

263  
DESPATCH

EA37 AT  
NO. 33239

33239  
18-SEP 05

463  
1905  
23001

(Subject)

Fee paid for Game Licences  
by Boundary Commission

Explains circles in wh. a refund of -  
was refused.

(Minutes)

Mr. Ellis

The minutes on the left of Ord. 11 of 1904  
(F.O. paper 174 (1904)) do not give any reason  
for the change from a licence available  
for 12 mos. to a licence expiring on a certain  
date (31st March) whenever issued.

The latter practice is the regular one in  
this country in the case of licences of this  
character - although in the case of Carriage  
Licences taken out between 1st Oct. & 31st Dec.  
and is no doubt the only convenient practice.  
The large number of licences is a quarter.

It is suggested that we must, in order  
to avoid all the trouble of the present  
arrangement, that the licence should  
be issued for a period of 12 months and  
accepted in the case of the renewal of the

Copy to Mr. 23 Sept 70  
And a 1 Oct 70  
3515R

3515R

Secretary Commission

W. B. D.  
no 19

McCrack  
Latom

C. O.  
33259 364

Commissioner's Office  
Mombasa.

August 16th 1905.

EAST AFRICA PROTECTORATE.  
No. 463

Sir,

I have had the honour to receive your despatch No. 319 of July 21st on the subject of the fees paid for game licences by the Anglo-German Boundary Commission, and I note with some surprise that Lieutenant Colonel Smith wrote to the Marquess of Lansdowne on this subject after first applying to go through Mr. Ainsworth for a refund, and being refused. The ordinance to which Lieutenant Colonel Smith refers was promulgated in the Official Gazette of August 15th 1904 and all sportsmen, settlers and officers in the Protectorate who held game licences suffered by their licences expiring on March 31st instead of at the termination of one year after the date of issue. It would be obviously unfair to make an exception in the case of the officers on the Boundary Commission

especially

H. H. Principal Secretary of State  
for the Colonies,  
Downing Street,  
LONDON.

especially as the fees were paid from Commission funds.  
The officers in question have also been given various  
privileges enjoyed by nobody else in the Protectorate,  
such as shooting in the game reserve and they have shot  
over the best districts in East Africa.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient,

humble servant,

D. Stewart

Sept 25

Dear Mr Ellis

Would it not be better if  
the communication suggested in  
this might come from you?

We have considered that  
Smith passed into your hands  
on the transfer of the P.A. Protection  
and you have been doing all  
the correspondence with him  
lately. Could he not also  
be

Mr Justice, 15th  
Mombasa St.

SIR D. STEWART.

No. 468

Reference T.O. Despatch No. 519 of  
July 21st.

Enclosure nil.

Received

by bag

Secondary Commission

Revenue charges

Should be written to  
make explanation

... his communication

to ...

All this ...

22 September 1905

DRAFT.

611205  
70

MINUTE.

- Mr. Boscawen 20/9
- Mr. Ellis 20/9
- Mr. Antrobus
- Mr. Cox
- Mr. Lucas
- Mr. Graham
- Sir M. O'Malley
- The Duke of Marlborough
- Mr. Lytton

Comm 33239

70 35159

Comm 4536

Copy

Dear Sir,

In further reply to your letter of the 6<sup>th</sup> of July last, I am directed by Mr. Secy. of the Hon. to transmit to you, to be laid before the Comtee. of Landowners, the accompanying copy of a despatch which has been received from the Comm. of the E. of Port. on the subject of the application made by Lieut. Col. G. S. Smith, R.E., for the refund of a part of the fees paid for Game Licences for members of the Anglo-German Boundary

463 (33239) 6 Oct

See F.O. letter enclosed  
 Per added in ready  
 as well as 3 dft.  
 to be kept of six  
 in substitution for  
 letter's name date  
 20/9/05