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ABSTRACT

This study set out to investigate the causes of poor performance in Kiswahili KCSE examination in Kipipiri Division of Nyandarua District because there has been consistent poor performance in the previous years. The objectives included, establishing the availability and use of teaching and learning resources, instructional techniques used and problem areas faced by teachers and students in the teaching-learning process. Research questions were used, which aimed at establishing the extent to which the study objectives were achieved.

From a total of twelve secondary schools, ten were used. No sampling was done because the number was small. Two schools were omitted because they did not have results for the 5 year period under study. From a population of 1200 secondary school Form Four students, simple random sampling was done to get a sample of 293 students to participate in the research.

There were 14 Kiswahili teachers in these schools and all of them were included in the study. The study was carried out using questionnaires, one for the teachers and the other one for the students. Descriptive statistics were used to analyse the data.

The study revealed that teachers and students experienced problems in a number of areas, for example, "Masaihri" (poetry) whereby students and teachers find it difficult to analyse poem and get the intended meaning. In "Intsha" (composition) students experience problems in using the correct grammar and spellings. There was also a
scarcity of teaching and learning resources such as class readers and Kiswahili newspapers

Majority of the Kiswahili teachers are overloaded with 22 or more lessons per week, which affects the quality of their work. The results also revealed that there is overemphasis of English use over Kiswahili. In schools, learners do not practice speaking in standard Kiswahili. They either use *sheng* or their ethnic languages. The situation is compounded by the fact that students have a negative attitude towards Kiswahili and therefore they rarely work hard in it. The findings of the research led the researcher to recommend the following:

1. Efforts should be made by school authorities to provide enough of the necessary teaching and learning resources.

2. Students should be encouraged to communicate in standard Kiswahili so as to improve in grammar and composition writing.

3. The TSC should provide enough *Kiswahili* teachers in schools so that teachers are not overworked and thus they have enough time to teach well.

4. Learners in secondary schools need to change their attitude towards *Kiswahili*. It is not just there to assist them attain good grades in examinations. It could also help them later in their lives.

Further research could be done on the area of *Mashairi* (poetry) in order to establish why it proves a difficult area both to teachers and students. Also further research could be carried out on the effect of students’ attitudes towards *Kiswahili* performance in examinations.
CHAPTER ONE

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background to the Study

The Encyclopedia Britannica states that language is an arbitrary system of vocal symbols by means of which human beings as members of a social group and participants in a culture, interact and communicate. Similarly, Omondi (1995:5) observes that language is a system of communication and a tool that facilitates thought which is essential for demonstration of clear thinking.

According to Lado (1964), language is intimately tied to man's feelings and activity. It is bound up with nationality, religion and the feeling of self, and man uses language for work, worship and play whether he is a beggar or banker, savage or civilized. The idea of man's feelings and activity as far as language is concerned is further expounded by Bearne (1999) who states that language is a symbolic asset which can receive different values depending on the market. This is because some languages have a good market, that is, they are in high demand and therefore have a high symbolic value. Others have no market and are devalued. In connection with this, schools are considered to be important agents in the production of the linguistic market.
Some of the functions of language outlined by Omondi (1999:24) are as follows:

1. Language acquisition is related to the development of cognition. This means that the way we categorise and perhaps relate to the world around us is interrelated with if not determined by the relevant categories or vocabularies in our language.

2. Human beings use language in communicating with one another, because language has or signifies meaning.

3. Language helps us in life to carry out directive functions in our attempts to influence the behaviour or attitudes of others, for example, in commands or requests.

Approximately 1000 out of about 6000 of the world languages are spoken in Africa. Kenya has about 40 mother tongues or first languages which the majority of Kenyans learn in the predominantly rural setting, where the majority of them live.

Kenyan languages belong to three sub-families of African languages (Omondi, 1999). These are Bantu, Nilotic and Cushitic languages. Kiswahili is a Bantu language (Mbaabu, 1985, 1991), therefore most students in Kenya would or should be at ease learning this language. For the majority of Kenyans especially those who live in homogenous rural settings, mother-tongues are their first languages. Kiswahili to most people is a second language.
Mistakes made in learning the Kiswahili language are caused by such factors as poor background from the beginning, effects of mothertongue, disabilities (stuttering), upbringing and even a person’s intelligent quotient.

In the previous education system, in Kenya, of 7 years in primary school, 4 years in secondary school (ordinary level), 2 years in high school (Advanced level) and 3 years at the university, Kiswahili as a subject was optional in schools. For those who opted to take it, it was introduced to them late while learning, that is, in upper primary or even sometimes in secondary schools. This posed a problem because as Omondi (1999: 121) states, “there is a critical period in human life when language acquisition is easiest and beyond which it becomes more difficult to acquire a language.”

With the present education system of 8 years in primary school, 4 years in secondary school and 4 years at the university, Kiswahili is a compulsory and examinable subject at all levels of schooling. Children are introduced early enough to this language and they learn it with a lot of ease. They face no problems because, children readily acquire language early in life and all they require is exposure. This implies that when any normal child is exposed to more languages than one, he or she will automatically become bilingual, speaking each language with the right accent.
As far as the Kiswahili language is concerned, not much value is attached to it compared to other languages like English, French or German. This is due to a number of factors. For example, the colonialists and the missionaries did not regard the Kiswahili language to be very important. During their time, that is, the colonial period, the first languages or the mother tongues were emphasised in education and the missionary educators were induced to learn those languages. However, the use of Swahili was discouraged.

Apart from the colonialists and the missionaries, the Africans themselves were not eager to learn the Kiswahili language. For example, Whiteley (1956) observes that most Africans were keen to learn English because it was seen as a gateway to white-collar jobs, for example, clerks. Among Africans, there was a real resentment against Swahili. This is because the language could not appeal to tribal sentiment, nor could any gateway be opened as a result of proficiency in it.

Even after independence, the Kenyan Government did not encourage the teaching of Kiswahili. According to the Ominde Commission of 1965, the great majority of witnesses wished to see the universal use of English language as the medium of instruction from Primary 1. At the same time, the Gachathi Report of 1975 noted that no Kiswahili was being taught in many primary schools because the time allocated to it was spent in teaching other subjects especially English and Mathematics which were subjects of examination at that
level It recommended that Kiswahili should be made a compulsory and
examinable subject at the end of the primary cycle However, this was not
implemented until much later in 1985 with the introduction of the 8-4-4 system
of education (Mbaabu, 1999)

In our educational institutions today, the potential economic advantages of
knowing English are greater than those of knowing Kiswahili This fact affects
to a certain extent the learner’s attitudes towards the two languages. The most
important thing is to make sure that those who learn are motivated by some
genuine advantage which will accrue to them in return for their effort In
Kenya, studying Kiswahili is mainly for examination purposes, students put
some effort in it so as to attain good grades In addition, they may want to be
selected in various schools or institutions for further studies or even to join
various courses And because passing in Kiswahili is a requirement in all
these, they have to work hard and pass in it.

The rather ambiguous language policy in Kenya seems to enhance the growth
of multilingual school children These children hardly learn either of the
languages as perfectly, as those who are limited to one language (Legere,
1991) This has led to the development, growth and spread of SHENG which
is a mixture of Swahili and English blended with words from other languages
The majority of the young in the city of Nairobi are exposed to languages and
dialects of many different ethnic groups These children attend school where
the medium for communication is usually English or Kiswahili. Most of the children cannot speak either of these two languages fluently. Consequently, these children have come up with the new urban language which is sheng.

In language teaching, teachers are not provided with linguistic maps of students' knowledge or of their own. Nonetheless, they have to function with a class of individual students and should therefore ideally start from where the learners are rather than from somewhere different in the hope that their students will catch up before they have gone too far.

Closely associated with this is the issue of textbooks. The reading materials would have to take into account the different types of language backgrounds so that a book written for a non-swahili speaker is more of a structural text than one written for a mother-tongue speaker which would be of the general reading type (Wang’ombe, 1990). In addition, it would be more appropriate to write for a known audience than the practice of writing for readers from a very generalised point of view.

The Kiswahili language faces the stigma of being referred to as a language that has not yet fully developed and thus founded enough vocabulary for scientific and technological items (Lumbasio, 1989). So people often wonder how this language would be used to teach such disciplines like Aviation Technology.
Human Anatomy, Building and Design, Engineering or even recently introduced, Information Technology. This is because there is no appropriate vocabulary to express the technical terms.

There are some people who do not use Kiswahili as their first language or who see the language as either a mixture of languages or as an Arabic dialect. Because of this, they argue that it is not appropriate or well placed to become the official as well as the National Language of Kenya (Lumbasio, 1989).

In the Kipipiri Division of Nyandarua District, there has been consistent poor performance in Kiswahili KCSE examination in the period under study, which is 5 years, that is, from 1998 to 2002. During this period most schools have been attaining a mean score of C- and below. It is only one school, that is, Manunga Girls’ Secondary school, which is a private school, which has recorded a mean score of C over this period. This performance is poor because some schools in other divisions in this district have been recording a mean score of B and above, in this same duration (DEO, Nyandarua District, 2003).

The District Education Officers have therefore consistently advised teachers and students to put extra efforts in order to improve performance in this language. It was with this poor performance in mind that the researcher set out to investigate the causes of this poor performance. This is because Kiswahili
plays a pivotal role in the careers and further studies of students. Therefore, they should put a lot of effort in it. However, this is not the case because grades as low as D- have been recorded in this area. The identification of the causes related with this poor performance will help the administrators and the subject teachers in this area be aware of the problems linked with the teaching of this subject and thus rectify them.

It will also assist other players (like K.I.E., the Ministry of Education) associated with the teaching and learning of Kiswahili in secondary schools become aware of the problems facing Kiswahili teachers and learners and thus do something about them.

1.2 The Problem Statement

Kiswahili plays a role in determining the future of students in national examinations (Mbaabu, 1999), for example, in further studies or doing other courses. However, there has been consistent below average performance in Kiswahili KCSE examination in Kipipiri Division of Nyandarua District over the previous five years (District Education Officer Nyandarua, 1998 - 2002).
In Table 1, the average performance in Kiswahili from 1998 – 2002 has been shown. The table reveals that students have performed below average in this subject, except in 2002.

Table 1: Performance trend in Kiswahili KCSE examination in Kipipiri Division of Nyandarua District, 1998 – 2002.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>Mean Score (m/s)</th>
<th>Mean Grade (m/g)</th>
<th>Maximum attainable performance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>4.91 C-</td>
<td>12.0 A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>4.01 D+</td>
<td>12.0 A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>4.20 D+</td>
<td>12.0 A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>5.13 C-</td>
<td>12.0 A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>5.55 C</td>
<td>12.0 A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


According to the Kenya National Examinations Council (KNEC) grading system Grade A (12 points) is the maximum attainable performance which is considered excellent. Grade E (1 point) is the poorest. Grade C+ (7 points) is
considered to be average performance. However, during the period under investigation, the highest mean performance obtained in Kiswahili KCSE examination in Kipipiri Division was 5.55 (Grade C). Because of this, the District Education Office undertook to organise seminars to come up with ways and means to curb the problems in Kiswahili as a subject (District Education Office, 2000). In one of his speeches during the seminars, the District Education Officer advised teachers to put in extra effort and even sacrifice their time to ensure that results were improved. He also advised them to identify their areas of strengths and weaknesses with an aim of improving.

