

EAST AFR. PROT  
39601

39601  
REC'D  
JUN 20 1919

Clark N.Y.

Leave Pay Retention of Hon Rank

391

1919

28 June

Last previous Paper  
63362

Comdr has strong claim to at least six weeks Request permission to retain honorary rank.

Capt Parkinson

para 3. We may as well dispel any misapprehension now and tell him that the bonus is one which has been sanctioned by the I.P.S. (in the M.O. of the local forces, and that it has nothing to do with the bonus granted to M.O. of the Imperial forces; that he is correct in assuming that it has nothing to do with the question of leave.

para 4. as a complaint about the injustice of granting leave to H.O. R. M.O. on demerit and not to M.O. of the local forces [I do not think it is correct to say that it is a matter of merit] It should be told that the H.O. R. M.O. is not under a special regime as regards leave which does not apply to the M.O. of the local forces and that the local forces are not yet in a domestic...

1/11/19  
CA of leave  
to be sent to Comdr  
1/11/19

subsequent Paper  
WO  
47243



1  
arranged that the others should be  
allowed a free passage to England &  
back to E. Africa. This is a very 392  
real concession and, while I think  
that - if we had realized in 1915 what  
we were in for - we should have insisted  
a system of leave based on length of  
service. I do not think we should do it  
now after so many years have been  
otherwise spent with.

As the Commission progresses?

Oct. 17 1919

with [unclear]

see no 605719 (F.W. Bowman) herewith.

I do not think the action regarding  
leave to men re-occupying, as you  
discussed with Sir H. Reed in Oct.  
affects this general question.

The answer to men who complain  
that Kad officers get leave & local  
force officers do not is that Kad  
officers were sent out under  
~~existing~~ cards based on their  
pre-war Kad cards of ~~the~~ service  
we allow for certain leave - that  
local force officers were never  
appointed under such conditions -  
that Imperial officers do not  
get leave on demobilization - & that

arranged that the others should be  
allowed a free passage to England &  
back to E. Africa. This is a very 392  
real concession and, while I think  
that - if we had realized in 1915 that  
to work in force we should have invented  
a system of leave based on length of  
service. I do not think we should do it  
now after so many years have  
otherwise passed.

As he comes prepared?

Oct. 17 1919

Mr. B. B. B.

see 605719 (T. W. Bowman) here with.

I do not think the action appearing  
leave to men re-engage. In you  
discussed with furthered in fact.  
affects this general question.

The answer to men who complain  
that Kad officers get leave & over  
free officers do not is that Kad  
officers were sent out under  
~~existing~~ conditions based on the  
pre-war Kad card<sup>s</sup> of ~~the~~ service  
we allow for certain leave - that  
local free officers were never  
appointed under such conditions -  
that Imperial officers do not  
get leave on demobilization - & that

These local free offices have  
already been granted a  
concession as regards free  
messages which is a pure act  
of grace & which will cost  
But a great deal of money  
as it is -

I do not suggest saying all  
this to Mr. Clark; but if ever  
there is a Parliamentary question,  
the answer to the reply can be as  
above.

? as proposed by Mr. Crane  
all  
22.7.19  
W.S. 22.7.19

These local free offices have  
already been granted a  
concession as regards free  
passages which is a pure act  
of grace, which will cost the  
Govt. a great deal of money  
as it is -

I do not suggest saying all  
this to Mr. Clark; but if ever  
there is a Parliamentary question,  
the lines of the reply can be as  
above.

2. as proposed by Mr. Craze  
aced

22.7.19

Wed 22.7.19



leave pay on demobilization, as are  
 King's African Rifles. It seems to  
 a very invidious distinction that  
 all men who volunteered as the outbreak  
 hostilities, have therefore had over  
 their years tropical service, should be  
 granted no leave at all, while the men  
 serving with the R.A.F. in the same coun-  
 try under the same climatic conditions  
 have to receive for twenty months  
 orders to get four months leave. What  
 "entirely" to ask, on the grounds for  
 a distinction? It certainly can-  
 be claimed to rest on the law of  
 common justice, nor does anyone claim  
 justice that the King's African Rifles  
 be the only force in East Africa worthy  
 consideration.  
 We cannot not all join the R.A.F. as  
 all men on more than one occasion  
 especially asked to join the army,  
 the then special qualifications made  
 of most men. This was particularly  
 applicable to the fivefold branch of  
 E.A.S.C. (to which unit I belonged).



the range of knowledge of stock, & conditions, diseases etc was essential to the carrying out of a livestock inspection duties.

5. With special reference, however, to my own case, I submit that I have a specially strong ~~case~~ claim to consideration in regard to this matter of leave. Among large number of the leave forces were able to be spared for leave to South Africa elsewhere while the war was on, & although I was promised leave by D.D.S. Lt. in September last, I did not then get spared, so have had no leave at all; send copy of certificate from Raslo (who took over from D.D.S. Lt.) 11/3/19, put in with my last letter.

6. I enclose herewith copy of a letter of 3/3/19, which I addressed to D.D.S. Lt. The certificate mentioned in reply to para 5 was in reply to my letter, you will notice that it is a tacit admission that the facts stated in my letter are correct otherwise they would have been contradicted.

In view of all these facts, I appeal to you to at least grant me the leave that was promised me by a duly authorized representative of His Majesty the King, very sore needs. I trust you will give this matter your earnest consideration, and am confident that an examination of the facts will cause you to look favorably on this application.

While on this subject, I beg to apply for the retention of my honorary rank Lieutenant, as has already been granted to other members of the local law enforcement forces. Will you kindly inform me what forms, if any, are necessary to be filled up for the matter to be put under consideration.

