

EAST AFR. PRCT

13831

REC
REC 4 MAR 19

13831

Collins, A.P.

334°

1919

PASSAGE TO EAST AFRICA.

March.

Protests against decision not to grant him a first class passage.

Last previous Paper.

C/12106

Mr. Mackay Mr. Quint
Mr. Ballowley

The local authorities had a perfect right to terminate his commission: the wire he enclosed with his last letter showed that his special work was at an end.

Return our letter, say that it was within the discretion of the local military authorities to terminate his temporary local commission; that on their doing so any liability on the part of the S.A.P. Govt. to pay his passage ceased; & that the S. of S. is unable therefore to intervene.

A/

S. J. 5/2/19

Next subsequent Paper.

C/17488

Cap. is no reason why the local

Govt. should not terminate his appointment

if they chose to do so, and I think

we might say some thing to that effect

in writing as proposed by Mr. J. H. [unclear]

Oct 5/3/19

I agree. The whole question is of the
close of his passage back to E.A. and
as he is not entitled to passage from
Prot. forces at all, there can be
no question of our paying the difference
between 3²⁰ or 6th.

I do not follow his point about
conscripted at all.

Oct 5.3.19

I do not understand A in the official
minutes. If he was a soldier & joined the
local forces, surely there was never at
any time any liability on the part
of the Protectorate force to give him
a passage anywhere? If so, do not
use words which look as if this were
an admission. Show the Prot. authorities
got out of a liability by the abrupt
termination of his commission.

No consulting or referring case had
better say definitely that he has no
claim against the Protectorate force &
that he should make before of a Rehabilitation
Records any friends he has for thinking

to give him
passage

Car. is no reason why the local

Govt. shd not terminate his appointment

if they chose to do so, and I think

we might say some thing to them check

is written as per, read by Mr. J. H. ...

Y. S.

1875/3/11

Signed. The whole question is of the
claim of his passage back to E.A. and
as he is not entitled to passage from
Prot. funds at all there can be
no question of one being the difference
between 3rd & 1st.

I do not follow his point about
conscripted at all.

W. S. 1875

I do not understand A in the Officer's
minutes. If he was a soldier & joined the
local forces, surely there was never at
any time any liability on the part
of the District authorities to give him
a passage anywhere? If so, do not
use words which look as if they were
an admission that the Dist. authorities
got out of a liability by the abrupt
termination of his commission.

On consulting as proposed we had
better say definitely that he has no
claim against the District authorities &
that he should make his appeal of the
Records and friends to him for further

if we
to give
have
actual

Cap. is no reason why the local

Govt. shd not terminate his appointment

if they chose to do so, and I think

no matter what some things to his credit

is written as prepared by the Govt. 4/28

1875/3/19
I agree. The whole question is of the
claim of his pay back to E.A. and
as he is not entitled to pay from
Prot. funds at all there can be
no question of our paying the difference
between 3rd & 1st.

I do not follow his point about
conscriptum at all.

Wed. 5.3.19

I do not understand A in the Taffier
minutes. If he was a soldier & joined the
local forces, surely there was never at
any time any liability on the part
of the Protectorate force to give him
a passage anywhere? If so, do not
use words which look as if they were
an admission that the Prot. authorities
got out of a liability by the abrupt
termination of his commission.

He is writing as if he had
better say definitely that he has no
claim against the Protectorate force &
that he should not be paid of the Protectorate
Records any funds he is liable for.

himself entitled to a first class passage
a S. G. cannot be seen.

335

S. G. 1/10
at his

18831
REC'D 4 MAR 19

TYTHE BARN,
ST GEORGES AVENUE,
WETBRIDGE.

2 March 1919

1st Class Republican to B. F. C.

Your letter to hand received, I will

In reply to which I beg to state that

I am not surprised as I consider it most

unjust

I would like to ask, what power had

the S. A. Prohibitory Order to make me to

relinquish my Commission, when inscription

was in the country? I may add it was no

kind of mine to relinquish my Commission

9. My conduct was good, I had no offence

against me, after joining up in 1915

12/10/1919

in the past, a lot of that was, and

after being brought on, I chose

to do my duty. I sought never to have been

ordered to relinquish my Commission with exception

of nothing you to do, my only course

will be to try to get a general order in the

House, I do not intend to make further, but

at the same time do only justice

I have the original names to supporting my

claim

I have the honour

to be Sir

Yours obedient servant

A. Collins Jnr

323

C/13831/19

S.A.P.



Ind

✓

11th March 1919

Sir,

337

DRAFT.

H. P. Collins Esq

I am to ack the receipt of your letter of the 2nd & heard and to return the reply herewith

and to advise the general here with the letter for these days of the inform you that it was entirely within the discretion of the

MINUTE.

- Mr. Jeffries 7/3/19
- Mr. Keating 7/3/19
- Mr. Bowler 8/3/19
- Mr. Grindle.
- Sir H. Lambert.
- Sir H. Read.
- Sir G. Fiddes.
- Col. Amery.
- Lord Milner.

local military authorities in S.A. to terminate your temporary local commission at any time.

The fact that conscription was in force in the S.A. did not affect had no effect on the right

of the authorities to exercise this discretion if they chose to do

to make it clear that you have
no claim against the Post Office
respect of the cost of passages to or
from this country, and that he is therefore unable to receive
your
and you should
put before the Office Reparation Recovers
any grounds which you may have for
supposing yourself entitled to a first
class passage.

3. The letter from this Dept of the 1st
of March is returned to you herewith.

I am &c

W. A. GIBSON

to make it clear that you have
no claim against the Prot. Govt in
respect of the cost of passages to or
from this country, and that he is therefore unable to intervene
and you should put before the Office of Repatriation Records
any grounds which you may have for
supposing yourself entitled to a first
class passage.

3. The letter from this Dept of the 1st
of March is returned to you herewith.

I am &c

W. H. G. G. G. G.