

**EFFECT OF FINANCIAL INNOVATION ON FINANCIAL INTERMEDIATION
EFFICIENCY WITHIN THE BANKING
SECTOR IN KENYA**

BY


JOHN AMBROSE MUMO

**A RESEARCH PROJECT PRESENTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE
REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF THE DEGREE IN MASTER OF BUSINESS
ADMINISTRATION (MBA), SCHOOL OF BUSINESS
UNIVERSITY OF NAIROBI**

2021

DECLARATION

I declare that, this research project is my original work and has not been presented to any other university, examination body or research Institution for the award of degree or for presentation.

Signature:  Date: ...25/11/2021.....

Ambrose Mumu John

D61/86949/2016

Approval by supervisor

This research project has been submitted for examination under my supervision as the university supervisor.

Signature:  Date: ...25/11/2021.....

Dr. Zipporah Onsomu

Lecturer, Faculty of Business and Management

Sciences, University of Nairobi

DEDICATION

This research project is lovingly dedicated to my wife, Elizabeth Mutheu and my daughter, Laura Pendo, who have steadfastly supported me throughout the entire study period. To my parents, for always encouraging me to soar to greater heights and finally, to my supervisor, Dr. Zipporah Onsomu, for constantly guiding me throughout the project.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am thankful to the Almighty God for according me good health and peace of mind throughout the study period. I wish to acknowledge the effort of my Supervisor, Dr. Zipporah Onsomu, for the time she has invested in this project. I really appreciate her steadfast support and constructive criticism all through.

I would like to extend my appreciation to the University of Nairobi and the entire academic Staff in the Department of Accounting and Finance for always providing a conducive academic environment that has enhanced a successful completion of my MBA programme. Lastly, I wish to recognize and appreciate the Central Bank of Kenya for always updating data records in their website, which has been very pivotal in executing this research Project.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION	ii
DEDICATION	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS	vii
ABSTRACT	vi
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of the study.....	1
1.1.1 Financial Innovation.....	2
1.1.2 Financial Intermediation Efficiency.....	4
1.1.3 Financial Innovation and Financial Intermediation Efficiency.....	5
1.1.4 Banking Sector in Kenya	7
1.2 Research Problem.....	8
1.3 Research Objective	10
1.4 Value of the Study.....	10
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	11
2.1: Introduction.....	11
2.2 Theoretical Review.....	11
2.2.1 Information Asymmetry Theory.....	11
2.2.2 Agency Theory	12
2.2.3 Circumvention Innovation Theory.....	13
2.2.4 Constraint Induced Innovation Theory.....	14
2.2.5 Transaction Cost Innovation Theory.....	15
2.3 Determinants of Financial Intermediation Efficiency.....	15
2.3.1 Financial Innovation	16
2.3.2 Macro economic Factors.....	16
2.3.3 Microeconomic Factors	17
2.4 Empirical Review	18
2.5 Summary of Literature Review.....	21
2.6 Conceptual Framework.....	22

CHAPTER THREE: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY.....	23
3.1 Introduction	23
3.2 Research Design	23
3.3 Data Collection.....	23
3.4 Diagnostic Tests.....	23
3.5 Data Analysis.....	24
3.5.1 Operationalization of Study Variables.....	25
3.5.2 Tests of Significance.....	26
CHAPTER FOUR: DATA ANALYSIS, RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS.....	27
4.1 Introduction	27
4.2 Descriptive Statistics Analysis	27
4.3 Diagnostics Tests.....	28
4.3.1 Autocorrelation.....	28
4.3.2 Multicollinearity.....	29
4.3.3 Heteroscedasticity.....	29
4.3.4 Normality tests.....	30
4.4 Correlation Analysis.....	31
4.5 Multiple Regression Analysis.....	33
4.5.1 Analysis of Variance.....	33
4.5.2 Coefficient of Determination.....	33
4.5.3 Regression Coefficients.....	34
4.6 Discussion of Findings.....	36
CHAPTER FIVE: SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	38
5.1 Introduction	38
5.2 Summary of the Findings.....	38
5.3 Conclusions of the Study.....	39
5.4 Recommendations.....	40
5.5 Limitations of the Study.....	41
5.6 Suggestions for further Studies.....	42
REFERENCES.....	43
APPENDICES.....	46
Appendix I: The Structure of the Banking Sector in Kenya.....	46
Appendix II: List of Raw Data.....	47

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

ACH	:	Automated Clearing House
ANOVA	:	Analysis of Variance
ATM	:	Automated Teller Machine
CBK	:	Central Bank of Kenya
ECB	:	European Central Bank
GDP	:	Gross Domestic Product
HIPSO	:	Harmonized Indicators for Private Sectors Operations
IBT	:	Internet Banking Transactions
IRS	:	Interest rate spread
KBA	:	Kenya Bankers Association
KCB	:	Kenya Commercial Bank
KEPSS	:	Kenya Electronic Payment and Settlement System
MBT	:	Mobile Banking Transactions
OECD	:	Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development
P2P	:	Peer to Peer
PoS	:	Point of Sale
RTGS	:	Real Time Gross Settlement
SPSS	:	Statistical Package for the Social Sciences
USA	:	United States of America
USSD	:	Unstructured Supplementary Service Data

ABSTRACT

The study sought to establish the effect of financial innovation on the financial intermediation efficiency within the banking sector in Kenya for the period beginning on 01st January 2012 and ending on 31st December 2020. Secondary data collected from the Central Bank of Kenya website was utilized. This data was grouped on quarterly averages based on the financial reporting timelines of the banking sector in Kenya. The predictor variables used include: Volumes of Mobile banking transactions; internet banking transactions; Point of Sale; RTGS; Automated Clearing House and Automated Teller Machines. The outcome variable was interest rate spread, which is the difference between the lending rates and deposit rates, as proxy for financial intermediation efficiency. The study focused on the aggregate banking sector. The study adopted a descriptive research design and the data was analyzed using a multiple regression model. The F-value had a significance of <0.001 which is less than p-value of 0.05, an indication of significant statistical relationship between the outcome and predictor variables under the study. The results from the regression analysis indicated that volume of mobile banking transactions was positively and significantly affecting the efficiency of financial intermediation. Internet banking and Agency banking were positively but insignificantly affecting financial intermediation efficiency. Automated clearing house and ATMs' volumes were adversely and insignificantly affecting the efficiency of financial intermediation. The adjusted $R^2=0.844$ indicating that 84.4% of the change in financial intermediation efficiency was influenced by the predictor variables under the study. The study also established that $R=0.931$, meaning that the predictor variables had a strong correlation with the outcome variable. Therefore, the study concluded that, financial innovation is a huge determinant of the financial intermediation efficiency in Kenya, highly influenced by the Mobile Banking Transactions. The recommendations thereby were: Banks to continuously research on the technology based banking; carry out rigorous mass sensitization programs and campaigns; designing of the technology based banking platforms to be done in conformance with the consumers requirements and expectations; create an integrated one stop comprehensive financial services platforms; offer rebates on savings/deposits rates done on the financial innovation platforms; continuous maintenance

of the alternate banking channels ;creation of digital centers at bank branch levels; offer information and financial support to agency banking agents and install security measures to safeguard information /financial losses. The study suggests that: The same kind of study to be conducted after a significant period of time (post the interest rate capping period); another study that entirely focusses on individual banking institutions and same scope be extended to the other subsectors of the finance sector including insurance and microfinance institutions.

CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study.

The growing significant roles of financial intermediation in modern economies coupled with rapid and sophisticated development of financial innovation, has not only triggered interest in the financial sector and policy making but also in the field of academic research. The financial sector has for a long time struggled with inherent market imperfections ranging from high transaction costs, inefficient service delivery, increasing agency costs to extreme information asymmetry (Chukwunulu & Ibenta 2020). These market imperfections have created a huge gap between the two major units of the financial system, that is, Surplus and Deficit units, which has been blamed for the slow economic development. It is a primary knowledge that, liquidity within an economy enhances investment which ultimately culminates into strong economic growth. Therefore, an improved financial intermediation is inevitable. In pursuit of the latter, the financial sectors have to re-invent themselves, meaning that, modified and/or new products are needed, the procedures /processes have to be enhanced, new information dissemination platforms are a must have and disintegration of the centralized mode of service delivery have to be instituted (Pianalto ,2007).

In order to explain and build a proper insight into both the financial innovation and financial intermediation, it is paramount to delve into the theories on which both are anchored on. These include: Agency theory; financial asymmetry theory; circumvention innovation theory; constraint innovation theory and transaction innovation theory. Information asymmetry notes that, lack of adequate and timely information between the two economic agents hinders their direct interaction, (Akerlof, Spense & Stiglitz, 1970). Agency theory cites that, separation of owners from control could lead to the rise of conflicting interest (Jensen & Meckling, 1976). Circumvention innovation theory portrays financial innovation as a confrontational tool to the government imposed controls and regulations. Constraint induced innovation theory presents innovation as resultant effect of a financial system's internal and external hindrances. Transaction costs theory, views

financial innovation as a gateway to efficiency in the financial systems resulting to reduced transaction costs.