In the 1998 circular to all schools in the district, the District Education Officer advised teachers and students to have the right attitude towards all subjects. Teachers were especially challenged to improve on their teaching approaches. The students were encouraged to practice speaking in Kiswahili language which would greatly improve their performance and to avoid using colloquial language (sheng). Consequently, there was need to address the issues associated with this consistent poor performance in Kiswahili so as to improve it.

1.3 The Purpose of the Study

The purpose of this study was to investigate the causes of poor performance in Kiswahili KCSE examination in Kipipiri Division of Nyandarua District.
1.4 Objectives of the Study

The study aimed at achieving the following objectives -

1. Identify the availability of teaching and learning resources
2. Establish the extent of use of the teaching and learning resources
3. Establish the instructional techniques used by Kiswahili teachers
4. Identify areas in which learners experience problems while learning Kiswahili
5. Identify areas in which teachers experience problems while teaching Kiswahili
6. Determine how students’ attitudes affected their performance in Kiswahili KCSE examination
7. Identify other problems affecting performance in Kiswahili KCSE examination

1.5 Research Questions

1. What teaching and learning resources were available in teaching Kiswahili?
2. What was the extent of use of the teaching and learning resources?
3 What instructional techniques did Kiswahili teachers use?

4 In which areas did learners experience problems while learning Kiswahili?

5 In which areas did teachers experience problems while teaching Kiswahili?

6 In what ways did the attitudes of the students affect their performance in Kiswahili KCSE examination?

7 What other problems affected performance in Kiswahili KCSE examination?

1.6 Significance of the Study

This study is important because it examines the reasons for the poor performance in Kiswahili as a subject in Kipipiri Division of Nyandarua District. The results may benefit teachers who might re-examine their instructional procedures and improve on weak areas. School heads may also see the need to purchase important resources if they are not available. The results will be used by the Kenya Institute of Education to improve the syllabus both in depth and breadth.
Teacher training institutions may use the results in order to train teachers who will be thorough in teaching this subject. As Mbaabu (1999) observes, B ED students who opt for Kiswahili will always combine it with other subjects like Philosophy of Religious Studies, History or Business Administration. Instead, such students should train to be Kiswahili teachers only, both in *lugha* and *fasih*.

1.7 Limitations of the Study

The use of questionnaires was limiting because it was not possible to control the feelings and opinions of the respondents. They might have given socially acceptable answers in order to avoid offending the researcher. This may affect the validity of their responses (Mulusa, 1990).

Also the researcher was not in a position to control some of the variables because their manifestations had already occurred or because their use was inherently not manipulable.

1.8 Delimitations of the Study

The study was limited to Kipipiri Division of Nyandarua District. So the results may not be generalised to other areas of the country.
Also the study addressed the causes of poor performance in Kiswahili as a subject, that is, the overall performance. The researcher did not investigate performance in specific areas of this subject, for example, *Insha* or *Fasih*.

Academic performance in Kiswahili was limited to tangible mean performance index attained in KCSE. However, there are other visible or invisible benefits (for example, self-confidence, improved relationships) students might gain in learning Kiswahili but could not be absolutely measured using testing. The mean performance index was therefore more objective and an easier measure of academic performance.

1.9 Basic Assumptions

The following were the basic assumptions of the study:

1. All Kiswahili teachers were well experienced and competent in their work.

2. All secondary schools had Kiswahili textbooks and audio-visual aids to teach this subject.

3. All respondents would give accurate responses to the questionnaires.
1.10 Definition of Significant Terms

Bilingualism: A situation where a person has command of two or more languages and can communicate in them fluently.

First Languages: They are also referred to as ethnic languages, mother tongues or vernaculars. In Kenya, first languages are the languages of acculturation for most people.

International Language: It is a language which has spread beyond its own continent of birth, is widely understood in at least two continents and is the official language of several states in these continents.

Kiswahili language: This is the national language in Kenya. It is a Bantu language because it is a class language, that is, a language where the choice of a prefix depends on the class of the independent nominal, the subject.

Language Interference: It is the habit of carrying over language forms of one’s mother tongue or another language one already knows to the language he is learning.
Language policy: It is a directive or a stipulation from the Government regarding which language or languages are to be used where and when. Example: Kiswahili is the national language in Kenya and English is the official language.

National Language: It is any language that has been decreed as such or has been adopted as an official language. It functions as a National symbol.

Second languages: This is any other language other than the first language. It is mostly the language spoken in the immediate environment of the learner. Such a person uses the language in natural communication.

Sheng: It is a corruption or pidginization of Kiswahili at the lexical and semantic levels, that is, failure to use standard Kiswahili.
CHAPTER TWO

2.0 REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE

2.1 Introduction

In this chapter various works by different people related to the field of performance will be discussed. Short discussions will be included on these works analysing what they have done and any gaps that may be cited. The chapter covers the following: language policy before independence, language policy after independence, second language acquisition, Kiswahili in the education system, Kiswahili as a national language, the influence of sheng, Kiswahili as an international language, the future of this language (Kiswahili) and issues of performance.

2.2 Language Policy before Independence

During this period there was little effort made by the authorities to encourage the use or growth of the Kiswahili language. According to Gorman (1968) the Phelps – Stokes Commission of 1919 recommended that the four major languages, that is, Swahili, Kikuyu, Luo and Luhya should invariably be recognised as first languages of instruction.
As to the choice of a second language, the then director of education stated that in the course of time, Kiswahili should cease to be taught except in the coastal area where it is the vernacular and English should be taught as a second language even in the village school as soon as reading and writing in the vernacular have been mastered.

During this period, the vernacular languages were the media of instruction in the elementary stages with Kiswahili being introduced in upper primary classes both as a subject and as a medium of instruction. Gradually, Kiswahili was to be replaced by English (Whiteley, 1974).

As independence approached, Kiswahili and the vernacular languages were gradually phased out of the educational system as media of instruction (Mazrui and Mazrui, 1995). Around 1957, the Ministry of Education created the Nairobi Special Centre with the specific objective of promoting English as a medium of instruction under the name of New Primary Approach. This development essentially ended Kiswahili’s role as a medium of instruction (Mazrui and Mazrui, 1995).
By 1958, Kiswahili was no longer taught extensively in primary schools (Whiteley, 1974). However there were instances when the authorities felt that the use of vernaculars was not serving any purpose and there was need to adopt a common language or Lingua franca.

The stress laid by the authorities on the use of vernacular languages as media of instruction led to a situation whereby children were prevented from gaining access to education in any language after the initial stages (Gorman, 1968). The authorities were aware of the possible adverse social effects of a policy that might lead to the creation of new classes of African society separated from the vast mass to their fellow countrymen by loss of contact and ready communication. The policy they formulated laid stress on the use of mother tongues as media of initial education. However, the great majority of the children who were fortunate enough to go to school did not proceed beyond standard 3 and this served to isolated them from the majority of their fellow countrymen.

2.3 Language Policy After Independence

After independence, the government set up various commissions to look into the issue of language especially its use in the educational system. These commissions made various recommendations some of which were partially
implemented and others were not implemented at all until much later. This was due to the government's non-commitment and failure to allocate funds and resources for the above endeavours.

The Ominde Commission (1964/65) reported that the majority of witnesses wished to see the universal use of the English language as the medium of instruction from primary 1 (Gorman, 1968). It went on to state that using Kiswahili as the medium of instruction in secondary schools would demand high linguistic competence from the teachers and that it would be very expensive to translate textbooks and library books into Kiswahili, which they considered a grave misuse of funds. The commission further added that the language itself would require adaptation to unaccustomed scientific uses, before it could qualify as a vehicle for education and study at the secondary level (Mbaabu, 1991).

As far as the teaching of Kiswahili was concerned, the Ominde Commission had to agree with the overwhelming majority of their witnesses in desiring to see Kiswahili established as a national language in Kenya. So it recommended that it should be made a compulsory subject in secondary schools. But this was not to be, Kiswahili only became an optional subject in secondary schools.
The development plans have also not been very favourable to Kiswahili. The government has not been keen in making Kiswahili a national language in Kenya or in developing it. For example, there was the possibility of establishing an Institute of Kiswahili Research of the University of Nairobi. However, funds were not provided for the establishment of the institute and the issue died (1979/1983 Development Plan). At the same time Kiswahili is put in the same category as other mother tongues, when they are all referred to as national languages (1984/1988 Development Plan).

The Kenyan Constitution has relegated Kiswahili to an inferior position as compared to English. Parliamentary business is bilingual both in English and Kiswahili. But the two languages are not at par as far as their usage is concerned. Kiswahili is a restricted official language of the National Assembly. It is used only in debates, but it is not tolerated in any other function, since all the bills, amendments and financial resolutions must be written in English and quoted in the same language (Constitution of Kenya Section 53).

2.4 Second Language Acquisition

Wanyoike (1977) in Gardener (1966) states that second language achievement would vary as a function of 3 individual different variables, language aptitude.
general intelligence and motivation. Others are instructional variables, the opportunity the student has for learning and the adequacy of presentation of the material.

Lado (1964) observes that the chief conditions and variables that must be faced are related to the student, the materials and equipment, the teacher and the setting. As far as the student is concerned, such an issue as age which is a major variable has to be considered. Children and adults must be taught differently. According to Cook (1986) adults learn a second language less efficiently than young children. This is because adults' cognitive processing inhibits language learning. Another reason could be the differences in the language or social situation that adults encounter.

It may also be that emotional changes associated with adolescence hamper language learning. Still it could be because the establishment of a language ego in the first language causes the mind to be defensive when meeting new languages.

Another issue related to the student is the educational level. Lado (1964) says that language teaching must obviously differ for literate and illiterate students. It must also differ for various levels of education with the college or university level and the primary education level sharply distinguished. As far as blind
students are concerned, they cannot use an ordinary textbook or visual aids. They cannot use the phonemic alphabet. They may, on the other hand, have greater acuity in listening and a longer memory span for speech than those who depend on their sight for much of their learning.

In the same vein, stutterers cannot be expected to be free of stuttering in the second language. Students with a hearing impediment will need special props or a hearing aid. In language learning, level of proficiency is provided for by putting speech first and limiting vocabulary at the beginning.

Materials are another variable. Lado (1964) says that the equipment and aids available to the student and the teacher vary from bare walls, hard benches and noisy surroundings to fully-equipped electronic laboratories and closed-circuit television. There is the language laboratory, television, teaching machines and programmed learning.

Ikumi (1985) states that instructional materials can facilitate group work, take care of individual differences, create conducive atmosphere for learning, precipitate learning by discovery and make pupils learn at a faster rate. Other issues related to second language acquisition are the following.
The Teacher  There are great variations among language teachers with regard to their qualifications and the time they may devote to the teaching of language (Lado, 1964). The teacher may speak the language natively or he may have studied it as a second language himself. His speech may be some standard or substandard variety, he may be a trained and experienced teacher, or he may not have any teaching experience or training at all.

Different provisions are necessary to compensate for these differences. Wang'ombe (1992) states that in implementing the policy of teaching Kiswahili a lot will depend on how the available qualified teachers are distributed.

