

UNIVERSITY OF NAIROBI

COLLEGE OF BIOLOGICAL AND PHYSICAL SCIENCES

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS

On the classification of semisimple Lie algebras "

By KURUJYIBWAMI CELESTIN

156/71103/2009

Supervisor: Mr Claudio Achola

A dissertation submitted to the School of mathematics in Partial fulfillment for a degree of Master of Science in Pure mathematics.



DECLARATION

I the undersigned declare that this project is my original work and has not been presented for a degree in any other university.

KURUJYIBWAMI CELES 156/71103/2010	TIN prasts	30/07/2010
	Signature	Date

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS

UNIVERSITY OF NAIROBI

P.O.BOX .30197,

NAIROBI, KENYA.

Declaration by Supervisor

This project has been submitted for examination with my approval as the University supervisor.

CLAUDIO ACHOLA

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF NAIROBI P.O.BOX .30197,

NAIROBI, KENYA.

athte

30 7 2010

Signature

Date

ÎÈ

DEDICATION

To my wife MUKARUGINA Dancille for her creative initiative



۰.

.

MOTIVATION

In august (15th-28th), 2009 University of Dales Salam hosted great mathematicians from East Africa and other parts of the world.

Besides Dr Andrew Maffei and Dr Elnora(university of Roma), Prof Ramadas (ICTP) who were the main speakers at the East Africa workshop on Algebra(Finite reflection group) this study is a part of the lecture given at the conference by the above speakers.

From this I got the topic of this work.



ĪV

NOTATIONS AND MEANINGS

 \subseteq : Subset of

Gl(n, F): The set of all linear groups of invertible matrices with entries in F ad: Adjoint representation

gl(V): The set of linear transformation of a vector space V to itself

 $A_n = Sl(n+1)$: Lie algebra of the special linear group in (n+1) variables $C_n = Sp(2n)$: The Lie algebra of the symplectic group in 2n variables

 $B_n = O(2n+1)$: The Lie algebra of the special orthogonal group in (2n+1) variables,

 $D_n = O(2n)$: The Lie algebra of the special orthogonal group in 2n variables,

Rad(L): Radical of Lie algebra L.

- \oplus : Direct sum
- \bigcup : Union
- \Rightarrow : Imply
- \in : belong to
- ≠ : Not equal (different from)
- ≈: Isomorphic to



ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I wish to express my profound gratitude to my supervisor Mr Claudio Achola for his advice, guidance, and encouragement throughout the writing and the submission of this work.

I am thankful to the Government of Rwanda for providing me the scholarship for Msc study and late Prof John Owino within the School of mathematics for providing me the admission.

I extend my gratitude also to KIST (Kigali Institute of Science and Technology) for according me the study leave.

I would like to express my sincere appreciation to my Lecturers: Prof Khalagai, Dr Were,

Dr Muriuki, Dr Moindi, Prof Pocharial, Mr james, all members of the School of Mathematics especially Dr Nyandwi, Dr Patrick Weke and Dr Nzimbi, and to my colleagues Ntihabose Léon, Kayiranga Epimark, Karangwa Eugene who contributed directly or indirectly to the present work.

Finally I am indebted to my family for their unfailing support throughout my school days.

Above all thanks to God for bringing me this far.



ABSTRACT

Lie algebra over a field F (F= \mathbb{C}) is a vector space L over F equipped with a skew symmetric bilinear operation called the Lie bracket, which satisfied the Jacobi identity.

Lie algebras, have Jordan decomposition into semisimple and nilpotent parts, with representation theory of nilpotent Lie algebras being intractable in general. The finite dimensional representation and classification of semisimple Lie algebras are completely understood, after work of Elie Cartan.

A classification of semisimple Lie algebras L is analyzed by choosing a Cartan subalgebra which is essentially a generic maximal subalgebra H of L on which the Lie bracket is zero (abelian). The representation of L is decomposed into weight spaces which are eigen spaces for the action of H (root space decomposition). From which the analysis of representation is easily understood by the possible weights which can occur (root system).

The classification of semisimple Lie algebras by Dynkin gives the four classical Lie algebras and five exceptional simple Lie algebras over the finite algebraically field of characteristic zero.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION
DEDICATION
MOTIVATION iv
NOTATIONS AND MEANINGS
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTvi
ABSTRACTvii
TABLE OF CONTENTS
LIST OF TABLES
LIST OF FIGURES
CHAPTER I: GENERAL INTRODUCTION
I.1. Literature review 1
I.2. Objectives of the study
I.3. Methodology
I.4. Significance
I.5. Definitions of key terms
I.6. Frame work of the study
CHAPTER II: BASIC CONCEPTS OF LIE ALGEBRAS
II.1. Preliminaries
II.1.1.Definition (Lie algebra)
II.1.2.Definition (Lie algebra homomorphism)
II.1.3. Definition (adjoint representation "ad")
II.1.4. Lemma
II.1.5. Definition (derivation)
11.1.6. Lemma ·····
II.1.7. Definition (Subalgebra)
II.1.8. Definition (center, normalizer)

II.2. Representation	9
II.2.1. Definition (representation)	9
II.3. Ideals	9
II.3.1.Definition (linear Lie algebra)	9
II.3.2. Definition (ideal)	
II.3.3. Lemma	
II.3.4. Definitions	
II.3.5 .Classical Lie algebras and exceptional simple Lie algebras	
II.4. Solvability and nilpotency	12
II.4.1. Definition (solvability)	12
II.4.2. Defintion (nilpotency)	12
II.4.3Definition (radical and nilradical)	12
II.4.4. Definition (semisimple Lie algebra)	
II.4.5. Theorem ·····	
II.4.6. Theorem	
CHAPTER III: SEMISIMPLE LIE ALGEBRAS	16
CHAPTER III: SEMISIMPLE LIE ALGEBRAS	16 16
CHAPTER III: SEMISIMPLE LIE ALGEBRAS III.1. Definitions III.1.1. Definition (L-module)	16 16 16
CHAPTER III: SEMISIMPLE LIE ALGEBRAS III.1. Definitions III.1.1. Definition (L-module) III.1.2. Definition (L-module homomorphism)	16 16 16 16
CHAPTER III: SEMISIMPLE LIE ALGEBRAS III.1. Definitions III.1.1. Definition (L-module) III.1.2. Definition (L-module homomorphism)	16 16 16 16 16
CHAPTER III: SEMISIMPLE LIE ALGEBRAS III.1. Definitions III.1.1. Definition (L-module) III.1.2. Definition (L-module homomorphism) III.1.3. Definition (completely reducible L-module) III.2. Properties semisimple Lie algebras	16 16 16 16 16 16
CHAPTER III: SEMISIMPLE LIE ALGEBRAS. III.1. Definitions III.1.1. Definition (L-module) III.1.2. Definition (L-module homomorphism) III.1.3. Definition (completely reducible L-module) III.2. Properties semisimple Lie algebras III.2.1. Complete reducibility	16 16 16 16 16 16 16
CHAPTER III: SEMISIMPLE LIE ALGEBRAS III.1. Definitions III.1.1. Definition (L-module) III.1.2. Definition (L-module homomorphism) III.1.3. Definition (completely reducible L-module) III.2. Properties semisimple Lie algebras III.2.1. Complete reducibility	
CHAPTER III: SEMISIMPLE LIE ALGEBRAS. III.1. Definitions. III.1.1. Definition (L-module)	
CHAPTER III: SEMISIMPLE LIE ALGEBRAS. III.1. Definitions. III.1.1. Definition (L-module)	
CHAPTER III: SEMISIMPLE LIE ALGEBRAS III.1. Definitions III.1.1. Definition (L-module) III.1.2. Definition (L-module homomorphism) III.1.3. Definition (completely reducible L-module) III.2. Properties semisimple Lie algebras III.2.1. Complete reducibility III.2.2. Centerless III.2.3. Linear III.2.4. Jordan decomposition	
CHAPTER III: SEMISIMPLE LIE ALGEBRAS. III.1. Definitions III.1.1. Definition (L-module) III.1.2. Definition (L-module homomorphism) III.1.3. Definition (completely reducible L-module) III.2. Properties semisimple Lie algebras III.2.1. Complete reducibility III.2.2. Centerless III.2.3. Linear III.2.4. Jordan decomposition III.3. Engel's theorem. III.3. Theorem (first form)	
CHAPTER III: SEMISIMPLE LIE ALGEBRAS. III.1. Definitions	
CHAPTER III: SEMISIMPLE LIE ALGEBRAS. III.1. Definitions III.1.1. Definition (L-module) III.1.2. Definition (L-module homomorphism) III.1.3. Definition (completely reducible L-module) III.2. Properties semisimple Lie algebras III.2.1. Complete reducibility III.2.2. Centerless III.2.3. Linear III.2.4. Jordan decomposition III.3. Engel's theorem. III.3.1.Theorem (first form). III.3.2. Theorem (second form)	

III.4. Killing form	
III.4.1. Definition	
III.4.2. Properties	
III.5. Cartan's criterions	
III.5.1. Theorem (First criterion)	
III.5.2. Proposition	
III.5.3. Theorem (Second criterion)	
III.5.4. Corollary	
III.6. Representation of $Sl(2,C) = A_1$	
III.6.1. Weights and maximal vectors	
III.6.2. Lemmas	
CHAPTER IV: CLASSIFICATION OF SEMISIMPLE LIE ALGEBRAS	
IV.1. Maximal toral subalgebra	
IV.1.1. Definitions	
IV.1.2. Lemma (Maximal toral Subalgebra is abelian)	
IV.1.3. Theorem (Cartan subalgebras are self centralizing)	
IV.2. Root space decomposition	
IV.2.1. Definition	
IV.2.2. Properties of Φ	
IV.3. Root system	
IV.3.1. Definitions	
IV.3.2. Properties of root system	
IV.3.3. Bases for root system	
IV.3.4. Isomorphism of root systems	
IV.3.5. Cartan Matrix	
IV.3.5.1. Proposition	
IV.5.2. Definition (Cartan matrix)	
IV.4. Complete classification of semisimple Lie algebras	

 \sim

IV.4.1. Coxeter graphs and Dynkin diagrams)
IV.4.2. Definition (Coxeter graph)	,
IV.4.3Dynkin diagrams	5
IV.4.4. Classification	ŀ
CHAPTER V: CONCLUSION, COMMENTS AND CURRENT RESEARCH)
V.1. Conclusion and comments)

V.2. Current researches related to the present study	42
REFERENCES	44



.

