

this is anomalous, a separate provision of
this kind being quite irregular.

I inform Treasury as to Jackson's service
as above and say with refer to final
para of their letter that the too was
included in the list in that way by
inadvertence & that the only has been
instructed to prevent a recurrence of
the phenomenon - also instruct him.

ACCP:js

* We don't know that it was.

W. Reed

Tell the Treasury what Mr Jackson's service
& pay have been, & say with regard to the
last par that we are making enquiry of
the O.A. as to the reason for this
departure from the recognised manner of
showing the cost of promotion, & ask the
O.A. to explain how the cost was
worked out in
this instance - & generally as
in the last par of my letter.

W. Reed

May 26

at ...

...

27/1

this kind being quite irregular.
before Treasury as to Jackson's services
as above and say with refer to final
para of their letter that the L.A.O. was
included in the list in that way by
inadvertence & that the O.A.G. has been
instructed to prevent a recurrence of
the phenomenon - also instruct him.
A.C.P. as follows

* We don't know that it was.

Tell the Treasury what Mr Jackson's service
& pay have been, & say with regard to the
last para that we are making enquiry of
the O.A.G. as to the reason for this
departure from the recognised manner of
showing the cost of promotion, & ask to
O.A.G. explain how the ... arrived at in
this instance - generally as
in the last para of my letter.

Star
May 26
27/11

W. Blackwood.

We are trying to discover the actual service
 & rates of pay of one, W. B. Jackson,
 was demarcator of Forests in the East.
 I find that he was in the Uganda
 Railway Stores Dept. from Dec 1898
 to Nov. 1902 and was at Nairobi
 from Jan 1903 to May 1903.
 After that we can trace his service
 all right.

If you have any means of
 saying what pay he received in his
 app't. prior to June 1903,
 I should be very much obliged
 by your letting me know as
 soon as you are able.

A. C. Parkinson 27/8
 I don't know if I am right in
 addressing this to you, but if it does
 not come within ~~the purview~~ your province
 would you kindly help it on to the post
 office? A.C.P.

16163

reply to this Letter the following
Number should be quoted.

1 MAY 1909

TREASURY CHAMBERS

Re LC
09

13th May 1909.

Sir,

I have laid before the Lords Commissioners of His Majesty's Treasury Mr. Anstoube's letter of the 29th ultimo (12360/1909), respecting the grant of an increase of salary of £20 to Mr. W.F. Jackson Demarcator of Forests in the Forestry Department of the East Africa Protectorate.

Before deciding upon this proposal My Lords would be glad if the Earl of Brewé would cause them to be informed of the length of Mr. Jackson's service, and what increases if any he has received in salary during that service. It is assumed from the Estimates that this officer is not on an incremental scale of salary.

I am to observe with regard to the item £40 shown under the Forestry Head for "Promotions" that in the case of this and all other Heads of the Estimates the effect of promotions or increases of salary should be shown in the actual figures for salaries to be drawn during the year and not by a separate provision.

I am,

Sir,

Your obedient Servant,

Under Secretary of State,
Colonial Office.

show the receipts of pay which
he received during this
period. ~~Mr Jackson~~^{He}

was stationed at Nairobi
from Jan. 1903 to the
of 70 five ~~years~~^{months, and}

receiving an appointment

in June of that year
as Asst. Conservator
of Forests at a salary
of £250 per annum.

He continued to hold
this ~~appointment~~^{post} ~~both~~
under both the Foreign

& Colonial office without
any alteration in salary,
his appointment being
confirmed in Mar. 1911.

3. From this receipt of Mr.
Jackson's service, it will be seen
that he has received no
increase in his salary for
many years. 2.3

3. The ~~assumption~~^{understanding} in the
Heather letter & that Mr.
Jackson is not on an
incremental scale of salary
is correct: and from
the ~~statement~~^{record} of his service
~~in the last para~~: it
will be seen that he
has been drawing the same
^{rate of} salary since 1903, and
~~though it was only by~~
misight in the part
of the Conservator of Forests
that he was not recommended
for a £20 increase in
the 1911

the fact that in the

Estimate for 1909-10

a sum of £40

appears under the

Foresty Head for

"Promotions" ^{have pointed out} - that

under in the case of this

all other Heads of

the Estimate the

effect of promotions

or increases of salary

shd be shown in

the actual figures for

salaries to be drawn

during the year &

not by a separate

provision

2. I have to request that
you will ^{be so good as} ~~cause~~ ^{an} explanation
to be ^{no departure from the} ~~provided~~ ^{as}
is arrived at in the
particular instance.

Yours
Havery