The Kenyan financial sector has witnessed a rampant financial intermediation shift in the last few years. The failures of the banking sector in the 1990's prompted for radical moves in the financial system, with each participant targeting to improve efficiency, cost cutting/saving and enhance convenience of the service delivery. These have paved way for innovation at all service/product and organizational fronts, resulting into significant reductions in the barriers to entry in the financial markets, emergence of new products and instruments and active growth in the banks' branch networks (Njuguna, 2011). Mobile banking uptake has been on an upward trajectory since the inception of Mkesho platform by Equity bank, followed by Mshwari, KCB Mpesa, M-Coop cash, Mkopa and many other digitally based platforms such as Branch, Timiza among others (KBA, 2020). Currently, 100% of the Kenyan commercial banks have employed mobile banking, operationalized either as an application or USSD. ATM services patronage has been on the rise, a platform that not only supports operations of bank accounts but also enhanced use of Prepaid cards. The uptake of agency banking and internet banking has been significant. The development and adoption of RTGS and Automation of clearing houses have notably improved payment settlement through reduction of transaction timelines and eradication of inherent risks associated with the traditional systems.

1.1.1 Financial Innovation

According to Blach (2011), financial innovation has widely been used in reference to the changes and development occurring within financial intermediation. In a narrow view, financial innovation can refer to emergence of new financial instruments. Broadly, financial innovation is used in referring to the dynamic trends in the financial intermediation in terms of the structure and depth of the financial markets, roles of the financial services delivery methodologies and introduction of new products and procedures. Several scholars have given their contribution in what financial innovation entails. Jacque & Laurent (2007) stated

financial innovation as form of new financial instruments/services, unfamiliar financial techniques and financial organizations, with an aim of reducing transaction costs, improvement in allocation of resources and reduction in growth volatilities (Frame and White, 2002; Solans 2003). Bulut (2013) views financial innovation as development of a product, service or practice that yields to the financial intermediation participants. The Similarity with the definitions is that, the deliverables of the financial innovation must be improved and able to provide solutions to the inherent problems bedeviling financial intermediation. Financial innovation can be categorized as: Process based referring to development of new production methodologies and procedures that result to new products and services aimed to improving efficiency, example, credit scoring, loan tracking systems and automation; Organizational based pointing to new organization structure and platforms, example Internet based banking and Product Innovation, entailing creation of new products and services in conformance to the market demands' expectations (Vargas,2009).

Proper management of financial systems demand for continuous improvement, a requirement only achievable through financial innovation. Greenspan (2000) states that, financial innovation facilitates movement of economic resources through time and across different physical locations. Arnoud and Thakor (2001) states that, financial innovation in the form of payment systems facilitate reduction of transaction costs, improvement of processing speed and minimized fraudulent possibilities, example, Use of credit cards and debit cards. Further, financial innovation has made it possible to properly manage uncertainties and risks controlling through hedging either in post cash markets, futures or forward markets. Financial decision making in terms of pricing and investment has been enhanced through adequate information flow. Tufano (2003) stated that, financial innovation aids in minimization of transaction costs, risks transfer and sharing, risk pricing, management and enhancement of liquidity, enhancement of credit generation and availability, equity generation, management of assets and liabilities and financial institutions funding. Additionally, financial innovation has greatly improved capital pooling at lower costs and enhanced proper management of agency costs. From the aforementioned, to determine the importance of financial innovation, it is vital to

view it from a point of lowering cost of capital, promotion of improved efficiency, facilitation of improved consumption and investment decisions within an economy.

Measuring financial innovation requires consideration of the various forms of financial innovation within the financial markets. Akhisar, Tunay and Tunay (2015) considered bank cards, number of Merchant channels, ATMs and number of clients using Internet banking. Chukwunulu & Ibenta (2020) considered volume of ATM transactions, Volume of PoS channels, Internet and Mobile banking transaction as measures of financial innovation in Nigeria. This study will focus on number of Mobile banking transactions (MBT), Internet banking transactions (IBT), Point of Sale (PoS), Automated Clearing House (ACH), RTGS and the ATMs' transactions in Kenya.

1.1.2 Financial Intermediation Efficiency

Financial intermediation efficiency refers to the best practices of transferring and allocating economic resources to the most effective and productive economic agent (Beck, 2007). In an economy, there exists two heterogeneous bunch of economic agents with each having a unique financial position, investments, business and financial needs. Matching the needs of the two economic agents requires institutionalization of products, processes and even organizations within a financial system. Financial markets are imperfect due to a number of challenges engulfing its operations, making it difficult for the interaction of both economic agents. This gives rise to the element of financial intermediation. It is in the latter's pursuit that participants in the banking sector sets both the deposit and lending rates in order to attract both economic agents. The spread in both rates determine how efficient a financial intermediation is (Sologoub 2006; Kamau, 2010).

Financial intermediation efficiency has five key importance in an economy: Warrants highest risk adjusted returns on investment; enhances minimization of the cost of raising capital (Dodge,2006); facilitates optimal application and utilization of the available economic resources; promotes rampant collection and dispersion

of vital financial information and encourages economic stability through prudent capital build up and investment (Beck,2007)

Financial intermediation efficiency is measured through determination of two key parameters relative to both the economic agents, which include Deposit rates and lending rates. Deposit rates determine the level of returns to be expected by the savers while the lending rate reflects the risk levels a bank is willing to undertake by investing the savers' funds. The difference between the two rates, usually termed as the interest rate spread, reflect the level of efficiency in the banking sector. According to Sologoub (2006), a high interest rate spread is an indication of inefficiencies in financial intermediation and vice versa (Beck, 2007). The study will use interest rate spread as determinant of financial intermediation efficiency because the primary goal of financial innovation is to improve efficiency in intermediation (Akhisar, Tunay & Tunay 2015; Chukwunulu & Ibenta, 2020).

1.1.3 Financial Innovation and Financial Intermediation Efficiency

The quest for efficiency in financial intermediation has constantly been going through a rapid evolution recently. Financial institutions have embraced financial innovation, in order to manage micro-accounts, build up both savings and credit and further extend financial services to the formerly unbanked individuals (Laplante and Watson, 2018). In addition, the availability of alternative channels for financial services delivery have enhanced financial intermediation competitiveness as institutions strive to improve customer accessibility and differentiate their products/services (KBA, 2020).

Mobile banking has become a household platform for personal banking. Mobile phone financial services providers have integrated their platforms with the banking sector forming strong banking ecosystem (KBA, 2020). These platforms hosts value added products and services, which facilitates credit, savings and e-commerce. Digital lenders use the credit rating facilitated by airtime, savings and mobile money transactions data in order to issue unsecured credit facilities. The users of this platform usually access financial services

via mobile phone applications, SMS or USSD. This nature of innovation has cut across all sorts of demographics enhancing on boarding of more persons into the financial system.

Internet banking has revolutionized operations of businesses and corporates financial services delivery (ECB, 2020). Unlike mobile banking, which supports primarily personal banking, internet based banking expands the scope of digital financial services access to both businesses and corporates. These services are in three forms: Information banking, whereby the service providers disseminate basic information on their products just as presented on the normal brochures; Communicative banking, entailing services such as account enquiries, contact information updates, loan/mortgage applications and new accounts updates and Transactional banking which enables account patrons to have full control over their accounts e.g. Deposits, transfers and online payment updates.

Agency banking has been paramount in accessing regions where financial institutions have not opened up their branches (KBA, 2020). These kind of banking enable customers to cash in to their banks of choice thereby helping in building up savings. Further, clients are able to make application for micro-loans for investment. Other services extended to clients include, balance inquiries, mini statements, bill payments, p2p transfers and documents collection. E-payments has made online transactions conveniently and highly efficient. This kind of platform supports both personal and corporate transactional settlements. Short term credit facilities are enhanced by use of credit cards while savings are facilitated through use of digital wallets, bank transfers and automatic clearing houses (direct deposit of cheques), (Lubis,2019).

Crowdfunding takes advantage of the social media and internet (Kaur, 2017). Entrepreneurs/deficit units have the capability to pull funds from venture capitalists and other interested savers. This form of intermediation entails entrepreneurs pitching their ideas and projects in the crowdfunding sites. These sites are structured in ways that can restrict or allow savers based on their profiles and determines to what amount each can channel their funds. Through this nature of financial innovation, a lot of money resources are transferred short termly and conveniently, hence improving efficiency and speed of capital raising.

1.1.4 Banking Sector in Kenya

According to CBK Survey (2020), the Kenyan banking sector had Central Bank as the regulator, 42 banking institutions, of which 41 were commercial banks and 1, a mortgage finance company. Additionally, the sector comprised of 9 representative offices of foreign banks, 14 Microfinance Banks, 3 credit reference bureaus, 17 money Remittance Providers, 8 non-operating bank holding companies, 1 Mortgage Refinance Company and 66 foreign Exchange bureaus. Out of the 41 commercial banks, 39 had private ownership while the State had a major shareholding in 2 banks. Out of the 39 privately owned banks, 22 had local ownership while 17 were foreign owned. Of the 17 foreign owned banks, 14 were local subsidiaries of foreign banks while 3 were foreign banks' branches.

Financial innovation uptake in Kenya has been highly revolutionary. In collaboration with the mobile financial services providers such as Mpesa, Airtel Money and Telkom Cash, banks have widened up their accessibility even to the remotest of the geographic regions, digitally (KBA, 2020). Alongside the latter, some banks such as Equity bank have ventured to introduce their in-house mobile financial services dubbed as equitel (Nyaga, 2016). Adoption of internet banking has facilitated ease of both business and corporate banking in all aspects. Agency banking has been vital in opening up localities not feasible for brick and mortar bank branches. Support of e-payment has enhanced speedy and efficient transactional settlement as well as expanding banks' credit uptake. The driving force behind such innovations are quest to improve services affordability, need for financial inclusion, boost operational efficiency, cost management, acquisition of wider patronage, risk mitigation and compliance (KBA 2020).