LIST OF TABLES

Table:	Different cases of $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \langle \beta, \alpha \rangle$ which can occur)
Table:	Example of root system, Cartan matrix and Dynkin diagram	2



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Relationship of solvability, nilpotency, abelian, semisimple and simple Lie	algebras14
Figure 2: Relative position of α and β	
Figure 3: Root system of rank 2	29
Figure 4: Base of the root system	

.

CHAPTER I: GENERAL INTRODUCTION

I.1. Literature review

But, in the further development of a branch of Mathematics, the human mind, encouraged by the success of its solution, becomes conscious of its independence. It evolves from its self alone, often without, by means of logical combination, generalization, specialization, by separating and collecting ideas in fortune new ways, new and fruitful problems, and appears then itself as the real questioner.

"David Hilbert, Mathematical problem"

The theory of Lie algebras has been developed significantly over the last two centuries, due largely to the efforts of Lie, Killing, Engel, Cartan, Weyl, Iwasawa and Harish Chandra.

Our work is based on the classification of complex semisimple Lie algebras.

In 1866 Sophus Lie began to read more and more mathematics and the library of University of Christiania (Norway) showed clearly that his interests were steadily twining in the direction of Mathematics. It was during the year 1867 that Lie had first brilliant new mathematical idea. It came to him in the middle of the night, and filled with excitement, he rushed to see his friend, woke him up and shouted "I have found it, it is quite simple". Earlier, Lie had problems of career choice; he could not decide what subject to pursue. This was not the end of Lie's problems of course, but now he knew the career he wanted and it would be fair to say that from that moment on Lie became a Mathematician. The type of mathematics that Lie would study become more clearly defined during 1868 when he avidly read papers on geometry by Plucker and Poncelet.

In 1869, Crelle's Journal accepted Lie's paper based on the inspiration which had struck him in 1867.

Between 1873and 1881 Lie and Slow prepared an edition of Abel's complete works which was not published when Abel died. Lie had started examining partial differential equations, hoping that he could find a theory which was analogous to the Galois theory of equations. He wrote "the theory of differential equations is the most important discipline in modern Mathematics". He examined his contact transformations considering how they affected a process due to Jacobi of generating further solutions of differential equations from a given one. This led to combining the transformations in a way that Lie called an infinitesimal group, but which is not a group with the usually definition, rather what is today called Lie algebra.

In1884, Killing, introduced Lie algebras in Program Schrift published by the Lyceum Hosianium in Brawnsberg. His aim was to systematically study all space forms, that is, geometries with specific properties relating to infinitesimal notions. In his program schrift he translated this geometrical aim into the problem of classifying all finite dimensional real Lie algebras. At this stage Killing was not aware of Lie's work and therefore his definition of Lie algebra was made quite independently of Lie.[12]

Between 1888 and 1890, the classification theorems were presented by Killing in his paper Die Zusammensetzung Der Stetigen.

In 1885, Killing with Engel discussed the semisimple Lie algebras, which theory they knew about . Killing in a letter of 12 April 1886 conjectured that the only simple algebras were those related to the special linear group and orthogonal group. In the same letter he conjectured other theorems about Lie algebras.

Killing on 27 April 1887, come up with the definition of a semisimple Lie algebra (his definition that such an algebra had no abelian ideals is equivalent to the definition that such an algebra has no soluble ideal).

On 23rd May, Killing discovered that his conjecture about simple algebras was not correct, for he had discovered the group G, and by 18 October he had discovered the complete list of simple Lie algebras.

Elie Cartan (1869-1951) revised and completed the work of Killing on the classification of Semisimple Lie algebras over C and extended it to give a classification of their representations. He also classified the semisimple Lie algebras over the real numbers, and he used this to classify symmetric spaces.

Weyl (1885-1955) proved that the finite dimensional representations of Semisimple Lie algebras and Lie groups are semisimple (completely reducible).

Noether (1982-1935), Hass (1898-1979), Brauer (1901-1977) and Albert (1905-1972) found a classification of Semisimple algebras over number fields, which gives a classification of the classical algebraic group over the same field.

Chevalley (1909-1984), proved the existence of the simple Lie algebras and of their representations without using the classification. He was one of the initiators of the systematic study of algebraic groups over arbitrary fields.

Iwasawa (1917-1998) found the Iwasawa decomposition which is fundamental for the structure of real semisimple Lie groups.

Harish –Chandra (1923-1983) independently of Chevalley, also showed the existence of the simple lie algebras and of their representations without using the classification.[24]

In this work, we study the classification of semisimple Lie algebras, by classifying all possible root systems .The amazing results due to Killing with some repair work by Elie Cartan, is that with only five exceptions, the root system of the classical algebras exhaust all possibilities. We will be limited to the classification of Complex semisimple Lie algebras.

I.2. Objectives of the study

This work aims to summarize the major concepts related to the study of semisimple Lie algebras and their classifications.

Specifically, we will:

- analyze the main properties of semisimple Lie algebras.
- apply these properties to the classification of semisimple Lie algebras
- Give applications of these properties.

I.3. Methodology

The approach of classification of semisimple Lie algebra *L* is as follows:

- Find the maximal abelian subalgebra H consisting of elements that are diagonalizable in every representation.
- Restrict the adjoint representation of L to H and show that L is the direct sum of weight spaces with respect to H (Root space decomposition).
- Prove the general results about the set of weights (Root systems).
- Show that the isomorphism type of L is completely determined by its roots.
- Classify such root systems.
- Show that an isomorphism of the root systems of two complex semisimple Lie algebras lifts to an isomorphism of these algebras themselves.

The reference material comes from the books, journals and material from the World Wide Web. We use the traditional mathematical definition theorem-proof sequence.

I.4. Significance

This work is a part of continuing efforts by Algebraists and Physicists aimed at a fuller understanding of the relationship between group theory and Lie algebras.

Hence, the significance of semi simplicity comes firstly from the decomposition which states that every finite dimensional Lie algebras is the semi direct product of solvable ideal(its radical) and a semisimple algebras. Semisimple Lie algebras have a very elegant classification; over an algebraically closed field are completely classified by their root system, which are in turn classified by Dynkin diagrams.

Further, the representation theory of semisimple Lie algebras is much cleaner than for general Lie algebras. For example, the Jordan decomposition in semisimple Lie algebra coincides with the Jordan decomposition in its representation, this is not the case for Lie algebras in general.

I.5. Definitions of key terms

The following are definitions of some key concepts of algebra used in this study.

Definition 1: (Group)

A group consists of a set G and a binary operation "." defined on G for which the following conditions are satisfied:

i) (a.b).c = a.(b.c) for all $a,b,c \in G$ (associativity) *ii*) There is $a \in G$ such that 1.a = a.1 = a for all $a \in G$ (identity) *iii*) Given $a \in G$, there is $b \in G$ such that a.b = b.a = 1 (inverse)

Definition 2: (Ring)

A set \mathbb{R} of elements for which addition (+) and multiplication (.) are defined is called a ring if the following axioms are satisfied.

The set \mathbb{R} is an abelian group under addition.

- i) For any two elements a and b of \mathbb{R} the product a.b is defined and is an element of \mathbb{R} (closure).
- ii) For any elements a, b and c of \mathbb{R} , a.(b.c)=(a.b).c (associative law).
- iii) For any three elements a, b and c of \mathbb{R} , a.(b+c)=a.b+a.c and (b+c).a=b.a+c.a(Distributive law)

Definition 3: (Field)

This a ring \mathbb{R} , such that the elements of \mathbb{R} different from 0, forms an abelian group under multiplication.

Definition 4: (Vector space)

A set V of elements is called a vector space over a field F if it satisfies the following properties:

i) The set V is an abelian group under addition

- ii) For any vector v in V and for any c in F, is defined cv in V (Field elements are called scalars and elements of V are called vectors)
- iii) If v is a vector, c and d are scalars then (c+d)v = cv + dv (distributive law)
- iv) If u and v are vectors in V and c is a scalars then c(u + v) = cu + cv (distributive law).
- v) If v is a vector in $V \downarrow c$ and d are scalars, then (cd)v = c(dv)

vi) 1v = v for any v in V.

Definition 5 :(Linear Transformation)

Let V and W be vector spaces over a field F. A map $T: V \to W$ is said to be linear if satisfies

 $T(\lambda u + \beta v) = \lambda T(u) + \beta T(v)$ for all u, v in V and λ, β in F

Definition 6: (Algebra)

An algebra A over a field F is a vector space A over F with additional operation called multiplication of vectors which associates to each pair of vectors $u, v \in A$ a vector $uv \in A$ such that is associative, is distributive with respect over the addition and $\alpha(uv) = (\alpha u)v = u(\alpha v)$ for each scalar α in F.

If there is a vector $l \in A$ such that $|v = v| = v \forall v \in A$ then A is called a linear algebra with unity over F. If uv = vu, $\forall u, v \in A$ then it is said to be commutative.

Definition7: (Ideal)

A subset $I \subset R[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ is an ideal if it satisfies:

i) $0 \in I$ *ii*) If $f, g \in I$, then $f + g \in I$ *iii*) If $f \in I$ and $h \in R[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ then hf and $fh \in I$

Definition 8: (Bilinear form)

A bilinear form on a vector space V is a function $B: V \times V \to F$ that assigns to each pair of ordered vectors $v, w \in V$ a scalar $B(v, w) \in F$ such that:

i)
$$B(\alpha v + u, w) = \alpha B(v, w) + B(u, w)$$

ii) $B(v, u + \alpha w) = B(v, u) + \alpha(Bv, w) \forall u, v, w \in V and \alpha \in F$.

