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## ABSTRACT

Malaria is an infectious disease transmitted between humans through mosquito bites that kill about two million people a year. Many infectious diseases including malaria are preventable, yet they remain endemic in many countries like Kenya due to lack of proper, adequate and timely control policies. The main goal of this project is to develop a mathematical model for the control of malaria. It has been shown that the model has unique disease-free and endemic equilibria.

A mathematical model for malaria is developed using ordinary differential equations. We analyze the existence and stability of disease-free and endemic malaria (malaria persisting in the population) equilibria. Key to our analysis is the definition of a reproductive number $R_{0}$ (the number of the new infections caused by one individual in an otherwise fully susceptible population) through the duration of the infectious period.

The methods for controlling any infectious disease include a rapid reduction in both the infected and susceptible populations as well as a rapid reduction in the susceptible class if a cure is available. For diseases of malaria where there are no vaccines, it is still possible to reduce the susceptible group through a variety of control measures.

The disease-free equilibrium is locally asymptotically stable, if $R_{0}<1$, and we also note that when $R_{0}>1$, the disease-free equilibrium is unstable and the endemic equilibrium is stable. Numerical simulations show that recoveries and temporary immunity keep the populations at oscillation patterns and eventually converge to a steady state.

Further simulation of the model clearly shows that, with proper combination of treatment and concerted effort aimed at prevention, malaria could be eliminated from our society. In fact, effective treatment offered to about fifty percent of the infected population together with about fifty percent prevention rate is all that is required to eliminate the diseases.
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## CHAPTER 1

## 1.INTRODUCTION

### 1.1Background

Malaria is the common name for diseases caused by single-celled parasites of the genus Plasmodium. Among the parasites of the genus Plasmodium four species have been identified which can cause disease in humans. These include: Plasmodium falciparum, Plasmodium vivax, Plasmodium malaria and Plasmodium ovale. Of these, Plasmodium falciparum is of greatest risk to non-immune humans. The Plasmodium falciparum variety of parasites account for $80 \%$ of cases and $90 \%$ of deaths (Kakkilaya, 2003).

Malaria remains arguably the greatest menace of our society in terms of morbidity and mortality and the occurrence of malaria in our part of the world correlates with poverty, ignorance and social deprivations in the community. An accurate knowledge of the incidence of malaria in endemic areas would be necessary towards the planning and development of effective preventive measures against the deadly scourge of malaria.

Malaria is spread by the bite of an infected female mosquito, of the genus anopheles each time the infected insect takes a blood meal. The symptoms in an infected human include bouts of fever, headache, vomiting flu-like, anemia (destroying red blood cell) and malaria can kill by clogging the capillaries that carry blood to the brain (cerebral malaria) or other vital organs. On the average the incubation period of Plasmodium falciparum is about 12 days in humans.

Malaria is endemic to tropical areas where the climatic and weather conditions allow continuous breeding of the mosquito. Malaria is one of the most important parasitic and infectious diseases especially in tropical and subtropical areas caused by protozoan parasites of the genus plasmodium. Malaria, affecting mainly children and pregnant women is one of the greatest menaces of our society in terms of morbidity and mortality and the occurrence of malaria in our part of the world correlates with poverty and ignorance (Perandin, 2003).

Malaria is a major public health problem in the world. The WHO estimates that in tropical countries among the 500 million cases of malaria infection, one million deaths occur annually. Malaria parasites are transmitted by female anopheles mosquitoes. Four species of plasmodium (P) causes human malaria. Among these, P. falciparum is responsible for most of the mortality $P$. Vivax causes considerable morbidity and $P$. malariae and $P$. ovale, are less prevalent around the world(Aslan and Seyrek, 2007).

This group of human pathogenic Plasmodium species is usually referred to as plasmodium . The parasites multiply within red blood cells, causing symptoms that include symptoms of anaemia,
as well as other general symptoms such as fever, chills, nausea, flu-like illness, and in severe cases, coma and death (Deressa et al, 2000). It is a disease that can be treated in just 48 hours, yet it can cause fatal complications if the diagnosis and treatment are delayed.


Figure 1.1:Countries with endemic malaria transmission (WHO, 2000).

Malaria has been a significant factor in virtually all of the military campaigns involving the United States. In World War II and the Vietnam War, more personnel time was lost due to malaria than to bullets. The discovery that malaria was transmitted by mosquitoes unleashed a flurry of ambitious public Understanding health measures designed to stamp out malaria. These measures were targeted at both the larval stages (which thrive in still waters, such as swamps) and adult stages of the insect. In some areas, such as the southern United States, draining swamps and changing the way land was used was somewhat successful in eliminating mosquitoes.

The pace of the battle accelerated rapidly when the insecticide DDT and the drug chloroquine were introduced during World War II. DDT was remarkably effective and could be sprayed on the walls of houses where adult Anopheles mosquitoes rested after feeding. Chloroquine has been a highly effective medicine for preventing and treating malaria. In the mid-1950s, the World Health Organization (WHO) launched a massive worldwide campaign to eliminate malaria. At the beginning, the WHO program, which combined insecticide spraying and drug treatment, had many successes, some spectacular. In some areas, malaria was conquered completely, benefiting more than 600 million people, and was sharply curbed in the homelands of 300 million others.

Difficulties soon developed, however. Some stumbling blocks were administrative, others financial. Even worse, nature intervened. More and more strains of Anopheles mosquitoes developed resistance to DDT and other insecticides, and the environmental impact of DDT was recognized. Meanwhile, the Plasmodium parasite became resistant to chloroquine, the mainstay of antimalarial drug treatment in humans. Researchers estimate that infection rates increased by 40 percent between 1970 and 1997 in sub-Saharan Africa.

To cope with this dangerous resurgence, public health workers carefully select prevention methods best suited to a particular environment or area. In addition to medicines and insecticides, they are making efforts to control mosquitoes, by draining swampy areas and filling them with dirt, as well as using window screens, mosquito netting, and insect repellents. At the same time, scientists are intensively researching ways to develop better weapons against malaria, including : sophisticated techniques for tracking disease transmission worldwide, more effective ways of treating malaria, new ways( some quite ingenious) to control transmission of malaria by mosquitoes ,a vaccine for blocking malaria's development and spread.

### 1.2 History of the mathematical modeling of malaria

It is important to establish the transmission dynamics of an epidemic in order to understand and predict it. Mathematical models are particularly helpful as experimental tools with which to evaluate and compare control procedures and preventive strategies, and to investigate the relative effects of various sociological, biological and environmental factors on the spread of diseases.

These models have played a very important role in the history and development of vector-host epidemiology. Several authors have used mathematical models to analyze the transmission and spread of malaria. Mathematical models of malaria transmission that include both mosquito and human populations have been reviewed and discussed in detail by various authors.

Nedelman (1985) did some further work on malaria model of Dietz et al (1974), and showed that the "vaccination" rate depends on a pseudo equilibrium approximation to the differential equation describing the mosquito dynamics in the malaria model. Nedelman surveys various data sets to statistically approximate parameters such as inoculation rates, rates of recovery and loss of immunity in humans, human-biting rates of mosquitoes and infectivity and susceptibility of humans and mosquitoes.

Dietz et al (1974) proposed a model with two different classes of humans: one without immunity to malaria and one class with some immunity. As the non-immune class falls sick, some people recover with immunity. The immune class can get infected, but does not fall clinically ill and cannot be infectious. The model also included super infection, a phenomenon usually associated with macro parasites.

Yang (2000) describes a compartmental model where humans follow an SEIRS-type (with more than one immune class for humans) pattern and mosquitoes follow a Susceptible-ExposedInfectious (SEI) pattern. Yang (2000) defines a reproductive number, $R_{0}$ for this model and shows, through linear stability analysis, that the disease-free equilibrium is stable for $R_{0}<1 . \mathrm{He}$ also derived an expression for an endemic equilibrium that is biologically relevant only when $R_{0}>1$. He used numerical simulations to support his proposition that for $R_{0}>1$, the disease-free equilibrium is unstable and the endemic equilibrium is stable.

The model for malaria transmission that we modified is an extension of the equations introduced by Tunwiine et al (2007).

### 1.3 Life cycle of malaria parasite

The human malaria parasite has a complex life cycle that requires both a human host and an insect host. In Anopheles mosquitoes, Plasmodium reproduces sexually (by merging the parasite's sex cells). In people, the parasite reproduces asexually (by cell division), first in liver cells and then, repeatedly, in red blood cells (RBCs).


Figure 1.2:The life cycle of malaria parasite.
When an infected female Anopheles mosquito bites a human, it takes in blood. At the same time, it injects saliva that contains the infectious form of the parasite, the sporozoite, into a person's bloodstream [1]The thread-like sporozoite then invades a liver cell[2]. There, during the next week or two (depending on the Plasmodium species), each sporozoite develops into a schizont, a structure that contains thousands of tiny rounded merozoites (another stage of the parasite). When the schizont matures, it ruptures and releases the merozoites into the bloodstream[3] .

Alternatively, some $P$. vivax and $P$. ovale sporozoites turn into hypnozoites, a form that can remain dormant in the liver for months or years. If they become active again, the hypnozoites develop into schizonts that then cause relapses in infected people.

Merozoites released from the liver upon rupture of schizonts rapidly invade RBCs, where they grow by consuming hemoglobin[4] . Within the RBC, most merozoites go through another round of asexual reproduction, again forming schizonts filled with yet more merozoites. When the
schizont matures, the cell ruptures and merozoites burst out. The newly released merozoites invade other RBCs, and the infection continues its cycle until it is brought under control, either by medicine or the body's immune system defenses.

The Plasmodium parasite completes its life cycle through the mosquito when some of the merozoites that penetrate RBCs do not develop asexually into schizonts, but instead change into male and female sexual forms known as gametocytes[4]. These circulate in the person's bloodstream, awaiting the arrival of a blood-sucking female Anopheles mosquito[5] .

When a female mosquito bites an infected person, it sucks up gametocytes along with blood. Once in the mosquito's stomach, the gametocytes develop into sperm-like male gametes or large, egg-like female gametes[6] . Fertilization produces an oocyst filled with infectious sporozoites[7] . When the oocyst matures, it ruptures and the thread-like sporozoites migrate, by the thousands, to the mosquito's salivary (saliva-producing) glands[8]. The cycle starts over again when the mosquito bites its next victim[9] .

### 1.4 Life cycle of the mosquito

All mosquitoes must have water in which to complete their life cycle. This water can range in quality from melted snow water to sewage effluent and it can be in any container imaginable. The type of water in which the mosquito larvae is found can be an aid to the identification of which species it may be. Also, the adult mosquitoes show a very distinct preference for the types of sources in which to lay their eggs. They lay their eggs in such places such as tree holes that periodically hold water, tide water pools in salt marshes, sewage effluent ponds, irrigated pastures, rain water ponds, etc. Each species therefore has unique environmental requirements for the maintenance of its life cycle.