Adoption of innovation in the financial sector presents some key opportunities to the institutions. End to end automation of processes, which enhances speed of financial services delivery at reduced costs. Digitization of both financial products and services have improved accessibility thus facilitating financial inclusion, culminating into more savings build up and credit uptake. Data analytics assists in financial services consumers' lifecycle management to improve service delivery. All these opportunities yield key

benefits to the financial institutions namely, wider financial inclusion, lower transactional costs and improved and tailored banking services (KBA, 2020).

On the other hand, financial innovation has inherent challenges embedded in its adoption. High cost of research and development to determine the existing gaps and solutions to be undertaken has been a prominent overhead in the commercial banks income statements. The cost of technology acquisition, training and retraining of staff has been on the rise. Additionally, the challenge of cyber risks and security has seen commercial banks invest heavily in mitigating such attacks, a move that weans their revenues, (CBK 2020).

1.2 Research Problem

Financial innovation can potentially disrupt the traditional financial and enhance a more open, inclusive and highly efficient financial systems. The effects of the modern day innovation with regard to financial intermediation has widened the accessibility of financial information, products and services, thereby enhancing a speedy and highly effective interaction of the two economic agents. The result has been, better pricing of risks leading to improved interests on savings and favorable cost of credit. Additionally, ease of credit scoring and tracking of loans have enhanced efficiency in credit management. However, the risks and costs associated with the financial innovation has exposed both the financial institutions and their patrons to losses, a factor that has seen uptake of some financial innovation increasing at decreasing rates (Korir, Sang, Shisia & Matung'u,2015).

The banking sector in Kenya has experienced high expectations from both economic agents as well as increased competition emanating from external financial systems and alternate intermediation channels, (CBK,2015). The resultant response has been rigorous financial innovation and adoption, targeted at meeting and/or exceeding service delivery expectations, with CBK (2020) noting that, 79% of the commercial banks introduced a financial innovation related products between January 1, 2020 and December 31st, 2020. According to KBA (2020), digital credit/mobile banking were the commonly utilized

channels for daily/emergency needs in Kenya, accounting for 46.2% of such transactions in 2015. Further, the same study noted that, 54% of Kenyans saved their funds in the digital wallets platforms in 2019. Adoption of mobile financial services, internet banking, agency banking and other alternative channels have been on the rise in the recent past. However, financial institutions and their clients have been exposed to high level of risks, some of which have resulted into huge financial losses and data breaches, (Korir, Sang, Shisia and Matung'u, 2015). Mobile banking and Internet banking have been a target of fraudsters and hackers. Agency banking has been a weak link in the consumer data protection, leading to a significantly reduced patronage. Additionally, constant outage on third party service provisions such as internet and mobile phone services can negatively impact on the reliability of such platforms.

Despite the high level of financial innovation adoption and patronage, the resulting effects has somehow been conflicting. Vargas (2009) appreciated the pace of financial innovation in Costa Rica. However, the scholar found no significant shift in financial intermediation from the traditional practices. Chukwunulu and Ibenta (2020) identified ATMS, Mobile banking, PoS and internet banking as the major financial innovations in Nigeria. The study concluded that, Internet banking, Mobile banking and ATMs had a positive but insignificant effect on financial intermediation while PoS indicated an adverse effect on the efficiency of financial intermediation. Ansong, Yiadom and Asmah (2011) found out that, financial innovation in Ghana had undesired effects on the savings short termly but its effects are expected to be positive and significant in the long-run. Most of these studies do not outline the reasons behind the failure or success of financial innovation in the financial systems under consideration. At the same time, the assumptions made is that, financial innovation is the primary factor influencing financial intermediation, which might miss out other factors at play that could undermine the gains of financial innovation. Additionally, financial innovation bears both pros and cons, an element that misses out on the conclusions, but can have a bearing on the patronage from both the economic agents. Empirical reviews show that, the studies done on financial innovation and its effects on financial intermediation in Kenya are scarce. Majority of the existing empirical work focus on financial innovation and financial performance correlation.

Considering the vital role of funds mobilization and allocation in the Kenyan financial system, it is paramount to determine, what is the effect of financial innovation on the financial intermediation among the Kenyan commercial banks?

1.3 Research Objective

To determine the effect of financial innovation on financial intermediation efficiency within the banking sector in Kenya.

1.4 Value of the Study

The study will provide knowledge reference material for students and researches inclined to business/finance related fields. This will enhance the foundation and provoke understanding for more studies inclined towards financial innovation and financial intermediation correlation. More areas that require further studies will be recommended, which will help in building up more empirical references for both academic and professional research work.

This study can be used as a policy formulation reference. The understanding of the disruptive nature of financial innovation led by Fintech will form a basis for proper regulation and support to the players within the financial system. The CBK will have a reference in regard to how financial innovation can have a bearing in the direction of financial intermediation and thus be able to make informed decision pertaining to policies.

The study will have value to the financial markets. The various players will have an understanding on the role played by financial innovation in their area of operations. An informed understanding of how financial innovation can influence the structure of the traditional financial markets is paramount in deciding whether or not to adopt the existing/expected innovations. Hence, the findings will be key for planning both internal (organization level) and external (market) levels. Additionally, Investors (both savers and borrowers) will get an understanding of the shifts in the financial markets and the various alternatives they have to channel their funds through.

CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Introduction.

This chapter will explore and explain various theories and studies that will guide the researcher in understanding and approaching the financial innovation field in Kenya. It will also delve into other sections such as the determinants of financial intermediation, empirical evidences, literature review summary and the conceptual framework.

2.2 Theoretical Review

Financial intermediation and financial innovation base their roots on several theories on which they are anchored on. This section will cover those theories which include, theory of information asymmetry, Agency theory, Circumvention innovation theory, Constraint-induced innovation theory and transaction cost innovation theory.

2.2.1 Information Asymmetry Theory

Information asymmetry in the financial market can be referred to as a situation in which an economic agent is deemed to be in possession of vital market information at the expense of another economic agent, resulting into undue advantage,(Akerlof,1970;Bergh,2019).Developed by Akerlof,Spense and Stiglitz (1970),this theory can be of ex-ante nature, ending up presenting adverse selection, interim nature, resulting to moral hazard and finally ex-post nature, culminating into auditing or costly enforcement and authentication. In contrast to the Arrow-Debreu model, the general imperfections in the financial markets can be attributable to the information asymmetry.

Financial intermediation is anchored on this theory. Its existence is a resultant effect of the inherent market imperfections which include: High transaction costs; unequal, inadequate and untimely flow of information, stringent regulatory and taxation measures (Scholtens & Wensveen, 2003). The latter factors make it difficult for the direct interaction of the economic agents, a situation that explains the active participation

of intermediaries in the financial markets to fill in the already existing information gap (Chukwunulu & Ibenta, 2020).

The major assumption of this theory is that, all important information is in possession of only one economic agent at the expense of the other. However, the reality is, in real markets, not everyone is in the “dark”, a situation resulting from the enormous information availability from sources such as Consumer reports, Underwriters laboratory and credit bureaus. Additionally, some government actions can prevent market prices from accurately reflecting important information.

The relevance of this theory to the study is because it presents a justification point of view on the rationale for the existence of financial intermediation and intermediaries and also, extends to link the role played by the intermediaries to the transaction costs charged thereof (Chukwunulu & Ibenta,2020).

2.2.2 Agency Theory

Developed by Jensen and Meckling (1976), this theory explains that, the issue of conflicting interest coupled with emergence of agency costs crop up as a result of ownership separation from control and direction aspects appertaining to the nature of risks being undertaken (Panda,2017). This means that, measures have to be employed with an aim of curbing the agency conflicts expected, resulting to the rise of agency costs. Financial intermediation entails deposits from the savers, which is then converted into credit and issued to the deficit units but must be availed to the savers upon maturity or as when needed. The deposit taking and loan issuance create an agency relationship between both ends of the economy and the financial institutions, hence application of the agency theory.

The theory focus is in providing practical solutions to the inherent problems associated with the principal and the agent. This theory outlines several implementable recommendations targeted at enhancing proper organizational governance and management of the agents’ actions in line with the contractual agreements. However, the theory seems to be one sided with the primary assumption being that, the problems always

emanate from the agent, which is not always true. According to Parrow (1986), the agency theory is not developed to control the principals, negating the fact that some principals are exploitative.

The relevance of this theory to the study is that, it elaborates how significant a managerial action can tilt the success or failure of the financial intermediation process. The theory shows how managers in the financial institutions can shelf the goals of the owners and the economic agents in pursuit of individualized interest (Acaravci & Calim, 2013).

2.2.3 Circumvention Innovation Theory

Developed by Kane (1987), this theory observed that, governments are always at the center of imposing controls and regulations in the financial sector. The scholar, for instance, samples the property taxation as a form of control, which ends up curtailing profit maximization goals of most financial institutions. This theory seems to lean majorly on creation/bridging the gap between financial and political forces. However, Kane (1987) argument is against the regulative measures /policies that directs financial markets into a certain predetermined economic direction. His model has highly been instrumental in forming foundation for most of the financial evolutions in the USA for over three decades. His imposing force has been on the regulative role of the Federal depositing regulation and the dynamic external market forces which includes depositing environment, technological environment and unforeseen financial prospective advancements.

The assumption in this theory is that, the regulation innovation is always geared towards reinforcing regulations. However, the reality is that, the release of such regulations is aimed towards liberal market innovation (Cherotich, Sang, Shisia & Matung'u, 2015)

Its importance to the study is that, it presents financial innovation as a means to curtail losses that emanate from regulations and prevent regulation-induced management failures. In other words, the theory builds insight into financial innovation as a strategic tool directed at profit earning and circumvention of government controls.