A bilinear form is non degenerate if $\forall v \neq 0, \exists w \in V \text{ such that } B(u, w) \neq 0$. Otherwise it is called degenerate. A bilinear form *B* is symmetric if $B(u, v) = B(v, u) \forall u, v \in V$.

Definition 9: (Matrix)

An $n \times m$ Matrix over F is a rectangular array with nm elements of F of n rows and m columns

given as: $M_{n \times m} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \dots & a_{1m} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \dots & a_{2m} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} \dots & a_{nm} \end{bmatrix}$.

A square matrix is one for which n = m.

The trace of a square matrix M written Tr (M) is defined to be the sum of the diagonal elements of M. The set of $n \times n$ invertible matrices is denoted by Gl(n, F).

Gl(*n*,*F*) is a group. **Definition 10: (Finite matrix group)**

A finite group $G \subset Gl(n, F)$ is called finite matrix group.

Definition 11: (Representation of a group)

A representation of a group G is homomorphism from G into Gl(n, F) for some n, n is the degree of the representation.

I.6. Frame work of the study

The content of the work is organized as follows:

General introduction given in Chapter I, describes the origin and the development of Lie algebras.

Chapter II presents the general concepts and basic notions of Lie algebras.

In Chapter III, the standard results on Semisimple Lie algebras are proved. The Killing form is introduced and used to describe the Cartan subalgebra in the next chapter.

Chapter IV of this work gives the classification of Semisimple Lie algebras.

The last chapter contains conclusion, comments and current research.

6

CHAPTER II: BASIC CONCEPTS OF LIE ALGEBRAS

In this chapter we present the basic notions of Lie algebras.

II.1. Preliminaries [4, 13]

II.1.1.Definition (Lie algebra)

A finite dimensional Lie algebra is a finite dimensional vector space L over a field F together with a map[.,.]: $L \times L \rightarrow L$ such that:

i) [.,.] is bilinear : [x + v, y] = [x, y] + [v, y]

- $\forall x, y, v \in L,$ and $[\alpha x, y] = \alpha[x, y] \forall x, y \in L \text{ and } \alpha \in F,$ $[x, y + w] = [x, y] + [x, w] \forall x, y, w \in L \text{ and}$ $[x, \beta y] = \beta[x, y] \forall x, y \in L \text{ and } \beta \in F$
- ii) [.,.] is skew symmetric: [x, x] = 0 for all $x \in L$.
- iii) the Jacobi identity holds: [x, [y, z]] + [y, [z, x]] + [z, [x, y]] = 0 for all x, y, z in L

Note that the first and the second property in definition (II.1.1), together imply $[x, y] = -[y, x] \text{ for all } x, y \in L:$ 0 = [x + y, x + y] = [x, x] + [x, y] + [y, x] + [y, y] = [x, y] + [y, x](2.1)

Examples

a) Any vector space L with the [x, y] = 0 for all $x, y \in L$

is an abelian Lie algebra.

b) Let V be a vector space and L the ring of all linear transformations. Then define [x, y] = xy - yx for all $x, y \in L$. Obviously, [...,] is bilinear, and [x, x] = 0.

For the Jacobi identity we have:

$$[x,[y,z]] + [y,[z,x]] + [z,[x,y]] = [x, yz - zy] + [y, zx - xz] + [z, xy - yx] = xyz - xzy + yzx - yxz + zxy - zyx - yzx + zyx - zxy + xzy - xyz + yxz = 0$$

So indeed this gives us a Lie algebra which we will call the general linear Lie algebra gl(V)

II.1.2.Definition (Lie algebra homomorphism)

Let L_1 and L_2 be Lie algebras; a linear map $\varphi: L_1 \to L_2$ is called a Lie algebra homomorphism

if $\varphi([x, y]) = [\varphi(x), \varphi(y)]$ for all $x, y \in L_1$. If a Lie algebra homomorphism φ is a bijection, then φ is called a Lie algebra isomorphism.

A Lie algebra isomorphism $\varphi: L \to L$ is called a Lie algebra automorphism. If L is a Lie algebra then we define for $\varphi: L \to gl(L)$ then $[\varphi(x), \varphi(y)] = \varphi(x)\varphi(y) - \varphi(y)\varphi(x)$

See example (II.1.2).

II.1.3. Definition (adjoint representation "ad")

Let *L* be Lie algebra, for $x \in L$, we define a linear map $ad_x: L \to L$ by $ad_x(y) = [x, y]$ (2.2)

Thus $ad(i.e \ the \ map \ x \to adx)$ in fact is a linear map from L into the space of linear operators from L to L. The ad function is useful in the sense that it makes things more readable instead of writing [x, [x, [x, [x, [y]]]]] we will now simply write $(ad_x)^5(y)$

We have the following:

II.1.4. Lemma

If L is a Lie algebra, then ad is a Lie algebra homomorphism from L to gl(L)

Proof

Let L be a Lie algebra, and let $x, y \in L$, then we have, for every $z \in L$ (by Jacobi identity) $ad_{[x,y]}(z) = [[x,y],z] = -[z,[x,y]] = [x,[y,z]] + [y,[z,x]] = [x,[y,z]] - [y,[x,z]]$ $= ad_x ad_y(z) - ad_y ad_x(z) = [ad_x, ad_y](z)$ (2.3)

So indeed $ad_{[x,y]} = [ad_x, ad_y]$. So ad is a homomorphism.

II.1.5.Definition (derivation)

A derivation d is a Lie algebra homomorphism satisfying d([x, y]) = [d(x), (y)] + [x, d(y)]

II.1.6.Lemma

If L is a Lie algebra and $x \in L$, then ad_x is a derivation, i.e. $ad_x([y,z]) = [y, ad_x(z)] + [ad_x(y), z]$

8

Proof

Let $x \in L$, and observe the action of ad_x on [y, z] for $y, z \in L$ $ad_x([y, z]) = [x, [y, z]] = [y, [x, z]] - [z, [x, y]] = [y, ad_x(z)] - [z, ad_x(y)]$ $= [y, ad_x(z)] + [ad_x(y), z].$ (2.4)

II.1.7.Definition (Subalgebra)

A subspace K of L is called a subalgebra if $[x, y] \in K$ whenever $x, y \in K$. In particular K is a Lie algebra in its own right.

II.1.8.Definition (center, normalizer)

The center and normalizer of Lie algebra L are denoted and defined respectively:

 $Z(L) = \left\{ Z \in L \mid [x, Z] = 0 \text{ for all } x \in L \right\}$ $N_L(K) = \left\{ x \in L \mid [x, K] \subset K \right\}$

Where K is a subalgebra of L.

II.2.Representation

Let L be a Lie algebra over the field F, and V a vector space over F.

II.2.1.Definition (representation)

A representation of L in V is a map: $\varphi: L \to End(V)$: such that

c) φ is linear, and

d) $\varphi([x, y]) = \varphi(x)\varphi(y) - \varphi(y)\varphi(x)$ for $x, y \in L$.

If V is a finite dimensional, the above is equivalent to saying that φ is a homomorphism of $L \operatorname{int} o gl(V)$. A well known representation is the adjoint representation of Lie algebra $\varphi: L \to gl(L)$

 $x \rightarrow ad_x$

II.3.Ideals

We note that we defined gl(V) as the set of linear transformation of a vector space V to itself, viewed as a Lie algebra.

II.3.1.Definition (linear Lie algebra)

A Lie algebra L is called a linear Lie algebra if it is isomorphic to a subalgebra of gl(V) for some vector space V.

The notion of ideals in rings extends to ideals in Lie algebras.

II.3.2. Definition (ideal)

A subspace I of a Lie algebra L is called an ideal if $[x, y] \in I$ for $x \in L$ and $y \in I$.

Example:

Let *L* be Lie algebra. Obviously, $\{0\}$ and *L* are ideals .A more interesting example is the center of $L: Z(L) = \{z \in L/[x, z] = 0 \text{ for all } x \in L\}$ (2.5)

Indeed if we let $y \in L$ and $z \in Z(L)$, then $[y, z] = 0 \in Z(L)$.

II.3.3.Lemma

If I and J are both ideals of L, then $I + J = \{x + y \mid x \in I, y \in J\}$ is an ideal and so $[I, J] = \{\sum [x_i, y_i] \mid x_i \in I, y_i \in J\}$

Proof

Let *I* and *J* be ideals of Lie algebra *L*. If it is straight forward that I + J is an ideal of *L*, so we focus on [I, J]. Let $y \in L$ and $z \in [I, J]$ so $z = [a_1, b_1] + \dots + [a_t, b_t]$, with $a_i \in I$ and $b_i \in J$.

Then by bilinearity, $[y, z] = [y, [a_1, b_1]] + \dots + [y, [a_t, b_t]]$ (2.6)

By Jacobi identity, we have for every term of this equation

 $[y,[a_i,b_i]] = [a,[y,b]] + [b,[a,y]] = [a_i,[y,b_i]] + [[y,a_i],b_i]$ (2.7)

And both of these terms are of the form [a,b] with $a \in I$ and $b \in J$. So indeed $[y,z] \in [I,J]$, as desired.

The ideal I + J above is called the sum of I and J.

II.3.4.Definitions

• Abelian Lie algebra

A Lie algebra L is called abelian if [L, L] = 0 (i.e $[x, y] = 0 \forall x, y \in L$). In this case we say that Lie algebra L is called abelian if and only if Z(L) = L.

• Simple Lie algebra

A Lie algebra L is said to be simple if $[L, L] \neq 0$ and L has no ideals except {0} and L its self (i.e. If it is non abelian and has no proper ideals).

Remark

If we consider *ad* as a map from *L* into the space of linear operators on *L*, we see that its kernel is equal to the center of $L: Ker(ad) = \{x \in L \mid ad_x(y) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in L\} = Z(L)$ (2.8)

So, if L is a simple Lie algebra, $Ker(ad) = \{0\}$, hence ad is an isomorphism of L to gl(L), so any simple Lie algebra is a linear Lie algebra.

Example

Let V be a vector space over F of dimension n. Recall that the trace of a matrix M is the sum of its diagonal elements, commonly denoted by Tr(M), and independent of the choice of basis. Then we let $sl(V)(or sl_n(F)if V = F^n)$ denote the set of endomorphism of V having trace zero.