The feeding habits of mosquitoes are quite unique in that it is only the adult females that bite man and other animals. The male mosquitoes feed only on plant juices. Some female mosquitoes prefer to feed on only one type of animal or they can feed on a variety of animals. Female mosquitoes feed on man, domesticated animals, such as cattle, horses, goats, etc; all types of birds including chickens; all types of wild animals including deer, rabbits; and they also feed on snakes, lizards, frogs, and toads. Most female mosquitoes have to feed on an animal and get a sufficient blood meal before she can develop eggs. If they do not get this blood meal, then they will die without laying viable eggs.

However, some species of mosquitoes have developed the means to lay viable eggs without getting a blood meal. The flight habits of mosquitoes depend again on the species with which we are dealing. Most domestic species remain fairly close to their point of origin while some species known for their migration habits are often an annoyance far from their breeding place. The flight range for females is usually longer than that of males. Many times wind is a factor in the
dispersal or migration of mosquitoes. Most mosquitoes stay within a mile or two of their source. However, some have been recorded as far as 75 miles from their breeding source.

The length of life of the adult mosquito usually depends on several factors: temperature, humidity, sex of the mosquito and time of year. Most males live a very short time, about a week; and females live about a month depending on the above factors.


Figure 1.3:The life cycle of a mosquito

The mosquito goes through four separate and distinct stages of its life cycle and they are as follows: Egg, Larva, pupa, and adult. Each of these stages can be easily recognized by their special appearance.

Egg : Eggs are laid one at a time and they float on the surface of the water. Most eggs hatch into larvae within 48 hours.

Larva : The larva (larvae - plural) live in the water and come to the surface to breathe. They shed their skin four times growing larger after each molting. Most larvae have siphon tubes for breathing and hang from the water surface. Anopheles larvae do not have a siphon and they lay parallel to the water
surface. The larva feed on micro-organisms and organic matter in the water. On the fourth molt the larva changes into a pupa.

Pupa: The pupal stage is a resting, non-feeding stage. This is the time the mosquito turns into an adult. It takes about two days before the adult is fully developed. When development is complete, the pupal skin splits and the mosquito emerges as an adult.

Adult: The newly emerged adult rests on the surface of the water for a short time to allow itself to dry and all its parts to harden. Also, the wings have to spread out and dry properly before it can fly.
The egg, larvae and pupae stages depend on temperature and species characteristics as to how long it takes for development. Also, some species have naturally adapted to go through their entire life cycle in as little as four days or as long as one month.

### 1.5 Transmission of the disease

The malaria parasite typically is transmitted to people by mosquitoes belonging to the genus Anopheles. In rare cases, a person may contract malaria through contaminated blood, or a fetus may become infected by its mother during pregnancy. Because the malaria parasite is found in RBCs, malaria can also be transmitted through blood transfusion, organ transplant, or the shared use of needles or syringes contaminated with blood. Malaria also may be transmitted from a mother to her fetus before or during delivery ("congenital" malaria).

### 1.6 Rationale of the study

In the Tunwiine model, humans follow an SIRS-like pattern and mosquitoes follow a SI pattern, similar to that described by Yang (2000) but with only one immune class for humans. Humans move from the susceptible to the infected class at some probability when they come into contact with an infectious mosquito, as in conventional SIRS models.

However, infectious people can then recover with, or without, a gain in immunity; and either return to the susceptible class, or move to the recovered class. A new feature of this model is that although individuals in the recovered class are assumed to be "immune", in the sense that they do not suffer from serious illness and do not contract clinical malaria, they still have low levels of Plasmodium in their blood stream and can pass the infection to susceptible mosquitoes.

After some period of time, these recovered individuals return to the susceptible class. Susceptible mosquitoes get infected and move to the infected class, at some probability when they come into contact with either infectious humans or recovered humans (albeit at a much lower probability). Both humans and mosquitoes leave the population through a density dependent natural death rate. This allows the model to account for changing human and mosquito populations. Variations in mosquito populations are crucial to the dynamics of malaria, population models do not account for this.

The model also includes human disease induced death as mortality for malaria in areas of high transmission can be high, especially in infants. In the modified model, we aim to capture some of the more important aspects of this epidemiology while still keeping it mathematically tractable. One of the major important factors that we include in the existing model is vaccination in order to determine its impact as a control measure for the spread of malaria.

## CHAPTER 2

## 2.MODEL DESCRIPTION AND FORMULATION

### 2.1 Model formulation

As in Tumwiine et al. [24], the human population is divided into three epidemiological lasses that include the susceptible class $S_{H}$, infective class $I_{H}$ and immune class $R_{H}$. The mosquito population is divided into two epidemiological classes that include the susceptible class $S_{V}$ and infective class $I_{V}$.

The vector population does not include immune class $[4,12]$ as mosquitoes never recover from infection; that is, their infective period ends with their death due to their relatively short lifecycle. There is no vertical transmission and all the newborns are susceptible with a per capita birth rate $\lambda_{h}$. The infected human individuals recover at a constant rate $v$ to join the susceptible. The infected individuals acquire immunity at constant rate $r$ and may die due to the disease at a rate $\delta$. The natural per capita death rate is assumed to be the same constant $\mu_{h}$ for all humans. The mosquito population has $\lambda_{v}$ and $\mu_{v}$ as the natural per capita birth and mortality rates respectively. The infected female mosquito bite humans at a rate $a$.

The fraction of the bites that successfully infect humans is $b$ and c is the fraction of bites that infect mosquitoes when they bite infected humans. The incidence term is of the standard form with the terms $\frac{a b S_{H} I_{V}}{N_{H}}$ denoting the rate at which the human hosts $S_{H}$ get infected by infected mosquitoes $I_{V}$ and $\frac{a c S_{V} I_{H}}{N_{H}}$ for the rate at which the susceptible mosquitoes $S_{V}$ are infected by the infected human hosts $I_{H}$. The rate of infection of human host $S_{H}$ by infected vector $I_{V}$ is dependent on the total number of humans $N_{H}$ available per infected vector [21].

The parameter $a$ is the average number of bites per mosquito per day. This rate depends on $a$ number of factors, in particular, climatic ones, but for simplicity in this paper we assume $a$ to be a constant.

The parameter $(0 \leq \sigma \leq 1)$ determines the degree of partial protection for the recovered individuals given by a primary infection : $\sigma=0$ implies complete protection, and $\sigma=1$ implies no protection. The above description leads to the compartmental diagram in figure 1.5.


Fig 1.4: The host-vector dynamics of malaria transmission with temporary immunity

From the compartmental diagram figure 1.4 above, we have the following set of equations for the dynamics of the model:
$\frac{d S_{H}}{d t}=\lambda_{h} N_{H}-\frac{a b S_{H} I_{V}}{N_{H}}+v I_{H}-\mu_{h} S_{H}$
$\frac{d I_{H}}{d t}=\frac{a b S_{H} I_{V}}{N_{H}}+\frac{\sigma a b R_{H} I_{V}}{N_{H}}-\left(r+v+\delta+\mu_{h}\right) I_{H}$
$\frac{d R_{H}}{d t}=r I_{H}-\frac{\sigma a b R_{H} I_{V}}{N_{H}}-\mu_{h} R_{H}$
$\frac{d S_{V}}{d t}=\lambda_{v} N_{V}-\frac{a c S_{V} I_{H}}{N_{H}}-\mu_{v} I_{V}$
$\frac{d I_{V}}{d t}=\frac{a c S_{V} I_{H}}{N_{H}}-\mu_{v} I_{V}$
with total population sizes $S_{H}+I_{H}+R_{H}=N_{H}$ and $S_{V}+I_{V}=N_{V}$
We assume that all infected human who recovered are moved to the recovered class and vaccinated human have temporary immunity that expires over time and again become susceptible, hence by including a vaccine parameter " $\alpha$ ", the above model leads to the modified model:
$\frac{d S_{H}}{d t}=\lambda_{h} N_{H}-\frac{a b S_{H} I_{V}}{N_{H}}-\alpha S_{H}-\mu_{h} S_{H}$
$\frac{d I_{H}}{d t}=\frac{a b S_{H} I_{V}}{N_{H}}+\frac{\sigma a b R_{H} I_{V}}{N_{H}}-\left(r+\delta+\mu_{h}\right) I_{H}$
$\frac{d R_{H}}{d t}=r I_{H}-\frac{\sigma a b R_{H} I_{V}}{N_{H}}-\mu_{h} R_{H}+\alpha S_{H}$
$\frac{d S_{V}}{d t}=\lambda_{v} N_{V}-\frac{a c S_{V} I_{H}}{N_{H}}-\mu_{v} S_{V}$
$\frac{d I_{V}}{d t}=\frac{a c S_{V} I_{H}}{N_{H}}-\mu_{v} I_{V}$

### 2.2 Model analysis

### 2.2.1 Transformation of the system

The equations are obtained by differentiating each proportion with respect to time $t$. The proportions for the system are:
$s_{h}=\frac{S_{H}}{N_{H}} \quad, \quad i_{h}=\frac{I_{H}}{N_{H}} \quad, r_{h}=\frac{R_{H}}{N_{H}}, \quad s_{v}=\frac{S_{V}}{N_{V}}, \quad i_{v}=\frac{I_{V}}{N_{V}}$ in the classes $S_{H}, I_{H}, R_{H}, S_{V}$ and $I_{V}$ of populations respectively and $m=\frac{N_{V}}{N_{H}}$ is the female vector-host ratio defined as the number of female mosquitoes per human host $[2,7,23]$. Scaling each of the new variables with respect to time gives the following system of equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d S_{h}}{d t}= & \frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{s_{H}}{N_{H}}\right)=\frac{1}{N_{H}}\left(\frac{d s_{H}}{d t}-\frac{s_{H}}{N_{H}} \frac{d N_{H}}{d t}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{N_{H}}\left(\lambda_{h} N_{H}-\frac{a b s_{H} I_{V}}{N_{H}}-\alpha S_{H}-\mu_{h} S_{H}-s_{h}\left[\left(\lambda_{h} N_{H}-\frac{a b s_{H} I_{V}}{N_{H}}-\alpha S_{H}-\mu_{h} S_{H}\right)+\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left(\frac{a b s_{H} I_{V}}{N_{H}}+\frac{\sigma a b R_{H} I_{V}}{N_{H}}-\beta_{1} I_{H}\right)+\left(r I_{H}-\frac{\sigma a b R_{H} I_{V}}{N_{H}}-\mu_{h} R_{H}+\alpha S_{H}\right)\right]\right) \\
\frac{d s_{h}}{d t}= & \lambda_{h}-a b m i_{v} s_{h}-\alpha s_{h}-\mu_{h} s_{h}-\lambda_{h} s_{h}+a b m i_{v} s_{h}^{2}+\alpha s_{h}^{2}+\mu_{h} s_{h}^{2}-a b m i_{v} s_{h}^{2}- \\
& \sigma a b m i_{v} s_{h} r_{h}-\beta_{1} s_{h} i_{h}-r s_{h} i_{h}+\sigma a b m i_{v} s_{h} r_{h}+\mu_{h} s_{h} r_{h}-\alpha s_{h}^{2} \\
\frac{d s_{h}}{d t}= & \lambda_{h}-a b m i_{v} s_{h}-\alpha s_{h}-\mu_{h} s_{h}-\lambda_{h} s_{h}+\mu_{h} s_{h}^{2}+\beta_{1} s_{h} i_{h}-r s_{h} i_{h}+\mu_{h} s_{h} r_{h} \\
= & \lambda_{h}-a b m i_{v} s_{h}-\alpha s_{h}+\left(r+\delta+\mu_{h}\right) s_{h} i_{h}-\mu_{h} s_{h}-\lambda_{h} s_{h}+\mu_{h} s_{h}^{2}-r s_{h} i_{h}+\mu_{h} s_{h} r_{h} \\
= & \lambda_{h}-a b m i_{v} s_{h}-\alpha s_{h}+\delta s_{h} i_{h}+r s_{h} i_{h}-\mu_{h} s_{h}-\lambda_{h} s_{h}+\mu_{h} s_{h}^{2}+\mu_{h} s_{h} i_{h}-r s_{h} i_{h}+\mu_{h} s_{h} r_{h} \\
= & \left(1-s_{h}\right) \lambda_{h}-a b m i_{v} s_{h}-\alpha s_{h}+\delta s_{h} i_{h}-\mu_{h} s_{h}+\mu_{h} s_{h}\left(s_{h}+i_{h}+r_{h}\right) \\
\frac{d s_{h}}{d t}= & \left(1-s_{h}\right) \lambda_{h}-a b m i_{v} s_{h}-\alpha s_{h}+\delta s_{h} i_{h} \operatorname{since} s_{h}+i_{h}+r_{h}=1 \tag{2.2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