Trusting to receive a favorable reply, respectfully, for the length of this epistle,

I have the honor to be,  
 Sir,

Your obedient servant,  
 O. Deane Pland  
 Lieutenant E.A.S.C.

Secretary  
 of Police  
 Office  
 London, SW1.

From H. V. CLARK, late LIEUTENANT, R. A. S. C.

To D. D. S. & T., DARRESSALAAM.

398

Sir,

I thank you for your memo No. S.T. 400/451/3 d/26/2/19 and quite understand that the question of free passages for ex-soldiers is at present hung up owing to lack of shipping facilities.

At the same time I respectfully beg to point out that you have made no mention of the question of leave, so beg to return my original letter on this subject herewith.

Having been released from Military service I presume it is impossible for me to be granted leave in the ordinary way, but in view of the fact that cash in lieu of leave is being granted to various officers and men (notably K. A. R.), and also that this question has been brought to the notice of H. E. the Governor (Maj-Gen. Northey) who is sympathetic in the matter, I should be extremely obliged if you would be good enough to forward me a letter stating:-

- (1) That I have had no leave during my period of four years and five months service;
- (2) That I was recommended for leave in September 1918 by my then C. O. (A. D. R. S.),
- (3) That it was only owing to the temporary shortage of Supply personnel in C. E. A. that I was not then granted leave,
- (4) That had the war not ended so soon after, I should have been granted leave,
- (5) That I was definitely promised that the question of my leave would not be forgotten or shelved.

I regret troubling you in this matter, but in view of the number of men with much less service than mine who have had trips to South Africa and elsewhere during the war, I do think I have a claim for consideration in regard to this question of leave.

With a letter similar to the one I have asked for in my possession, I consider I should have a strong case for presentation to the senior military authority here, and trust that you will see your way clear to accede to my request.

I am,  
Yours respectfully,

*H. V. Clark*  
late Lieut. R. A. S. C.

leave, I am to explain that ~~the~~ <sup>the</sup> ~~local~~ <sup>local</sup> ~~forces~~ <sup>forces</sup> ~~which do not apply to officers serving with British units~~ <sup>which do not apply to officers serving with British units</sup> ~~in the Imperial force; that it is not proposed to~~ <sup>in the Imperial force; that it is not proposed to</sup> ~~extend to other units the leave regulations laid down for~~ <sup>extend to other units the leave regulations laid down for</sup>

3. I am to add that honorary rank is no longer granted to officers on retirement from the Army. It may however be possible to arrange with the War Office for you to be given permission to retain the rank of Major & further communication on this point will be sent to you.

4. The delay in replying to your letter is regretted.

  
R. J. READ

C. 39601/19 E.A.P.

Hand 47243

Lo

PRESSING

400

DRAFT.

Sir,

1<sup>st</sup> August 1919.

I am able to request you to inform the

A.C. that an appln. has been received from

Mr H. W. Clark, late Lieut. E.A. Supply Corps

to be allowed to retain the hon. rank of Lieut.

on retirement.

2. It is understood that the

rank on retirement is no longer granted

but Mr. C. will be glad if the Council can

the satisfaction of the G.O. etc.

issue instructions for Mr. Clark's appt as

to a comm. as a Lieut. in the E.A. Supply

Corps on the 8<sup>th</sup> of December 1916, his promotion

to Lieut. with effect from the 8<sup>th</sup> of June 1917.

- Mr. Curme to 3819.
- Capt. Paschey
- Mr. ...
- Mr. Grindley
- Sir H. Lambert
- Sir H. Road
- Sir G. Fildes
- Col. Amery
- Lord Milner

C.C. 11

*W. C. ...*

Mr. Machtig,

Colonial Office.

401

We have received from a Lieut. H. V. Clark, late of the East Africa Staff Corps, of whom we have no trace, a claim in respect of gratuity due to him for service in the ranks from 6th August 1914 to 5th December 1916, when he received a commission. Can you please inform us of the period for which gratuity is due, and the rate upon which it should be based, or will it be necessary for us to refer to East Africa in the matter?

*W. C. ...*

Crown Agents,  
Pay Dept.

1.8.19.

*our Agents,*

*the H. V. Clark's service in the E. A. local service*

*not pension here*

*W. C. ...*

Mr. Machtig,

Colonial Office.

401

We have received from a Lieut. H. V. Clark, late of the East Africa Staff Corps, of whom we have no trace, a claim in respect of gratuity due to him for service in the ranks from 6th August 1914 to 5th December 1916, when he received a commission. Can you please inform us of the period for which gratuity is due, and the rate upon which it should be based, or will it be necessary for us to refer to East Africa in the matter?

*W. C. ...*

Crown Agents,  
Pay Dept.

1.8.19.

*Agents,*

~~The H.V. Clark's service in the E.A. local force~~

~~not for service here~~

C 39601/198 A.P.

LA

402

RAFT, Mun

Crown Agents

with ref to your minute

No.  $\frac{P}{\text{Sect 11B}}$

Re H. W. Clark, late Lieut

MINUTE.

F. A. local forces is eligible for

a gratuity of  $\pm 16$  under the R.G. of

the 17th of December 1918, in respect

of his service as a Lieut in the F.A.

Supply Corps for the period 6.8.14 to

5.12.16. Can you please pay him

accordingly

Colonial Office  
9 August 1919

Mr. Carmie 78.19

Mr. [unclear]

Mr. Grindle

Mr. H. Lambert

Mr. H. Road

Mr. G. Fiddes

Mr. Amery

Mr. Milner

Mr. [unclear]

to paper marked A  
8/2/19