Since Tr(x+y) = Tr(x) + Tr(y) and Tr(xy) = Tr(yx), we know that sl(V) is a subalgebra of gl(V). It is called the special linear algebra and the dimension of sl(V) is $n^2 - 1$

II.3.5 .Classical Lie algebras and exceptional simple Lie algebras

Over a field of characteristic 0 there exist four families of classical Lie algebras and five exceptional simple Lie algebras. The accompanying proofs [13].

The four families of classical Lie algebras are:

i) The Lie algebra of the special linear Lie algebra in (n+1) variables: $A_n (n \ge 1)$: also denoted sl(n+1), and most commonly represented of $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ matrices with

trace 0. This Lie algebra has dimension $(n+1)^2 - 1$.

ii) The Lie algebra of the symplectic Lie algebra in 2n variables: $C_n (n \ge 3)$: also denoted

by Sp(2n).

If we let V be a vector space of dimension 2n, and denotes its elements as row vectors, we define the non degenerate bilinear form g on V by defining the matrix G:

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ -I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
, then its dimension is $n(2n+1)$ (2.9)

- iii) The Lie algebra of the special orthogonal Lie in 2n+1 variables is: $B_n (n \ge 2)$, also denoted O(2n+1). Its dimension is n(2n+1). (2.10)
- iv) The Lie algebra of the special orthogonal Lie algebra in 2n variables: $D_n (n \ge 4)$, also denoted O(2n). The dimension of O(2n) is n(2n-1). (2.11)

Remark:

We note that we could also define B_n and C_n for $n \ge 1$ and D_n for $n \ge 3$ but to avoid repetitions (because $A_1 = B_1 = C_1, B_2 = C_2$ and $A_3 = D_3$) we usually use the numbering above.

The exceptional Lie algebras are denoted by G_2 (of dimension 14), F_4 (of dimension 52),

 E_6 (of dimension 78), E_7 (of dimension 133) and E_8 (of dimension 248).

II.4. Solvability and nilpotency [6]

II.4.1. Definition (solvability)

Let L be a Lie algebra. We define a sequence of ideals of L by: $L^{(0)} = L, L^{(1)} = [L, L], L^{(2)} = [L^{(1)}, L^{(1)}] = [[L, L], [L, L]], L^{(3)} = [L^{(2)}, L^{(2)}],.$ (2.12) L is called solvable if $L^{(n)} = 0$ for some n. So, from the definition we immediately see that

L is called solvable if $L^{nn} = 0$ for some *n*. So, from the definition we immediately see that Abelian Lie algebras are always solvable, and Simple Lie algebras are never solvable.

II.4.2.Definition (nilpotency)

Let L be a Lie algebra .We define a sequence of ideals of L by: $L^0 = L, L^1 = [L, L], L^2 = [L, L^1] = [L, [L, L]], L^3 = [L, L^2],$ (2.13)

L is called nilpotent if $L^n = 0$ for some *n*.

Example

We let *L* be a Lie algebra over the field *F* generated by *a*, *b* and *c*, such that [a,b] = [a,c] = a and [b,c] = 0. This Lie algebra satisfies the Jacobi identity since [a,[b,c]] + [b,[c,a]] + [c.[a,b]] = 0 - [b,a] + [c,a] = -(-a) + (-a) = 0 (2.14) Furthermore, [L,L] = aF, so [[L,L],[L,L]] = 0 and *L* is solvable. However, *L* is not nilpotent because for example [b,[b,[...,[b,a]]]] is non zero for any arbitrary number b's in front.

From the definitions above, we note that

- Every nilpotent Lie algebra (ideal) is solvable; since $[L, L] \subseteq L$.
- A solvable Lie algebra (ideal), however is not necessary nilpotent.

II.4.3.Definition (radical and nilradical) [10]

The radical of L, denoted by Rad(L), is the largest solvable ideal of L. Similarly, we define the nilradical of L, denoted by NilRad(L), as the largest nilpotent ideal

of L.

Example

Consider L = The set of 2×2 upper triangular matrices.

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}$$

$$L^{(0)} = L, L^{(1)} = [L, L] = [x, y] \forall x, y \in L. \text{ Then for } x = \begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ 0 & w \end{pmatrix} and \ y = \begin{pmatrix} k & l \\ 0 & m \end{pmatrix}$$

We have:

we have:

$$L^{(1)} = [x, y] = xy - yx = \begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ 0 & w \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} k & l \\ 0 & m \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} k & l \\ 0 & m \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ 0 & w \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} uk & ul + vm \\ 0 & mw \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} ku & kv + lw \\ 0 & mw \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (ul + vm) - (kv + lw) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$L^{(2)} = [L^{(1)}, L^{(1)}] = [s, t] \forall u, v \in L^{1}. \text{ Hence for } s = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & n \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} and \ t = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We have

$$L^{(2)} = [s,t] = st - ts = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & n \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & n \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

So $L^{(2)} = 0$ and L is solvable. The Rad(L) = L. It is straight forward to see that a Lie algebra has unique radical.

Suppose *l* and *J* are solvable ideals of Lie algebra L, then:

- $\frac{J}{(I \cap J)}$ is solvable (because J is solvable)
- (I+J)/I is solvable (because $\frac{(I+J)}{I} \approx \frac{J}{(I \cap J)}$), so I+J is solvable

II.4.4 Definition (semisimple Lie algebra)

A Lie algebra L is said to be semisimple if Rad(L) = 0 (i.e if it does not contain any non zero abelian ideal).

II.4.5.Theorem [6]

Let L be a Lie algebra. Then $\frac{L}{Rad(L)}$ is semi simple.

1.1

Proof

Let φ be the natural map of L onto $\frac{L}{Rad(L)}$. If I is a solvable ideal of $\frac{L}{Rad(L)}$, then $\varphi^{-1}(I)$ must be a solvable ideal of L, and we have $Rad(L) \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(I)$. Moreover, by maximality of Rad(L) we have $\varphi^{-1}(I) \subseteq Rad(L)$ hence $Rad(L) = \varphi^{-1}(I)$. This shows that I = 0,

Hence L is semisimple.

II.4.6.Theorem [13]

L is semisimple if and only if it is isomorphic to a direct sum of simple Lie algebras.

We end this chapter by giving the following figure:

Fig 1: Relationship of solvability, nilpotency, abelian, semisimple and simple Lie algebras.



From the above we deduce the following:

The sum of two solvable ideals is a solvable ideal.

A Lie algebra L is simple if L is non abelian and has no proper nonzero ideal and is semisimple if it has no nonzero solvable ideals. Every simple Lie algebra is semisimple and every semisimple Lie algebra has 0center.

We have the sequence:

 $0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow L \rightarrow \frac{L}{T} \rightarrow 0$ With Tsolvable and $\frac{L}{T}$ semisimple.

In particular, there is no nonzero Lie algebra that is both solvable and semisimple.



.

. 1

CHAPTER III: SEMISIMPLE LIE ALGEBRAS

In this chapter we prove the standard results on semisimple Lie algebras and introduce the Killing form used to describe the Cartan subalgebra.

III.1. Definitions III.1.1. Definition (L-module)

Let L be a Lie algebra, a vector space V, endowed with an operation

 $L \times V \to V$

 $(x,v) \rightarrow x.v$ or just xv is called an L-module if the following conditions are satisfied:

i)
$$(ax+by).v = a(x.v) + b(y.v)$$

ii)
$$x.(av + bw) = a(x.v) + b(x.w)$$

iii)
$$[xy].v = x.y.v - y.x.v$$
 $(x, y \in L; v, w \in V; a, b \in F)$ (3.1)

III.1.2. Definition (L-module homomorphism)

Let V and W be L - mod ules,

A linear map $\varphi: V \to W$ such that $\varphi(x.v) = x.\varphi(v)$, $\forall x \in L, v \in V$ is called *L*-module homomorphism.

III.1.3. Definition (completely reducible L-module)

An L-module is said to be irreducible if it has only precisely two L-modules (itself and 0)

An L-module is completely reducible if L is a direct sum of irreducible L-submodules. (i.e. each L-submodule W of V has a complement W' (an L-submodule such that $V = W \oplus W'$)).

III.2. Properties semisimple Lie algebras

III.2.1. Complete reducibility [13]

A consequence of semisimplicity is a theorem due to Weyl:

[Every finite dimensional representation is completely reducible].

While in other contexts complete reducibility is equivalent to being semisimple, for Lie algebras the two notions are different.

Lie algebras whose finite dimensional representations are all completely reducible, are called reductive Lie algebras. The linear Lie algebra gl(n) is not semisimple, but its finite dimensional

representations are completely reducible. Here semisimple means that the Lie algebras is semisimple (a sum of semisimple Lie algebras), not that its representations are semisimple (sum of simple representations).

III.2.2. Centerless

Since the center of a Lie algebra L is an abelian ideal, if L is semisimple, then its center is zero. We note that every ideal, quotient and product of a semisimple Lie algebra is again semisimple.

III.2.3. Linear

The adjoint representation $ad: L \rightarrow End(L)$ is injective, and so a semisimple Lie algebra is also a linear Lie algebra under the adjoint representation.

III.2.4. Jordan decomposition [23]

Any endomorphism x of a finite dimensional vector space over an algebraically closed field can be decomposed uniquely into a diagonalizable (or semisimple) and nilpotent parts.

x = s + n, where s is the semisimple and n nilpotent parts and s and n commute with each other. Moreover each of s and n is a polynomial in x. This is a consequence of Jordan decomposition.

If $x \in L$, then the image of x under the adjoint map decomposes as: ad(x) = ad(s) + ad(n). The elements s and n are uniquely determined by x.

For any representation ρ of L, $\rho(x) = \rho(s) + \rho(n)$ is the Jordan decomposition of $\rho(x)$ in the endomorphism ring of the representation space.