For $i_{h}=\frac{I_{H}}{N_{H}}$, we have $\frac{d i_{h}}{d t}=\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{I_{H}}{N_{H}}\right)=\frac{1}{N_{H}}\left(\frac{d I_{H}}{d t}-\frac{I_{H}}{N_{H}} \frac{d N_{H}}{d t}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d i_{h}}{d t}=\frac{1}{N_{H}}\left(\frac{a b S_{H} I_{V}}{N_{H}}+\frac{\sigma a b R_{H} I_{V}}{N_{H}}-\left(r+\delta+\mu_{h}\right) I_{H}\right. \\
& \quad-\frac{I_{H}}{N_{H}}\left[\left(\frac{a b S_{H} I_{V}}{N_{H}}+\frac{\sigma a b R_{H} I_{V}}{N_{H}}-\left(r+\delta+\mu_{h}\right) I_{H}\right)\right. \\
&\left.\left.+\left(\lambda_{h} N_{H}-\frac{a b S_{H} I_{V}}{N_{H}}-\alpha S_{H}-\mu_{h} S_{H}\right)+\left(r I_{H}-\frac{\sigma a b R_{H} I_{V}}{N_{H}}-\mu_{h} R_{H}+\alpha S_{H}\right)\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d i_{h}}{d t}= & a b m s_{h} i_{v}+\sigma a b m r_{h} i_{v}-\left(r+\delta+\mu_{h}\right) i_{h}-a b m s_{h} i_{h} i_{v}-\sigma a b m r_{h} i_{h} i_{v}+\left(r+\delta+\mu_{h}\right) i_{h}^{2} \\
& \quad-\lambda_{h} i_{h}+a b m s_{h} i_{h} i_{v}+\alpha s_{h} i_{h}+\mu_{h} s_{h} i_{h}-r i_{h}^{2}+\sigma a b m r_{h} i_{h} i_{v}+\mu_{h} r_{h} i_{h}-\alpha s_{h} i_{h} \\
\frac{d i_{h}}{d t}= & a b m s_{h} i_{v}+\sigma a b m r_{h} i_{v}-\left(r+\delta+\mu_{h}\right) i_{h}+(r+\delta) i_{h}^{2}-\lambda_{h} i_{h}-r i_{h}^{2}+\mu_{h} i_{h}^{2}+\mu_{h} s_{h} i_{h}+ \\
& \mu_{h} r_{h} i_{h} \\
= & a b m s_{h} i_{v}+\sigma a b m r_{h} i_{v}-\left(r+\delta+\mu_{h}\right) i_{h}+r i_{h}^{2}+\delta i_{h}^{2}-\lambda_{h} i_{h}-r i_{h}^{2}+\mu_{h} i_{h}\left(s_{h}+i_{h}+r_{h}\right) \\
= & a b m s_{h} i_{v}+\sigma a b m r_{h} i_{v}-\left(r+\delta+\mu_{h}\right) i_{h}+\delta i_{h}^{2}-\lambda_{h} i_{h}+\mu_{h} i_{h} \\
= & a b m s_{h} i_{v}+\sigma a b m r_{h} i_{v}-r i_{h}-\delta i_{h}-\mu_{h} i_{h}+\delta i_{h}^{2}-\lambda_{h} i_{h}+\mu_{h} i_{h} \\
\frac{d i_{h}}{d t}= & a b m s_{h} i_{v}+\sigma a b m r_{h} i_{v}-\beta_{1} i_{h}+\delta i_{h}^{2} \text { where, } \beta_{1}=\lambda_{h}+r+\delta \tag{2.2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

For $r_{h}=\frac{R_{H}}{N_{H}}$, we have $\frac{d r_{h}}{d t}=\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{R_{H}}{N_{H}}\right)=\frac{1}{N_{H}}\left(\frac{d R_{H}}{d t}-\frac{R_{H}}{N_{H}} \frac{d N_{H}}{d t}\right)$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d r_{h}}{d t}=\frac{1}{N_{H}}\left(r I_{H}-\frac{\sigma a b R_{H} I_{V}}{N_{H}}-\mu_{h} R_{H}+\alpha S_{H}-\frac{R_{H}}{N_{H}}\left[\left(r I_{H}-\frac{\sigma a b R_{H} I_{V}}{N_{H}}-\mu_{h} R_{H}+\alpha S_{H}\right)\right.\right. \\
\left.\left.\quad+\left(\lambda_{h} N_{H}-\frac{a b S_{H} I_{V}}{N_{H}}-\alpha S_{H}-\mu_{h} S_{H}\right)+\left(\frac{a b S_{H} I_{V}}{N_{H}}+\frac{\sigma a b R_{H} I_{V}}{N_{H}}-\beta_{1} I_{H}\right)\right]\right) \\
\frac{d r_{h}}{d t}=r i_{h}-\sigma a b m r_{h} i_{v}-\mu_{h} r_{h}+\alpha s_{h}-r i_{h} r_{h}+\sigma a b m r_{h}^{2} i_{v}+\mu_{h} r_{h}^{2}-\alpha s_{h} r_{h}-\lambda_{h} r_{h}+ \\
a b m s_{h} i_{v} r_{h}+\alpha s_{h} r_{h}+\mu_{h} s_{h} r_{h}-a b m s_{h} i_{v} r_{h}-\sigma a b m r_{h}^{2} i_{v}+\beta_{1} i_{h} r_{h} \\
=r i_{h}-\sigma a b m r_{h} i_{v}-\mu_{h} r_{h}+\alpha s_{h}-r i_{h} r_{h}+\mu_{h} r_{h}^{2}-\lambda_{h} r_{h}+\mu_{h} s_{h} r_{h}+\beta_{1} i_{h} r_{h} \\
=r i_{h}-\sigma a b m r_{h} i_{v}-\mu_{h} r_{h}+\alpha s_{h}-r i_{h} r_{h}+\mu_{h} r_{h}^{2}-\lambda_{h} r_{h}+\mu_{h} s_{h} r_{h}+\left(r+\delta+\mu_{h}\right) i_{h} r_{h} \\
=r i_{h}-\sigma a b m r_{h} i_{v}-\mu_{h} r_{h}+\alpha s_{h}-r i_{h} r_{h}+\mu_{h} r_{h}^{2}-\lambda_{h} r_{h}+\mu_{h} s_{h} r_{h}+r i_{h} r_{h}+\delta i_{h} r_{h}+\mu_{h} i_{h} r_{h} \\
\quad=r i_{h}-\lambda_{h} r_{h}-\sigma a b m r_{h} i_{v}+\delta i_{h} r_{h}+\alpha s_{h}-\mu_{h} r_{h}+\mu_{h} r_{h}\left(s_{h}+i_{h}+r_{h}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

For $s_{v}=\frac{s_{V}}{N_{H}}$, we have $\frac{d s_{v}}{d t}=\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{s_{v}}{N_{v}}\right)=\frac{1}{N_{V}}\left(\frac{d s_{v}}{d t}-\frac{s_{v}}{N_{v}} \frac{d N_{v}}{d t}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \frac{d s_{v}}{d t}=\frac{1}{N_{V}}\left(\lambda_{v} N_{V}-\frac{a c S_{V} I_{H}}{N_{H}}-\mu_{v} s_{V}-\frac{s_{v}}{N_{v}}\left[\left(\lambda_{v} N_{V}-\frac{a c S_{V} I_{H}}{N_{H}}-\mu_{v} s_{V}\right)+\left(\frac{a c S_{V} I_{H}}{N_{H}}-\mu_{v} I_{V}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \frac{d s_{v}}{d t}=\lambda_{v}-a c s_{v} i_{h}-\mu_{v} s_{v}-\lambda_{v} s_{v}+a c s_{v}^{2} i_{h}+\mu_{v} s_{v} s_{v}-a c s_{v}^{2} i_{h}+\mu_{v} s_{v} i_{v} \\
& \frac{d s_{v}}{d t}=\lambda_{v}-a c s_{v} i_{h}-\mu_{v} s_{v}-\lambda_{v} s_{v}+\mu_{v} s_{v} s_{v}+\mu_{v} s_{v} i_{v} \\
& \frac{d s_{v}}{d t}=\lambda_{v}-a c s_{v} i_{h}-\mu_{v} s_{v}-\lambda_{v} s_{v}+\mu_{v} s_{v}\left(s_{v}+i_{v}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d s_{v}}{d t}=\lambda_{v}-\lambda_{v} s_{v}-a c s_{v} i_{h}-\mu_{v} s_{v}+\mu_{v} s_{v} \\
& \frac{d s_{v}}{d t}=\lambda_{v}\left(1-s_{v}\right)-a c s_{v} i_{h}  \tag{2.2.4}\\
& \text { For } i_{v}=\frac{I_{V}}{N_{V}}, \text { we have } \frac{d i_{v}}{d t}=\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{I_{v}}{N_{v}}\right)=\frac{1}{N_{V}}\left(\frac{d I_{v}}{d t}-\frac{I_{v}}{N_{v}} \frac{d N_{v}}{d t}\right) \\
& \frac{d i_{v}}{d t}=\frac{1}{N_{V}}\left(\frac{a c s_{V} I_{H}}{N_{H}}-\mu_{v} I_{V}-\frac{I_{v}}{N_{v}}\left[\left(\frac{a c s_{V} I_{H}}{N_{H}}-\mu_{v} I_{V}\right)+\left(\lambda_{v} N_{V}-\frac{a c s_{V} I_{H}}{N_{H}}-\mu_{v} S_{V}\right)\right]\right) \\
& \frac{d i_{v}}{d t}=a c s_{v} i_{h}-\mu_{v} i_{v}-a c s_{v} i_{h} i_{v}+\mu_{v} i_{v}^{2}-\lambda_{v} i_{v}+a c s_{v} i_{h} i_{v}+\mu_{v} s_{v} i_{v} \\
& \frac{d i_{v}}{d t}=a c s_{v} i_{h}-\lambda_{v} i_{v}-\mu_{v} i_{v}+\mu_{v} i_{v}\left(s_{v}+i_{v}\right) \\
& \frac{d i_{v}}{d t}=a c s_{v} i_{h}-\lambda_{v} i_{v}-\mu_{v} i_{v}+\mu_{v} i_{v} \tag{2.2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus the system reduces to ;
$\frac{d s_{h}}{d t}=\left(1-s_{h}\right) \lambda_{h}-a b m i_{v} s_{h}-\alpha s_{h}+\delta s_{h} i_{h}$,
$\frac{d i_{h}}{d t}=a b m s_{h} i_{v}+\sigma a b m r_{h} i_{v}-\beta_{1} i_{h}+\delta i_{h}^{2}$,
$\frac{d r_{h}}{d t}=r i_{h}-\lambda_{h} r_{h}-\sigma a b m r_{h} i_{v}+\delta i_{h} r_{h}+\alpha s_{h}$,
$\frac{d s_{v}}{d t}=\lambda_{v}\left(1-s_{v}\right)-a c s_{v} i_{h}$,
$\frac{d i_{v}}{d t}=a c s_{v} i_{h}-\lambda_{v} i_{v}$