Claessen and Stephen's (1986) states that teachers must be aware of the methods and procedures of teaching and learning language skills. These language skills are listening and speaking, reading and writing. Different skills need different techniques and methods of developing them. Most teachers listen to pupils reading but do not make systematic attempt to monitor the errors made, thus miss an opportunity of gaining greater insight into the reading difficulties each child has.

According to Pearson (1988) in-service training is an important aspect of alleviating the teachers' problems and it should be geared towards improvement of skills in teaching as well as making teachers aware of changes.
in curriculum. The school-based in-service training provides a means within the system whereby subject teachers can be helped to cope with changes by putting resources to effective use. Thus the school administration should provide the necessary support as far as in-servicing of teachers is concerned.

As far as language teaching is concerned during in-service courses, teachers would know the importance of class-libraries and library periods in their schools and how to utilise them to the pupil's advantage. Those teachers with language laboratories in their schools should know how to use such laboratories. Even those who do not have them should learn how to use them.

Linguistic and Cultural Setting. Lado (1964) observes that the setting influences what can be taught and what needs to be taught. In the country where the language is spoken, the students will need to use it for ordinary communication in addition to whatever goals have been set up. When teaching in a setting where the second language is not spoken, all experiences and practice will have to be provided by the class and the teacher.

The ideal setting for teaching a language is of course, the country where it is spoken natively. By residing in that community and being forced to use the language for communication, the full impact of a language as the chief means of communication in a culture is brought out.
Lado goes on to say that if an ideal setting is lacking, the next best thing is to create the atmosphere of the second culture through proper decoration of a classroom, a lounge or when possible a house. Thus, for example, we could have in a classroom, paintings of coconut trees, oceans with ships and boats and paintings of people dressed like the coastal people.

Ringbom (1987) observes that the stage of learning is another factor which is relevant to the second language learning. The first language's role in second language learning is most important in the early stages of learning and then decreases as learning progresses. The beginning learner has not yet acquired a second language frame of reference and has very little else to rely on than the hypothesis that the second language will in many or at least in some respects work in a similar way to his first language. The first language gradually decreases, as the learner becomes more proficient in the second language.

Another factor which is relevant in second language learning is the learner's knowledge of other languages. According to Ringbom (1987) not only the first language but other languages the learner knows may also be reflected in learning a second language. Apart from the perceived distance between the languages involved, the degree of proficiency in them and above all the level of automatization are also relevant. For example, there are some people who are fluent in two or more tribal languages and these languages will affect their
learning of Kiswahili language. Also others are very fluent in sheng and have difficulties learning standard Kiswahili.

Acquiring a second language may be in an informal setting. According to Ringbom (1987) a person may acquire a second language in the immediate environment where the language is spoken. In such a situation the learner has good opportunities to use the language for participation in natural communication situations. Second language acquisition may or may not be supplemented by classroom teaching.

The second language learner is exposed to a rich and varied input, but these samples of language are little organised and the learner himself has to make the selection of what he perceives as the most salient features. The second language learner learns by unguided discovery, peers are important for his learning and if he has formal teaching it is of secondary importance. This shows that if a learner lives in an environment where Kiswahili is spoken as a second language, he or she has more advantages that a learner who learns Kiswahili only in school. The informal second language acquisition environment provides the learner with a good opportunity of practising the language. He or she is frequently in situations where his second language (L2) competence is insufficient and he will be forced to use a variety of learning and communication strategies to cope with his immediate problems of comprehension and production (Ringbom, 1987).
Some of the issues or problems arising in second language learning and teaching are:

Borrowing: It occurs only in the area or domain of lexis and it is a result of inadequate control (Ringbom, 1987) It manifests itself in complete language shift. Borrowing is not a result of a gap in knowledge. For example, 'Alinisurprise sana na yale mambo aliyoyafanya'.

Inferencing: It means availing oneself of several different cues on meeting an unfamiliar word in an utterance so as to understand its meaning. To infer, the learner has to be able to analyse the word and the context in which it occurs, making use of syntactic and semantic cues and of the pragmatic knowledge and experience of the world that he possesses. Therefore, even though a learner has never heard or seen a word before, he may nevertheless be able to understand it correctly on a first encounter by successful inferencing (Ringbom, 1987).

Transfer: It involves an analysis of patterns. The second language pattern is assumed to be similar to or identical with the first language pattern. First language procedures may, however, be used either on their own or in conjunction with second language procedures. Transfer does not mean a carrying over of surface forms or unanalysed chunks from first language to second language, but involves an analysis of patterns.
Interference: Language interference is the habit of carrying over language forms of one’s mother tongue or another language one already knows to the language he is learning (Wanyoike, 1977). Thus, in teaching the Kiswahili language, the teacher should not only teach the right pronunciation but he or she should also know in advance the possible problem areas of the learners. Cook (1986) states that interference from the first language is more in the early stages in second language learning, when to the learner, the target language seems to make no sense, without rhyme or reason.

Translation: It is the process of transforming signs and representations into other signs or representations. In most cases students do literal translation, where structures from the Source Language (SL) are mapped onto the Receptor Language (RL) structures.

In second language learning, the attitudes of the student towards the language he or she is learning are very important. These attitudes shaped by parental and peer influence have consistently obtained a high relationship with achievement in second language learning.

On the first day a student walks into a language class, he or she has a set of attitudes most of which are negative. The student may feel that the course is irrelevant, boring or difficult. Depending on the experiences in class, these
attitudes may be changed, modified or reinforced. Attitudes are affected by such factors like motivation, gender differences, parental influence and compulsory language course.

Motivation is the crucial force which determines whether learners embark on a task at all, how much energy they devote to it and how long they persevere.

Gender differences. According to Eardley (1984) girls tend to demonstrate a more positive attitude towards language learning and are more successful at it than boys. Boys in single sex schools have a more favourable attitude towards learning the second language than those in co-educational schools. Single sex schools seem to have a higher level of achievement.

Parental influence. According to Gardener (1986) parents play 2 roles in shaping the attitudes of their children in second language learning, that is, active and passive roles.

Active role. This is direct tuition, when parents encourage their children to do well by monitoring their language learning performance and reinforcing any success identified by the school.
Active though negative. This happens when parents agree with their children that it is a waste of time and object to the intrusion of the language on other subjects that they deem more important in the curriculum.

This is what happens in most schools in Kenya. Most parents feel that Kiswahili is not a very important subject compared to others like Sciences or Mathematics. So they discourage their children from devoting a lot of time in studying it.

Passive role. Parents may not even be aware of it. The influence is manifested in what parents say or do about the second language. If parents have positive attitudes towards the community, this would serve to support an integrative motive in children. On the other hand, if they have negative attitudes, this would inhibit the development of positive attitudes in their children. Children's perception of their parent's support is undoubtedly related to their willingness to continue language study.

Compulsory Language Course. Student's may develop negative rather than positive attitudes towards a compulsory second language course (Turner 1974). Compulsory courses can rapidly create feelings of failure, which could generalise to these negative attitudes. Specific attitude problems in a
compulsory language course range from perceived teacher domination to what the student calls the "uselessness" of the language course (Ralph 1982).

2.5 Kiswahili in the Education System

After independence until around 1985, Kiswahili was an optional subject both in the primary and secondary schools. However, with the introduction of the 8-4-4 system of education in 1985, Kiswahili became a compulsory and examinable subject both in primary and secondary schools (Mbaabu, 1991). It was a requirement for students to pass in Kiswahili in their examinations.

In order for primary school pupils to join secondary schools, they have to pass Kiswahili examination at KCPE. In secondary schools, students have to obtain a high grade in Kiswahili at the KCSE examination so as to increase their chances of joining public universities.

In 1990, the Joint Admission Board made certain stipulations pertaining to language policy. Bachelor of Arts (B A), Bachelor of Education (B ED) Arts, Bachelor of Education in special subjects such as French, German and Fine Arts, require Kiswahili. This requirement means that candidates must pass in Kiswahili before they can be allowed to enrol in one of those courses.
Before the introduction of the 8-4-4 system of education, those students for whom Kiswahili was optional in primary and secondary schools had problems when they joined Teacher Training Colleges. This is because they had only 2 years to study Kiswahili. But with the new education system, all students joining Teacher Training Colleges have equal background in Kiswahili (Mbaabu, 1991).

In diploma colleges which train teachers for arts subjects, Kiswahili is taught as a major subject. In 1965, the University of Nairobi started the Department of Linguistics and African Languages. Other universities have also started similar departments, for example, at Kenyatta University, there is a Department of Linguistics and African languages.

Kiswahili also plays an important role in the career choices of students. Those wishing to join primary teacher training colleges are required to have attained a minimum of grade C in either English or Kiswahili.

Admission requirements for health related diploma courses stipulate that a candidate must have an average of C+ in either English or Kiswahili besides good passes in such subjects as Biology and Chemistry.
Apart from the diploma courses which take at least 3 years, one of the 2 languages, either English or Kiswahili, is a mandatory subject for the 2 year certificate course training.

Good passes in either English or Kiswahili are part of the requirement for admission to diploma and certificate courses in such training institutions as Agricultural Institutes and the Kenya Institute of Mass Communication.

There is poor performance in Kiswahili especially in Form 4 examinations. This is because Kiswahili is given only six lessons a week while a subject like English has 8 lessons a week. It thus becomes difficult for the Kiswahili teacher to cover the whole content and has sprint over the syllabus (Daily Nation, September 21, 1997).

Curriculum developers do not give provisions for all genres of Fasihi (Literature). The syllabus does not give provisions for genres like oral literature and short stories in Kiswahili as is the case in English. This in effect leads to limited choices during examinations because such genres are not tested.
2.6 Kiswahili as a National Language

It is difficult to think of a culture outside the emblem of a language. Written literature, folksong, music, types of dress, food are part of a culture. A strong national culture may be equated with stability (Wang’ombe, 1992)

Government’s policies about the national language, schooling and the mass media of communication all affect its people’s career prospects and cultural life. What is done in the classroom has consequences for life after school (Okombo, 2001)

In making Kiswahili a national language the Government needs to take into account the extent to which other factors besides language come into play (Wanyoike, 1977). This evaluation however approximate, can only be determined by an empirical study of patterns of language use in relation to psychological, economic and social factors

Kiswahili has already been declared the national language of Kenya. It is ideal as a national language because -

1. It is a Bantu language and it is therefore ideal for the communication between the predominant Bantu speaking peoples in the Eastern Africa
2 It is also widely known among the other ethnic groups such as the Nilotes.

3 A standardised version of the language has been agreed upon from as early as 1930 and the language has a rich literature (Legere, 1991).

In Kenya, the constitution requires that parliamentary candidates be able to speak and unless incapacitated by blindness or other physical clause, to read the Swahili and English languages well enough to take an active part in the proceedings of the National Assembly.

The growth of co-operative movements for farmers has also contributed to the expanding use of Kiswahili outside the framework of the urban economy. Through the electronic media and community clinics, Kiswahili has played an important role in disseminating aspects of the technology of reproduction.