Generalization

With these properties, semisimple Lie algebras admit certain generalizations:

Firstly, many statements that are true for semisimple Lie algebras are true more generally for reductive Lie algebras. Abstractly, a reductive Lie algebra is one whose adjoint representation is completely reducible, while concretely, a reductive Lie algebra is a direct sum of a semi simple Lie algebra and an abelian Lie algebra.

Examples

The following are examples of semisimple Lie algebras:

 A_n : Sl(n), the special linear group $Sl(n,c) = \{x \in gl(n,c) / TrX = 0\} \ n \ge 2$ $C_{n}: Sp(2n), the symplectic Lie a \lg ebra$ $Sp(2n) = \{x \in gl(n,c) / X^{T}J_{n,n} + J_{n,n}X = 0\} \ n \ge 1$ $Where \ J_{n,n} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_{n} \\ -I_{n} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ (3.2)

 $S(O_n)$: the special orthogonal Lie a lgebra $S(O_n) = \{x \in gl(n,c) | X + X^T = 0\} \ n \ge 3$

This family is divided into two families:

$$B_n$$
: SO(2n+1) and D_n = SO(2n)

(3.3)

These families together with the five exceptional Lie algebras G_2, F_4, E_6, E_7 and E_8 are in fact the only semisimple Lie algebras over the complex field.

III.3. Engel's theorem

Engel's theorem connects nilpotency of Lie algebras with ordinary nilpotency of operators on

a vector space.

III.3.1.Theorem (first form)

Let V be a vector space, let L be a sub Lie algebra of the general linear Lie algebra gl(V), consisting of nilpotent as linear operators. Then L is nilpotent Lie algebra.

III.3.2.Theorem (second form)

If L is a Lie algebra such that all operators adx, with x in L, are nilpotent, then L is nilpotent.

The proof follows from the following proposition:

"Let the Lie algebra L act on the non zero vector space V by nilpotent operators, then the null space $N = \{v \in V \mid Xv = 0 \text{ for } \forall x \text{ in } L\}$ is not zero."

Proofs [10,13].

III.4. Killing form [23]

The Killing form is a symmetric bilinear form that plays a basic role in the theory of Lie algebras.

III.4.1. Definition

Consider a Lie algebra L over a field F. Every endomorphism (adx)(adx) of L with the help of the Lie bracket, as adx(y) = [x, y]. Suppose L is of finite dimension, the trace of the composition

of two such endomorphism defines a symmetric bilinear form B(x, y) = Tr(adxady), with the values in F, is the Killing form on L (where Tr means trace).

III.4.2. Properties [23, 13]

- 1. The Killing form B is bilinear and symmetric
- 2. The Killing form is an invariant form, in the sense that it has the associativity property: B([x,y],z) = B(x,[y,z]) where [,] is the Lie bracket.
- 3. If L is a simple Lie algebra, then any invariant symmetric bilinear form on L is a scalar multiple of the Killing form.
- 4. The Killing form is also invariant under automorphism s of the Lie algebra L, that is B(s(x),s(y)) = B(x,y) for s in Aut(L)
- 5. The Cartan criterion states that Lie algebra is semisimple if and only if the Killing form is non degenerate.
- 6. The Killing form of nilpotent Lie algebra is identically zero.
- 7. If I and J are two ideals on Lie algebra L with zero intersection, then I and J are orthogonal subspaces with respect to the Killing form.
- 8. If a given Lie algebra L is a direct sum of its ideals I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n , then the Killing form of L is the direct sum of the Killing form of the individual summands.

Example (Computation of the Killing form)

Let us compute the Killing form of Sl(2, F) where (x, h, y) are the standard basis with

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$adh(x) = hx - xh = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 2x$$
$$adh(y) = hy - yh = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -2y$$
$$adh(h) = hh - hh = 0$$
$$adh = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} adx(x) &= xx - xx = 0\\ adx(h) &= xh - hx = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -2x\\ adx(y) &= xy - yx = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = h\\ adx &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\\ ady(x) &= yx - xy = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = -h\\ ady(h) &= yh - hy = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 2y\\ ady(y) &= yy - yy = 0\\ ady &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

Let B(a,b) = Tr(ada. adb), where $a = \alpha_1 x + \alpha_2 h + \alpha_3 y$ and $b = \beta_1 x + \beta_2 h + \beta_3 y$

$$B(a,b) = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Tr(adx \, adx) & Tr(adx \, adh) & Tr(adx \, ady) \\ Tr(adh \, adx) & Tr(adh \, adh) & Tr(adh ady) \\ Tr(ady \, adx) & Tr(ady \, adh) & Tr(ady \, adh) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \beta_3 \end{bmatrix}$$
(3.4)

$$B(a,b) = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 4 \\ 0 & 8 & 0 \\ 4 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \beta_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

III.5. Cartan's criterions [10]

III.5.1. Theorem (First criterion)

This describes the solvability in terms of the Killing form.

A Lie algebra L is solvable if and only if its Killing form B vanishes identically on the derived Lie algebra L.

III.5.2. Proposition

Let L be a sub Lie algebra of gl(V) with the property that Tr(xy) = 0 for all x, y in L, then the derived Lie algebra L' is nilpotent.

Proof [10]

III.5.3. Theorem (Second criterion) [13]

This describes the basic connection between semi simplicity and the Killing form.

"A Lie algebra L is semi simple if and only if its dimension is non zero and its Killing form B is non degenerate."

Recall that B is non degenerate: if for some x_0 in L, the value $K(x_0, y) = 0$ for all y in L, then $x_0 = 0$

III.5.4. Corollary [10]

A Lie algebra L is semisimple if and only if it is direct sum of simple Lie algebras.

III.6. Representation of $Sl(2, C) = A_1$

Our purpose is to describe all representation of Sl(2) in order to have something concrete to look at and also we will use the results in studying the structure and the representation of semisimple Lie algebras.

III.6.1. Weights and maximal vectors

Let *L* denotes Sl(2, F) whose standard basis consists of $x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

Then:

$$[hx] = hx - xh = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 2x$$

$$[hy] = hy - yh = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -2y$$

$$[xy] = xy - yx = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = h$$

$$(3.5)$$

Let V denotes an arbitrary L-module. Since h is semi-simple, h acts diagonally on V. This yields a decomposition of V as a direct sum of eigenspaces $V_{\lambda} = \{v \in V | h.v = \lambda v\}, \lambda \in K$.

Whenever $V_{\lambda} \neq 0$, we call λ a weight of hinV and we call V_{λ} a weight space.

III.6.2. Lemmas Lemma1.

If $v \in V_{\lambda}$, then $x.v \in V_{\lambda+2}$ and $y.v \in V_{\lambda-2}$.

Proof

We are given $V_{\lambda} = \{v \in V \mid hv = \lambda v\}$. We use the commutation relations, i.e. we note that

[hx] acts as hx - xh thus we have:

 $h.(x.v) = [h, x].v + x.h.v = 2x.v + \lambda.x.v = (\lambda + 2)x.v \text{ and also}$ $h.(y.v) = [h, y].v + y.h.v = -2y.v + y.\lambda v = (\lambda - 2)y.v$

Hence $x.v \in V_{\lambda+2}$ and $y.v \in V_{\lambda-2}$. (3.6)

Remark

Since dim V is finite, and the sum $V = \bigcup_{\lambda \in F} V_{\lambda}$ is direct, there must exist $V_{\lambda} \neq 0$, such that $V_{\lambda+2} = 0$ For such λ , any non zero vector in V_{λ} will be called a maximal vector of weight space.

Assume now that V is an irreducible L - module, choose a maximal vector,

say
$$v_0 \in V_{\lambda}$$
, set $v_{-1} = 0$, $v_i = \left(\frac{1}{i!}\right) y^i \cdot v_0 (i \ge 0)$.

Lemma 2

$$i) h.v_{i} = (\lambda - 2i)v_{i}$$

$$ii) y.v_{i} = (i+1)v_{i+1}$$

$$iii) x.v_{i} = (\lambda - i + 1)v_{i-1} \ (i \ge 0)$$
(3.7)

Proof

i) From Lemma 1, we have $h_i(y,v_i) = [h, y] \cdot v_i + y \cdot h v_i = -2iy \cdot v_i + y \cdot \lambda v = (\lambda - 2i)y \cdot v_i$

The result $h.v_i = (\lambda - 2i)v_i$ follows. (3.8)

ii) By definition

$$v_{i} = \left(\frac{1}{i!}\right) y^{i} \cdot v_{0} (i \ge 0)$$

$$v_{i+1} = \left(\frac{1}{(i+1)!}\right) y^{i+1} v_{0} = \frac{1}{i!(i+1)} y^{i} \cdot y \cdot v_{0} = \left(\frac{y^{i}}{i!} v_{0}\right) \frac{1}{(i+1)} y$$

$$v_{i+1} = \frac{v_{4}}{(1+i)} y \Longrightarrow y \cdot v_{i} = (i+1) v_{i+1}$$
(3.9)

iii) Use induction on *i*, the case i = 0 being clear (since $V_{-1} = 0, by$ convention)

Hence $ix.v_i = x.y.v_{i-1}$ (by definition)

$$\begin{split} ix.v_i &= [x, y].v_{i-1} + y.x.v_{i-1} = hv_{i-1} + y.x.v_{i-1} = ((\lambda - 2(i-1))v_{i-1} + (\lambda - i + 2)y.v_{i-2} \\ &= (\lambda - 2i + 2)v_{i-1} + (i-1)(\lambda - i + 2)v_{i-1} \quad (by(ii)) \\ We \ get : ix.v_i &= i(\lambda - i + 1)v_{i-1} \end{split}$$

Then divide both sides by i and the result follows. (3.10)

CHAPTER IV: CLASSIFICATION OF SEMISIMPLE LIE ALGEBRAS

In this chapter we develop the structure theory of general semisimple Lie algebras over the complex field and bring the complete classification of Semisimple Lie algebras.

IV.1. Maximal toral subalgebra

IV.1.1. Definitions Semisimple elements

Let *L* be a finite dimensional semisimple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} An element $x \in L$ is called semisimple, if its Jordan decomposition is x = x + 0, that is the nilpotent part is equal to zero. This means that x acts diagonalisably on every *L*-module.