### 2.2.1 Existence and positivity of solutions

All state variables are assumed to be positive since the model is dealing with population. Invariant region is obtained by the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2.1
The solutions of the system are contained in the region $\Gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{5}$ and $\Gamma_{h} \cup \Gamma_{v} \subset \mathbb{R}_{+}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}$ (Mtisi et al,2008). We first show that the feasible solutions are uniformly bounded in proper subsets $\Gamma \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{5}$. Let $\left\{\left(s_{h}\right),\left(i_{h}\right),\left(r_{h}\right),\left(s_{v}\right),\left(i_{v}\right)\right\} \in \mathbb{R}^{5}$ be any solution of the system given by $N_{h}=s_{h}+i_{h}+r_{h}$ and $N_{v}=s_{v}+i_{v}$ with non-negative initial conditions.

## Proof

In differential forms, we write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d N_{h}}{d t}=\frac{d s_{h}}{d t}+\frac{d i_{h}}{d t}+\frac{d r_{h}}{d t}= & \frac{d s_{h}}{d t}=\left(1-s_{h}\right) \lambda_{h}-a b m i_{v} s_{h}-\alpha s_{h}+\delta s_{h} i_{h}+a b m s_{h} i_{v}+ \\
& \sigma a b m i_{h} i_{v}-\lambda_{h} i_{h}-r i_{h}-\delta i_{h}+\delta i_{h}^{2}+r i_{h}-\lambda_{h} r_{h}- \\
& \sigma a b m i_{h} i_{v}+\delta i_{h} r_{h}+\alpha s_{h} \\
= & \lambda_{h}-\lambda_{h} s_{h}-\lambda_{h} i_{h}-\lambda_{h} r_{h}-\delta i_{h}+\delta s_{h} i_{h}+\delta i_{h}^{2}+\delta i_{h} r_{h} \\
= & \lambda_{h}-\lambda_{h}\left(s_{h}+i_{h}+r_{h}\right)-\delta i_{h}+\delta i_{h}\left(s_{h}+i_{h}+r_{h}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\frac{d N_{h}}{d t}=\lambda_{h}-\lambda_{h} N_{h}-\delta i_{h}+\delta i_{h} N_{h}$
since $s_{h}+i_{h}+r_{h}=N_{h}$

$$
\frac{d N_{h}}{d t}+\left(\lambda_{h}-\delta i_{h}\right) N_{h}=\lambda_{h}-\delta i_{h}
$$

which is a first order differential equation
To solve the above differential equation above, we make use of the integrating factor which is given by $p(x)=e^{\left(\lambda_{h}-\delta i_{h}\right) t}$
Multiplying all through the differential equation by the integrating factor yields
$d\left(N_{h} e^{\left(\lambda_{h}-\delta i_{h}\right) t}\right)=\lambda_{h} e^{\left(\lambda_{h}-\delta i_{h}\right) t} d t$
and integrating both sides with respect to $t$ yields
$N_{h} e^{\left(\lambda_{h}-\delta i_{h}\right) t}=e^{\left(\lambda_{h}-\delta i_{h}\right) t}+c$
$N_{h}=1+c e^{-\left(\lambda_{h}-\delta i_{h}\right) t}$
Applying initial conditions : that is when $\mathrm{t}=0$
$N_{h}(0)=1+c$ or
$N_{h}(0)-1=c$.
Thus $N_{h}=1+\left(N_{h}(0)-1\right) e^{-\left(\lambda_{h}-\delta i_{h}\right) t} . N_{h} \rightarrow 1$ as $\mathrm{t} \longrightarrow \infty$.
(2.2.8)

And
$\frac{d N_{v}}{d t}=\frac{d S_{v}}{d t}+\frac{d I_{v}}{d t}$
$=\lambda_{v}\left(1-s_{v}\right)-\lambda_{v} i_{v}$
$=\lambda_{v}-\lambda_{v} N_{v}$
$\frac{d N_{v}}{d t}+\lambda_{v} N_{v}=\lambda_{v}$
Since it is the first order linear differential equation we use an integrating factor. Integrating factor If $=e^{\left(\int \lambda_{v} d t\right)}=e^{\lambda_{v} t}$
$d\left(N_{v} e^{\lambda_{v} t}\right) \leq \lambda_{v} e^{\lambda_{v} t} d t$
$N_{v} e^{\lambda_{v} t} \leq e^{\lambda_{v} t}+c$
$N_{v} \leq 1+c e^{-\lambda_{v} t}$
$N_{v}(0) \leq 1+c$ or
$N_{v}(0)-1 \leq c$.
Thus $N_{v} \leq 1+\left(N_{v}(0)-1\right) e^{-\lambda_{v} t} . N_{v} \longrightarrow 1$ as $\mathrm{t} \longrightarrow \infty$.
Hence the host population size $N_{h} \rightarrow 1$ as $\mathrm{t} \rightarrow \infty$. For vector total population size $N_{v} \rightarrow 1$ as $\mathrm{t} \rightarrow \infty$. Thus the feasible region for the model system is given by

$$
\Gamma=\left\{\left(\left(s_{h}\right),\left(i_{h}\right),\left(r_{h}\right),\left(s_{v}\right),\left(i_{v}\right)\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{5} ; s_{h}, i_{h}, r_{h}, s_{v}, i_{v} \geq 0, s_{h}+i_{h}+r_{h}=1 \text { and } s_{v}+i_{v}=1\right\}
$$

which is positively invariant set for the model system. Hence the model is well-posed and biologically meaningful. The feasible region for $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{5}\left(\right.$ the positive orthant $\left.\mathbb{R}^{5}\right)$. Thus the system is well-posed.

### 2.3Positivity of solutions

For the system to be epidemiologically meaningful and well posed we need to prove that all the state variables are non-negative $\forall t \geq 0$.

Lemma 2.3
Let $\left\{\left(s_{h}(0)\right),\left(i_{h}(0)\right),\left(r_{h}(0)\right),\left(s_{v}(0)\right),\left(i_{v}(0)\right) \geq 0\right\} \in \Gamma$. Then the solution set $\left\{\left(s_{h}(t)\right),\left(i_{h}(t)\right),\left(r_{h}(t)\right),\left(s_{v}(t)\right),\left(i_{v}(t)\right)\right\}$ of the model system is positive for all $t \geq 0$

Proof
From the first equation of system(2.2.6) ,we have
$\frac{d s_{h}}{d t}=\left(1-s_{h}\right) \lambda_{h}-a b m s_{h} i_{v}-\alpha s_{h}+\delta s_{h} i_{h}$
$\frac{d s_{h}}{d t}=\lambda_{h}+\delta s_{h} i_{h}-\left(\lambda_{h}+a b m i_{v}+\alpha\right) s_{h}$
.Thus,
$\frac{d s_{h}}{d t} \geq-\left(\lambda_{h}+a b m i_{v}+\alpha\right) s_{h}$
It then follows that,
$\frac{d s_{h}}{s_{h}} \geq-\left(\lambda_{h}+a b m i_{v}+\alpha\right) d t$
after separating variables .
Integrating yields
$s_{h}(t) \geq s_{h}(0) e^{-\left(\int\left(a b m i_{v}\right) d t+\left(\lambda_{h}+\alpha\right) t\right)}$
Therefore,
$s_{h}(t) \geq s_{h}(0) e^{-\left(\int\left(a b m i_{v}\right) d t+\left(\lambda_{h}+\alpha\right) t\right.}>0$ iff $\left(\int\left(a b m i_{v}\right) d t+\left(\lambda_{h}+\alpha\right) t\right)>0$
For the second equation of the model(2.2.6), we have
$\frac{d i_{h}}{d t}=a b m s_{h} i_{v}+\sigma a b m r_{h} i_{v}-\lambda_{h} i_{h}-r i_{h}-\delta i_{h}+\delta i_{h}^{2}$
or $\frac{d i_{h}}{d t}=a b m s_{h} i_{v}+\sigma a b m r_{h} i_{v}+\delta i_{h}^{2}-\left(\gamma+\delta+\lambda_{h}\right) i_{h}$
Thus,
$\frac{d i_{h}}{d t} \geq-\left(\gamma+\delta+\lambda_{h}\right) i_{h}$
Separating the variables we have,
$\frac{d i_{h}}{i_{h}} \geq-\left(\gamma+\delta+\lambda_{h}\right) d t$
and integrating yields,
$i_{h}(t) \geq\left(i_{h}(0) e^{-\left(\gamma+\delta+\lambda_{h}\right) t}\right.$.
Therefore $i_{h}(t) \geq\left(i_{h}(0) e^{-\left(\gamma+\delta+\lambda_{h}\right) t}>0\right.$ iff $\left(\gamma+\delta+\lambda_{h}\right)>0$
For the third equation of the model system $(2.2,6)$, we have
$\frac{d r_{h}}{d t}=r i_{h}-\lambda_{h} r_{h}-\sigma a b m r_{h} i_{v}+\delta i_{h} r_{h}+\alpha s_{h}$ or
$\frac{d r_{h}}{d t}=r i_{h}-\lambda_{h} r_{h}-\sigma a b m r_{h} i_{v}+\delta i_{h} r_{h}+\alpha s_{h}$ or
$\frac{d r_{h}}{d t}=r i_{h}+\delta i_{h} r_{h}+\alpha s_{h}-\lambda_{h} r_{h}-\sigma a b m r_{h} i_{v}$ or
$\frac{d r_{h}}{d t}=r i_{h}+\delta i_{h} r_{h}+\alpha s_{h}-\left(\lambda_{h}+\sigma a b m r_{h} i_{v}\right) r_{h}$.
Thus,
$\frac{d r_{h}}{d t} \geq-\left(\sigma a b m i_{h} i_{v}+\lambda_{h}\right) r_{h}$.
Separating the variables we have,
$\frac{d r_{h}}{r_{h}} \geq-\left(\sigma a b m i_{h} i_{v}+\lambda_{h}\right) d t$
and after integration of the above equation yields
$r_{h}(t) \geq r_{h}(0) e^{-\left[\int\left(\sigma a b m i_{h} i_{v}\right) d t+\lambda_{h} t\right]}$
Thus, $r_{h}(t) \geq r_{h}(0) e^{-\left[\int\left(\sigma a b m i_{h} i_{v}\right) d t+\lambda_{h} t\right]}>0$ if and only if $\left[\int\left(\sigma a b m i_{h} i_{v}\right) d t+\lambda_{h} t\right]>0$
For the fourth equation of the model system(2.2.6), we have
$\frac{d s_{v}}{d t}=\lambda_{v}\left(1-s_{v}\right)-a c s_{v} i_{h}$ or
$\frac{d s_{v}}{d t}=\lambda_{v}-\lambda_{v} s_{v}-a c s_{v} i_{h}$ or
$\frac{d s_{v}}{d t}=\lambda_{v}-\left(\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}\right) s_{v}$.
Thus,
$\frac{d s_{v}}{d t} \geq-\left(\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}\right) s_{v}$
Separating variables we have,
$\frac{d s_{v}}{s_{v}} \geq-\left(\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}\right) d t$
and after integrating we have
$s_{v}(t) \geq s_{v}(0) e^{-\left(\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}\right) t}$.
Therefore, $s_{v}(t) \geq s_{v}(0) e^{-\left(\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}\right) t}>0$ if and only if $\left(\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}\right)>0$
For the fifth equation of the system(2.2.6), we have
$\frac{d i_{v}}{d t}=a c s_{v} i_{h}-\lambda_{v} i_{v}$
Thus,
$\frac{d i_{v}}{d t} \geq-\lambda_{v} i_{v}$
Separating the variables we get
$\frac{d i_{v}}{i_{v}} \geq-\lambda_{v} d t$
and after integrating the above equation we get
$i_{v}(t) \geq i_{v}(0) e^{-\lambda_{v} t}$
where,
$i_{v}(t) \geq i_{v}(0) e^{-\lambda_{v} t}>0$ if and only if $\lambda_{v}>0$