For example, people especially in the rural areas are taught methods of family planning for good health of the mother and child. They are also taught other health related issues like how to protect themselves from diseases like malaria which are transmitted by insects. Also all they need to know about deadly diseases like HIV/AIDS. The medium of communication in these areas is Kiswahili because it is widely spoken and understood by many people.
This shows that Kiswahili has fitted well as the National Language of Kenya.

It is accepted by all and has managed to enable people with very diverse languages to communicate effectively.

2.7 The Influence of Sheng

Sheng is an example of a slang. A slang is a type of language in fairly common use, which is produced by popular adaption and extension of the meaning of words. It is also produced by coining new words with disregard for scholastic standards and linguistic principles.

Sheng is a mixture of not only English and Kiswahili, but of different mother tongues in what appears to be a quest for a solution to multilingualism, the apparent lack of cohesion and nationalism in society and a policy which makes them trilingual (Mbaabu, 1991).

According to the East African Standard (February 16, 2000), the dynamic nature of sheng is perhaps due to the fact that it has no laid down rules. It is almost as if anyone who feels inspired can come up with a new word or expression at any time. May be this is why there has been such heavy criticism over its authenticity and 'correctness'.
According to Kingei (1992), Kiswahili nowadays serves not only as a vehicle for national communication in East Africa, but it is also increasingly assuming the status of Africa’s continental lingua franca.

Mazrui and Mazrui (1995) observe that the most important language for the radio in the East African region is Kiswahili. There are more programmes in Kiswahili listened to by more people than there are programmes addressed in any other African language. This is particularly so when we include broadcasting from foreign countries abroad in Kiswahili beamed to Eastern Africa.

Whenever any country in Europe, Asia or the Americans is considering introducing a programme in an African Language for its overseas services, the first candidate is usually Kiswahili for Eastern Africa.

Broadcasts from foreign countries which utilize Kiswahili include Voice of America, All-India Radio, Radio Moscow, British Broadcasting Corporation, Radio Peking and Radio Cairo.
Kiswahili is also offered as a foreign language subject in approximately 100 universities across the United States of America (Chimerah, 1998). Such universities include Harvard, Yale, Cornell, UCL A, Ohio State at Columbus, Michigan State University. The university of London and York University both in Britain offer Kiswahili to both home and overseas students. Also London and Cambridge Universities have a long history of offering Kiswahili examination at the GCE Certificate to overseas students both at ‘O’ and ‘A’ levels (Chimerah, 1998)

Kiswahili is still the most international of all the indigenous languages of the African continent or of the black people as a whole (Mazrui and Mazrui, 1995). The writer of Daily Nation, August 20, 2000 feels that Kiswahili is ideal for global status. In this he is of the opinion that if Kiswahili or any other African language were to become the language for the world, this would symbolise the dawn of a new era in human relations between the nations and peoples of Africa and those of other continents. This means that if people would speak one language, they would understand each other better and they would live harmoniously.

Kiswahili is now an international language. It is the national language in Kenya, Uganda and Tanzania. It is one of the four national languages of Zaire and it is extensively used in Rwanda, Burundi, Zambia, Mozambique, Somalia and Seychelles.
2.9 The Future of the Kiswahili Language

The future of this language lies in developing an Institute of Kiswahili Research. Although such plans were underway according to the 1979/1983 Development Plan, this never happened. Such an institute if developed would undertake research in this language and promote it further (Mbaabu, 1991).

According to Mazrui (1981) Tanzania has put a lot of effort in developing and promoting the Kiswahili language. This country has two bodies catering for this language. These are the Institute of Kiswahili Research and The National Council of Swahili (BALUTA).

Kenya is benefiting from the efforts of these two bodies in that, whatever research finding they come up with, they find their way into Kenya through the media. This helps in spreading the seed of Kiswahili development.
2.10 Issues of Performance

They include the following:

Teaching and Learning Resources

These include textbooks, audio-visual aids, classroom readers, Kiswahili newspapers. Their availability or non-availability will affect performance. For example, if enough textbooks are available, students will be in a position to revise on their own. The availability of classroom readers and Kiswahili newspapers will enable the students to practice reading in the Kiswahili language. This will improve their pronunciation of Kiswahili words and consequently their spellings. It will also enrich their vocabulary and help them to write good compositions.

Teaching Approaches

It is important for Kiswahili teachers to check on their approaches when teaching this subject. For example, in teaching Fasihi (literature) more emphasis is laid on the lecture method as well as class and group discussions. This is in contrast to the approach used in teaching Matumizi ya Lughat (grammar) where students need to be involved a lot in asking and answering questions. The students are also engaged a lot in doing exercises.
In Insha (composition) the students need a lot of guidance from the teacher. So lecture, notetaking are appropriate initially as well as asking and answering questions. Later, students need to write the compositions which are later marked and the mistakes discussed by all (teacher and students) in class.

Students' Attitudes

The attitudes of the students will be affected by for example, motivation of the students. For example, students will be motivated to work hard in any subject if they know that there are real benefits that they will reap from it. For example, getting a job or going for further education. As far as Kiswahili is concerned it is a requirement for further education and courses and so students work hard in it.

The attitudes of the students will also be influenced by their background. For example, students coming from urban areas are more used to colloquial language (sheng). To them Kiswahili is for communication especially with friends. So they do not really mind how they pronounce words as long as they communicate. This is reflected in their compositions and it takes real effort to make such students write standard Kiswahili. This is because they have grown up speaking sheng (some even at home) and it has become part of them.
As for students coming from rural areas, they are more used to communicating in their mother-tongues. The influences of these languages will exhibit themselves in their communication and writing. For the majority of them, the Kiswahili language is for communication with people who are not from their tribes. Thus to them, the basic Kiswahili is enough just to help them in communication. The other complicated areas like speaking in standard Kiswahili or learning Fasihi are really not very important.

Teachers’ Attitudes

The attitudes of the teachers will affect the attitudes of the students. Some teachers (not Kiswahili teachers) have negative attitudes towards Kiswahili. So they will go out of their way to discourage students from putting any effort in it. Thus students will automatically acquire negative attitudes towards this subject and they will be demotivated.
The Conceptual framework shows that the learner’s performance in Kiswahili examinations is influenced by many factors. For example, his or her background. This will include the first language and the extent to which he or she interacts with others using the Kiswahili language.
Another factor is proficiency in Kiswahili which is brought about by the attitudes, interests and the motivation of the student. Some learners are not interested in this subject or they have negative attitudes. Little is done to motivate them and so they do not become proficient in this language.

We also have the Kiswahili teacher and his or her teaching methodology. As far as the Kiswahili teacher is concerned, such issues as the academic level, professional qualification, teaching experience, work load, his or her own motivation are important. If a teacher is demotivated, he or she can do little to motivate the students.

Teaching methodology plays a very important role. In language learning there is need to involve the learner as much as possible in group work, discussions and doing a lot of exercises. The learner also has to be encouraged to oftenly speak in the Kiswahili language so as to improve the level of proficiency.

Performance in examinations will be determined by the availability and use of learning materials and resources. These will include textbooks, classroom readers, Kiswahili newspapers and audio-visual aids.

All these factors have or need to be considered in analysing how well or how poorly the learner is performing in the Kiswahili subject.
CHAPTER THREE

3.0 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This section gives details regarding the procedures used in conducting the study. This section covered the following areas: the research design, target population, the sample size and the sampling techniques, research instruments, validity of the instruments, their reliability, procedures for data collection and techniques to be used in data analysis.

3.1 Research Design

The research design chosen for this project was ex-post facto design. This is a type of design where researchers ask themselves what factors seem to be associated with certain occurrences or conditions. For example, the factors contributing to the scoring of low grades in Kiswahili KCSE examinations in Kipipiri Division.

Cohen and Manion (1997) observe that in this type of design, there is teasing out of possible antecedents that have happened and cannot therefore be engineered as manipulated by the investigator. For example, in the case under investigation, the Kiswahili examinations had already been done and grades attained.
Other variables like age, sex, academic qualification, professional experience of teachers could not also be manipulated. Therefore the ex-post facto design was the most appropriate because all the above variables which were associated with this investigation were already there or they had already taken place.

3.2 Target Population

This research project targetted all public secondary schools in Kipipiri Division of Nyandarua District. There were a total of 12 public secondary schools in the division according to the DEO Nyandarua District. There were 15 Kiswahili teachers in this division and 459 Form four students. Thus the target population was the Kiswahili teachers and four form students. Public secondary schools were targeted because Kiswahili is a compulsory and examinable subject in the KCSE examination. Out of the twelve secondary schools in Kipipiri Division, two were girls' boarding schools of which one was private and the other one public. Ten were mixed secondary schools of which 2 were day and boarding and 8 were day schools.

Form four students were the most appropriate section of the students for carrying out the investigations. This is because at this level these students had already been introduced to all areas or genres to be covered in this subject.
They had also studied it for a considerable period of time and could respond appropriately to all questions. Teachers and students were the appropriate target population for this study because it was classroom oriented and both contribute a lot as far as performance is concerned.

3.3 Sample size and Sampling Techniques

Out of the 12 secondary schools in Kipipiri Division, two were not included in the study because they did not have all the results for the 5 year period to be covered. This was because they were started recently. So the sample included 9 public and 1 private secondary schools. There were 14 Kiswahili teachers in the schools under study and all were included in the study because the number is small. There were a total of 1200 form four students in these schools. Of these 293 were included in the sample (Mulusa, 1988).

To get the number of students to participate in the study in the various schools, simple random sampling was done. This ensured that each member of the population under study had an equal chance of being selected.

Numbers were placed in a container and then picked at random. Those students corresponding to the numbers picked were included in sample.
To take care of gender, stratified sampling was done. There were 2 clusters of students. One cluster consisted of boys and the other cluster consisted of girls.

With a sample size of 293 students, we required 146 boys and 147 girls. Then numbers were assigned to each student in each cluster or subgroup. The numbers were picked at random. The students corresponding to the numbers picked were included in the sample.

3.4 Research Instruments

The instrument that was used to collect data was the questionnaire. Questionnaires allow respondents a measure of anonymity so that they are more willing to give responses which may be considered undesirable. It is also easy to quantify responses for the purposes of analysis using a questionnaire (Best, 2000)

There were two questionnaires. One for the teachers and the other one for the students. The teachers' questionnaire was divided into 3 sections. Section A consisted of 8 items to gather demographic data of Kiswahili teachers such as age, sex, academic qualifications, teaching experience, work load, school size and category.
Section B consisted of 27 items on instructional methods, evaluation techniques and materials and resources for teaching Kiswahili. The first 21 items sought information on use of materials and resources, instructional methods and evaluation methods. A five point Likert scale ranging from always, often, occasionally, rarely and never accompanied each item. The rating options were scored as follows: always = 5, often = 4, occasionally = 3, rarely = 2 and never = 1. The other 6 items sought information on availability, adequacy and use of Kiswahili materials. Section C consisted of 5 open and closed ended questions on factors that enhance or inhibit students' KCSE Kiswahili performance.

