

202

DOMESTIC.

EAST AFR PROT
UGANDA

No. 43131

1200
12 NOV 1.

Individual.

(Subject.)

1906

Nov 5

Previous Paper.

Cablegram charges to + from Mombasa
 Notes as to dispute with the
 Eastern Tel. Co. & -

W. Read.

(Minutes.)

When Mr. Gorby came on board he offered
 to see the committee on the new postal rates,
 which, owing to his touring arrangements, he
 had not seen a Sat Africe. Upon him a
 City of the Lakes, asked him to give us his
 views before anything was finally decided.
 As to the postal rates he has no criticisms,
 as to stamp, he will write.
 As to the post rates, he wishes to review the
 present system, but first of all he is
 anxious that the final question of the
 negotiations and apparently irregular
 financial charge of £³ a message between
 Aden and Mombasa should be
 settled. He has, for some time, been
 trying to get the E.T.C. to agree to
 the post being a portion of the trunk
 charge or a sum in compensation for
 a part of the terminal charge.

The company say they cannot do this as
 they are bound by law. The law
 says up to the last

and you will see that they have
already done
Rob consciousness
of which
is in my opinion a state which
will not be able to do so well
before, if ever, can we get
there's position, it's probably equal to
those conditions.

As little of that, the nomination of
tariffs as possible to refer only to the tariffs
to the point it does not present any
harmful element to the local port.
what local circumstances may make
desirable provided that the government
and the staff to take place.

The other argument of the G.P.U. is based on
the small revenue they get from the Brownfield
tariff traffic. With regard to this, it was
stated that the size of the port is going to
be first a reasonable way, which
was said to be about the volume of
traffic. At the same time, it is probable
that the quantity demand for 10 million per
year would exceed the 6th day of any
new or existing by) increase
in the port works will take up the matter
officially. There seem to be grounds for doing
so, and we might in the first instance
consult the G.P.U.

Perhaps Mr. Johnson would advise

b.c.s.

3/12/31

Mr. Johnson

With your advice
the following has just arrived

continues to, I think, too much
to be paid
for a nominal charge
Company to the Govt. that we pay by the
Company should not pay $\frac{1}{3}$ of that amount,
as they do no Inquiries. (See 43702
and 21400 on 3/1/31.) If you will tell
me his address and when he is
available, Mr. Hebberton to call
and discuss this and I think I can
get the price on the above basis.

B.W.D.

7/12/31

idrisis

St Barnabas Hotel, Westminster
This is all having before 31st Mar
If you are let Mr. Johnson
try to settle the matter on this
basis. 7/12/31

I agree.

at once.

H. J. R.

7/12/31

See on 6/9/31

NOTES IN RE DISPUTE WITH THE EASTERN TELEGRAPH

COMPANY CONCERNING CHARGES FOR CABLEGRAMS TO AND
FROM MOMBASA.

1. The Company's Cable Station is at Kilindini some 3 miles from the town of Mombasa, and cablegrams are telegraphed from the Cable Station to the Mombasa Post Office, for delivery by Post Office Messenger. Similarly Cablegrams are accepted at the Post Office and telegraphed to the Cable Station for transmission abroad.

2. These services by the East African Post Office are remunerated in the customary manner. In the ordinary way a portion of the notified international charge (which is 2/- per word for European Cablegrams) would be allocated to the Post Office, but in the case of the Mombasa traffic the Post Office has to obtain its remuneration by levying a supplementary charge of 6d per message upon the senders and receivers of cablegrams. - The

"for South Africa and East Coast places shall
"be assimilated and it will at once be seen
"that any reduction for Mombasa would compel
"us to reduce our tariffs for the before
"mentioned places, with the result that
"even on a small reduction the loss of
"revenue on the heavy traffic would be
"considerable.

ARGUMENT.

S. - The Company's contention as outlined

by the quotation given above may be taken to

mean that its charges for Mombasa cablegrams are (and
must be) identical with those which it levies on
cablegrams for and from South Africa and Mauritius.

My information (derived from St. Martins - le -

Grand) is that this is not the case. I under-

stand that the Company actually receives a

higher rate for the Mombasa cablegrams than for
traffic with the Cape Colony. The charges to

the public in England are identical (2/- per

word in each case) but whilst the Com-

the Post Office of the Cape Colony a portion

of the total charges collected (at twenty 10

centimes per word) for its services in the land

transmission and delivery (or collection) of the cablegrams it has not conceded a similar credit to the Post Office of East Africa.

6. - In Mauritius too, I understand that delivery of the cablegrams in the interior of the island is undertaken by the colonial Post Office which receives a payment from the Company for this service.

7. - In the case of Port Louis, and Zanzibar it is understood that the Company has to meet the charges of delivery of cablegrams (this being included in the charge of 2/6 per word) whilst at Mombasa the delivery by the Protectorate Post Office results in the collection from the public of a charge of 6d supplementary to the fees notified internationally. It is submitted that this does not give that uniformity of rates which was provided for in the Agreements mentioned in the extract from the Company's letter quoted in paragraph 4. above.

209

The Eastern & South African Telegraph Company Limited.

MOMBASA STATION,

22nd November 1905

The Postmaster General

Mombasa.

Sir,

I have the honor to enclose, for your information,

copy I have received from London in reply

to your letter of 20th terminals

gave &c.,

Yours very truly,
J.S.L. J.N. DAVIS

Owing.

209

The Eastern & South African Telegraph Company Limited.

MOMBASA STATION,

22nd November 1905

The Postmaster General

Mombasa.

Sir,

I have the honour to enclose by your information, a letter I have received from London reply to your letter re Mombasa terminals.

I have &c.,

(SD)

This company is bound by an Agreement dated the 10th July 1895, made with the Imperial Government which has the effect of making the tariffs between Great Britain and Zanzibar, Mombasa, Seychelles, Mauritius, Mozambique and Delagoa Bay level, which principle is also confirmed in an Agreement between the Government of Mauritius and the Company dated 28th February 1902.

It has also been agreed that the tariffs for South Africa and East Coast places shall be assimilated and it will at once be seen that any reduction for Mombasa would compel us to reduce our tariffs for the before mentioned places, with the result that even on a small reduction the loss of revenue on the heavy traffic would be considerable.

The gross traffic of the Eastern & South African Company is less this year than last, and to reduce the total of our through tariffs with the traffic decreasing would be a very serious matter.

The actual net receipts of the Company on Mombasa traffic for the year 1904 amounted to £5,782 and in view of this comparatively small return, we think the remarks of the Postmaster General regarding the expenses of working and maintenance of staff, etc., apply equally to ourselves.

Yours &c.

(SD) W. J. Barberine

Traffic Accountant.