### 2.4 Equilibrium states

The equilibria are obtained by equating the right hand side of the system below to zero and solving for the state variables in terms of $i_{h}^{*}$ for easy analysis of the model. The resulting equilibria is given by $E=\left(s_{h}^{*}, i_{h}^{*}, r_{h}^{*}, s_{v}^{*}, i_{v}^{*}\right)$ and from it we can work out the two equilibrium points.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d s_{h}}{d t}=\left(1-s_{h}\right) \lambda_{h}-a b m i_{v} s_{h}-\alpha s_{h}+\delta s_{h} i_{h} \\
& \frac{d i_{h}}{d t}=a b m s_{h} i_{v}+\sigma a b m r_{h} i_{v}-\beta_{1} i_{h}+\delta i_{h}^{2} \\
& \frac{d r_{h}}{d t}=r i_{h}-\lambda_{h} r_{h}-\sigma a b m r_{h} i_{v}+\delta i_{h} r_{h}+\alpha s_{h}  \tag{2.4.1}\\
& \frac{d s_{v}}{d t}=\lambda_{v}\left(1-s_{v}\right)-a c s_{v} i_{h} \\
& \frac{d i_{v}}{d t}=a c s_{v} i_{h}-\lambda_{v} i_{v}
\end{align*}
$$

We set,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda_{v}\left(1-s_{v}^{*}\right)-a c s_{v}^{*} i_{h}^{*}=0 \text { or } \\
& \lambda_{v}-\lambda_{v} s_{v}^{*}-a c s_{v}^{*} i_{h}^{*}=0 \quad \text { or } \\
& \lambda_{v}-s_{v}^{*}\left(\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}\right)=0 \quad \text { or } \\
& s_{v}^{*}=\frac{\lambda_{v}}{\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}}  \tag{2.4.2}\\
& a c s_{v}^{*} i_{h}^{*}-\lambda_{v} i_{v}^{*}=0 \quad \text { or } \\
& i_{v}^{*}=\frac{a c s_{v}^{*} i_{h}^{*}}{\lambda_{v}}=\frac{\frac{\lambda_{v} a c i_{h}^{*}}{\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}}}{\lambda_{v}}=\frac{a c i_{h}^{*}}{\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}} \\
& i_{v}^{*}=\frac{a c i_{h}^{*}}{\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}} \tag{2.4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

From the equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda_{h}-\lambda_{h} s_{h}^{*}-a b m i_{v}^{*} s_{h}-\alpha s_{h}^{*}+\delta s_{h}^{*} i_{h}^{*}=0 \text { or } \\
& \lambda_{h}-\left(\lambda_{h}+a b m i_{v}^{*}+\alpha-\delta i_{h}^{*}\right) s_{h}^{*}=0 \text { or } \\
& s_{h}^{*}=\frac{\lambda_{h}}{\lambda_{h}+a b m i_{v}^{*}+\alpha-\delta i_{h}^{*}}=\frac{\lambda_{h}}{\lambda_{h}+a b m\left(\frac{a c i_{h}^{*}}{\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}}\right)+\alpha-\delta i_{h}^{*}}  \tag{2.4.4}\\
& =\frac{\lambda_{h}}{\frac{a^{2} b c m i_{h}^{*}+\left(\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}\right)\left(\lambda_{h}+\alpha-\delta i_{h}^{*}\right)}{\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}}} \\
& s_{h}^{*}=\frac{\lambda_{h}\left(\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}\right)}{a^{2} b c m i_{h}^{*}+\left(\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}\right)\left(\lambda_{h}+\alpha-\delta i_{h}^{*}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& r i_{h}^{*}+\alpha s_{h}^{*}-r_{h}^{*}\left(\lambda_{h}+\sigma a b m i_{v}^{*}-\delta i_{h}^{*}\right)=0 \quad \text { or } \\
& r_{h}^{*}=\frac{r i_{h}^{*}+\alpha s_{h}^{*}}{\lambda_{h}+\sigma a b m i_{v}^{*}-\delta i_{h}^{*}} \text { or } \\
& r_{h}^{*}=\frac{r i_{h}^{*}+\alpha\left[\frac{\lambda_{h}\left(\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}\right)}{a^{2} b c m i_{h}^{*}+\left(\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}\right)\left(\lambda_{h}+\alpha-\delta i_{h}^{*}\right)}\right]}{\lambda_{h}+\sigma a b m\left[\frac{a c i_{h}^{*}}{\left.\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}\right]-\delta i_{h}^{*}}\right.} \\
& =\frac{\frac{\left[a^{2} b c m i_{h}^{*}+\left(\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}\right)\left(\lambda_{h}+\alpha-\delta i_{h}^{*}\right)\right] r i_{h}^{*}+\lambda_{h} \alpha\left(\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}\right)}{a^{2} b c m i_{h}^{*}+\left(\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}\right)\left(\lambda_{h}+\alpha-\delta i_{h}^{*}\right)}}{\frac{\sigma a^{2} b c m i_{h}^{*}+\left(\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}\right)\left(\lambda_{h}-\delta i_{h}^{*}\right)}{\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}}} \\
& r_{h}^{*}=\frac{\left\{\left[a^{2} b c m i_{h}^{*}+\left(\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}\right)\left(\lambda_{h}+\alpha-\delta i_{h}^{*}\right)\right] r i_{h}^{*}+\lambda_{h} \alpha\left(\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}\right)\right\}\left(\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}\right)}{\left[a^{2} b c m i_{h}^{*}+\left(\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}\right)\left(\lambda_{h}+\alpha-\delta i_{h}^{*}\right)\right]\left[\sigma a^{2} b c m i_{h}^{*}+\left(\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}\right)\left(\lambda_{h}-\delta i_{h}^{*}\right)\right]} \tag{2.4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

### 2.4 Existence of disease free equilibrium point(DFE)

The system is analyzed to determine the equilibrium point of the system and their stabilities. Let $\mathrm{E}\left(s_{h}^{*}, i_{h}^{*}, r_{h}^{*}, s_{v}^{*}, i_{v}^{*}\right)$ be the equilibrium point of the DFE model which is obtained by setting $\frac{d s_{h}^{*}}{d t}=\frac{d i_{h}^{*}}{d t}=\frac{d r_{h}^{*}}{d t}=\frac{d s_{v}^{*}}{d t}=\frac{d i_{v}^{*}}{d t}=0$
Therefore, in the absence of infection, that is, when $i_{h}^{*}=i_{v}^{*}=\alpha=0$, the model system has steady state $E_{0}$ called the disease free equilibrium. When we substitute $i_{h}^{*}=i_{v}^{*}=r_{h}^{*}=\alpha=0$ in the system, the system reduces to $\left(1-s_{h}^{*}\right) \lambda_{h}=0$ or $\lambda_{h} s_{h}^{*}=\lambda_{h}$ hence $s_{h}^{*}=1$, also $\left(1-s_{v}^{*}\right) \lambda_{v}=0$ or $\lambda_{v} s_{v}^{*}=\lambda_{v}$ hence $s_{v}^{*}=1$.Thus the disease free equilibrium point of the model is given by $E_{0}=\left(s_{h}^{*}, i_{h}^{*}, r_{h}^{*}, s_{v}^{*}, i_{v}^{*}\right)=(1,0,0,1,0)$
At the disease free point, the susceptible populations is equal to the total population respectively , that is, $N_{h}=s_{h}^{*}$ and $N_{v}=s_{v}^{*}$. Thus the disease free equilibrium exists when $\lambda_{h}>0$ and $\lambda_{v}>0$.

### 2.5 Basic reproduction number

In order to assess the stability of disease free equilibrium(DFE) and the endemic equilibrium(EEP), the computation of the basic reproductive number $R_{0}$ is required. $R_{0}$ represents the average number of secondary infections that a single infectious host can generate in a totally susceptible population of hosts and vectors. In other words, to know how many infectious individuals are generated by a single infective introduced into a susceptible population. We now write the equations of the system beginning with the infective and use the next generation matrix to determine the basic reproductive number.