The students' questionnaire was divided into three sections. Section A consisted of demographic information, sex, age, first language, school size and category. Section B comprised of 19 items on students' attitude towards Kiswahili, experiences in learning Kiswahili and revision habits. The first 6 sought information on attitude. A Four-Point Likert scale ranging from very important, important, not important and no opinion was scored as follows: very important = 4, important = 3, not important = 2 and no opinion = 1. The next 7 items sought information on experiences in areas of Kiswahili examination. It also used a four-point Likert scale ranging from very easy, easy, difficult and very difficult scored as: very easy = 4, easy = 3, difficult = 2 and very difficult = 1. The other 6 items sought information on students' Kiswahili reading habits. This used a five points Likert scale ranging from...
always, often, occasionally, rarely and never scored as follows: always = 5, often = 4, occasionally = 3, rarely = 2 and never = 1. Section C comprised of 4 open and closed-ended questions on factors that inhibit or enhance students KCSE Kiswahili performance

3.5 Validity of the Instruments

To ensure validity of the instruments, a pilot test was done. Thus two schools in the neighbouring Ol Kalou division were chosen. One was a day mixed secondary school and the other one mixed day and boarding. Piloting enabled the researcher to establish how valid the items were so that they could either be discarded, improved or modified to make them valid. In the mixed boarding secondary school, there were 25 Form four students. Of these 25 the sample was 24 students. So we required 12 boys and 12 girls. Simple random sampling was done to get the number of students to participate in the sample, then stratified sampling was done to take care of gender.

In the mixed day secondary school, there were 20 form four students. Of these, the sample was 19 students. So the number of boys was 10 and the number of girls 9. Again simple random sampling was done to get the required number of students and stratified sampling was done to take care of gender.
3.6 Reliability of the Instrument

The split-half technique was used to determine the reliability of the instruments. This technique helps to eliminate chance error due to differing test conditions. In the split-half technique, the items are split into 2 equal halves (odd and even numbers). The study in question had 40 items. All odd numbered items were placed in one group or sub-set and the even numbered items in another group. Each group was studied and scored accordingly.

Then using Pearson's Product Moment Correlation Coefficient the 2 groups were correlated. This was taken to be the measure of reliability. To adjust so as to get a more accurate value of the correlation coefficient obtained between the two halves, Spearman-Brown Prophecy formula, indicated below was applied:

\[
r_{xx1} = \frac{2 \cdot r_{oe}}{1 + r_{oe}}
\]

Where \( r_{xx1} \) = reliability of original test

\( r_{oe} \) = reliability coefficient obtained by correlating the scores of the odd numbered items with the scores of the even numbered items.
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3.7 Procedures for Data Collection

A permit to allow the researcher to carry out the research was obtained from the office of the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology. A fee of Kshs 500 was paid. Two copies of the proposal were left there. The researcher was instructed to report to the DEO and the DC Nyandarua District so as to obtain further clearance from them.

The DC further instructed the researcher to report to the D O Ol Kalou where piloting was to be conducted. Letters of introduction addressed to these officers were issued. The DEO instructed the researcher to submit a copy of the findings to that office on completion of the research. The same was also required of the researcher at the Ministry of Education where two copies of the research findings were to be submitted. Letters of introduction were issued to respective heads of schools so that they could grant permission for the study to be carried out. Then each headteacher briefly introduced the Kiswahili teacher or teachers to the researchers. The teachers assisted in introducing the researcher to the students and why the research was being carried out. The researcher and the teacher(s) did the sampling after which the questionnaires were issued to the students. The teacher(s) were also given their own copies of the questionnaires. About a week later, all the questionnaires (for the teachers and the students) were collected. They were then put together for analysis.
3.8 Data Analysis Techniques

Data was edited first to inspect the data pieces and identify those items wrongly responded to, spelling mistakes in the responses and any blank spaces left unfilled by the respondents. Then using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS), a computer specialist analysed the data according to age, sex, class, academic qualifications, professional grade, teaching experiences, school size and category.

The descriptive analysis included the mean and the range of the students' ages. The frequencies and distribution of the responses for all the tables in the questionnaires were also tabulated. The mean, median and mode were calculated for the various items in the questionnaires so as to acquire the required limits.

Descriptive statistics were used to answer the various research questions. Frequency distribution tables were used to answer questions regarding the extent of use of various instructional methods, evaluation techniques as well as various resources in teaching.
The mode was used to determine the areas in which learners experience problems while learning Kiswahili. Using the median, the researcher was in a position to determine the methods considered effective in improving Kiswahili performance.

The researcher used the mean to answer the question on the extent to which a teacher(s) teaching load per week affected their use of various instructional methods and evaluation techniques.
CHAPTER FOUR

4.0 ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION OF DATA

In this chapter data gathered was analysed and interpreted by use of distribution tables, means and modes. Percentages were also calculated for various items and included in the tables.

The chapter covers the following areas: Return rate for both teachers and students' questionnaires, category of schools, demographic data for teachers, administration of tests by teachers, problem areas facing students in Kiswahili, their reasons, factors inhibiting good performance and suggestions by the teachers on improvement.

It also included the demographic factors of students, languages used by students with different people, problem areas facing students and suggestions of the students on ways to improve performance.

4.1 Return Rate for Questionnaires

The return rate for both the teachers' and the students' questionnaires was good. This is because all the 14 teachers issued with questionnaires returned them. Similarly, all the 293 students returned the questionnaires.
Category of Schools

Most of the schools in this division, that is, 7 out of 10 (64.3%) were day schools. Boarding schools were 2, that is, 28.6% and a boarding and day school was only 1, which is equivalent to 7.1%. Mixed schools, were 8 out of the 10 (71.4%). There were only 2 girls’ only schools (28.6%). There were no boys only schools. Many of the schools were single stream (45.7%).

4.2 Demographic Data for Teachers

Table 2 presents data on the composition of teachers by gender and professional qualification.

Table 2: Gender and Professional Qualifications of Teachers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>No. of teachers (N)</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>85.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Professional Qualification</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B Ed</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>71.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diploma</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A’ Level (UT)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N = 14 teachers
Most of the teachers were males, that is 12 out of 14 (85.7%). The female teachers were 2 (14.3%). The male and the female teachers were in both the boys’ and the girls’ schools. The professional qualification of the respondents indicated that 10 out of 14 teachers (71.4%) are Bachelor of Education degree holders. There were 2 teachers (14.3%) who were diploma holders. One teacher (7.1%) was an A’ level (UT) and one teacher (7.1%) was a Bachelor of Arts degree holder.

In Table 3 data is presented on the composition of teachers and the percentages as per their age, professional experience and length of service in the school.

Table 3: Age, Professional Experience and Length of Service of Teachers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>No. of Teachers (N)</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 – 35 years</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>71.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 – 40 years</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 and above</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional Experience</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 - 5 years</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 – 10 years</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>42.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over – 10 years</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of time in school</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 5 years</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 – 10 years</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>42.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 – 15 years</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N = 14 Teachers
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Out of a total of 14 Kiswahili teachers, majority of them, that is, 10 out of 14 (71.4%) were in the age bracket between 25 and 35 years. There were 2 teachers (14.3%) aged between 36 - 40 years and 2 aged 41 years and over (14.3%).

There were 6 teachers out of 14 (42.9%) who had a professional experience of between 6 – 10 years. There were 4 teachers (28.6%) with a professional experience of over 10 years, 2 teachers (14.3%) had an experience of below 5 years and 2 teachers (14.3%) had a professional experience of 5 years. Half of the teachers, that is, 12 out of 14 (50%) had stayed in their schools for a period of less than 5 years with only one teacher (7.1%) having stayed in his school for over 10 years. Only 6 teachers (42.9%) had stayed in their schools for a period of between 11 - 15 years.

**Workload per week**

It was established that most teachers, that is 4 out of 14 (28.6%) have 22 lessons per week. This represents a mean workload of 21.86 lessons per week. The median is 22.5 lessons per week.
Table 4 presents data on the composition of teachers and their percentages on their frequency of use of materials and resources.

**Table 4: Frequency of use of Materials and Resources by Teachers**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Frequency of Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Always</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charts</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pictures</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real Objects</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radio Cassettes</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource Persons</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K.I.E Textbook</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Textbooks</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N = 14 teachers

From the table, teachers did not always use pictures, charts, real objects, radio cassettes and resource persons. This limited demonstration which could have provided the students with deeper knowledge and enabled them to explore more. On the other hand there was an over-emphasis on use of K.I.E textbooks.
and other textbooks. This limited the students to the book knowledge or information. They were not in a position to relate what they had read with their own experiences or what was happening in the outside world.

In Table 5 data is presented on the composition of teachers and their percentages as per their frequency of use of instructional methods.

**Table 5: Frequency of Use of Instructional Methods by Teachers**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Frequency of Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Always</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lecturing</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discussion</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question and answer</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demonstration</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N = 14 teachers

Discussion and lecturing seemed to be the most popular instructional methods among the teachers. It was important to employ the other methods as well such as demonstration and question and answer to cater for all the students. Example, a student might be inattentive during lecturing. But if in the process
of lecturing questions were asked to students at random, this would make them more attentive. Demonstrations also excited their curiosity and made them want to discover more on their own.

Table 6 presents data on the composition of teachers and their percentages as per their frequency of use of evaluation methods in testing learners in Kiswahili.

**Table 6: Frequency of use of Evaluation Methods by Teachers in Testing Learners in Kiswahili**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Frequency of Use</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Always</td>
<td>Often</td>
<td>Occasionally</td>
<td>Rarely</td>
<td>Never</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Essay questions</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>64.3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>35.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short answers</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>57.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple choices</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supply items</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>21.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral tests</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>35.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giving home-work /</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>78.6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>assignments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marking assignments</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>71.4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N = 14 teachers
Multiple choice questions, supply items and oral tests did not appear to be very much in use. This was mostly due to the nature of the subject whereby, for example, in *fasih* (literature) the students needed to discuss and analyse their points while answering questions. This was why essay questions were oftenly used. Majority of the teachers always gave and marked assignments and homework. This was good because it could improve performance if accompanied by discussion of the work given.

Table 7 presents data on the composition of teachers and their corresponding percentages as per their views on the availability of materials in *Kiswahili* teaching.

**Table 7: Teachers' views on the availability of Materials in Kiswahili Teaching**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Materials</th>
<th>Teachers' views</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grammar texts</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasihi texts</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class readers</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiswahili newspapers</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audio tapes</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video tapes</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N = 14 teachers
Kiswahili newspapers, audio and videotapes were largely unavailable. This limited the students' exposure to a variety of alternative materials. Consequently, wide reading was limited and thus the students' knowledge was affected. The recommended texts were very much available because they were compulsory and so they must be bought. Thus most students only read them and this limited them even in their discussions while answering questions.

Table 8 presents data on the composition of teachers and their percentages as per their views on the adequacy of materials in Kiswahili teaching.

N = 14 Teachers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Materials</th>
<th>Teachers' views</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adequate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grammar texts</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasihi texts</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class readers</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiswahili newspapers</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audio tapes</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video tapes</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N = 14 teachers
According to the teachers’ views, class readers, *Kiswahili* newspapers, audio and videotapes were inadequate. It seemed most school authorities were not keen on buying these alternative materials for learning *Kiswahili*. The recommended texts were adequate though in some schools they were inadequate.

Table 9 presents data on the composition of teachers and their percentages as per their views on the usage of materials in *Kiswahili* teaching.