2.2.4 Constraint-Induced Innovation Theory

This theory leans towards the internal (self-imposed) and external (markets/government imposed) hindrances that undermine the efficiency of financial institutions. The urge of profit maximization by the financial institutions is the major driving force towards the growing financial innovation (Silber, 1983).

Silber (1975) outlines institutions reaction as a linear optimization model in which case, companies pursue utility maximization subject to a variety of both internal and external constraints. In his explanation, he noted that 60% of all financial innovation can be explained by the latter model. In his conclusion, he stated that, two major constraints exist which tend to increase economic benefit via cost reduction, namely: Better allocation of risks and circumvention of outdated policies.

Constraint-induced theory approaches financial innovation from a micro economic perspective. However, most scholars find it to be over emphasizing innovation in adversity. Therefore, it is deemed not to have the capability to situationally express financial innovation increment in the dynamics of liberal finance, satisfactorily.

Its significance to the study is due to its contribution towards financial innovation in that, it views innovation as a gateway towards reduction and/or removal of all financial constraints impacting on the profit maximization goals of a firm. Sibley (2004) states that institutions facing market imperfections such as entry barriers and stringent regulations can embrace innovation as an incentive towards better operations. Stavins (2011), notes that, MBT, IBT and RTGS are forms of financial innovations expected to improve intermediation. However, this theory discusses financial innovation excessively in adversity, a view that cannot comprehensively express the variable in the dynamics of liberal finance (Achieng, Karani & Tabitha, 2015).

2.2.5 Transaction Cost Innovation Theory

The theory advocates for minimization of transactional costs as a reactive response towards technological advancement, (Hicks and Niehans, 1983). In view of this theory, the quest for cost reduction catalysis financial innovation and further improves service delivery efficiency. This theory states that the core goal for financial innovation is profit maximization. As the promoters of the transaction cost theory, Hicks & Niehans (1983) argued that, the outstanding reasons for pursuing financial innovation is in order to minimize the transaction costs. This particular goal leads to a ripple effect that ultimately improves financial services. In the development of this theory, the scholars focused on financial innovation as a function of both microscopic economic structural variations and the imposing motive of firms' goal of maximizing shareholders' wealth.

Transaction costs theory falls short of understanding that; financial institutions are not available options for structuring transactions efficiency when the financial markets fail. Instead these institutions possess certain advantages that enhances governance and execution of positive forms of financial activities through employment of logical means that are quite unique in the market.

Its relevance to the study is because it offers explanation to financial innovation as best avenue to try and reduce transaction costs, for better intermediation and goals attainment. Muia (2013) supports the latter view by stating that, invention methods are the highly positively impactful to organizations that aim at reducing transaction costs which threaten their existence and sustainability.

2.3 Determinants of Financial Intermediation Efficiency

Financial Intermediation efficiency can be influenced by financial innovation, macro-economic factors and micro economic factors within a financial system. The macro-economic factors would refer to those factors that are externally generated and a financial institution doesn't have control over them (Aziakpono2004) whereas the micro economic factors are internally related factors that are unique to a particular institution.

2.3.1 Financial Innovation

Financial innovation is the introduction and utilization of new financial intermediation techniques, formation of new financial institutions, variation in processes, changes in regulations/supervision and changes in services/products (Achieng, Karani & Tabitha, 2015). Modern financial innovation is catalyzed by technological advancement, regulatory variations and the market conditions, characterized by the nature of demand for financial services delivery (Mishra & Pradhan, 2008).

Financial innovation is grouped into three broader forms depending on the front at which they occur. Vargas (2009) and Blach (2011) outline that, innovation can be grouped as Institutional Innovation, giving rise to development of new financial firms, example, Online trading platform; Product Innovation, culminating into generation of new products, example, securitization and derivatives and process innovation, leading to introduction of either new or improved ways of business execution, example, Internet banking.

2.3.2 Macro-economic Factors

In the study, Financial Intermediation, real exchange rates and unconventional policies in an open economy, Cespedes (2017) states that, stability of exchange rates encourage efficiency of financial intermediation. In the study, the scholar suggests for proper legislation and intervention in order to control the impact of market imperfections and financial constraints that could negatively affect exchange rates.

Growth in income encourages savings and consumption within an economy and buildup of capital. The latter ensures available liquidity for investment purposes. Efficiency in financial intermediation increases with the rise in GDP within an economy. This contribution towards improving financial intermediation seem to be lower in poor countries to a point of being negative, (Gaytan, 2004; Seven, 2016). High level of individual income/ per capita income, increases an individual's income surpluses. In turn, the propensity to save increases which then improves the level of credit supply within the banking system. This increase in

liquidity ultimately impacts positively on the efficiency of financial intermediation by decreasing and stabilizing the interest rate spread (Alpha, 2016).

The relationship between inflation and financial intermediation is indirectly proportional. Zermeno (2018) notes that, an uncontrolled increase in price levels negatively affect financial variables and vice versa. The continuous rise in prices tend discourage the purchasing capability of both products and stocks within a financial system and drives the general economy towards outlook, which culminates into a negative efficiency on financial intermediation.

2.3.3 Micro-economic Factors

Bank size and branch concentration highly affects the level of financial intermediation efficiency. Bank size has a positive relation to the level of economies of scale realizable in relation to costs reduction and credit supply (Amato & Burson, 2007). Bigger banks with several branches are highly associated with higher level of capital adequacy that enhances improved credit supply and large intermediation outreach. Additionally, Bank liquidity, which refers to the extent to which a financial institution fulfils its short term financial obligation with a period not exceeding twelve months, can have a significant bearing on the efficiency of financial intermediation. Adam & Buckle (2003) explains that liquidity stems from a firm's capability to honor its due contractual agreements with its creditors, without necessarily liquidating its financial assets. Liquid assets can be utilized for investment and credit supply in cases whereby external financing is not short-termly realizable (Liargovas & Skandalis, 2008). According to Almajali et al (2012), a higher level of liquidity enables financial institutions handle unexpected financial obligation timely, thereby improving financial intermediation efficiency.

2.4 Empirical Review

Vargas (2007) assessed the effect of product innovation on financial intermediation output in Costa Rica. Using the User cost framework for financial assets, the results indicated that, the financial institutions considered heavily relied on the traditional products for intermediation services. This reflected the conservative nature of most state-owned banks and probably could reflect /show the weight of innovation output across the whole financial system within Costa-Rica. However, having considered state-owned banks, the study excluded the private financial intermediaries who are generally considered to be the epitome of financial innovation due to their profit maximization goals.

Mishra (2008) carried out a study on the economic effects of financial innovation that arise in highly sophisticated and complete financial markets. The study argued that, financial innovation in the context of services, technologies, instruments, markets and institutions tend to move financial resources from the surplus economic agent and channel them to the most productive sectors, hence enhancing capital accumulation leading to high rate of economic growth. Through a descriptive approach of various financial innovation forms, the study concluded that, financial innovation led to a greater savings level, capital accumulation and thus higher growth of the economy. This conclusion was in line with Chou and Tuan K (2007), who established that, efficiency of financial intermediation is raised by financial innovation through increment of financial products and services that in turn enhance matching of financial needs for both the economic agents.

Turan (2015) sought to establish the effect of crowdfunding on financial intermediation. Using the exploratory approach backed by current data to comprehend the equity crowdfunding setting and stakes for major players globally, the study observed that, due to the potential associated with the ability of crowd funding to raise equity funding for startups, the platform is asserting itself as an alternative to the traditional financial intermediation platforms. However, the scholar noted that, the Crowd Funding platform are less

regulated and standardized posing several risks but if well managed, they can change the financial intermediation land scape from how it is known currently.

Gibson (2015) sought to establish the effects of financial technology on financial services delivery in Ireland. In its submission, the study outlined that, the traditional financial intermediation models had widely been disrupted by the Fintechs. The study observed that, barriers to entry had highly reduced and at the same time, financial services delivery to the customers had greatly been enhanced. In the conclusion, the scholar encourages adoption of financial innovation in the financial markets purposely for efficiency and competitive advantage. This study is highly supported Eman (2017) who observed that, a reduction in barriers to entry had encouraged new entrants who in turn have made financial intermediation better thus mobilizing more savings from the formerly unbanked population.

Cai (2018) assessed the impact of Fintech on Financial Intermediation. In this study, the scholar considered two independent variables viz, crowdfunding and block chain. The study concluded that, both variables significantly affect the traditional financial intermediation. The Scholar outlined that, crowd funding platforms present themselves as substitutes for traditional financial intermediation and act as the new intermediaries without necessarily eliminating the intermediation need, whereas, block chains tend to eliminate the intermediation need in some financial areas due to the trust element inherent in them.

Studying the effect of Fintech services on the traditional financial intermediation practices in Sri-Lanka, Dharmadasa (2021) used the descriptive method to analyze the impact on the credit, capital raising and deposit savers. The study looked into the bank lending and peer to peer (P2P) lending platforms. The results showed that, although there won't be catastrophic threat of Fintech on the general banking Industry, but there will be lenders migration from the banks to the P2P platforms, where lesser people rely on the banking system and where the costs and risks associated with regulation are high. The scholar observed that the imminent loss of customer segments in the banking industry is inevitable but it is expected more

technological advancement skills will be acquired by the banks to help cope with the challenging environment.

Chukwunulu and Ibenta (2020) studied the effects of financial innovation on the efficiency of financial intermediation in Nigeria. Using OLS regression technique and considering Automated Teller Machines (ATMs), Internet Banking transaction volumes (IBT), Point of Sale transactions (PoS) and Mobile Banking Transaction Volumes (MBT) as the independent variables, the observation was that, MBTs, ATMs and IBTs had a positive but insignificant effects on financial intermediation while PoS demonstrated a negative effect on the latter's efficiency. In addition, the scholars noted that, 57% of the dynamics in the financial intermediation associated with financial innovation are statistically insignificant. Therefore, in conclusion, the study stated that, financial innovation in itself does not determine financial intermediation efficiency in Nigeria. The scholars thereby suggested for a policy change instead that could facilitate improvement in interest rate savings, in order to motivate the surplus units to avail funding for the deficit units.