### 2.5.1 Next generation matrix

To determine the basic reproduction number, we consider the system of differential equations:
$\frac{d i_{h}}{d t}=a b m s_{h} i_{v}+\sigma a b m r_{h} i_{v}-\lambda_{h} i_{h}-r i_{h}-\delta i_{h}+\delta i_{h}^{2}$,
$\frac{d i_{v}}{d t}=\operatorname{acs}_{v} i_{h}-\lambda_{v} i_{v}$,
$\frac{d r_{h}}{d t}=r i_{h}-\lambda_{h} r_{h}-\sigma a b m r_{h} i_{v}+\delta i_{h} r_{h}+\alpha s_{h}$,
$\frac{d s_{h}}{d t}=\left(1-s_{h}\right) \lambda_{h}-a b m i_{v} s_{h}-\alpha s_{h}+\delta s_{h} i_{h}$,
$\frac{d s_{v}}{d t}=\lambda_{v}\left(1-s_{v}\right)-a c s_{v} i_{h}$
$R_{0}$ is obtained by taking the largest(dominant) eigen-value(spectral radius) of
$\left[\frac{\partial F_{i E_{0}}}{\partial x_{j}}\right] \cdot\left[\frac{\partial V_{i} E_{0}}{\partial x_{j}}\right]^{-1}$
where,
$F_{i}$ is the rate of appearance of new infections in compartment $i$
$V_{i}^{+}$is the transfer of individuals into compartment $i$
$V_{i}^{-}$is the transfer of individuals out of compartment $i$
$E_{0}$ is the disease free equilibrium point

$$
E_{0}=\left(s_{h}^{*}, i_{h}^{*}, r_{h}^{*}, s_{v}^{*}, i_{v}^{*}\right)=(1,0,0,1,0) .
$$

The new infected compartment are $i_{h}$ and $i_{v}$.
Therefore, $\left[\begin{array}{l}f_{1} \\ f_{2}\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}\delta i_{h}^{* 2} \\ a c s_{v}^{*} i_{h}^{*}\end{array}\right], F=\left[\begin{array}{cc}\frac{\partial f_{1}\left(E_{0}\right)}{\partial i_{h}^{*}} & \frac{\partial f_{1}\left(E_{0}\right)}{\partial i_{v}^{*}} \\ \frac{\partial f_{2}\left(E_{0}\right)}{\partial i_{h}^{*}} & \frac{\partial f_{2}\left(E_{0}\right)}{\partial i_{v}^{*}}\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}2 \delta i_{h}^{*} & 0 \\ a c s_{v}^{*} & 0\end{array}\right]$.
At $E_{0}=\left(s_{h}^{*}, i_{h}^{*}, r_{h}^{*}, s_{v}^{*}, i_{v}^{*}\right)=(1,0,0,1,0), F=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & 0 \\ a c & 0\end{array}\right]$.
Also $\left[\begin{array}{l}V_{1} \\ V_{2}\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}-\left(r+\lambda_{h}+\delta\right) i_{h}^{*}-a b m s_{h}^{*} i_{v}^{*}-\sigma a b m r_{h}^{*} i_{v}^{*} \\ \lambda_{v} i_{v}^{*}\end{array}\right]$.
By linearizing at disease free equilibrium point we have

$$
V=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{\partial V_{1}\left(E_{0}\right)}{\partial i_{h}^{*}} & \frac{\partial V_{1}\left(E_{0}\right)}{\partial i_{v}^{*}} \\
\frac{\partial V_{2}\left(E_{0}\right)}{\partial i_{h}^{*}} & \frac{\partial V_{2}\left(E_{0}\right)}{\partial i_{v}^{*}}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\left(r+\lambda_{h}+\delta\right) & -a b m s_{h}^{*}-\sigma a b m r_{h}^{*} \\
0 & \lambda_{v}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The jacobian matrix of $V$ evaluated at $E_{0}$ is given by
$V=\left[\begin{array}{cc}\left(\lambda_{h}+r+\delta\right) & -a b m \\ 0 & \lambda_{v}\end{array}\right]$
The inverse of the jacobian matrix above is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
V^{-1} & =\frac{1}{\lambda_{v}\left(\lambda_{h}+r+\delta\right)}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\left(\lambda_{h}+r+\delta\right) & -a b m \\
0 & \lambda_{v}
\end{array}\right] \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{\left(\lambda_{h}+r+\delta\right)} & \frac{a b m}{\lambda_{v}\left(\lambda_{h}+r+\delta\right)} \\
0 & \frac{1}{\lambda_{v}}
\end{array}\right] \tag{2.5.5}
\end{align*}
$$

The product of $F$ and $V^{-1}$,
$F V^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & 0 \\ a c & 0\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}\frac{1}{\left(\lambda_{h}+r+\delta\right)} & \frac{a b m}{\lambda_{v}\left(\lambda_{h}+r+\delta\right)} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\lambda_{v}}\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & 0 \\ \frac{a c}{\left(\lambda_{h}+r+\delta\right)} & \frac{a^{2} b c m}{\lambda_{v}\left(\lambda_{h}+r+\delta\right)}\end{array}\right]$
Hence we develop a matrix
$A=\left|F V^{-1}-I P\right|=0$
$A=\left[\begin{array}{cc}-P & 0 \\ \frac{a c}{\left(\lambda_{h}+r+\delta\right)} & \frac{a^{2} b c m}{\lambda_{v}\left(\lambda_{h}+r+\delta\right)}-P\end{array}\right]$
Then the eigenvalues $(P)$ of matrix $A$ are given by
$P\left(\frac{a^{2} b c m}{\lambda_{v}\left(\lambda_{h}+r+\delta\right)}-P\right)=0$
Thus $P=0$ or $P=\frac{a^{2} b c m}{\lambda_{v}\left(\lambda_{h}+r+\delta\right)}$
Hence the reproduction number $R_{0}$ from (2.5.9) is the dominant eigenvalue of $A$, which is
$R_{0}=\frac{a^{2} b c m}{\lambda_{v}\left(\lambda_{h}+r+\delta\right)}$

### 2.6 Local stability

The local stability of the disease free equilibrium point is obtained by the following lemma.

## Lemma 2.6.1

If $R_{0}<1$, the disease free equilibrium point $E_{0}$ of the model is locally assymptotically stable, and is unstable if $R_{0}>1$.The local stability of DFE equilibrium point $E_{0}$ is established by linearizing system (2.2.6) around a DFE, then

$J_{E}=$
$\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}-\left(\lambda_{h}+a b m i_{v}+\alpha-\delta i_{h}\right) & \delta s_{h} & 0 & 0 & -a b m s_{h} \\ a b m i_{v} & -\left(\lambda_{h}+r+\delta\right)+2 \delta i_{h} & \sigma a b m i_{v} & 0 & a b m s_{h}+\sigma a b m r_{h} \\ \alpha & r+\delta r_{h} & \delta i_{h}-\left(\lambda_{h}+\sigma a b m i_{v}\right) & 0 & \sigma a b m r_{h} \\ 0 & -a c s_{v} & & 0 & -\left(\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}\right) \\ 0 & a c s_{v} & 0 & a c i_{h} & 0 \\ 0 & & 0 & -\lambda_{v}\end{array}\right]$
At the DFE point $E_{0}=\left(s_{h}, i_{h}, r_{h}, s_{v}, i_{v}\right)=(1,0,0,1,0)$, hence the jacobian matrix simplifies to

$$
J_{E_{0}}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
-\left(\lambda_{h}+\alpha\right) & \delta & 0 & 0 & -a b m \\
0 & -\left(r+\lambda_{h}+\delta\right) & 0 & 0 & a b m \\
\alpha & r & -\lambda_{h} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -a c & 0 & -\lambda_{v} & 0 \\
0 & a c & 0 & 0 & -\lambda_{v}
\end{array}\right]
$$

We observe matrix that the matrix $J_{E_{0}}$ has negative eigenvalues
$-\left(\lambda_{h}+\alpha\right),-\lambda_{h},-\lambda_{v}$
and the remaining two can be obtained from the block matrix given by
$B=\left[\begin{array}{cc}-\left(r+\lambda_{h}+\delta\right) & a b m \\ a c & -\lambda_{v}\end{array}\right]$
whose trace and determinant are given by
Trace $B=-\left(\lambda_{h}+\lambda_{v}+r+\delta\right)$
Det $B=\lambda_{v}\left(\lambda_{h}+r+\delta\right)-a^{2} b c m=\lambda_{v}\left(\lambda_{h}+r+\delta\right)\left(1-R_{0}\right)>0$ if $R_{0}<1$
where
$R_{0}=\frac{a^{2} b c m}{\lambda_{v}\left(\lambda_{h}+r+\delta\right)}$
Thus $E_{0}$ is locally and asymptotically stable if and only if $R_{0}<1$ and unstable if $R_{0}>1$.
The quantity $R_{0}$ is the basic reproduction number of the new infections produced by one infected individual introduced in an otherwise susceptible population. It is useful quantity in the study of a disease as it sets the threshold for its establishment. If $R_{0}<1$, the disease free equilibrium is locally unstable.

Alternatively, the characteristic equation of the above matrix is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\lambda_{h}+\alpha+\xi\right)\left(\lambda_{h}+\xi\right)\left(\lambda_{v}+\xi\right)\left[-\left(\lambda_{h}+r+\delta+\xi\right)\left(\lambda_{v}+\xi\right)+a^{2} b c m\right]=0 \text { or } \\
& \left.\left(\lambda_{h}+\alpha+\xi\right)\left(\lambda_{h}+\xi\right)\left(\lambda_{v}+\xi\right)\left[-\lambda_{v}\left(\lambda_{h}+r+\delta\right)-\lambda_{v} \xi-\left(\lambda_{h}+r+\delta\right) \xi+\xi^{2}\right)+a^{2} b c m\right]=0 \\
& \quad\left(\lambda_{h}+\alpha+\xi\right)\left(\lambda_{h}+\xi\right)\left(\lambda_{v}+\xi\right)\left[\xi^{2}-\left(\lambda_{v}+\lambda_{h}+r+\delta\right) \xi-\lambda_{v}\left(\lambda_{h}+r+\delta\right)+a^{2} b c m\right]=0 \\
& \left(\lambda_{h}+\alpha+\xi\right)\left(\lambda_{h}+\xi\right)\left(\lambda_{v}+\xi\right)\left[\xi^{2}-\left(\lambda_{v}+\lambda_{h}+r+\delta\right) \xi-\lambda_{v}\left(\lambda_{h}+r+\delta\right)\left[1-\frac{a^{2} b c m}{\lambda_{v}\left(\lambda_{h}+r+\delta\right)}\right]\right]=
\end{aligned}
$$

0
$\left(\lambda_{h}+\alpha+\xi\right)\left(\lambda_{h}+\xi\right)\left(\lambda_{v}+\xi\right)\left[\xi^{2}-\left(\lambda_{v}+\lambda_{h}+r+\delta\right) \xi-\lambda_{v}\left(\lambda_{h}+r+\delta\right)\left(1-R_{0}\right)\right]=0$
where,
$R_{0}=\frac{a^{2} b c m}{\lambda_{v}\left(\lambda_{h}+r+\delta\right)}$
There are five eigenvalues corresponding to the characteristic equation above.
Three of the eigenvalues $\xi_{1}=-\left(\lambda_{h}+\alpha\right), \xi_{2}=-\lambda_{h}, \xi_{3}=-\lambda_{v}$
have negative real parts.
The other two eigenvalues can be obtained from the quadratic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi^{2}-\left(\lambda_{v}+\lambda_{h}+r+\delta\right) \xi-\lambda_{v}\left(\lambda_{h}+r+\delta\right)\left(1-R_{0}\right)=0 \tag{2.6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying the Routh-Hurwitz criteria for a quadratic polynomial. It is easy to see that both the coefficients of (2.6.6) are positive if and only if $R_{0}<1$. Thus, all roots of (2.6.6) are with negative real parts if $R_{0}<1$, and one of its roots is with positive real part if $R_{0}>1$. Therefore, the disease-free equilibrium(DFE) $E_{0}$ is locally asymptotically stable if $R_{0}<1$ and unstable if $R_{0}>1$. Thus, we have the following result;