Maximal toral subalgebra

Let L be a finite dimensional semisimple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} . A toral subalgebra T is a subalgebra consisting of semisimple elements.

A toral subalgebra $T \subseteq L$ is called maximal toral subalgebra if L has no toral subalgebra properly containing T.

Every finite dimensional semisimple Lie algebra over C has a maximal toral subalgebra.

IV.1.2. Lemma (Maximal toral Subalgebra is abelian) [13]

Let L be a finite dimensional semisimple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} . Every maximal toral subalgebra T of L is abelian.

Cartan subalgebra

Let *L* be a finite dimensional semisimple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} . A maximal abelian toral subalgebra is called Cartan subalgebra by the above lemma every such *L* has Cartan subalgebra since every maximal toral subalgebra is abelian.

Example

For Sl(3,F) (F= C) where $(h_1, h_2, x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2, y_3)$ is the standard basis with

$$h_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad h_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad x_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad x_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$x_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad y_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad y_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad y_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We note that the span of $\{h_1, x_1, y_1\}$ is a subalgebra of Sl(3, F) which is isomorphic to Sl(2, F), (it is seen by ignoring the third row and the third column in each matrix). The two dimensional subspace H of Sl(3, F) spanned by h_1 and h_2 is a cartan subalgebra

of Sl(3,F)

Hence
$$H = \alpha h_1 + \beta h_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\alpha + \beta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \beta \end{bmatrix}$$
.

IV.1.3. Theorem (Cartan subalgebras are self centralizing) [13]

Let H be cartan subalgebra of a finite dimensional semisimple Lie algebra L over \mathbb{C} .

Then $C_{L}(H) = H$ where $C_{L}(H)$ is the centralizer of H in L.

IV.2. Root space decomposition [1]

IV.2.1. Definition

Let *H* be a Cartan subalgebra and $\Phi \subseteq H^*$ be the set of non zero weights. Note that the zero map $(h \to 0)$ is a weight and $L_0 = H$ by the theorem above, the space *L* is the direct sum of the weight spaces for *H*. Where H^* is the dual space to the Cartan subalgebra H (the weight space). $L = H \oplus \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Phi} L_\alpha$, this decomposition is called the root space decomposition of *L* with respect to H, where $L_\alpha = \{x \in L/[x,h] = (h\alpha).x \text{ for all } h \in H\}$ and α ranges over H^* .

The set Φ is called the set of roots of L with respect to H and L_{α} for $\alpha \in \Phi \cup \{0\}$ are called the root spaces. Since L is finite dimensional Φ is finite.

IV.2.2. Properties of Φ .

Suppose that $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi \cap \{0\}$ then:

- i) $[L_{\alpha}, L_{\beta}] \leq L_{\alpha+\beta}$
- ii) If $\alpha + \beta \neq 0, B(L_{\alpha}, L_{\beta}) = \{0\}$
- iii) The restriction of B to L_0 is non degenerate.

Proof

Let $x \in L_{\alpha}$ and $y \in L_{\beta}$ then

$$[[x, y], h] = [[x, h], y] + [x, [h, y]] = (h\alpha)[x, y] + (h\beta)[x, y]$$
$$= (h(\alpha + \beta))[x, y], thus [x, y] \in L_{\alpha + \beta}$$

This proves (i).

For (ii) we conclude from $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$, that there is some $h \in H$ with $h(\alpha + \beta) \neq 0$.

Then
$$(h\alpha)B(x, y) = B([x, h], y) = B(x, [h, y]) = -(h\beta)B(x, y)$$
, and thus $h(\alpha + \beta)B(x, y) = 0$

Therefore, B(x, y) = 0.

For (iii), suppose that $z \in L_0$ and $B(z, x_0) = 0$ for all $x_0 \in L_0$. Since every $x \in L$ can be written as

$$x = x_0 + \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi} x_\alpha$$
 with $x_\alpha \in L_\alpha$ we immediately get $B(z, x) = 0$ for all $x \in L$.

From (ii) contracting the non degeneracy of B on L.

IV.3. Root system [1]

Let E be a finite dimensional vector space over \mathbb{R} with a positive definite symmetric bilinear form $(--): E \times E \to \mathbb{R}$ (positive definite means that $(x \mid x) > 0$ if and only if $x \neq 0$)

IV.3.1. Definitions Reflection

For $v \in E$, the map $S_v : E \to E$

 $x \rightarrow x - 2 \frac{\langle x, v \rangle}{\langle v, v \rangle} v$ is called a reflection along v. It is linear, interchanges

(4.1)

v and - v and fixes the hyper plane orthogonal to v. As an abbreviation, we use $\langle x | v \rangle = 2 \frac{\langle x, v \rangle}{\langle v, v \rangle}$ for $x, v \in E$, note that $\langle - | - \rangle$ is only linear in the first component.

We have $S_{\nu}(x) = x - \langle x | \nu \rangle \nu$. The group of reflections generated by S_{ν} is called the Weyl group and is denoted by W.

Root system

A subset $\Delta \subseteq E$ is called a root system, if

- 1. Δ is finite, span (Δ) = E and $0 \notin \Delta$
- 2. If $\alpha \in \Delta$, then so is $-\alpha$
- 3. If $\alpha \in \Delta$, then the only scalar multiples of α in Δ are α and $-\alpha$
- 4. If $\alpha \in \Delta$, then S_{α} permutes the elements of Δ .
- 5. If $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta$, then $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- 6. Any Δ satisfying these five above properties is said to be a reduced root system whereas any Δ for property 3 is not satisfied is called unreduced (non reduced) root system. In this work we deal with reduced root system.

IV.3.2. Properties of root system [6]

Let Δ be a root system in the vector space E with inner product \langle , \rangle .

- 1. If $\alpha \in \Delta$, then $-\alpha \in \Delta$
- 2. If α in Δ is reduced, then the only members of $\Delta \bigcup \{0\}$ proportional to α are $\pm \alpha, \pm 2\alpha$ and $0, \pm 2\alpha$ can not occur if Δ is reduced.
- 3. If $\alpha \in \Delta$ and $\beta \in \Delta \cup \{0\}$, then $2\frac{\langle \beta, \alpha \rangle}{|\alpha|^2} \in \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \pm 4\}$ and ± 4 only occurs in a non reduced system for $\beta = \pm 2\alpha$.
- 4. If α and β are non proportional members of Δ such that $|\alpha| \leq |\beta|$, Then $2\frac{\langle \beta, \alpha \rangle}{|\beta|^2} \in \{0,1\}$.
- 5. If $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta$ with $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle > 0$, then $\alpha \beta$ is a root or zero.

If $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle < 0$, then $\alpha + \beta$ is a root or zero.

6. If $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta$ and neither $\alpha + \beta$, nor $\alpha - \beta$ in $\Delta \cup \{0\}$, then $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = 0$.

We say that a root $\alpha \in \Delta \subset E$ is simple if $\alpha > 0$ and α does not decompose as $\alpha = \beta_1 + \beta_2$ with both β_1 and β_2 positive roots. The set of positive roots is denoted by Π .

.

IV.3.3. Bases for root system [7]

Let Δ be a root system in a vector space E.

A subset $B \subseteq \Delta$ is called a base of Δ , if

- 1. B is a vector space basis of E, and
- 2. Every $\alpha \in \Delta$ can be written as $\alpha = \sum_{\beta \in B} k_{\beta}\beta$ with $k_{\beta} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that the non zero coefficients

 k_{β} are either positive all or all negative

Remark

For a fixed base B, we call α positive if all its non zero coefficients with respect to B are positive and negative otherwise.

We denote the subset of Δ of positive roots by Δ^* and the subset of negative roots by Δ^- . Some coefficients can be equal to zero, only the non-zero ones need to have the same sign.

For any base B, the set -B is also a base. Example of base, root and positive root

Consider Sl(3, F) and evaluate the commutation relations, we have: $[h_1, x_1] = 2x_1$ $[h_1, y_1] = -2y_1 [h_2, x_2] = 2x_2 [x_2, y_2] = -2y_2 [x_2, y_2] = h_2 [x_1, y_1] = h_1 [h_1, h_2] = 0$ $[h_2, x_1] = -x_1 [h_2, y_1] = y_1 [h_1, x_2] = -x_2 [h_1, y_2] = y_2 [h_2, y_2] = -2y_2 [h_1, x_3] = x_3 [h_1, y_3] = -y_3$ $[h_2, x_3] = x_3 [h_2, y_3] = -y_3 [x_1, y_1] = h_1 [x_2, y_2] = h_2 [x_3, y_3] = h_1 + h_2 [x_1, x_2] = x_3 [y_1, y_2] = -y_3$ $[x_1, y_2] = 0 [x_2, y_1] = 0 [x_1, y_3] = 0 [y_1, y_3] = 0 [x_2, x_3] = 0 [h_2, y_3] = 0 [x_2, y_3] = y_1 [x_3, y_2] = x_1$ $[x_1, y_3] = -y_2 [x_3, y_1] = -x_2$ (4.2) By (IV.2.1): $[h_1, z] = \alpha_1 z$ $[h_2, z] = \alpha_2 z$ (4.3)

Where z is a root vector corresponding the root α , (z is simultaneous eigen vector for $adh_1 and adh_2$).

The commutations relations above tell us what roots for Sl(3, F) are. They are six roots:

α	(2,-1)	, (-1,2)	,(l,l),(-2,1)	,(1,-2)(-1,-1)
Ζ	x_1	<i>x</i> ₂	<i>x</i> ₃	<i>x</i> ₄	x ₅	x ₆

The first root $\alpha = (2,-1)$ comes from $[h_1, x_1] = 2x_1$ and $[h_1, x_2] = -x_2$ where $\alpha_1 = 2, \alpha_2 = -1$. The second $\alpha = (-1, 2)$ comes from $[h_2, x_1] = -x_1$ and $[h_2, x_2] = 2x_2$ where $\alpha_1 = -1, \alpha_2 = 2$. Others are obtained by the same process. The roots above plus h_1 and h_2 form a basis for Sl(3, F). These six roots form a root system conventionally called A_2 . The roots $\alpha_1 = (2,-1)$ and $\alpha_2 = (-1,2)$ are called the positive root since they have the property that all of the roots can be expressed as a linear combination of α_1 and α_2 with integer coefficients.