Aikaeli (2008) determined the efficiency of Tanzania's commercial banks. By use of secondary data (time series) of the banking sector, the scholar examines efficiency of the banks at the technical, scale and cost fronts. Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA) model was used to establish the efficiency estimates of the banks. The results indicated that, the level of efficiency was fair but with significant improvement required. Foreign banks reflected the highest levels of technical inefficiencies. Cost inefficiencies were related to poor labor compensation, inadequate management capacity, inadequate fixed capital and excessive liquid assets.

Okiro and Ndungu (2013) studied the effects of Internet banking and Mobile banking on the general financial intermediation in Kenya. Using both descriptive and qualitative research design approach, the study analyzed a sample of 30 financial institutions. The study indicated that, Kenya's financial institutions and markets were rampantly being revolutionized due to the effect of financial innovation. Rapid technological advancement had increased efficiency, reduced costs and improved customers' interaction with the financial system.

Omanga and Dreyer (2017) delved into the effects of mobile technologies and financial innovation on financial inclusion in Kenya. Using Mpesa as the case study, the scholars explained that, clients in Kenya could bank remotely while at the same time, the cost of such services being bearable. In their conclusion, the scholars observed that Mpesa is highly disruptive form of financial innovation in the sense that, it improves growth of wealth and increases financial inclusion in the country.

Observing the future of financial innovation and financial intermediation in Kenya, Otieno et al (2015) noted that, improved financial innovation accrues various advantages in the financial system in the country. These include optimization of taxes, deregulation, reduction in transaction costs, increased market liquidity, less agency costs, increased risk sharing, lesser information asymmetry and increased capital intermediation /raising, affordably. The study suggested that, accounting processes and auditing standards should be instituted to mitigate differences that could outcrop and reduce biasness, misinterpretation and ambiguity which can lead to economic agents making unviable investment decisions.

2.5 Summary of the Literature Review and Knowledge Gaps

From the studies reviewed, the focus of most scholars has been on the relationship between Fintech and efficiency of financial services. Their conclusions have been a mixed bag of fortunes, with some indicating a positive and significant correlation, while others having resulted to positive but insignificant relationship. Besides, there are other studies whose focus has been on: Product innovation and financial intermediation; economic growth and financial innovation; crowdfunding and general financial technology effects on financial intermediation. Only one study done by Chukwunulu and Ibenta (2020) in Nigeria, has observed the effect of general financial innovation on financial intermediation, with the study resulting to conflicting outcomes on the various variables in consideration. In Kenya, very little has been done empirically, with only Achieng, Karani and Tabitha (2015), the CBK and KBA surveys delving into it. Therefore, this study seeks to bridge the current research gap existing by establishing the effects of financial innovation on financial intermediation among Kenya's commercial banks.


The research gaps identified in relation to the financial innovation and financial intermediation are: Most of the studies have only considered either a single form or type of financial innovation, ending up showing insignificant results; others have only considered public financial institutions as the study population, a move bound to yield undesired outcomes due to the differences in organization's goals between public and private institutions.

2.6 Conceptual Framework

The below conceptual model represents the anticipated correlation between the variables. The independent variables will be the mobile banking transactions, internet banking transactions, PoS channels, and ACH and RTGS transactions. The interest rate spread will be used as a proxy of financial intermediation efficiency, which is the dependent variable.

Independent Variable

Dependent Variable



CHAPTER THREE: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 Introduction

This chapter introduced the methods/techniques that were used in the study in order to establish the effect of financial innovation on efficiency of financial intermediation within the banking sector in Kenya. These entailed, data collection methods, research designs, model and data analysis.

3.2 Research Design

The study used a descriptive approach. This design results into well formulated and important knowledge principles, hence offering significant solutions to a problem, (Mugenda, 2003). According to Gay (2009), this research design is rigid and focuses only on the objectives of the study. It explains a subject through profile development of a group of problems, people or events, via data collection and tabulation of frequencies on research variables (Saunders and Thornhill, 2000). Additionally, it aims at providing validity and accuracy in representation of the study variables thus confining the scholar to the research problem, (Cooper and Schindler, 2008). This study is a case of aggregate Kenyan banking sector for the period ending on 31st December 2020.

3.3 Data Collection

The study focused on secondary data from the CBK website. The period in focus was nine years, between 1st January 2012 and 31st December 2020. The choice of this period was guided by the fact that, most of financial innovation patronage had gained momentum starting from the year 2012 when most banks embarked on adoption of the emerging technologies (KBA 2020).

3.4 Diagnostic Tests

Linearity indicated that, two variables are mathematically related through the equation $Y=\beta X$ in which case b is a constant. The test for linearity, which determined whether the predictor variables and outcome variable

have a linear relationship or not, was achieved through f-test in ANOVA. Normality test, which focused on the premise that, the residual of the response variable is normally distributed around the mean was done through Shapiro-wilk test/Kolmogorov –Smirnov test. Auto correlation which was a measure of the similarity between a certain time series and a lagged value of the very time series, over successive time intervals was tested by use of Durbin-Watson statistic (Khan, 2008). Multi-collinearity, which occur when there is nearly/exact linear relationship between two or more variables, was done through variance inflation factors. Heteroscedasticity was determined through use of Koenker Test/Breusch Test.

3.5 Data Analysis

Descriptive statistics was used in summarizing and explaining the study variables. The findings were established through measure of central tendencies, frequencies, dispersion in the tables and percentages. Pearson correlation, ANOVA, multiple regression, coefficient of determination generated the inferential statistics.

The regression model to be applied was of the form:

$$Y = \alpha + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + \beta_4 X_4 + \beta_5 X_5 + \beta_6 X_6 + e$$

Where,

Y =Interest rate Spread

X₆ =Bank Concentration

X₁ = Mobile banking transactions

α is a constant

X₂ = Internet banking transactions

β₁- β₆ = Co-efficient of explanatory variables, and e=Error

X₃ = Transactions in the PoS channels

X₄ = Automatic Clearing House (ACH) transactions

X₅ = KEPSS/RTGS transactions

3.5.1 Operationalization of Study Variables

Variables	Indicator	Measures	Empirical Studies	Measurement Levels
Financial Intermediation Efficiency	Savings and credit interest rates	Interest rate spread	Akhisar, Tunay & Tunay (2015) and Chukwunulu & Ibenta (2021)	Ratio
Mobile Banking	MBT	No. of Mobile banking Transactions	Chukwunulu & Ibenta (2021)	Ratio
Internet banking	IBT	No. of Internet banking transactions	Akhisar, Tunay & Tunay (2015) and Chukwunulu & Ibenta (2021)	Ratio
PoS	PoS	No. PoS Channels	Akhisar, Tunay & Tunay (2015) and Chukwunulu & Ibenta (2021)	Ratio
ACH	Number of ACH	No. of ACH Transactions	Boot (2008)	Ratio
RTGS	Number of RTGS	No. of RTGS Transactions	CBK (2020)	Ratio
Bank Concentration	ATMs	Number of ATMs Transactions	Tunay & Tunay (2015)	Ratio

3.5.2 Tests of Significance

To determine the statistical significance of both the overall model and the individual variables, f -test was used to measure the significance of the overall regression model at 5% while t-test was used to measure the individual significance of the independent variables. Coefficient of Determination (R^2) was used to measure the variability of the dependent variable as explainable by the independent variables.

CHAPTER FOUR: DATA ANALYSIS, RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

4.1 Introduction

This chapter entails information emanating from the processed data collected. It will cover data analysis, results presentation and discussions in relation to the effect of financial innovation on financial intermediation efficiency within the Kenyan banking sector. The focus of this study was on the aggregate banking sector outlook in Kenya.

4.2 Descriptive Statistics Analysis

Data for interest rate spread, Mobile banking transactions, Internet banking transactions, Automate Clearing House, ATMs, RTGS and Agency banking was collected for this study. The descriptive statistics outcome is as indicated in Table 4.1

Table 4.1 Descriptive Statistics

Variable	N	Mean	Std Dev	Min	Max
MBT	36	107.885	39.436	42.594	175.168
IBT	36	0.109	0.0327	0.050	0.168
PoS	36	1.741	0.793	0.579	3.306
ACH	36	2.530	0.163	2.085	2.817
ATMs	36	8.715	3.933	4.182	25.072
RTGS	36	0.300	0.163	0.117	0.510
IRS	36	8.122	2.623	4.980	12.170

As per the results indicated by the descriptive Statistics, the mean for IRS was 8.122, a minimum of 4.98, a maximum of 12.17 and standard deviation of 2.623, meaning that the data is relatively distributed around the mean. Mobile banking transactions had a mean of 107.885, with a minimum of 42.594 a maximum of 175.168 and standard deviation of 39.436. Internet banking had a mean of 0.109, a minimum of 0.050, a maximum 0.168 and standard deviation of 0.0327. Agency banking had a mean of 1.741, standard deviation of 0.793, minimum of 0.579 and maximum of 3.306. Automated Clearing House had a mean of 2.53, standard deviation 0.163, minimum of 2.085 and a maximum of 2.817. RTGS had a mean of 0.300, standard deviation of 0.163, Minimum of 0.117 and a maximum of 0.510 while ATMs had a mean of 8.715, standard deviation of 3.933, minimum of 4.182 and maximum of 25.072. All standard deviations of the predictor variables seem to be relatively distributed around their respective mean values.

