

EAST AFR. PROT

182  
C O  
67599

67599

Eadian J.

1919  
25 Nov

Last previous Paper.

6207

## Railway Administration

Reports will be laid before Gov. Nandley on his arrival in M.K. Gives full particulars as his own statement + engines re leased. Requests make me reconsidered.

in Nairobi,

1. As to your question raised  
I say my self I am not  
interested to do so as the  
27th on 8207, we

have a copy of this from the  
newspaper with which I have

only great interest in the  
whole matter for 2200

unpossible for all at  
the present time - a very large

2. As to facts, it was not until  
received for one of his letter  
in 18207/9 that I could find a  
ref. to the question. In pp.

60, 61, 62 + part of 63 on this  
subject - act. wife in

ext subsequent Paper.

6207  
20

in N. 12 in file 50 of Rapic.  
The date of service etc. in his  
letter correspond with the leave  
slip. He first claims that  
as he was absent leave from  
March 1914 - April 1914, he  
ought to be regarded as having done  
one or more tours & should have  
return leave in respect of at least  
one of those tours. I know of no  
precedent - p. t. f. and he referred  
to the fact that his medical  
attestation to give him leave  
before service, did not state that  
he would be liable to be called up,  
but claimed he had been so liable  
and returned to the District in respect  
of his attestation. This  
is as if the new leave rules which  
have come into force had been in force  
when he left - had been in force  
when he returned. This  
is impossible.

To the effect that the  
date of his absence - leave  
correspond to - return leave  
this is true.

Agree

27.10.19

attn



15 Huntly Terrace,

Springbok,

Shuttleston,

183

Mr. Glasgow, 25th November 1919.

The Under Secretary of State,  
Colonial Office,  
London, S. W. I.

Sir,

I have the honour to acknowledge receipt of your letter No. 56207/I919 of the 29th ultimo in reply to mine of the 23rd September.

I would have replied earlier but recently a cable message from East Africa appeared in the Press here in which it was stated that His Excellency the Governor of the East Africa Protectorate had met with an accident to one of his eyes and that he was leaving Home to consult an oculist.

I recognised on reading this that consideration of my case would have to stand over until Sir Edward Northey had arrived and was able to transact public business. I would, however, point out that Sir Edward Northey may have left East Africa before my letter of the 23rd September reached there in which case he would have no knowledge of the matter.

Might I enquire if the opportunity will be taken of Sir Edward Northey's visit to place before him all the papers connected with my case. Further, I would like, if at all possible, to be granted the opportunity of personally stating my case to Sir Edward Northey.

The treatment I have complained of occurred while Sir H. Conway Bellfield was Governor and during the period Mr. H. B. Taylor and Mr. B. Eastwood filled the position of General Manager of the Uganda Railway.

During the whole of the War period I could do nothing. When I handed the late Mr. Stanley (Traffic Manager) my

resignation on the 1st July 1916 he asked me not to press the matter. The reply I made to this was that I was entirely in the hands of the Department until local hostilities ceased. If I had been allowed to go Home in 1916 it was my intention to volunteer for service on the British Military Railways in France or elsewhere and on Peace being declared to then come forward and July my case before the Secretary of State.

In regard to the bigger question, i. e., the organization and working of the Cyprus Railways. I have always said that there can be no real improvement for at least another year as has been taken. By the way, Mr. Gough's letter to you by now must have reached you and I am sure you will only bring it up again when you come, I have given you my views on the subject of the Cyprus Railways now so you may get an idea of what I think.

With the Great Egyptian War and others, we did not get our right end not misinterpreted. As with Nations in general, the Government put forward the claim and I believe my wider statements made in my letter of 1st January can be considered as the closest approximation.

A case is simply this. I commenced my first career in February 1890 as an Apprentice Clerk under a four years indenture at a salary of £2 per year, £15 per annum, second year £21 per annum, Third year £27 per annum, Fourth year £30 per annum. At the time of Mr. Trenerry's departure the Colonial Audit to the Railway Department we Assistant Traffic Manager I had behind me the following service -

8.-  
North British Railway Coy. 11 years.

185

South African Railways. 7 "

x Uganda Railway. 3 " "

21+ years.

Mr. McHardy transferred to Railway Department on the  
1st April 1914.

Mr. McHardy commenced his railway career in April 1914  
as an Assistant Traffic Manager at a salary of £350 per  
annum.

I am asked to believe that I have no case and that no  
objection has been done. I fully go strongly on this,  
after the letter I am writing to you by publishing this.

17 by region

also the  
case has been made

~~6.~~  
67599/19 hat.

183

and

last

DRAFT.

Dear

Ruth

Kimberly

3rd

December 1919

MINUTE

2

have

to arrive with written

for you who have no time for

work

make

any

more

matter regarding his case

as to his condition

or treatment & other

matters respecting

his own case.

(2) Blaney  
for S.D.J.

(2) I am bound that kind master

refracts that he is unable to  
entertain either the claims which  
I put forward in respect

Yours

(Signed) H. J. READ.