This is verified by the following computation:

 $\alpha_1 = (2, -1), \alpha_2 = (-1, 2), \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = (1, 1), -\alpha_1 = (-2, 1), -\alpha_2 = (1, -2) \text{ and } -\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 = (-1, -1)$

IV.3.4. Isomorphism of root systems

Let $\Delta_1 \subseteq E_1$ and $\Delta_2 \subseteq E_2$ be two root systems. An isomorphism between the two root systems Δ_1 and Δ_2 is bijective linear map: $\Psi : \Delta_1 \to \Delta_2$ such that :

i) $\Delta_1 \Psi = \Delta_2$ *ii*) For any $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta_1$, we have $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \langle \alpha \Psi, \beta \Psi \rangle$

IV.3.5. Cartan Matrix

IV.3.5.1. Proposition

With $l = \dim E$, there are *l* simple roots $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_l\} = \Pi$ which are linearly independent. If β is a root and is decomposed by $\beta = a_1\alpha_1 + a_2\alpha_2 + \dots + a_l\alpha_l$ then all $a_l \neq 0$ have the same sign and all a_i are integers.

IV.5.2. Definition (Cartan matrix)

Let Δ be a reduced root system in an l-dimension vector space E and let $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_l\}$ denotes the simple roots in a fixed ordering.

The *l by l* matrix $A = (A_{ij})$ given by $A_{ij} = 2 \frac{\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle}{|\alpha_i|^2}$ is called the Cartan matrix of Δ and Π .

This matrix depends on the ordering of the simple roots but distinct orderings lead

to Cartan matrices which are conjugate by a permutation matrix.

IV.3.5.3. Properties of Cartan matrices [7]

The Cartan matrix $A = (A_{ij}) of \Delta and \Pi$ has the following properties:

- 1. A_{ii} is in \mathbb{Z} for all i, j
- 2. $A_{ii} = 2$ for all i
- 3. $A_{ij} \leq 0$ for $i \neq j$
- 4. $A_{ij} = 0$ if and only if $A_{ji} = 0$

5. There exists a diagonal matrix D with positive diagonal entries such that DAD^{-1} is symmetric positive definite.

An arbitrary square matrix A satisfying the above properties is called Cartan matrix.

Two Cartan matrices are isomorphic if they are conjugate by a permutation matrix.

IV.3.5.4. Theorem

Let \prod and Π' be two sets of simple roots in Δ . There exists one and only one element $s \in W$ such that $s(\Pi) = \Pi'$

IV.3.5.4. Corollary

Let Δ be an abstract root system and let Δ^* and Δ^* be two positive systems, with corresponding simple systems \prod and Π' . The Cartan matrices of \prod and Π' are isomorphic.

Proof

By the above theorem, we obtain an $s \in W(\Delta)$ such that $\Pi' = s(\Pi)$. We fix an enumeration of $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_l\}$ and choose an enumeration of $\Pi' = \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_l\}$ such that $\beta_j = s(\alpha_j)$ for all $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$.

So we have:

$$2\frac{\left\langle \beta_{i},\beta_{j}\right\rangle}{\left|\beta_{i}\right|^{2}} = 2\frac{\left\langle s\alpha_{i},s\alpha_{j}\right\rangle}{\left|s\alpha_{i}\right|^{2}} = 2\frac{\left\langle \alpha_{i},\alpha_{j}\right\rangle}{\left|\alpha_{i}\right|^{2}} .$$

$$(4.4)$$

Since s is orthogonal and the resulting Cartan matrices are equal after a permutation of indices, which means that they are isomorphic.

IV.4.Complete classification of semisimple Lie algebras

IV.4.1.Coxeter graphs and Dynkin diagrams

In this section, we will classify all possible root systems; we will use the axioms in definition (IV.3.1)

Lemma (finiteness lemma)

Let Δ be a root system in a finite dimensional real vector space E equipped with a positive symmetric bilinear form:

$$(-|-): E \times E \to \Delta$$
. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta_{\text{with}} \beta \notin \{\alpha, -\alpha\}$, then $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle : \langle \beta, \alpha \rangle \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$.

Proof

By (4) of (IV.3.1) the product is an integer. We have $(x | y)^2 = (x | x).(y | y)\cos^2 \theta$. If θ is the angle between two non zero vectors $x, y \in E$. Thus $\langle x, y \rangle . \langle y, x \rangle = 4\cos^2 \theta$ and this must be an integer. If $\cos^2 \theta = 1$, then θ is an integer multiple of π and so α and β are linearly dependent which is impossible because of our assumption and (2).

We immediately conclude that there are only very few possibilities for $\langle \alpha | \beta \rangle . \langle \beta | \alpha \rangle$, the angle θ and the ratio $\frac{(\beta | \beta)}{(\alpha | \alpha)}$, we assume that $(\beta | \beta) \ge (\alpha | \alpha)$. (4.5)

Table1. The following table gives the different cases of $\langle \alpha | \beta \rangle < \langle \beta | \alpha \rangle$, which can occur

Cases	$<\alpha \mid \beta >$	$<\beta \mid \alpha >$	θ	$\frac{(\beta \mid \beta)}{(\alpha \mid \alpha)}$
(i)	0	0	$\frac{\pi}{2}$,	-
	1	1	$\frac{\pi}{3}$	1
(ii)	-1	-1	$\frac{2\pi}{3}$	1
	1	2	$\frac{\pi}{4}$	2
(iii)	-1	-2	$\frac{3\pi}{4}$	2
	1	3	$\frac{\pi}{6}$	3
(iv)	-1	-3	$\frac{5\pi}{6}$	3





Proposition

Any root system of rank two is equivalent to one of four shown in the figure 2 below





Ratio $|\beta|: |\alpha| = \sqrt{2}$

Angle between adjacent vectors = $\frac{\pi}{4}$

Ratio $|\beta|: |\alpha| = \sqrt{3}$

Angle between adjacent vectors = $\frac{\pi}{6}$

(iv) G_2

From the above figure we have figure 3, which illustrates the base of the rot system.

Fig 3. In the following diagram we have colored a base of the root system in black and in red.



Hence both (α, β) and $(\alpha + \beta, -\beta)$ are bases.

IV.4.2. Definition (Coxeter graph)

Let Δ be a root system in a real vector space E and let $B = (b_1, b_2, ..., b_n)$ be a base of Δ . The Coxeter graph of B is an undirected graph with n vertices, one for every element b_i and with

 $\langle b_i, b_j \rangle \langle b_j, b_i \rangle$ edges between vertexes b_i and b_j for all $1 \le i < j \le n$.

IV.4.3.Dynkin diagrams

The last step in reducing the problem of classification to the essential minimum are Dynkin diagrams. We associate to a reduced root system Δ with simple roots Π and Cartan matrix A the following graph:

- Each simple root α_i is represented by a vertex, and we attach to that vertex a weight proportional to $|\alpha_i|^2$. We will omit to write the weights if they are the same.
- We connect two given vertices corresponding to two distinct simple roots α_i and α_j by $A_{ij}A_{ji}$ edges. The resulting graph is called the Dynkin diagram of Π .[7]

Definition (irreducible root system)

A root system Δ is called irreducible, if it cannot be written as the disjoint union

 $\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2$ such that $(\alpha \mid \beta) = 0$ whenever $\alpha \in \Delta_1$ and Δ_2 .

A root system is irreducible if and only if its Dynkin diagram is connected.

If two root systems are isomorphic then they have the same Dynkin diagram. In particular, the Dynkin diagram does not depend on the choice of base. So Dynkin diagrams are the same as isomorphism types of root systems.

It follows from that proposition that a Dynkin diagram is connected if and only if Δ is irreducible.

Table 2: Example root system, Cartan matrix and Dynkin diagram

	$A_{\rm l} \oplus A_{\rm l}$	A2	G_2
Root system	$ \stackrel{\alpha \wedge}{\longleftrightarrow} \beta $	а 1 8	а Ц
Cartan Matrix	$\begin{pmatrix} \Psi \\ 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$
Dynkin diagram	0 0	••	

IV.4.4.Classification

Now we give an outline of the classification of Cartan matrices. We will work simultaneously with Cartan matrices and their associated Dynkin diagrams.

First we observe two operations on the Dynkin diagrams and their counterparts on Cartan matrices.

- 1. Remove the ith vertex and all attached edges from an abstract Dynkin diagram. The counterpart operation on an abstract cartan matrix is removing the ith row and column from the matrix.
- 2. If the ith and jth vertices are connected by a single edge, their weights are equal. Collapse the two vertices to a single one removing the connected edge, retaining all other edges.

The counterpart operation collapses the i^{th} and j^{th} row and column replacing the 2by2 matrix from the i^{th} and j^{th} indices:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$
 by 1 by 1 matrix

Using the properties of Cartan matrices plus operation (1) we get the following:

IV.4.4.1. Proposition

Let A be a Cartan matrix. If $i \neq j$, then

1. $A_{ii}A_{ii} < 4$

2.
$$A_{ij} \in \{0, -1, -2, -3\}$$
 (4.6)

An important step which uses the above proposition in the classification is the following.

IV.4.4.2. Proposition

The Dynkin diagram associated to the l by l Cartan matrix A has the following properties:

- 1. There are at most *l* pairs of vertices i < j with at least one edge connecting them.
- 2. There no loops
- 3. There at most three edges attached to one vertex.

Using these tools we obtain the following classification of irreducible Dynkin diagrams in five steps.

Note that reducible Dynkin diagrams are not connected and therefore they are obtained by putting irreducible ones side by side.

Step 1: None of the following configuration occurs



Otherwise we use operation in (IV.4.2.2) to collapse all the single line part in the center to a single vertex leading to a violation of (IV.4.2.2).

Step 2: We do a raw classification of the maximal number of lines connecting two vertices.