4.3 Diagnostics Tests

4.3.1 Autocorrelation

This is a measure of the similarity between a certain time series and a lagged value of the very time series, over successive time intervals. This test was done using Durbin Watson statistic.

Table 4.2 Test of Autocorrelation

R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate	Durbin-Watson
0.931	0.867	0.844	1.034687	0.735

Using the Durbin Watson rule of thumb:

$X > 2$ indicates for a negative autocorrelation

$X = 2$ No autocorrelation

$X < 2$ Positive correlation

Therefore, the value being 0.735 as indicated in table 4.2 shows a case of positive autocorrelation since it is less than 2.

4.3.2 Multicollinearity

Occurs in nearly/exact linear relationship between two or more predictor variables. This was done through variance inflation factors as indicated in table 4.3. The RTGS variable was dropped due to having VIF>10.

Table 4.3 Test of Multicollinearity

	Collinearity Tolerance	VIF Statistics
MBT	0.115	8.667
IBT	0.342	2.928
Agency Banking	0.106	9.399
ACH	0.460	2.173
ATMs	0.707	1.415

The results presented in table 4.3 indicated that, MBT had a tolerance of 0.115 and VIF of 8.667; IBT had a tolerance of 0.342 and VIF of 2.928; Agency Banking had a tolerance of 0.106 and VIF of 9.399; ACH had a tolerance of 0.460 and VIF of 2.173; ATMs had a tolerance of 0.707 and VIF of 1.415. Based on Hair et al (1999), the maximum acceptable VIF statistic is 10, thus the study variables had no multicollinearity.

4.3.3 Heteroscedasticity

Heteroscedasticity can be termed as the opposite of homoscedasticity which refers to situation whereby the error terms in the regression model have constant variance and cannot influence each other. The results of this test were done by Breusch pagan/Koenker tests as presented in table 4.4.

Table 4.4 Tests for Heteroscedasticity

	Lagrange Multiplier(LM)	Sig
Breusch Pagan(BP)	9.0717	0.1062
Koenker	5.1351	0.3996

The null hypothesis is that heteroscedasticity does not exist (Homoscedasticity). If the significance value level is less than 0.05, then heteroscedasticity exists thus the null hypothesis is rejected. In this study both the BP and Koenker tests generated significance values of 0.1062 and 0.3996 respectively, an indication that the assumption of homoscedasticity is upheld, that is, the null hypothesis is true.

4.3.4 Normality Test

This kind of test tend to seek whether a set of data demonstrates normal characteristics. This was done using Kolmogorov-Smirnov/Shapiro-Wilk statistics. For both tests, if $P > 0.05$, then the data is normally distributed but if $p < 0.05$, then the data is not normally distributed. The results are as presented in table 4.5.

Table 4.5: Test for Normality

	Kolmogorov-Smirnov		Shapiro-Wilk	
	Statistic	Sig	Statistic	Sig
Mobile Banking	0.124	0.180	0.937	0.410
Internet Banking	0.110	0.200	0.960	0.215
Agency Banking	0.181	0.055	0.914	0.090
ACH	0.174	0.078	0.935	0.360
ATMs	0.331	0.054	0.623	0.062

Using the Shapiro-Wilk results as shown in the data above, the data for MBT, IBT ,Agency banking, ACH and ATMs were normally distributed given that their p-values are 0.410,0.215,0.09,0.36 and 0.062 are greater than the significant level of 0.05 In view of Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, MBT ,IBT Agency banking, ACH and ATMs data was normally distributed. This study will adopt the Shapiro-Wilk test since the focus is on the aggregate banking sector.

4.4 Correlation Analysis

This test was done to ascertain that the relationship between outcome variable and the predictor variables is statistically significant, in order to enhance the reliability of the model. This study conducted a correlation matrix in order to test and uphold the assumption.

Table 4.6 Correlation Statistics

		Mobile Banking	Internet Banking	Agency banking	RTGS	Clearing House	Teller Machines	IRS
Mobile Banking	Pearson Correlation	1	-.006	.871**	.984**	.553**	-.504**	-.928**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.974	<.001	<.001	<.001	.002	<.001
	N	36	36	36	36	36	36	36
Internet Banking	Pearson Correlation	-.006	1	-.352*	-.041	.442**	-.003	.003
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.974		.036	.812	.007	.986	.984
	N	36	36	36	36	36	36	36
Agency banking	Pearson Correlation	.871**	-.352*	1	.845**	.393*	-.368*	-.822**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	<.001	.036		<.001	.018	.027	<.001
	N	36	36	36	36	36	36	36
RTGS	Pearson Correlation	.984**	-.041	.845**	1	.529**	-.514**	-.913**

	Sig. (2-tailed)	<.001	.812	<.001		<.001	0.01	<.001
	N	36	36	36	36	36	36	36
Clearing House	Pearson Correlation	.553**	.442**	.393*	.529**	1	-.264	-.482**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	<.001	.007	.018	<.001		.120	.003
	N	36	36	36	36	36	36	36
Teller Machines	Pearson Correlation	-.504**	-.003	-.368*	-.514**	-.264	1	.460**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.002	.986	.027	0.01	.120		.005
	N	36	36	36	36	36	36	36
Interest Rate Spread	Pearson Correlation	-.928**	.003	-.822**	-.913**	-.482**	.460**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	<.001	.984	<.001	<.001	.003	.005	
	N	36	36	36	36	36	36	36

From the above table, Mobile banking Transactions(MBT) and Internet Banking Transactions(IBT), Mobile Banking Transactions and ATMs had negative correlation at -0.060 and -0.504 respectively, whereas MBT and Agency Banking, Mobile Banking Transactions and RTGS, Mobile banking transactions and Automated Clearing House had positive correlations at 0.871,0.984 and 0.553 respectively. IBT and Agency banking, IBT and RTGS, IBT and ATMs had negative correlations at -.3520, -0.041 and -0.003 respectively, while IBT and ACH had a positive correlation at 0. 442. Agency banking and RTGS, Agency banking and ACH had a positive correlation at 0.845 and 0.393 while Agency banking and ATMs had negative

correlation at -0.368. RTGS and ACH had positive correlation of 0.529 while RTGS and ATMs had negative of -0.514. ACH and ATMs had negative correlation at -0.2640.

4.5 Multiple Regression Analysis

4.5.1 Analysis of Variance

Table 4.7 ANOVA Statistics

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	208.817	6	34.803	31.586	<.001
	Residual	31.954	29	1.102		
	Total	240.771	35			

From table 4.7, the F-Value is 31.586, with a p-value of <0.001 which is way lower than p-value of 0.05. This means that there is a significant difference between the means of the various variables under this study, thus enabling the predictor variables to statistically significantly predict the dependent variable. The regression model is a good fit for the data.

4.5.2 Coefficient of Determination (R²)

R² elaborates how much change in the outcome variable can be attributed to the change in the predictor variable. In other words, it can be said to be the percentage variation in the outcome variable (In this case, financial intermediation efficiency), that was explained by the independent variable (ACH, MBT, IBT, Agency banking and ATMs), as shown by table 4.8 below.

Table 4.8 Coefficient of Determination (R²)

R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate	Durbin-Watson
0.931	0.867	0.844	1.034687	0.735

From the results presented in table 4.8, Adjusted R² is 0.844. This meant that, 84.4% of efficiency in the financial intermediation (represented by interest rate spread) was attributable to the five predictor variables used in the study. Only 15.6% was explained by other factors not included herein.

4.5.3 Regression Coefficients

Table 4.9: Regression Coefficients

	Unstandardized		Standardized		
	Coefficients		Coefficients		
	B	Std Error	Beta	t	sig
(Constant)	12.358	3.309		3.734	<.001
Mobile Banking	-.055	0.013	-.8340	-4.249	<.001
Internet Banking	-7.723	9.133	-.0960	-.846	.404
Agency banking	-.536	0.676	-.1620	-.793	.434
Clearing House	1.390	1.583	.0860	.878	.387
Teller Machines	.002	.053	.0020	.030	.976

The study conducted a multiple regression analysis in order to establish a model that will statistically present the relationship between outcome variable and the predictor variables herein used. The regression model ($Y = \alpha + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + \beta_4 X_4 + \beta_5 X_5 + e$) was:

$$Y = 12.358 + (0.055) X_1 + (7.723) X_2 + (0.536) X_3 + 1.39 X_4 + 0.002 X_5$$

Where,

Y = Interest rate Spread

X₁ = Mobile banking transactions

X₂ = Internet banking transactions

X₃ = Transactions in the PoS channels (Agency banking)

X₄ = Automatic Clearing House (ACH) transactions

X₅ = Bank Concentration (ATMs)

α is a constant

$\beta_1 - \beta_5$ = Co-efficient of explanatory variables, and e = Error

From the model above, holding constant all the predictor variables, the value of interest rate spread was at 12.358. Further analysis revealed that, holding other independent variables constant, a unit increase in Mobile Banking Transactions led to 0.055 decrease in Interest Rate Spread; a unit increase in Internet Banking Transactions at constant level of all other predictors decreased IRS with a margin 7.723; a unit increase in Agency banking with all independent variables being constant culminated into a 0.536 decrease in IRS; a unit change in Automated Clearing House holding all predictor variables constant resulted to 1.39 increase in IRS and a unit increase in ATMs volumes ended up with a 0.002 increase in IRS.

At a 5% significance level and a 95% confidence level, MBT had a significance level of <0.01 , IBT had significance level of 0.404, Agency banking 0.434, ACH 0.387 and ATMs had significance value of 0.976. At significance level of <0.01 , MBT was the only significant factor influencing the outcome of financial intermediation through interest rate spread.