**Table 9: Teachers' views on the usage of materials in Kiswahili teaching**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Materials</th>
<th>Teachers’ views</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Used</td>
<td>Unused</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grammar texts</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasihi texts</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class readers</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Kiswahili</em> newspapers</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>57.1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audio tapes</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video tapes</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*N = 14 teachers*
The compulsory texts were used properly by all teachers. This was because examination centred around them and it was very important for students to pass their examinations.

The alternative materials, that is, class readers, Kiswahili newspapers, audio and video tapes were not properly used. This was because they were either not available and where available, they were not adequate. Thus it was not possible for teachers to make proper use of them.

Administration of tests

Majority of the teachers, that is, 64.3% indicated that they gave tests every month. One teacher (7.14%) stated that he gave tests after every lesson. Two teachers (14.28%) gave tests every week. Three teachers (21.4%) gave tests at the middle and at the end of the term. None of the teachers gave tests at the end of the term only.

Problem areas facing students in learning Kiswahili

About seven problem areas were cited. Of these ushauri (poetry) featured most because it was mentioned by a teacher (64.3%). Sarufi (grammar) was mentioned by 7 teachers and 5 teachers felt that insha was a problem area. Two teachers mentioned msamuti (vocabulary) as was fusihi (literature) and
especially *tamthilia* (plays). The problem of pronunciation that is, distinguishing between r and l, p and b was mentioned by one teacher. The mode is the problem occurring most frequently or mentioned by the majority of teachers. In this case it is *ushairi*.

**Reasons why these areas pose problems**

About six reasons were given and different teachers mentioned them a number of times. Failure to understand these areas and especially *ushairi* (poetry) was mentioned 4 times. Lack of interest coupled with the attitude that *Kiswahili* is a hard subject featured 4 times.

Use of vernacular translation especially when writing *insha* (composition) was mentioned 4 times. Twice poor command of the *Kiswahili* language was given as a reason, as was lack of enough practice. Having no textbooks for *Mashairi* was mentioned once.

**Problem areas to teachers**

The teachers gave five areas which posed problems to them while teaching *Kiswahili*. Of these areas *Mashairi* (poetry) featured most because it was mentioned for about nine times. *Insha* (composition) appeared five times. Four
times sarufi (grammar) was mentioned. Ufupisho (summary) and msamiati (vocabulary) were mentioned only once.

**Reasons why these areas are a problem to teachers**

Teachers gave about eight reasons. Of these, failure to understand featured four times. Lack of interest, assuming Kiswahili is a hard area as well as having a negative attitude was mentioned three times. Also, the problem of having no set books in Mashairi (poetry) was mentioned three times. Twice it was stated that there was lack of real objects to demonstrate. Students' failure to practice on their own featured twice. The influence of mother tongue, lack of enough lessons in Kiswahili were all mentioned once.

**Factors inhibiting good performance in Kiswahili**

There were about 6 factors stated. Of these, 9 times the teachers were of the opinion that the influence of sheng and mother tongue led to poor performance. The attitude of the students that Kiswahili is an easy subject and thus lack of seriousness in it was mentioned 5 times. Four times the teachers stated that there is an over-emphasis by the administration that English should be used in communication while Kiswahili is rarely emphasised. Having few reference books and especially in Mashairi featured 3 times. Only once did one teacher...
state that there are less exercises given to learners. Similarly, one teacher
stated that there is lack of classroom readers.

Suggestions to Improve Kiswahili Performance

There were a total of thirteen suggestions given by the teachers. For about
five times the teachers felt that encouraging students to speak in standard
Kiswahili could lead to better performance. The provision of more reading
materials, like newspapers and class readers could better the performance
Pupils and teachers in primary schools should stop using mother tongues so
that when the pupils enter secondary schools they are well prepared and used
to communicating in Kiswahili. This was mentioned once

Similarly, the following suggestions were mentioned only once. Having more
reference books so that the students can read widely. Increasing the number of
Kiswahili lessons in the timetable. giving a lot of exercises to students, regular
in-servicing of teachers, treating of fasihi and grammar separately. The
students should make use of libraries. The teachers having less load so that
they have enough time to mark all the students assignments. Kamusi
(Kiswahili dictionary) should be reviewed to include new terminologies as
well as technical terms. Students should change their attitude towards
Kiswahili. They should not view it as cheap or useless. They should devote
equal time to it like all other subjects. *Kiswahili* should be made the official language and not just the national language.

### 4.3 Demographic Data of the Students

Table 10 presents data on the composition of students by gender, school type and number of streams.

**Table 10: Number of students in terms of gender, school type and number of streams**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Number of Students</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>39.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>60.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>School type</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boarding</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>28.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>64.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boarding and Day</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of Streams</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>45.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>18.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>18.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Five and above</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>16.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*N = 293 teachers*
Majority of the students in secondary schools in Kipipiri Division were girls (60.8%). Boys represented 39.2%. Day schools were the majority (64.8%) with only 6.5% being boarding and day. Many schools were one stream (45.7%) with only 18.6% having three streams.

Languages used by students with different people

The first language (mother tongue) for the great majority of the students is *Kikuyu*. It took 97.6% of the total first languages spoken. Also most of the students use the *Kikuyu* language with their parents, that is 90%. The language most commonly used for communication between the students and their teachers is English (71.6%). Only a few (23.3%) use *Kiswahili* and English for communication. A significant group of students (14%) use *Kiswahili* to communicate with their teachers. Most of the students use *Kiswahili* to communicate with their friends (38%). A certain group use *Kikuyu* (20.5%) and *sheng* is also used by a certain group of students (8.6%). Most of the students (34.6%) stated that they use English to communicate with their schoolmates. The *Kikuyu* language is the one mostly used for communication between the students and their siblings, taking 51.4%. *Kiswahili* took 26.4% and *sheng* 4.8%.
Table 11 presents data on numbers and percentages of students as per their statements on feelings about Kiswahili.

Table 11: Students’ feelings about Kiswahili

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Feelings about Kiswahili</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Very Important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To be able to communicate</td>
<td>213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To pass examination</td>
<td>248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future career advancement</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiswahili as an official language</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiswahili as unofficial language</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiswahili as a compulsory subject</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N = 293 Students

Majority of the students felt that Kiswahili was very important to enable them to communicate and for passing examinations. It helped them to attain overall good grades in their final examinations. This was why a good number of them (59.8%) felt that it should be a compulsory subject.
However not many of them were of the opinion that *Kiswahili* was important for future career advancement. This was because *Kiswahili* is not a requirement in most jobs. A sizeable group of students (43.4%) felt that it should be the official language.

Table 12 shows data on numbers of students and their percentages on how learners find areas examined in *Kiswahili*.

**Table 12: How learners find areas examined in Kiswahili**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Areas examined</th>
<th>How Learners find them</th>
<th>Very Easy</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Easy</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Very Difficult</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Difficult</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Insha</td>
<td></td>
<td>71</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarufi</td>
<td></td>
<td>104</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muhtasari</td>
<td></td>
<td>57</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>54.7</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ufahamu</td>
<td></td>
<td>124</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riwaya</td>
<td></td>
<td>138</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamthilia</td>
<td></td>
<td>105</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mashairi</td>
<td></td>
<td>61</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N = 293 Students
Mashairi appeared to pose problems to a significant group of students (30.9%). In all the other areas most students do not appear to experience a lot of problems.

Table 13 presents data on numbers of students and their percentages indicating revision and the frequency of testing.

**Table 13: Frequency of testing learners in Kiswahili**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Frequency of Testing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Always</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading / revising on your own</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading Kiswahili books other than set books</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reading Kiswahili newspaper</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Getting Kiswahili assignment / homework</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Having assignment / homework marked</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N = 293 Students
Other than set-books most students did not read other supplementary materials. This affected their proficiency in **Kiswahili**. Majority of them (41.4%) made sure they got homework / assignments and had it marked 42.6%. This could go a long way in improving performance if the students always revised what was marked and asked questions where necessary.

**Areas where learners experience problems**

The learners stated 5 areas where they experienced problems while learning **Kiswahili**. Of these **mashairi** featured most because it was mentioned 100 times (34.1%). **Sarufi** was mentioned 80 times (27.3%). **Fasih** was mentioned 56 times (19.1%). **Insha** featured 27 times (9.2%) **Muhtasari** was mentioned 30 times (10.2%). Therefore **mashairi** seems to be the area in which students encounter a lot of problems and this affects the overall performance in this subject.

**Problems affecting students performance**

There were about twelve problems stated by the students. Problems associated with spellings as a result of mother tongue influence was mentioned 24 times (8.2%). The problem of lack of enough books, that is, the recommended ones from Kenya Institute of Education and others especially **Kamusi** (**Kiswahili**...
Dictionary) came up 55 times (18.7%). Failure to cover the syllabus was mentioned 12 times (4.1%). Twice (0.6%) it was stated that there were no group works for the students to work in.

Most students tend to ignore *Kiswahili* as a subject assuming that it is easy. But they ended up failing. This was equivalent to 20.4%. Use of *sheng* which mainly affected their composition writing was stated 30 times (10.2%). Failure to understand some of the areas in the *Kiswahili* subject, for example, *Mashairi* (poetry), some literature books like *Kilio cha Haki* was another problem (19.8%). Having fewer *Kiswahili* lessons was mentioned twice (0.6%). For about 20 times (6.8%), students indicated that they had a negative attitude towards *Kiswahili*. Failure to practice and revise was mentioned 30 times equivalent to 10.2%.

Twice (0.6%) the students cited lack of enough teachers as a problem leading to their poor performance in *Kiswahili*. Majority of the students indicated that one book was shared between 2 students. These were 240 students, about 81.9%. About 6.8% of the students stated that they shared one book between 4 students.
4.4 Suggestions to Improve Kiswahili

The students stated about 11 ways or methods. The main one was doing a lot of revision and reading more Kiswahili materials like class readers, Kiswahili newspapers as well as watching Kiswahili video tapes on literature set books. A total of 140 students stated it, that is, 47.8% 54 students, equivalent to 18.4% mentioned buying more Kenya Institute of Education books as well as other Kiswahili books.

Holding symposiums as well as having discussion groups in schools was stated by 18 students (6.1%). There were 2 students who were of the opinion that there should be fewer fasihi books to be tested, equivalent to 0.6%. There were those who indicated that changing their attitudes towards Kiswahili and devoting more time to it could help improve performance. These numbered 22, representing 7.5%. Making Kiswahili the official as well as the National language was mentioned by 10 students representative of 3.4%.

Communicating in Kiswahili and avoiding other languages like sheng and Kikuyu was indicated by 38 students, that is, 13%. One student mentioned that lowering the price of textbooks and especially Kamusi could be a way leading to improved performance. This was equivalent to 0.3%. Increasing Kiswahili lessons was mentioned by 2 students which represented 0.6%.
Testing other subjects in *Kiswahili* was equivalent to 0.6%. Having more *Kiswahili* teachers was mentioned by 4 students which represented 1.3%. Therefore, the mode was the suggestion that revision and reading more *Kiswahili* materials like class readers, *Kiswahili* newspapers as well as watching *Kiswahili* video tapes on the literature set books as a way of improving performance. This was because it was the suggestion occurring most frequently.

