

EAST AFR. PROT

43540



43540

1913

Form for Halfield 307

UNEXPENDED BALANCES 1912-13

1913

11th November

Forwarded to Mr. Bowring, ...
Hopes error in measure will be ...
the total of the £2,000 ...
balances ...

Last previous Paper.
30/6/59

Importance
Mr. G. P. ...
At last we have an explanation
The Prot wish to carry over the
£17,600 saved in 1912-13
& to draw the rest of the
£2,900 ... of £2,525 ... from
balances.

Must not be over
1000 of ...
Captains ...

As regards para. 12 of Mr.
Bourne's memorandum ...
call attention to the fact that
in Sep 26 & 27/13 we reported
to ... the ...
... for 1913-14 by £2,750

...to be...
...but it is not
...to be too early going
...at present at first, in the
...of financial restrictions
...there is a sum of £15,238
...on the 1915-14 Est. for
...I must also reference
...£22,900 and £67862,
...it will be preferable not to
...in the surplus balance
...but to report upon the
...ordinary procedure - i.e. to
...with out
...the total with
...for the year.

I doubt whether we need
...at all. I am writing
...of 14 Sept.
...of 2 Oct 1914

Telegraph
Governor Nairobi
7 20 November 1914
10/11/14

estimated £22,900 approved
is proposed except that
£15,238 must be met from
savings on Est. 1915-14 - 407.

② confirm by dip. say
that H.P. reports that he
is unable to agree to the
exp. of £22,900 being
met entirely from the
unexp. allocated balance
as proposed.

③ I am forwarding for inf.
the copy of Gof 30479/13 -
tel. of 2 Oct on Gof 32550/14
Gof 30480 and Gof 49520
I the tel. proposed above.

(See also further minutes in
Gof 32330) accl

20/11/14
I have read all points - so far as the
estimated £15,238 is concerned the
provisions are ordinary and followed
...and except to act with
the provisions...
...this course that the...

Have read
...
accl
11/7/14

11/7/14

...account of ...
...proceedure ...
...that they did not
...the ...
...counted

Case 31.12.13

H. J. R.
3/12/13

~~...~~
~~...~~
~~...~~

GOVERNMENT HOUSE,
Nairobi, Mombasa,
BRITISH EAST AFRICA.

43-40

EAST AFRICA PROTECTORATE.

19 2013; November 20th 1918.

18.907

18 2013

18 2013

1. With reference to your telegram of October 24th, I have the honour to forward a Memorandum by Mr. Bowring in which he explains the facts connected with a sum of £82,900 which had been provided in the Estimate for 1912-13, which had not been expended during that year and to expenditure during the current year authority had been sought in his despatch No. 530 of August 1914.

2. It is obvious that the original request was out of order, and unaccompanied by any reference whatever to certain special circumstances which might have been adduced as arguments for a departure from the recognised rule that the total sanctioned expenditure for any year must not be exceeded.

3. At the same time the facts which are recorded in paragraph 12 of the Chief Secretary's Memorandum appear to me to be incontrovertible that I would venture to express the hope that the original error in procedure may be overlooked and that you may see your way to allow the whole of the £82,900 to be met from our unappropriated balances. Should you

THE RIGHT HONOURABLE

LEWIS HARCOURT, F.C., M.P.

SECRETARY OF STATE FOR THE COLONIES

DOWNING STREET, LONDON, S.W.

(2)

you be able to accept this view. I would ask
that I be informed by telegram.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your humble, obedient servant,

Henry Bayard

GOVERNOR.

INCLOSURE

In Despatch No. 9078 D. 16. 1913

43540

19-11-13

MEMORANDUM

A despatch is required in reply to Colonial Office telegram of October 9th. The facts are as follows: On August 12th the Treasurer reported that unexpended balances of sums sanctioned for 1912-13 and for which no provision existed in the 1913-14 Estimates, to the amount of £82,900, would be required to be spent during the latter year and asked that application might be made for formal Colonial Office sanction to withdraw the necessary funds from our accumulated balances. In other words that the unexpended expenditure for 1912-13 might be met by this amount.

2. This sanction was sought in our despatch No. 650 of August 13th and a copy of the Treasurer's Memorandum together with a detailed list of the unexpended balances was enclosed.

3. On October 2nd the Secretary of State replied by telegraph to the effect that, as there were only savings on the total authorized expenditure for 1912-13 to the amount of £67,662, he did not understand how there could be unexpended balances to the extent of £82,900 unless £15,238 had been expended without authority.

4. To this I replied in a telegram dated October 6th, which telegram the Secretary of State now also amplified in a despatch.

to expend the whole of the £82,900 from balances and the correct procedure would have been to apply for formal sanction to the expenditure from such funds of £67,662, and for "special" sanction to expend also the additional £15,238 from balances, the alternative being to find the necessary funds by savings on the sanctioned expenditure for 1913-14.

9. The possibility of the necessity for adopting this latter alternative was realised as soon as sanction to expend the whole £82,900 from balances had been sought and a sufficient sum had been earmarked against the savings on 1913-14 expenditure to cover the difference of £15,238.

10. As regards the total expenditure for 1912-13 the position when the February accounts were closed was that we were well within the authorized limits. In March, however, a sum of £128,000 was charged off. Finally - nearly double the average monthly expenditure of the year.

11. I would now suggest that special Colonial Office sanction be sought to expend the extra £15,238 from balances, in addition to the £67,662 which forms the difference between the actual and authorized expenditure for 1912-13.

12. The following facts can be brought forward in support of this request:-

(a) The actual revenue for 1912-13 exceeded the estimate by no less than £171,841 so that, if a more sanguine estimate had been framed originally, the authorized expenditure permissible under the "half and half" principle would have been very much larger than that actually sanctioned plus the £15,238 now under consideration.

43540

Genl 3540 Eat

DRAFT

Genl 3540 Eat
Governor

Palfield

2 June 1866

MINUTE

Recd 21/11/65
Portsmouth

General Order to ask
the amount of your bill No

Sir G. Fiddes

Sir H. Just

Sir J. Anderson

Lord Emmott

M. Harcourt

907 of the 20 of Nov

forwarding a memo by

the Chief Secretary in

which he explains the

facts request in the

second part of your

dispt of 230 of the

of 13 of August 1865

and further that the

(Genl 3540 Eat)
75

to be met out of savings

microcell

estimate for current year

expenses

as far as possible

factories

parent

2

to give for the expenditure
of a sum of £2900
described as ^{an} unexpended
balance from the year
1912-13. To confirm
my tel. of the 31st of Dec. 1912[†]
which had as follows:-

" Your disp. of 25 Nov. n^o. 907[†]
Your disp. of 13 Aug. n^o. 630[†], cap^d.
of £2900 approved as
proposed except that £15238
(in) to be met out of savings
estimates for current year
as far as possible

2. I regret that I do
not feel able to agree to
your proposal that the whole
sum of £2900 should be met
out of the unexpended balance
of the 1912-13 from the year 1912-13[†]

16 Nov 1912