4.6 Discussion of Findings

From the variables 'analysis results, it was established that Adjusted $R^2=0.844$, meaning that 84.4 % of variations in the interest rate spread can be explained/attributed to Mobile Banking Transactions, Internet Banking Transactions, Agency banking, Automated Clearing House and ATMs' volumes. This meant that only 15.6 % of the changes are related to other factors outside the study, making the model highly reliable. This in line with Aikaeli (2008), who observed that, financial intermediation efficiency in Tanzania had fairly improved in the wake of financial innovation, although some adjustments were required at some fronts. Additionally, the findings were in contrast with Chukwunulu & Ibenta (2020), whereby, the Adjusted $R^2=0.57$, leading to conclusion that the efficiency dictated by financial innovation was statistically insignificant.

Mobile banking transactions were found to significantly determine the outcome of the interest rate spread. Its negative relationship with the interest rate spread, spelt a positive efficiency in terms of financial intermediation. Perhaps, this positive and significant effect of mobile banking transactions could be attributed to a robust mobile network coverage, affordability of mobile phone devices and alternative mobile banking services provision platforms such as USSD and apps. Additionally, the level of convenience enhanced by mobile banking, coupled with integrated mobile wallets could be a contributing factor towards a high patronage and improved financial intermediation. This finding was partly in agreement and partly disagreed with the findings of Chukwunulu and Ibenta (2020), in whose study, they established that Mobile banking transactions had a positive effect on the efficiency of financial intermediation in Nigeria, although the effect was insignificant.

By having a negative relationship with Interest Rate Spread, agency banking contributed to improvement of financial intermediation efficiency although insignificantly due to a high p-value. Automated Clearing House and Interest Rate Spread had a positive relationship thus reducing the efficiency of financial intermediation. The relationship between ATMs' volumes and Interest Rate Spread was positive but insignificant, meaning less impact on the financial intermediation efficiency's decline. These findings on the relationship between ATMs and Interest Rate spread are supported by the findings of Chukwunulu and Ibenta (2020) in Nigeria, who concluded that the former had a positive but insignificant effect on the latter.

The study established that, Mobile banking Transactions pose a highly significant impact in the level of financial intermediation efficiency. Any single transaction done on the platform reduces the interest rate spread, thereby enhancing positively efficiency in financial intermediation. The same effects are demonstrated by Internet banking transactions although its contribution towards improving the financial intermediation efficiency is low. Agency banking, which has enhanced liquidity in most case through financial inclusion, indicated a positive contribution as far as improving financial intermediation efficiency is concerned but it's expected to significantly impact on the same in the long run. However, automated clearing House and ATMs were found to contribute towards negatively affecting financial intermediation efficiency by positively impacting on the interest rate spread. The general study was contrary to Vargas (2009) findings, who had established that, financial innovation had totally no significance in financial intermediation.

CHAPTER FIVE: SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Introduction

This chapter entails a summary of findings, conclusions and recommendations, limitations and suggestions of the study. The study sought to determine the effect of financial innovation on the financial intermediation efficiency within the banking sector in Kenya. Secondary data was obtained from the aggregate records in the CBK website for the period commencing on 1st January 2012 and ending on 31st December 2020. This data was then grouped into quarterly averages as per the financial reporting timeliness of the banking sector.

5.2 Summary of the Findings

The study established that, financial innovation had an effect on the financial intermediation efficiency within Kenya's banking sector. The F-value had a significance of <0.001 which is less than 0.05, an indication of significant statistical relationship between the outcome and predictor variables under the study. The Adjusted $R^2=0.844$, meaning that 84.4% of the variations that were realized in the interest rate spread could be explained by the financial innovation. $R=0.931$, meaning that the predictor variables had a positive and strong correlation with the outcome variable.

Mobile banking transactions (MBT), internet banking transactions (IBT) and agency banking transactions were found to have a negative relationship with the interest rate spread, where MBT had a coefficient of -0.55 and a p-value of <0.01 , IBT generated a coefficient of -7.723 and p-value=0.404 and agency banking had a coefficient of -0.536 and p=0.434. This could be translated to mean that, the negative relationship among Mobile Banking Transactions, internet banking transactions and agency banking against the interest rate spread was an indicator of improved financial intermediation efficiency.

Automated Clearing House (ACH) and the volumes of ATMs' transactions were found to positively affect the interest rate spread. ACH had a coefficient of 1.39 while ATM's had a coefficient of 0.002. However, their contribution level was established to be insignificant, with ACH having p=0.387 and ATMs' p=0.976.

Automated Clearing House could be regarded as a payment settlement platform for the already banked within the banking sector. The latter service provides an alternative payment system, enhances a secure and trackable funds transfer either by economic agents, financial institutions or the legislative bodies. Mostly, it doesn't contribute much in terms of liquidity but rather enhances payment settlement. On the other hand, ATMs are preferably utilized for withdrawal purposes. The test for heteroscedasticity generated a Breusch Pagan statistic p-value of 0.1062 and Koenker statistic p-value of 0.3996. The two significance levels were above 0.05, thus nullifying the existence of heteroscedasticity, rendering the variables considerable for the study.

5.3 Conclusions of the Study

The study concluded that, Mobile banking transactions had a significant effect on the level of financial intermediation efficiency within Kenya's banking sector. Its adverse relationship with interest rate spread is a positive contribution towards improving and enhancing a sustainable financial intermediation efficiency in the long run. Mobile banking has a wider coverage, geographically and demographically. The integration of the various banking services into the mobile platforms encourages convenience, ease and user friendly experiences which encourages patronage.

Internet banking encourages improvement in the financial intermediation efficiency. It can be viewed from the perspective of integrated banking services that presents an alternative banking channel. However, its contribution is insignificant. The reasons to the latter could emanate from low patronage which could be as a result of internet data costs, perceived difficult in usage, glaring risks from online hacking which could culminate into information/financial losses, low transactions speed and constant prompts for changes in the log-in credentials.

Agency banking had a positive effect on the financial intermediation efficiency. However, its effect was insignificant. The major role played by the agency banking is related to its capability to improve liquidity through financial inclusion. The insignificant contribution of this type of innovation could be attributed to

the inadequate number of agents, low sensitization levels by the banking institutions on the reliability of the channel, patronage being inclined towards cash withdrawals and payment settlement only.

Automated Clearing House had a negative effect on the financial intermediation efficiency, although its impact was insignificant. The underlying factor behind this could be as a result of the ACH acting purely as a payment settlement innovation platform for the already banked. Its impact on savings and lending rates is negligent since it only revolves around the already existing liquidity and for the already banked economic agents.

ATMs were negative and insignificant contributor to the level of financial intermediation efficiency. Although this level of innovation is meant to provide banking services outside the banking halls, it does not support lending and savings initiation which could render it less effective in terms of financial intermediation efficiency. Additionally, most transactions done on ATMs are of withdrawal nature with few installations of deposit machines, which could create an imbalance between the two key roles of financial mobilization.

5.4 Recommendations of the Study

In the wake of technological advancement and tech-savvy generation, the study recommends that, the banking sector should be actively researching and developing technology based banking services continuously. Banks should carry out rigorous mass sensitization programs and campaigns in order to demonstrate the benefits and usefulness of the various alternate banking channels such as internet banking, as a marketing and promotional drive. The design of Mobile banking platforms and internet banking platforms should be done in conformance to the consumers 'requirements and expectations. Integration of the banking services into these platforms should be made in a way that culminates into one stop comprehensive financial services.

Further, the study recommends savings/deposits initiated through the alternate banking channels to attract higher interest rate margins and lending requested and advanced through the same platforms to attract lesser interest rate margins. Continuous maintenance of the alternate banking channels should regularly be done to enhance reliability. Installation of cash deposit machines in the ATMs lobbies should be considered. Training of customers on the usability of this platforms in order to ensure self-efficacy should be done. The latter can be enhanced through creation of digital centers at branch levels and campaigns through the media. The banking sector should enhance Agency banking capacity in order to support full credit and savings services remotely. At the same time, empowerment of agency banking vendors both financially and information-wise. This should be done through trainings and settling some overheads associated with running the agency banking at agents 'level. Installation of security measures should be carried out in order to safeguard customers against information/data and financial losses.

5.5 Limitations of the Study

The study focused on the period beginning on 01st January 2012 and ending on 31st December 2020. It is during this period that the government of Kenya imposed an interest rate capping on the lending rates, precisely September 2016 to October 2019. This move had a ripple effect on the deposit rates advanced to the savers. Mostly, the interest rate spread was influenced legislatively rather than market/institutional based measures. It is during this period that most banks abolished interest rates on the savings accounts and only focused on the fixed deposits. This probably had impacted negatively on the surplus units who preferably had other investment options to channel their funds. This has posed a challenge in determining the exact influence of the various financial innovation forms, on the outcome of the financial intermediation efficiency.

The study observed various forms of financial innovation and included one control variable, whose choice was influenced by the level of control the banking sector has over it. However, operations of any banking sector is highly influenced by macro-economic factors which could include the Gross Domestic Product,

exchange rates, level of inflation and competition levels from the global banking sector. Inclusion of such factors could have a significant bearing on the outcome of the dependent variable, a situation that can alter the relationship among the variables under consideration as presented by the multiple regression model.

5.6 Suggestions for Further Studies

The study sought to establish the effect of financial innovation on financial intermediation efficiency within the banking sector in Kenya. The study determined that, only mobile banking had a positive and significant effect on the financial intermediation efficiency in Kenya. The study suggests for the same nature of study to be conducted after a significant period of time (post the interest rate capping period). The reasons for the suggestion is due to the impact of the interest rate capping in Kenya that overrode the interaction of the study variables under normal market/institutional forces.

