

Mr. Astor

Mr. Cox

Mr. Lucas

Sir P. Howard

Mr. Churchill

The Earl of Elgin

I am directed by the
Earl of Elgin to acknowledge the
receipt of your letter of the
1st of August, on the subject
of the incident of the visit of
your passage to between
Humber and England in
1905 and the your remem-
berance in respect of the your
work in this country between
October 1906 and March

Copy for Mr. Sand

Copy for

He provided what that
you have advised should
be without any promise
accordingly of £1000
and for the rest of the
rest of June 1900 that
should not be payable
any salary to be
given for the period of your
leave.

I have attention of the staff
was subsequently drawn to
the fact that the leave
rules for the Egyptian
Railway, which applied to
you under the terms of your
agreement, did not provide
for the payment of family
allowance - the case of officers
draws a higher salary than
£35 a month but that on
the other hand, they provided
for the payment of half
salary during leave periods
by medical certificate. The
leave money of the Assistant
Secretary of the staff of the

(1900/1/1)

671
saw no objection, if
might be possible half pay
during your leave, subject
to the deduction of the
cost of the journey, which
had been provided for you.
4. Lord Elgin has carefully
considered the medical
reports which were received
at the time, and he was
sorely to believe that
the decision as to your
return to England was not
justified by your eye
trouble and the general
state of your general health
before you were involved
in it. It is your admission
that your return to this
country had benefited
your health and that
probably helped to clear
your eye. His own
late life, in fact, has
been a valuable to you.

any departure from the
 position of the rules which
 you yourself made
 applicable to your case.
 As to your letter to the question
 of your remuneration for
 your work in this country, I
 am to point out that the
 case was not contemplated
 save your agreement and
 that, therefore, that point
 in question could not be
 regarded as an extension of
 Government's duty of
 previous. The full rate of salary had
 been continued to you. The
 rate of salary for this
 special employment was
 entirely within the discretion
 of the S. G. and in fixing
 that the further of the
 full rate of £4750 per
 annum his lordship
 5. That he took into account
 the deduction of 10% per cent
 in respect of personal expenses.

DRAFT

MINUTE

- Mr.
- Mr.
- Mr. Jull
- Mr. Andrews
- Mr. Cox
- Mr. Innes
- Sir P. H. H. H.
- Mr. Chaville
- The Earl of Elgin

Since the rate of £750
 6 years was fixed the
 usual rate would at
 the date of the
 be out from the
 usual salary of £800
 a year less 10%
 therefore, cannot see as
 reason to ^{anticipate} ~~expect~~ that
 any question as to
 further deduction, such
 as might have been made
 had you been for your
 work in England been
 fixed at a definite
 rate, say of £540
 a year.
 I am accordingly to
 return the pay receipt
 which accompanied your
 letter. Lord Elgin will
 consult the young man.

to which is proposed
that any of additional
minutes should
be given by you, but
I will not put
any more to you
to mention what balance
of any is available
in respect of the stores
transferred from the
Lake Survey and
whether that balance
is still available.

W. 21/10
30509

673

30
July 31 August 1917

DRAFT.

Draft of your order no. 4180
for
W.D. Hayes, Ladbroke (175)

I have in honor
to you with reference to my
draft no. 337 of the 17th
of June last, the accompanying
copy of receipt to
Messrs. D. Whitthorn & Co.
on the subject to certain
matters concerning out of
business employment
in the survey of Lake
Victoria

MINUTE.

- Mr. Woodley 29/8
- X Mr. Read 30
- Mr. Just.
- Mr. Anstabus.
- Mr. Cox.
- Mr. Lucas.
- Sir F. Hopwood.
- Mr. Churchill.
- The Earl of Elgin.

For ~~me~~

~~James Whitthorn~~
(James Whitthorn)
d2
(Coffhouse)

Refer to your letter
of the 10/8/17

frank are
available in
draft

as it regards the
of his statement for
the work which has been
of the same has return to
England, but all by his
the papers which are
in the office
that any additional

payable by way
of, and in this
connection there is
regard that you will
find a list of
of the stores referred
to by the
above mentioned, namely,
as to whether the value
of the stores transferred
from the late
during the course of the
work or in its completion
should not properly be
credited to the funds of the
Army. It is also an
object for a private
letter on the subject which
has been received from
Commander [Name] above
[Name] of [Name] [Name]
that the value of these
stores should be [Name]

should be [Name] [Name]
and the [Name] [Name]
available [Name] 67