## Theorem 2.6.2

The uninfected equilibrium $E_{0}$ is locally asymptotically stable if $R_{0}<1$ and unstable if $R_{0}>1$ in $\Gamma$.
2.7 The endemic equilibrium point

The endemic equilibrium point of the model is obtained by setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d s_{h}}{d t}=\frac{d i_{h}}{d t}=\frac{d r_{h}}{d t}=\frac{d s_{v}}{d t}=\frac{d i_{v}}{d t}=0 \tag{2.7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then by solving the given system each equilibrium point is expressed in terms of $i_{h}^{*}$ at steady states and this yields,
$E_{1}=\left(s_{h}^{*}, i_{h}^{*}, r_{h}^{*}, s_{v}^{*}, i_{v}^{*}\right)$
as an endemic equilibrium point where,
$\lambda_{v}\left(1-s_{v}^{*}\right)-a c s_{v}^{*} i_{h}^{*}=0$ or
$\lambda_{v}-\lambda_{v} s_{v}^{*}-a c s_{v}^{*} i_{h}^{*}=0 \quad$ or
$\lambda_{v}-s_{v}^{*}\left(\lambda_{v}-a c i_{h}^{*}\right)=0 \quad$ or
$s_{v}^{*}=\frac{\lambda_{v}}{\lambda_{v}-a c i_{h}^{*}}$
$a c s_{v}^{*} i_{h}^{*}-\lambda_{v} i_{v}^{*}=0 \quad$ or
$i_{v}^{*}=\frac{a c s_{v}^{*} i_{h}^{*}}{\lambda_{v}}=\frac{\frac{\lambda_{v} a c i_{h}^{*}}{\lambda_{v}-a c i_{h}^{*}}}{\lambda_{v}}=\frac{a c i_{h}^{*}}{\lambda_{v}-a c i_{h}^{*}}$
$i_{v}^{*}=\frac{a c i_{h}^{*}}{\lambda_{v}-a c i_{h}^{*}}$
$\lambda_{h}-\lambda_{h} s_{h}^{*}-a b m i_{v}^{*} s_{h}-\alpha s_{h}^{*}+\delta s_{h}^{*} i_{h}^{*}=0$ or
$\lambda_{h}-\left(\lambda_{h}+a b m i_{v}^{*}+\alpha-\delta i_{h}^{*}\right) s_{h}^{*}=0$ or
$s_{h}^{*}=\frac{\lambda_{h}}{\lambda_{h}+a b m i_{v}^{*}+\alpha-\delta i_{h}^{*}}$
$=\frac{\lambda_{h}}{\lambda_{h}+\operatorname{abm}\left(\frac{a c i_{h}^{*}}{\lambda_{v}-a c i_{h}^{*}}\right)+\alpha-\delta i_{h}^{*}}$
$=\frac{\lambda_{h}}{\frac{a^{2} b c m i_{h}^{*}+\left(\lambda_{v}-a c i_{h}^{*}\right)\left(\lambda_{h}+\alpha-\delta i_{h}^{*}\right)}{\lambda_{v}-a c i_{h}^{*}}}$
$s_{h}^{*}=\frac{\lambda_{h}\left(\lambda_{v}-a c i_{h}^{*}\right)}{a^{2} b c m i_{h}^{*}+\left(\lambda_{v}-a c i_{h}^{*}\right)\left(\lambda_{h}+\alpha-\delta i_{h}^{*}\right)}$
$r i_{h}^{*}+\alpha s_{h}^{*}-r_{h}^{*}\left(\lambda_{h}+\sigma a b m i_{v}^{*}-\delta i_{h}^{*}\right)=0$ or
$r_{h}^{*}=\frac{r i_{h}^{*}+\alpha s_{k}^{*}}{\lambda_{h}+\sigma a b m i_{v}^{*}-\delta i_{h}^{*}}$ or

$$
\begin{align*}
& r_{h}^{*}=\frac{r i_{h}^{*}+\alpha\left[\frac{\lambda_{h}\left(\lambda_{v}-a c i_{h}^{*}\right)}{a^{2} b c m i_{h}^{*}+\left(\lambda_{v}-a c c_{h}^{*}\right)\left(\lambda_{h}+\alpha-\delta i_{h}^{*}\right)}\right]}{\lambda_{h}+\sigma a b m\left[\frac{a c i h}{\lambda_{v}-a c i_{h}^{*}}\right]-\delta i_{h}^{*}} \\
& =\frac{\frac{\left[a^{2} b c m i_{h}^{*}+\left(\lambda_{v}-a c i_{h}^{*}\right)\left(\lambda_{h}+\alpha-\delta i i_{h}^{*}\right)\right) r i_{h}^{*}+\lambda_{h} \alpha\left(\lambda_{v}-a c i_{h}^{*}\right)}{a^{2} b c m i_{h}^{*}+\left(\lambda_{v}-a c i_{h}^{*}\right)\left(\lambda_{h}+\alpha-\delta i_{h}^{*}\right)}}{\frac{\sigma a^{2} b c m i_{h}^{*}+\left(\lambda_{v}-a c i_{i}^{*}\right)\left(\lambda_{h}-\delta i_{h}^{*}\right)}{\lambda_{v}-a c i_{h}^{*}}} \\
& r_{h}^{*}=\frac{\left\{\left[a^{2} b c m i_{h}^{*}+\left(\lambda_{v}-a c i_{h}^{*}\right)\left(\lambda_{h}+\alpha-\delta i_{h}^{*}\right)\right] r i_{h}^{*}+\lambda_{h} \alpha\left(\lambda_{v}-a c i_{h}^{*}\right)\right\}\left(\lambda_{v}-a c i_{h}^{*}\right)}{\left[a^{2} b c m i_{h}^{*}+\left(\lambda_{v}-a c i_{h}^{*}\right)\left(\lambda_{h}+\alpha-\delta i_{h}^{*}\right)\right]\left[\sigma a^{2} b c m i_{h}^{*}+\left(\lambda_{v}-a c i_{h}^{*}\right)\left(\lambda_{h}-\delta i_{h}^{*}\right)\right]} \\
& r_{h}^{*}=\frac{\left\{\left[a^{2} b c m i_{h}^{*}+\left(\lambda_{v}-a c i_{h}^{*}\right)\left(\lambda_{h}+\alpha-\delta i_{h}^{*}\right)\right] r i_{h}^{*}+\alpha \lambda_{h}\left(\lambda_{v}-a c i_{h}^{*}\right)\right\}\left[\lambda_{v}-a c i_{h}^{*}\right]}{\left[a^{2} b c m i_{h}^{*}+\left(\lambda_{v}-a c i_{h}^{*}\right)\left(\lambda_{h}+\alpha-\delta i_{h}^{*}\right)\right]\left[\sigma a^{2} b c m i_{h}^{*}+\left(\lambda_{v}-a c i_{h}^{*}\right)\left(\lambda_{h}-\delta i_{h}^{*}\right)\right]}  \tag{2.7.5}\\
& r i_{h}^{*}+\alpha\left[\frac{\lambda_{h}\left(\lambda_{v}-a c i_{h}^{*}\right)}{\left.a^{2} b c m i_{h}^{*}+\left(\lambda_{v}-a c i_{h}^{*}\right)\left(\lambda_{h}+\alpha-\delta i_{h}^{*}\right)\right]-r_{h}^{*}\left(\lambda_{h}+\sigma a b m\left[\frac{a c i_{h}^{*}}{\lambda_{v}-a c i_{h}^{*}}\right]-\delta i_{h}^{*}\right)=0}\right. \\
& \frac{\left[a^{2} b c m i_{h}^{*}+\left(\lambda_{v}-a c i_{h}^{*}\right)\left(\lambda_{h}+\alpha-\delta i_{h}^{*}\right)\right] r i_{h}^{*}+\alpha \lambda_{h}\left(\lambda_{v}-a c i_{h}^{*}\right)}{a^{2} b c m i_{h}^{*}+\left(\lambda_{v}-a c i_{h}^{*}\right)\left(\lambda_{h}+\alpha-\delta i_{h}^{*}\right)}-\frac{r_{h}^{*}\left[\sigma a^{2} b c m i_{h}^{*}+\left(\lambda_{v}-a c i_{h}^{*}\right)\left(\lambda_{h}-\delta i_{h}^{*}\right)\right]}{\lambda_{v}-a c i_{h}^{*}}=0  \tag{2.7.6}\\
& \frac{\left[a^{2} b c m i_{h}^{*}+\left(\lambda_{v}-a c i_{h}^{*}\right)\left(\lambda_{h}+\alpha-\delta i i_{h}^{*}\right)\right] r i_{h}^{*}+\alpha \lambda_{h}\left(\lambda_{v}-a c i_{h}^{*}\right)}{a^{2} b c m i_{h}^{*}+\left(\lambda_{v}-a c i_{h}^{*}\right)\left(\lambda_{h}+\alpha-\delta i_{h}^{*}\right)}=\frac{r_{h}^{*}\left[\sigma a^{2} b c m i_{h}^{*}+\left(\lambda_{v}-a c i_{h}^{*}\right)\left(\lambda_{h}-\delta i_{h}^{*}\right)\right]}{\lambda_{v}-a c i_{h}^{*}} \\
& \left\{\left[a^{2} b c m i_{h}^{*}+\left(\lambda_{v}-a c i_{h}^{*}\right)\left(\lambda_{h}+\alpha-\delta i_{h}^{*}\right)\right] r i_{h}^{*}+\alpha \lambda_{h}\left(\lambda_{v}-a c i_{h}^{*}\right)\right\}\left[\lambda_{v}-a c i_{h}^{*}\right]  \tag{2.7.9}\\
& {\left[a^{2} b c m i_{h}^{*}+\left(\lambda_{v}-a c i_{h}^{*}\right)\left(\lambda_{h}+\alpha-\delta i_{h}^{*}\right)\right]\left[\sigma a^{2} b c m i_{h}^{*}+\left(\lambda_{v}-a c i_{h}^{*}\right)\left(\lambda_{h}-\delta i_{h}^{*}\right)\right]}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $r_{h}=1-s_{h}-i_{h}$ and $s_{v}=1-i_{v}$, the model system (2.2.6) can reduce to a 3-dimensional system given by equations (2.7.10),(2.7.13), and (2.7.14) as shown below

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d s_{h}}{d t}=\left(1-s_{h}\right) \lambda_{h}-a b m i_{v} s_{h}-\alpha s_{h}+\delta s_{h} i_{h}  \tag{2.7.10}\\
& \frac{d i_{h}}{d t}=a b m s_{h} i_{v}+\sigma a b m r_{h} i_{v}-\beta_{2} i_{h}^{*}+\delta i_{h}^{2} \tag{2.7.11}
\end{align*}
$$