• There is a triple line. By (IV.4.2.2) the only possibility is :

 (G_2) :

• There is a double line, but no triple line .The graph in the middle of the figure in step (1) shows that only one pair of vertices connected by two edges exists.



We call δ triple point. If there is no triple point, then the absence of loops implies that the diagram is

(A): 0_____0

If there is a triple point there is only one because of the third diagram in the figure in step1.

So the other possibility is:



Step 3: Now we address the problem of possible weights going through the three point of the previous step in the reverse order:

• If the ith and jth vertices are connected by a single line then $A_{ij} = A_{ji} = -1$ which implies that the weights w_i and w_j of these vertices are equal. Thus in the case (A) and(D,E) all weights are equal, and we may take then to be 1. In this situation we omit writing the weights in the diagram.

- In the case (B, C, F) we have $A_{p,p+1} = -2$ and $A_{p+1,p} = -1$ the defining property (5) of Cartan matrices leads to $|\alpha_{p+1}|^2 = 2|\alpha_p|^2$. Take $\alpha_k = 1$ for $k \le p$ we get $\alpha_k = 2$ for $k \ge p+1$.
- In the case (G₂) we get $|\alpha_1|^2 = 1$ and $|\alpha_2|^2 = 3$

Step 4: The remaining steps deal with special situations. In this step we cover the case

(B, C and F).

In this case only these diagrams are possible.



Step 5: In the case (D, E) the only possibilities are



Where $p \in \{3, 4, 5\}$

These steps lead to the following connected Dynkin diagrams:

Where n refers to the number of the vertices of the Dynkin diagram. The restrictions of n in the first four items are made to avoid identical diagrams.





39

۴.

CHAPTER V: CONCLUSION, COMMENTS AND CURRENT RESEARCH

V.1. Conclusion and comments

In this work we have got a classification of reduced abstract Dynkin diagrams (respectively Cartan matrices), now which gives us a classification of reduced abstract root systems.

To achieve this we have followed the following diagram:



From this we know all resulting diagrams that can possibly occur.

During the classification of semisimple Lie algebras the notions of indices, roots, edges and vertices are important.

For any base $B = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_n\}$ for a root system Φ , the Dynkin diagram for Φ is a graph having vertices $v_1, v_2, ..., v_r$. Between two vertices, we place no edge, one edge, two edges or three edges. If the roots α_i and α_j are orthogonal, then we put no edge between v_i and v_j . In the case α_i and α_j are not orthogonal, we put one edge between v_i and v_j ; if α_i and α_j have the same length, two edges if the longer of α_i and α_j is $\sqrt{2}$ longer than the shorter; and three edges if the longer of α_i and α_j is $\sqrt{3}$ longer than the shorter.

root systems G_2 , F_4 , $E_6 E_7$ and E_8 which define five exceptional simple complex Lie algebras of dim 14, 52, 78, 133 and 248.

Isomorphism following from Dynkin diagrams gives: $D_2 \approx A_1 \oplus A_2 \Rightarrow S0(4) = Sl(2) \oplus Sl(2) = S0(3) \oplus S0(3)$ $A_3 \approx C_2 \Rightarrow Sl(3) = S0(6)$ $B_2 \approx C_2 \Rightarrow S0(5) = Sp(4).$

I wish to recommend other researchers including myself to the following topics related to this work:

- Classification of semisimple Lie algebras over a non algebraically closed field, where the classification deals with a real Lie algebra with a given complexification, this can be done by Satake diagrams which are Dynkin diagrams with additional data.
- ✓ Classification of semisimple Lie algebras by using the extend and affine Dynkin diagrams.

V.2. Current researches related to the present study

Work activities associated with Lie algebras especially on the classification of semisimple Lie algebras have been carried out and findings (results) of the studies on the Lie algebras are still important.

Dynkin established fundamental results about the structure of simple subalgebras of arbitrary semisimple Lie algebras. Modern representation theory makes significant use of Dynkin's results due to a fundamental relationship between representations and subalgebras of a given Lie algebra.

The work of Dimitrov, N.Snyder, E. Dan Cohen and Ivan Penkovmc (2000) has been based on the structure theory for the classical simple finitely Lie algebras: $Sl(\infty), So(\infty)$ and $Sp(\infty)$ has contributed to obtain a complete structure theory of these Lie algebras including a generalization of Dynkin's results. Now there are significant simplification in the infinite case which make the results elementary and attractive.

Also their work have been also based on : Cartan, Borel and Parabolic subalgebras of semisimple algebras; The Lie algebras $Sl(\infty), So(\infty)$ and $Sp(\infty)$ and their general cartan subalgebras.

A.M. Cohen, F.G.M.T Cypres, J.Draisma(2001) in Special elements in Lie algebras they have deduced: from the classification of semisimple Lie algebras, the classification of finite dimensional modular simple Lie algebras is complete for algebraically closed field of characteristic greater than 3 and the complete classification of the simple modular Lie algebras has been announced by Premet and Strade. It provides a nice way of distinguishing the classical Lie algebras from the others by means of extremal elements. An element x of a Lie algebra L

defined over a field K is called extremal if the image of L under the square of left multiplication by x (in formula $ad^2x(L) \subseteq Kx$). By the two collections of simple Lie algebras, the classical algebras and the Cartan type algebra are distinguished by the adjoint action of an extremal element x of L.

In (2003) Cohen and Iwanys worked on the classification of Lie algebra L generated by non sandwich extremal elements (if $ad^2x = 0$) they got the connection with the classical Lie algebras. But the problem: to find nice presentation for the simple classical Lie algebras involving their extremal elements remained unsolved.

D.A. Roozemond (2005) Lie algebras generated by extremal elements (Master's thesis), he studied from the classification of semisimple Lie algebras Lie algebras generated by extremal elements by considering the general case for a Lie algebra generated by n extremal elements.

He found for a particular case that a Lie algebra generated by four elements is isomorphic to D_4 two families of classical Lie algebras can be generated by extremal elements. For him the problems: To generate every simple Lie algebra by extremal elements and to analyze Lie algebras generated by six extremal elements, or focus on Lie algebras over fields of non zero characteristic were not solved and are still open.

Karim Baur (2006) Richardson elements for classical elements, Journal of algebras 297: 168-185 from the classification of semisimple Lie algebras they studied Parabolic subalgebras of semisimple Lie algebras and describe a normal form for Richardson elements in the classical case.

REFERENCES

- Alexey Bolsinov, December 2009, Semisimple Lie algebras, Lecture notes, Loughborough University.
- [2] Anke D. Pohl, April 2006, Lie groups and Lie algebras, A first view I.R.T.G.
- [3] Bourbaki, Nicholas, 2005, Semisimple Lie algebras VIII, Elements of Mathematics, Springer Berlin Heidelberg New York.
- [4] Brian C. Hall, 2004, Lie groups, Lie algebras and representations, an elementary Introduction, Department of Mathematics, University of Notre Dame, U.S.A, Springer.
- [5] Cahn R.N, 1989, Semisimple Lie algebras and their representations, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, Berkeley, California, The Benjamin / Cummings Publishing Company.
- [6] D.A. Roozemond, Eindhoven, August 2005, Lie algebras generated by extremal elements, Department of mathematics and Computer Science, Technische Universiteit Eindhoven.
- [7] Diplomarbeit Zur Erlangung Des Akademischen, Grades, 2001, Classification of complex and real semisimple Lie algebras, Magister der Naturwissenschaften an der Fakultät für Naturwissenschafter und Mathematik der Universität Wien, Eingereicht Von Florian Wisser.
- [8] Dragan M., 1995, Lecture on Lie groups, University of Utah.
- [9] Gorbatsevith V. V, A.L.Onishchik, 19894, Structure of Lie groups and Lie algebras, vol48, Springer Verlag.
- [10] Hans Samelson, September 1989, Notes on Lie algebras, 3rd edition, Stanford University.
- [11] Ivan Penkovmc, 2009, Structure and representation theory for finitely Lie algebras, Canadian Mathematical Bulletin.
- [12] J.J O' Cannor and E.F.Roberston, February1997, An over view of the history of Mathematics, England.
- [13] James E, Humphreys:

1972, Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory, 2nd edition.

- 1990, Reflection groups and Coxeter groups, University of Massachusetts, Cambridge University Press.
- 1997, Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory, 3rd edition, Berlin, New York, Springer-Verlag.
- [14] Jie Du, July 2008, representation of finite Lie algebras, Journal of Algebra 321(2009)3197- 3225.
- [15] R.W. Carter,

2004, Lectures on Lie groups and Lie algebras, London Mathematical Society, Cambridge University Press.

1989, Simple groups of Lie type, Wiley Classical Library, John Wiley & Sons, New York.

- [16] Karim Erdmann, Mark J.Wildon, 2006, Introduction to Lie algebras, Springer London, Berlin, I.U.T.A.M Symposium.
- [17] P. Barbari and A. Kobotis, 1999, Special classification of nilpotent Lie algebras, Aristote University of Thessaloniki, School of Technology, Mathematics Division.
- [18] Rosane Ushirobira, David Wales, Anja Steinbach, A.M.Cohen, March 1999,
 Lie algebras generated by extremal elements, Eindhoven, Sloan Lab-Pasadena U.S.A,
 Math.Inst; Justus- Liebig Universitat Germany, Fac.Wisk.en Inf. Eindhoven Netherlands.
- [19] S. Berman, January 1972, On the construction of simple Lie algebras, University of Saskatchewan, Canada, Journal of Algebra 27, 158-186(1973)
- [20] Sterberg Chromos, April 2004, Lie algebras, Havard Mathematics Department.
- [21] Theodore Brocker, 2003, Representations of compact Lie groups, graduate texts in Mathematics, 3rd printing, Vol 98, Springer-Verlag, New York..
- [22] Wan Zhe-Xian, 1973, Lie algebras, Institute of Mathematics, Academia Science, Pergamon Press.

- [23] Wikipedia, Semisimple Lie algebras.
- [24] <u>www.history.mks</u>, 2005, 2004 and 2003, Biography of Karl Joseph killing, Henri Paul Cartan and Marius Sophus Lie respectively.