

1911

EAST AFR. PROT.

C O
33677

33677

18 OCT 11

Secretary

Date.

Expire on Street lighting, Nairobi

17 Oct

previous Paper

28647

If no contribution towards expenses of municipality, now included in Prot. Estimates, there would no longer appear to be a reason for having repayment of the advance. Suggests that, if municipality cannot refund in one payment reimbursement (to be made by annual instalments with interest at 3 1/2%). Inquires amount of recoverable advance.

Mr. Stephenson

This clears up the question of accounting. If you agree, we will send the Col a copy of this letter, with reference to our despatch N° 473 of the 29th of August on D.C.A., saying that he will see from it what procedure should be followed, asking for his observations on para. 3 for the info. asked for in para. 4

Handwritten notes in left margin: "Handwritten notes" and "10332.12"

StarB

Mr. Butler

Oct. 19.

Laprae

StarB

Oct. 23

Subsequent Paper

Handwritten notes at bottom left: "10332.12" and other scribbles

at once.

In the reply to this Letter the following Number should be quoted.

C O
33677

427

TREASURY CHAMBERS.

17th October 1911.

16489

11

Sir,

I have laid before the Lords Commissioners of His Majesty's Treasury Sir H. Just's letter of the 29th August last (28411), and the accompanying copy of correspondence relative to the proper mode of shewing in the accounts of the East Africa Protectorate certain expenditure on the lighting of the streets of Nairobi.

In reply, I am to request you to inform Mr. Secretary Harcourt that it was Their Lordships' intention that this expenditure should be included in that of the Protectorate in the usual way, and that the Government should be responsible for obtaining a refund of the amount from the Municipality as soon as the latter is self-supporting, the amount refunded being credited to Revenue as a reimbursement.

I am to observe that if, as would seem to be the case, no contribution towards the expenses of the Municipality is now included in the Protectorate's estimates, there would no longer appear to be a reason for waiving the repayment of the advance; and My Lords would suggest that if the resources of the Municipality are insufficient to admit of a refund in one payment, reimbursement should henceforward be made by annual instalments, interest being payable on the amount outstanding at 3½%.

In

Under Secretary of State,
Colonial Office.

In conclusion I am to enquire the amount of the recoverable advance in question.

I am,

Sir,

Your obedient Servant,

J. D. Heath

EAP

27

26 Oct 1911

~~10332~~
10332

W. J.

DRAFT

EAP
no. 632

Genl Sir E. Chironard

MINUTE.

- Mr. Keating 25/10
- Mr. Butler 25 f-3.
- Mr. Fiddes.
- Sir H. Just.
- Sir J. Anderson.
- Lord Lucas.
- Mr. Harcourt.

(28011)

Sir,
 With ref^e to ^{your despatch No. 2440 of the 5th of August and my despatch No. 473 of the 29th of Aug}, I have the honour to transmit to you the accompanying copy of a plan of the Treas, from which you will see what procedure should be followed in accounting for the certain expend^{re} on the lighting of the streets of Nairobi.

2. I shall be glad to receive your observations on the suggestion made in para 3 of the Treasury letter & shall be pleased that you will supply the info asked for in para 4 of that letter.

Treas 17 Oct.