### 4.5 Summary

Most of the problems facing teachers and students in teaching and learning *Kiswahili* had been highlighted. However, there were a few more which could be pointed out.

In most schools, teachers as well as students were rarely given incentives for good performance. These incentives, whether gifts or money, could go a long way in motivating all those involved in improving performance. However, in Kipipiri division, this might not be possible because of lack of funds taking into consideration that most schools were day and even the fees charged was very little.
Lack of language laboratories was another problem. Though they might be very expensive to put up, if provided, they could help students in pronunciation of words. This would assist them improve in their composition writing as well as grammar. The schools with the help of the government should consider probably putting up such laboratories. This could even be done in one or two central schools from where students from surrounding schools could make use of them.

The environment in some school was very harsh especially to teachers. Some headteachers behaved in some very inhuman ways. If a teacher missed out a day in school or even one lesson, they were issued with warning letters or even their employers were advised to deduct their salaries or suspend them. This was very demoralising to teachers and it affected their work. Headteachers should create a conducive environment for the teachers to teach and for the learners to learn. They should encourage dialogue. The teachers on the other hand should take their work seriously.

Discipline was very important and could improve performance in all subjects. The teachers should work together with the headteachers to ensure that students are well-disciplined. Schools should ensure that there are guidance and counselling masters to counsel errant students. This could improve discipline.
Parents also played a role in improving performance. This was by giving their children time to study, buying the extra reading materials and even encouraging their children to work hard. Parents should also counsel their children to be well-disciplined and to put effort in all subjects.
CHAPTER FIVE

5.0 SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Summary of the Study

This study was aimed at investigating causes of poor performance in Kiswahili KCSE examination in Kipipiri Division of Nyandarua District. It was undertaken in an effort to establish ways and means of improving Kiswahili performance. Two questionnaires were used, one for the teachers and the other one for the students. In these questionnaires, areas posing problems to the teachers as they teach and to the learners as they learn were to be highlighted. Teachers especially were required to give reasons why they experienced the problems that they did. The questionnaires also sought to establish the availability and use of teaching and learning resources in secondary schools. At the same time opinions and suggestions were sought as to the ways that could lead to improved performance.

The findings indicated that the performance was poor for various reasons, for example, lack of enough learning and teaching materials, negative attitudes by the students towards Kiswahili and failure to communicate in Kiswahili.
Most of the secondary schools in Kipipiri Division are day schools. Majority of the students indicated that their first language (mother tongue) is Kikuyu which the majority of them also use with their parents and siblings.

In schools, when communicating with their schoolmates and teachers, the language most of them use is English. It is only when they are with their friends that they use Kiswahili and even then most of it is sheng. This shows that the use of the Kiswahili language in communication is highly limited. These students are in school from around 8:00 a.m. to around 5:00 p.m. while in the evenings and over the weekends they are at home and with their friends using either their mother tongues or sheng. When these students are in their schools, the administrators really emphasize that they use English while communicating. This to a large extent affects their proficiency in Kiswahili. Majority of them tend to think in either English, their mothertongues or sheng (the languages that they are used to) and then literally translate into Kiswahili. This is evidenced when one reads their compositions (insha). There are a lot of spelling and grammatical mistakes.

From the statistics it was discovered that most schools only provide textbooks recommended by the Ministry of Education, that is, the Kenya Institute of Education textbooks. Schools rarely purchase other
reference books as well as class readers and Kiswahili newspapers. They are either unavailable and where available, they are inadequate. Other resources like audio and visual tapes, real objects and resource persons are rarely used because of their unavailability. This really hampers the students' range of knowledge in Kiswahili. Watching or listening to recorded tapes on set books could broaden their views while analysing these books. The same would happen if they listened to resource persons. Reading Kiswahili newspapers and class readers could improve their vocabulary. This could make their composition writing a lot better. It could also assist them in analysing poems. The twisted language and the hidden meanings in mashairi would not pose a problem to them because their vocabulary knowledge would be deep.

3. The statistical analysis revealed that majority of the schools are single stream schools. Thus, they have only one Kiswahili teacher handling Forms 1 to 4 with around 160 students. This affects the instructional methods used because most teachers indicated that they use the lecture method most of the time. Demonstrations and discussions are rarely used as was testified by students complaining that they do not have coordinated group works. This is due to the fact that demonstrations and more so discussions require a lot of time. The teachers having so much work and being always under pressure to complete the syllabus have to use the most convenient though not effective method to teach, that is.
the lecture. Even the question and answer method which would improve pronunciation and hence spellings is rarely used. Lecturing methods only caters for *fasih* (literature). But discussion, questions and answers which would take care of poetry and compositions are rarely used. These two, poetry and compositions, have always contributed to the overall poor performance in Kiswahili. This is evidenced by the students indicating that they experience a lot of problems in these two areas. In spite of all these, *Kiswahili* teachers are highly commended because they always give and mark assignments as well as homework, the amount of work they have not withstanding.

The statistics also revealed that poetry (Mashairi) pose a lot of problems to the teachers while teaching as well as to the learners while learning. The main reason given was that there are hardly any books on poetry. Hence, the teachers have to search for poems to give to the students and analyse together. The students are also not in a position to revise on their own because books are unavailable. There is also a scarcity of copies of *Kamusi* (Kiswahili dictionary). Thus, students are not in a position to look up meanings of difficult terms while revising. This is probably the main reason why they experience problems in vocabulary (Msamiti). Even the ones that are available are outdated as they do not include new terminologies and technical terms.
It was also revealed by the teachers that the administrators place a lot of emphasis on the students using English for communication. Use of Kiswahili is rarely emphasised. This really affects the students' proficiency in this language. It is because of this that students have a negative attitude towards Kiswahili which leads to them lacking any seriousness in it and consequently they hardly devote equal time to it as other subjects while revising. The students feel that because their headteachers or principals are not insisting on Kiswahili use, then it is not as important as English. They end up assuming it is an easy subject which they will pass very well even without doing serious revision. But they end up performing very poorly.

The low importance attached to Kiswahili is further proved by the students themselves. Majority of them (85.5%) stated that they attach some importance to Kiswahili because it helps them to pass their examinations. Only 44.1% of the students indicate that they value Kiswahili for their future career advancement.

Another finding was that lack of enough teachers was proving to be a problem for both the teachers and the learners. This is because the single teacher in most schools has to handle all the areas to be covered in this subject. This led to some areas being not exhausted. Connected with this is the lack of enough Kiswahili lessons which was cited by
both teachers and students. The 6 lessons per week given to Kiswahili are inadequate compared to English which has 8 lessons per week. All the areas covered in English have also to be covered in Kiswahili. Having enough teachers as well as enough lessons would enable the teachers to split *Fasihia* (literature) and other areas like grammar and poetry. Different teachers would have enough time to cover different areas and thus exhaust them properly.

7. It was discovered that localisation of schools was proving a hindrance to improved or better performance in Kiswahili. Being day schools, most of the students come from the areas surrounding the schools. Majority of them understand each others' mother tongue (Kikuyu, for most of them). Therefore, they find no real reason why they should be forced to communicate in Kiswahili or English. They can comfortably talk and understand each other. If it is compulsory for them to speak in any other language, they will either use sub-standard Kiswahili or *sheng*. This really affects their spellings. In fact 13% of the students conceded that if they would communicate in Kiswahili and avoid other languages like *sheng* and Kikuyu, they would improve their performance.

8. There is a tendency on the part of the learners not to revise Kiswahili. In fact 10% of the students stated that they do not practice or revise
Kiswahili on their own. Only 29% indicated that they revise on their own and 25% stated that they never read other Kiswahili books other than the set books. Interestingly, 60% of the students do not mind Kiswahili being a compulsory subject, probably because it does not take much of their time.

5.2 Conclusions

It is evident from this study that lack of enough materials and resources is affecting the performance of the students in Kiswahili. It is hard for the teachers to teach properly without adequate resources. It is also impossible for students who want to revise to do so without enough books. Thus, their adequate provision is very important.

The administrators seem to have a role to play in making learners develop negative attitudes towards Kiswahili. Their over-emphasis of English use over Kiswahili in communication is not helping matters in making learners realise that this subject is as important as others. Hence, the lack of seriousness and revision.

The relatively big load for the teachers is affecting their instructional and evaluation techniques. It is hard for the teachers to co-ordinate group work in
all the classes and get to know the problems of individual students and thus help them accordingly. Consequently, the students' complaints of having no group work.

Students attach some importance to Kiswahili because it helps them to do well in their examinations. Beyond the passing of examinations, Kiswahili is not very important. As one student stated Kiswahili is rarely used in important meetings and other major gatherings. Even in most offices it is hardly used. Therefore, it is not as important as English which is used in all offices and in job application forms. This was the reason majority of the students felt that Kiswahili should be the official as well as the National language.

5.3 Recommendations

1. From the study, students indicated that they communicate in their mother tongues (Kikuyu) when they are at home and even in school with their friends. With their teachers, they mostly use English. Rarely do they use Kiswahili to communicate and if used it is sheng. Thus school administrators should emphasise that all the students communicate in English as well as Kiswahili. From the study, the teachers and the students have stated that one way of improving performance in this subject is by communicating in it. Since this is a
subject that is be tested in the final form four examination like all others, it is important that students perform well in it. Further, it should be emphasized that students communicate in standard Kiswahili and not in *sheng*.

From the statistics, most schools only have adequate recommended texts for grammar and *fasihi*. Other materials for alternative reading are either not available or inadequate. Thus school heads and other administrators need to purchase all the teaching and learning resources necessary for Kiswahili. This would help the students to read widely and thus expand and improve their vocabulary. Teachers would also have a wide variety of materials to use.

It is necessary for school heads and other administrators to purchase all the teaching and learning materials and resources necessary for Kiswahili. Some schools do not provide *Kiswahili* newspapers at all. Class readers should also be adequate for the students. This would help the students to read widely and thus expand and improve their vocabulary. Other resources like audio and visual tapes, charts, resource persons should be provided. This would help teachers to demonstrate and the learners to think and explore further.
Currently in secondary schools there are no recommended *mashairi* books. Teachers and students only use the few *mashairis* in grammar books. They are shallow since there is no guidance on how to go about them and no explanation of difficult works. Thus, Kenya Institute of Education should consider publishing *Mashairi* (poetry) books which they could recommend to schools. This would ease the work of teaching this area. It would also be possible for the learners to revise on their own, with other learners and even seek guidance and assistance from the teachers.

The Ministry of Education through the Teachers' Service Commission should reconsider their directive of having one Kiswahili teacher for single stream schools. Currently this is proving hard for the teachers in schools because there is too much work. Teachers are not in a position to cater for students individual problems.

A good number of teachers (32.9%) stated that *Kiswahili* lessons are few. There are only 6 lessons per week for *Kiswahili* compared to English which has 8 lessons per week. So these group of teachers were of the opinion that *Kiswahili* lessons should be added. This would enable *Kiswahili* teachers to have ample time to cover all areas in this subject.
The Teachers' Service Commission through the District Education Officers should organise in-service courses and seminars for Kiswahili teachers so that they are updated on new approaches and methodologies for teaching Kiswahili. About 7% of the teachers in the study stated that this is a necessity.