The study further suggests that, another study that entirely focusses on individual banking institutions be conducted. This study will reveal how efficient each banking institution will be and how the variables interact with each other as a competitive edge for the banks under study. The data to be considered can be primary and/or secondary.

The study also suggests for an extension of the same scope to the other subsectors of the finance sector including insurance and microfinance institutions. This will establish how efficiency of financial intermediation in those subsectors has been affected by the various innovations therein. It will also form a basis for comparison between the various subsectors in terms of innovation patronage, which will reveal alternate channels' preferences, thereby assisting the players build their competence around them.

REFERENCES

- Ansong, A., Yiadom, E.M & Asmah, E. E (2011). The Effects of Financial Innovation on Financial Savings: Evidence from an Economy in Transition, *Journal of African Business*, 12(1), 93-113, DOI: 10.1080/15228916.2011.555271
- Achieng, O.C., Karani, K.P. & Tabitha, N. (2015). Financial Innovation and the future of Financial Intermediation.” *International Journal of Education and Research*, 3(5), 385-392
- Akhisar, I., Tunay, K. B and Tunay, N. (2015). The Effects of Innovations on Bank Performance -The Case of Electronic Banking Services. *Social and Behavioral Sciences* 195 ,369-375
- Akinlo, A.E and Owoyemi, B.O (2012). The determinants of interest rate spread in Nigeria-An Empirical Investigation. *Modern Economy* 3(7) 837-845
- Aikaeli, J. (2008). Commercial bank efficiency in Tanzania. *A paper presented at a CSAE Conference on —Economic Development in Africa, held at St. Catherine's College, Oxford, 16th – 18th*
- Andrew, O.A and Osuji, C.C (2013). An empirical Analysis of Financial Intermediation and output in Nigeria. *Global Journal Inc. (USA)* 13(9), 210-216
- Amato L.H & Burson T. E (2007). The effects of firm size on profit rates in the financial services. *J.Econs.Edu.Res.*8(1) :67-81
- Christiansen, B. & Yüksel, U. (2017). Technological Integration as a Catalyst for Industrial Development and Economic Growth (pp. 207-227). *IGI global. Advances in finance, accounting, and economics (AFAE) book series*
- Beck T. (2007). Efficiency in Financial Intermediation: Theory and Empirical Measurement. In: Balkenhol B. (eds) Microfinance and Public Policy. *International Labor Organization (ILO) Century Series. Palgrave Macmillan, London. https://doi.org/10.1057/9780230300026_7*
- Blach, J. (2011). Financial Innovation and their role in the modern financial System-Identification and systemization of the problem. *Financial Internet Quarterly*, 7(3), 13-26
- Cai, C. W (2018). Disruption of financial intermediation by Fintech. *A review on crowdfunding and block chain: Accounting & Finance* 58:965-992
- Casadas, P.V (2015). Innovation for financial access and its impact on in financial intermediation and poverty reduction. *Working paper 2015/2019*
- CBK, (2020). Banking Sector Innovation Survey 2020. www.centralbank.go.ke
- Chukwunulu, J.I. and Ibenta, S.N.O (2021). Financial Innovation and efficiency of Financial Intermediation in Nigeria. *African Journal of Accounting and Financial Research* 4(2) 77-87


- Dabwor, T. D (2010). The Nigerian Banking System and the challenges of the financial intermediation in the 21st Century. *Jos Journal of Economics* 4(1)
- Dharmadasa, C. (2021). Fintech services and the future of financial intermediation: A Review, *Sri-Lanka journal of economic research*, VL8,21,10.4038/slejer. v8i2.135
- Domeher, D., Frimpong, J.M & Appiah, T (2014). Adoption of Financial Innovation in the Ghanaian Banking Industry. *African Journal of Economics and Finance* 6(2), 88-114
- Gibson, J (2015). The impact fintech is having on the financial services industry in Ireland. *MBA dissertation, Durbli Business School, Ireland*
- Igbanibo, D.A and Iwedi, M (2015). The Intermediation functions of finance companies and economic growth; issues, theory and empirical evidence from Nigeria. *Journal of Finance and Accounting*, 3(3), 47-56
- Kaur, H. (2017). Effect of social media connectivity on success of crowdfunding campaigns.in: Proc. Of 5th Inter conf. on ITQM-2017, *procedia comput. Sci* 122,767-774
- Korir, M. C., Sang, W., Shisia, A. & Mutung'u, C. (2015). Financial Innovations and Performance of Commercial Banks in Kenya. *International Journal of Economics, Commerce and Management*, 3(5), 1242 – 1265
- Mishra P. K (2008). Financial Innovation and Economic Growth-A *Functional Approach: SSRN*
- Mishra, P. K.(2008).Financial Innovation and Economic Growth - A Theoretical Approach (September3,2008).AvailableatSSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=1262658> or <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1262658>
- Mugo, J. G (2012). The effect of financial Innovation on the growth of micro-finance institutions in Kenya. *A research project report submitted in Partial fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Business Administration, School of Business, University of Nairobi*
- Muiruri, J. K & Ngari, J.M. (2014). Effects of Financial Innovations on the Financial Performance of commercial Banks in Kenya. *International Journal of Humanities and Social Science*, 4(7), 51-57
- Mugenda, O.M. & Mugenda, A.G. (2003). Research Methods-Quantitative and Qualitative Approaches.” *ACT, Nairobi*
- Okiro, K., & Ndungu, J. (2013). The impact of mobile and internet banking on performance of financial institutions in Kenya. *European Scientific Journal, ESJ*, 9(13).
- Omanga, J., & Dreyer, J. K. (2017). Innovation and Financial Inclusion in Kenya: A Case Study of M-PESA.
- Pianalto, S. (2007). Financial innovation: Benefit and Challenges. *Presentation to the Community Development Policy summit, Cleveland, Ohio,22nd June.*

Scholtens, B. & Van Wensveen, D. (2003). *The Theory of Financial Intermediation: An Essay on What It Does (Not) Explain*. Vienna: SUERF – The European Money and Finance Forum.

Sologoub, D. (2006). The determinants of Bank Interest Margins & Profitability. *Case of Ukraine*
www.bof.fi/bofit/seminar/bofcef06/sologoub.pdf

APPENDICES

Appendix I: The Structure of the Banking Sector in Kenya



Source: CBK Bank Supervision Annual report (2020)

Appendix II: List of Raw Data

Period	No.MBT(M)	No.IBT(M)	No.PoS(M)	NO.RTGS(M)	No.ACH(M)	ATMs(M)	IR(%)
2012							
Q1	42.594	0.083	0.579	0.117	2.295	11.281	12.16
Q2	46.731	0.084	0.692	0.127	2.280	15.467	11.77
Q3	49.330	0.088	1.130	0.133	2.279	20.267	12.17
Q4	53.803	0.088	1.367	0.146	2.385	25.072	10.87
2013							
Q1	53.090	0.083	1.409	0.141	2.235	4.842	11.45
Q2	58.790	0.087	1.252	0.165	2.374	8.352	10.91
Q3	63.617	0.095	1.291	0.172	2.452	8.245	10.45
Q4	68.703	0.094	1.304	0.181	2.468	8.940	10.40
2014							
Q1	68.876	0.121	1.230	0.188	2.457	7.742	10.42
Q2	73.557	0.103	0.941	0.202	2.472	6.469	10.19
Q3	78.180	0.115	0.821	0.215	2.593	7.313	9.82
Q4	83.166	0.125	0.887	0.237	2.572	8.011	9.25
2015							
Q1	84.247	0.127	0.888	0.255	2.567	8.225	8.97
Q2	88.492	0.153	1.054	0.245	2.593	8.850	8.98
Q3	94.813	0.168	1.197	0.264	2.603	9.089	9.25
Q4	103.840	0.164	1.252	0.277	2.556	9.292	9.70
2016							
Q1	101.453	0.156	1.385	0.275	2.512	8.212	10.52
Q2	106.556	0.113	1.298	0.297	2.600	7.745	11.44
Q3	112.419	0.116	1.429	0.331	2.670	7.673	9.87
Q4	123.244	0.116	1.606	0.421	2.624	7.892	6.09
2017							
Q1	124.287	0.110	1.783	0.348	2.606	7.759	6.33
Q2	129.079	0.112	1.854	0.387	2.610	7.807	6.60
Q3	125.736	0.145	1.855	0.345	2.626	7.818	6.09
Q4	135.290	0.147	1.948	0.381	2.585	8.202	5.58
2018							

Q1	138.825	0.140	1.940	0.349	2.576	8.072	5.38
Q2	140.141	0.144	2.110	0.386	2.676	8.159	5.14
Q3	146.197	0.160	2.157	0.389	2.683	8.184	5.00
Q4	154.695	0.150	2.350	0.402	2.668	8.503	5.07
2019							
Q1	153.371	0.099	2.494	0.383	2.639	7.885	5.21
Q2	152.927	0.077	3.084	0.405	2.734	7.364	5.29
Q3	152.010	0.077	3.214	0.430	2.817	6.342	5.49
Q4	154.719	0.077	3.306	0.432	2.754	6.748	5.47
2020							
Q1	149.807	0.079	3.235	0.407	2.644	5.915	5.12
Q2	134.689	0.050	2.302	0.389	2.085	4.182	4.98
Q3	161.435	0.058	2.788	0.469	2.289	5.288	5.27
Q4	175.168	0.055	3.244	0.510	2.511	6.543	5.71