Kiswahili teachers through their respective headteachers should organise for symposiums between schools. During such symposiums the teachers and the students should discuss their different problem areas and assist each other. For example, they could exchange ideas on setbooks, discuss poems and how to analyse them and how to avoid spellings and grammatical errors.

From the statistics, 40 9% of the students indicated that they have a negative attitude towards Kiswahili. This is because either they feel it is too hard, they find it unimportant other than for the purpose of passing examinations, it is not a requirement in most job applications or further courses and it is not used in many offices or major gatherings.

Thus students need to change their attitudes towards Kiswahili as a subject. They should view it as important as all other subjects. The learners need not ignore to revise Kiswahili assuming it is very easy only to realise later it was not when they have already failed.
5.4 Suggestions for Further Research

1. Further research could be carried out on performance in the specific areas tested in Kiswahili, that is, *Fasili* (literature), *Ufahamu*, *ulipisho na matumizi ya lugha* (comprehension, grammar and summary) and *insha* (composition). Hence, further research could be conducted in these particular areas.

2. Also further research could be done on students' attitude towards *Kiswahili* which is a major inhibition to good performance. Factors that contribute to these negative attitude could be established, whether it is their background, current environment or future aspirations.

3. In the area of *Mashairi* (poetry) other researchers could try to establish why it is such a major problem both to the teachers and the students. To the teachers, why it proves so hard to teach poetry. The nature of training they get in this area should be established and if they are properly exposed to it. To the learners, why the language used in the poems proves to be so hard to them. Whether the language used is actually hard or they just assume so.
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APPENDIX A

LETTER OF INTRODUCTION TO HEADTEACHERS OR PRINCIPALS

Eunice N. Maina
University of Nairobi
College of Education and
External Studies
P. O. Box 92
KIKUYU

Dear

RE: A QUESTIONNAIRE ON CAUSES OF POOR PERFORMANCE IN
KISWAHILI KCSE EXAMINATION IN KIPIPIRI DIVISION

I am currently carrying out a research into the causes of poor performances in
Kiswahili KCSE examination.

The questionnaire here in attached will help the researcher in collecting the necessary
information. All information will be treated confidentially.

Please do not indicate your name or the name of your school. Your responses will be
highly appreciated

Thank you for your co-operation

Yours faithfully,

EUNICE MAINA
APPENDIX B

QUESTIONNAIRE FOR THE KISWAHILI TEACHERS

This questionnaire is designed to help the researcher in investigating causes of poor performance in Kiswahili KCSE examination in Kipipiri Division. Confidentiality will be accorded to the responses that you give. So do not indicate your name or the name of your school. Please give your responses as honestly as possible. Kindly respond to all items.

SECTION A

Please indicate the correct option by putting a (√) on the options

1. Please indicate your age
   a) Below 25 years
   b) 25 - 35 years
   c) 36-40 years
   d) 41 and above

2. What is your Gender? a) Male b) Female

3. What is your professional qualification?
   a) M. Ed
   b) B.Ed
   c) Diploma
   d) S1
   e) Other (specify)
4. State your professional experience:

a) 1 - 5 years
b) 5 years
c) 6 - 10 years
d) Over 10 years

5. Please indicate how long you have been in your school:

a) Less than 5 years
b) 5 - 10 years
c) 11 - 15 years
d) Over 15 years

6. Indicate your school type:

a) Day
b) Boarding
c) Boarding and Day

7. What is the category of your school?

a) Mixed school
b) Boys only
c) Girls only

8. What is your load per week? ___________ lessons
### SECTION II

1. Below are statements about use of teaching materials and resources in relation to your teaching. Tick (✓) in the relevant column the extent to which each of these statements apply to your teaching.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key</th>
<th>a) Always</th>
<th>b) Often</th>
<th>c) Occasionally</th>
<th>d) Rarely</th>
<th>e) Never</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Alw)</td>
<td>(Oft)</td>
<td>(Occ)</td>
<td>(Rar.)</td>
<td>(Nev.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement on use of materials and resources</th>
<th>Alw</th>
<th>Oft</th>
<th>Occ</th>
<th>Rar</th>
<th>Nev</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>i) Charts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii) Pictures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iii) Real Objects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iv) Radio Cassettes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v) Resource Persons</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vi) K I E. Textbooks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vii) Other Textbooks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Below are various instructional methods used in teaching. Tick (√) in the relevant column the extent to which you use each of these methods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a)</td>
<td>b)</td>
<td>c)</td>
<td>d)</td>
<td>e)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Always</td>
<td>Often</td>
<td>Occasionally</td>
<td>Rarely</td>
<td>Never</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statement on use of materials and resources</td>
<td>Alw</td>
<td>Ofl</td>
<td>Occ</td>
<td>Rar</td>
<td>Nev</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i)</td>
<td>Lecturing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii)</td>
<td>Discussions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iii)</td>
<td>Questions and Answers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iv)</td>
<td>Group Work</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v)</td>
<td>Demonstrations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Indicate in the table below by use of a tick (✓) the extent to which you use the given evaluation methods in testing learners in Kiswahili.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key</th>
<th>a)</th>
<th>b)</th>
<th>c)</th>
<th>d)</th>
<th>e)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Always (Alw.)</td>
<td>Often (Of.)</td>
<td>Occasionally (Occ.)</td>
<td>Rarely (Rar.)</td>
<td>Never (Nev.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation methods</th>
<th>Alw</th>
<th>Of.</th>
<th>Occ</th>
<th>Rar.</th>
<th>Nev</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>i) Use of essay questions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii) Use of short-answers questions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iii) Use of multiple-choice</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iv) Use of supply item type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v) Use of oral tests</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vi) Giving Homework / Assignments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vii) Marking Assignments / Homework</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Below are some materials pertaining to your Kiswahili teaching. Tick (✓) in the relevant column the extent to which they are available, unavailable, inadequate, used or unused in relation to your school.

**Key:**
- a) Available (Avail)
- b) Unavailable (Unavail)
- c) Adequate (Adeq)
- d) Inadequate (Inadequa)
- e) Used (Used)
- f) Unused (Unsd)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluation methods</th>
<th>Availability</th>
<th>Adequacy</th>
<th>Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Avail Unavail Ade Inadqu Used Unsd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i) Grammar texts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii) Fasihi texts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iii) Class Readers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iv) Kiswahili Newspaper</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v) Audio tapes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vi) Video tapes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SECTION B

1. How often do you give tests to students in Kiswahili?
   a) Every lesson [ ] [ ]
   b) Every week [ ] [ ]
   c) Every Month [ ] [ ]
   d) At the end of term [ ] [ ]
   e) Others specify ____________________________

2. According to you which areas do you find learners having problems in learning Kiswahili?
   ____________________________
   ____________________________
   Give reasons ____________________________________________________________

3. In your opinion which areas do you encounter problems in teaching Kiswahili?
   ____________________________
   ____________________________
   Give reasons ____________________________________________________________
4. In your opinion which factors inhibit good performance in Kiswahili?


5. What suggestions would you give that you feel can improve Kiswahili performance?


Thank you very much for your cooperation
APPENDIX C

QUESTIONNAIRE FOR THE STUDENTS

The questionnaire is designed to help the researcher in investigating performance in Kiswahili KCSE examination in Kipipiri Division. The answers that you give will be treated confidentially. So do not indicate your name or that of your school. Please answer the questions as honestly and correctly as possible. Respond to all items in the questionnaire.

SECTION A

Place a tick (✓) in the correct option

1. Please indicate your gender
   a) Male [ ] b) Female [ ]

2. Please state your age.

3. What is the category of your school
   a) Boarding [ ]
   b) Day [ ]
   c) Boarding and Day [ ]

4. State the type of your school.
   a) Boys only [ ]
   b) Girls only [ ]
   c) Mixed [ ]
5. How many streams does your school have?

a) One
b) Two
c) Three
d) Four
e) Five and above

6. Indicate your first language (mother tongue)

7. Indicate the language you use with the following people

a) Father
b) Mother
c) Teachers
d) Friends
e) Schoolmates
f) Sisters / Brothers
SECTION B

Below are 5 statements about your feelings about learning Kiswahili. Tick (✓) in the relevant column the extent to which each of these statements apply to you.

Key

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Important</td>
<td>Important</td>
<td>Not Important</td>
<td>No Opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(V/Imp.)</td>
<td>(Imp.)</td>
<td>(N/Imp.)</td>
<td>(No Opn)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statements regarding feelings about Kiswahili</th>
<th>V/Imp</th>
<th>Imp</th>
<th>N/Imp</th>
<th>N/Opn</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>i) To be able to communicate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii) To pass exam</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iii) Future career advancement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iv) Kiswahili as an official language</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v) Kiswahili as an unofficial language</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vi) Kiswahili as a compulsory subject</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Below are various areas examined in Kiswahili language. Tick (✓) in the appropriate column how you find them in learning Kiswahili?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key</th>
<th>a) Very Easy (VE)</th>
<th>b) Easy (E)</th>
<th>c) Difficult (D)</th>
<th>d) Very Difficult (VD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statements regarding feelings about Kiswahili</th>
<th>VE</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>VD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>i) Insha</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii) Sauti</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iii) Muhtasari</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iv) Ufahamu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v) Riwaya</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vi) Tanthilia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vii) Mashairi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Below are statements related to your revision and how you are tested in Kiswahili. Indicate by a tick (✓) appropriately in the given column.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key</th>
<th>a) Always</th>
<th>(Alw.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>b)</td>
<td>Often</td>
<td>(Oft.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c)</td>
<td>Occasionally</td>
<td>(Occ )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d)</td>
<td>Rarely</td>
<td>(Rar )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e)</td>
<td>Never</td>
<td>(Nev)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statements on revision and testing in Kiswahili</th>
<th>Alw</th>
<th>Oft</th>
<th>Occ</th>
<th>Rar</th>
<th>Nev</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>i) Reading/revising Kiswahili on your own</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii) Reading Kiswahili books other than set books</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iii) Reading Kiswahili Newspaper</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iv) Getting Kiswahili assignment/homework</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v) Having assignment/homework marked</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SECTION C**

1. In which areas do you experience problems while learning Kiswahili?
2. In your opinion what problems affect your performance in Kiswahili? Explain?


3. How many students share one textbook in your class?
   a) One [ ]
   b) Two [ ]
   c) Three [ ]
   d) Four [ ]
   e) Other (specify) ____________________________

4. In your opinion, what do you think can be done to improve Kiswahili performance?


Thank you very much for your co-operation.
Dear Sir

RE: RESEARCH AUTHORISATION

On the basis of your application for authority to conduct research on ‘An Investigation into the causes of poor performance in Kiswahili KCSE Examination in Kipipiri Division of Nyandarua District, I am pleased to inform you that you have been authorised to conduct research in Nyandarua District for a period ending 30th August 2003.

You are advised to report to the District Commissioner and the District Education Officer, Nyandarua District before embarking on your research project.

You are further expected to avail two copies of your research findings to this Office upon completion of your research project.

Yours faithfully

A. O. KAARIA
FOR: PERMANENT SECRETARY/EDUCATION

CC
The District Commissioner
Nyandarua

The District Education Officer
Nyandarua