$\frac{d i_{h}}{d t}=a b m s_{h} i_{v}+\sigma a b m i_{v}\left(1-s_{h}-i_{h}\right)-\beta_{2} i_{h}^{*}+\delta i_{h}^{2}$
$\frac{d i_{h}}{d t}=a b m s_{h} i_{v}+\sigma a b m i_{v}-\sigma a b m i_{v} s_{h}-\sigma a b m i_{v} i_{h}-\beta_{2} i_{h}^{*}+\delta i_{h}^{2}$
$\frac{d i_{v}}{d t}=a c s_{v} i_{h}-\lambda_{v} i_{v}$
We can now make the substitutions,
$r_{h}=1-s_{h}-i_{h}$ and $s_{v}=1-i_{v}$
in each of the equations (2.7.10),(2.7.13), and (2.7.14) to obtain the three dimensional system given below by equations (2.7.15)-(2.7.17)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d i_{v}}{d t}=a c i_{h}\left(1-i_{v}\right)-\lambda_{v} i_{v} \\
& \frac{d i_{v}}{d t}=a c i_{h}-a c i_{h} i_{v}-\lambda_{v} i_{v} \tag{2.7.15}
\end{align*}
$$

That is,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d s_{h}}{d t}=\left(1-s_{h}\right) \lambda_{h}-a b m i_{v} s_{h}-\alpha s_{h}+\delta s_{h} i_{h}  \tag{2.7.16}\\
& \frac{d i_{h}}{d t}=a b m s_{h} i_{v}+\sigma a b m i_{v}-\sigma a b m i_{v} s_{h}-\sigma a b m i_{v} i_{h}-\beta_{2} i_{h}+\delta i_{h}^{2} \tag{2.7.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Equating the right hand side of the equations (2.7.15)-(2.7.17) of the above system to zero yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(1-s_{h}^{*}\right) \lambda_{h}-a b m i_{v}^{*} s_{h}^{*}-\alpha s_{h}^{*}+\delta s_{h}^{*} i_{h}^{*}=0  \tag{2.7.18}\\
& a b m s_{h}^{*} i_{v}^{*}+\sigma a b m i_{v}^{*}-\sigma a b m i_{v}^{*} s_{h}^{*}-\sigma a b m i_{v}^{*} i_{h}^{*}-\beta_{2} i_{h}^{*}+\delta i_{h}^{* 2}=0  \tag{2.7.19}\\
& a c i_{h}^{*}-a c i_{h}^{*} i_{v}^{*}-\lambda_{v} i_{v}^{*}=0 \tag{2.7.20}
\end{align*}
$$

Expressing equation (2.7.18) and equation (2.7.20) in terms of $i_{h}^{*}$ we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& s_{h}^{*}=\frac{\lambda_{h}}{\lambda_{h}+a b m i_{v}^{*}+\alpha-\delta i_{h}^{*}} \\
& =\frac{\lambda_{h}}{\lambda_{h}+a b m\left(\frac{a c i_{h}^{*}}{\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}}\right)+\alpha-\delta i_{h}^{*}} \\
& =\frac{\lambda_{h}}{\frac{a^{2} b c m i_{h}^{*}+\left(\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}\right)\left(\lambda_{h}+\alpha-\delta i_{h}^{*}\right)}{\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}}} \\
& =\frac{\lambda_{h}\left(\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}\right)}{a^{2} b c m i_{h}^{*}+\left(\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}\right)\left(\lambda_{h}+\alpha-\delta i_{h}^{*}\right)} \\
& s_{h}^{*}=\frac{\lambda_{h}\left(\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}\right)}{a^{2} b c m i_{h}^{*}+\left(\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}\right)\left(\lambda_{h}+\alpha-\delta i i_{h}^{*}\right)}  \tag{2.7.21}\\
& i_{v}^{*}=\frac{a c i_{h}^{*}}{\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}} \tag{2.7.22}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting the equations (2.7.21) and (2.7.22) into equation (2.7.19) we get,
$\operatorname{abm}\left[\frac{\lambda_{h}\left(\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}\right)}{a^{2} b c m i_{h}^{*}+\left(\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}\right)\left(\lambda_{h}+\alpha-\delta i_{h}^{*}\right)}\right]\left[\frac{a c i_{h}^{*}}{\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}}\right]+\sigma a b m\left[\frac{a c i_{h}^{*}}{\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}}\right]-$
$\operatorname{\sigma abm}\left[\frac{\lambda_{h}\left(\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}\right)}{a^{2} b c m i_{h}^{*}+\left(\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}\right)\left(\lambda_{h}+\alpha-\delta i_{h}^{*}\right)}\right]\left[\frac{a c i_{h}^{*}}{\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}}\right]-\sigma a b m\left[\frac{a c i_{h}^{*}}{\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}}\right] i_{h}^{*}-\beta_{2} i_{h}^{*}+\delta i_{h}^{* 2}=0$

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\frac{\lambda_{h} a^{2} b c m\left(\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}\right) i_{h}^{*}}{\left[a^{2} b c m i_{h}^{*}+\left(\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}\right)\left(\lambda_{h}+\alpha-\delta i_{h}^{*}\right)\right]\left(\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}\right)}\right]+\left[\frac{\sigma a^{2} b c m i_{h}^{*}}{\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}}\right]-\left[\frac{\lambda_{h} \sigma a^{2} b c m\left(\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}\right) i_{h}^{*}}{\left[a^{2} b c m i_{h}^{*}+\left(\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}\right)\left(\lambda_{h}+\alpha-\delta i_{h}^{*}\right)\right]\left(\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}\right)}\right]-} \\
& {\left[\frac{\sigma a^{2} b c m i_{h}^{* 2}}{\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}}\right]-\beta_{2}+\delta i_{h}^{*}=0} \\
& {\left[\frac{\lambda_{h} a^{2} b c m\left(\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}\right)}{\left[a^{2} b c m i_{h}^{*}+\left(\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}\right)\left(\lambda_{h}+\alpha-\delta i_{h}^{*}\right)\right]\left(\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}\right)}\right]+\left[\frac{\sigma a^{2} b c m}{\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}}\right]-\left[\frac{\lambda_{h} \sigma a^{2} b c m\left(\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}\right)}{\left[a^{2} b c m i_{h}^{*}+\left(\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}\right)\left(\lambda_{h}+\alpha-\delta i_{h}^{*}\right)\right]\left(\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}\right)}\right]-} \\
& {\left[\frac{\sigma a^{2} b c m i_{h}^{*}}{\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}}\right]-\beta_{2}+\delta i_{h}^{*}=0} \\
& \lambda_{h} a^{2} b m c\left(\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}\right)-\lambda_{h} \sigma a^{2} b c m\left(\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}\right)+\left\{\left[a^{2} b c m i_{h}^{*}+\left(\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}\right)\left(\lambda_{h}+\alpha-\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.\delta i_{h}^{*}\right)\right]\right\}\left[\sigma a^{2} b c m-\sigma a^{2} b c m i_{h}^{*}\right]-\left[a^{2} b c m i_{h}^{*}+\left(\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}\right)\left(\lambda_{h}+\alpha-\delta i_{h}^{*}\right)\right]\left(\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}\right) \beta_{2}+ \\
& {\left[a^{2} b c m i_{h}^{*}+\left(\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}\right)\left(\lambda_{h}+\alpha-\delta i_{h}^{*}\right)\right]\left(\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}\right) \delta i_{h}^{*}=0}  \tag{2.7.26}\\
& \lambda_{v} \lambda_{h} a^{2} b c m+\lambda_{h} a^{2} b c m a c i_{h}^{*}-\lambda_{v} \lambda_{h} \sigma a^{2} b c m-\lambda_{h} \sigma a^{3} b c^{2} m i_{h}^{*}+\left\{\sigma a ^ { 2 } b c m \left[a^{2} b c m i_{h}^{*}+\lambda_{h} \lambda_{v}+\right.\right. \\
& \left.\lambda_{v} \alpha-\lambda_{v} \delta i_{h}^{*}+\lambda_{h} a c i_{h}^{*}+\alpha a c i_{h}^{*}-\delta a c i_{h}^{* 2}\right]-\sigma a^{2} b c m i_{h}^{*}\left[a^{2} b c m i_{h}^{*}+\lambda_{h} \lambda_{v}+\lambda_{v} \alpha-\lambda_{v} \delta i_{h}^{*}+\right. \\
& \left.\left.\lambda_{h} a c i_{h}^{*}+\alpha a c i_{h}^{*}-\delta a c i_{h}^{* 2}\right]\right\}-\left[a^{2} b c m i_{h}^{*}+\lambda_{h} \lambda_{v}+\lambda_{v} \alpha-\lambda_{v} \delta i_{h}^{*}+\lambda_{h} a c i_{h}^{*}+\alpha a c i_{h}^{*}-\right. \\
& \left.\delta a c i_{h}^{* 2}\right]\left(\lambda_{v}+a c i_{h}^{*}\right) \beta_{2}+\left[a^{2} b c m i_{h}^{*}+\lambda_{h} \lambda_{v}+\lambda_{v} \alpha-\lambda_{v} \delta i_{h}^{*}+\lambda_{h} a c i_{h}^{*}+\alpha a c i_{h}^{*}-\delta a c i_{h}^{* 2}\right]\left(\lambda_{v}+\right. \\
& \left.a c i_{h}^{*}\right) \delta i_{h}^{*}=0  \tag{2.7.27}\\
& \lambda_{v} \lambda_{h} a^{2} b c m+\lambda_{h} a^{2} b c m a c i_{h}^{*}-\lambda_{v} \lambda_{h} \sigma a^{2} b c m-\lambda_{h} \sigma a^{3} b c^{2} m i_{h}^{*}+\left\{\left[\left(\sigma a^{4} b^{2} c^{2} m^{2} i_{h}^{*}+\right.\right.\right. \\
& \lambda_{h} \lambda_{v} \sigma a^{2} b c m+\lambda_{v} \alpha \sigma a^{2} b c m-\lambda_{v} \sigma a^{2} b c m \delta i_{h}^{*}+\lambda_{h} \sigma a^{3} b c^{2} m i_{h}^{*}+\alpha \sigma a^{3} b c^{2} m i_{h}^{*}- \\
& \left.\delta \sigma a^{3} b c^{2} m i_{h}^{* 2}\right)+\left(-\sigma a^{4} b^{2} c^{2} m^{2} i_{h}^{* 2}-\lambda_{h} \lambda_{v} \sigma a^{2} b c m i_{h}^{*}-\lambda_{v} \alpha \sigma a^{2} b c m i_{h}^{*}+\lambda_{v} \delta \sigma a^{2} b c m i_{h}^{* 2}-\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.\lambda_{h} \sigma a^{3} b c^{2} m i_{h}^{* 2}-\alpha \sigma a^{3} b c^{2} m i_{h}^{* 2}+\delta a^{3} b c^{2} m i_{h}^{* 3}\right)\right]\right\}+\left[\left(-\lambda_{v} \beta_{2} a^{2} b c m i_{h}^{*}-\lambda_{h} \lambda_{v}^{2} \beta_{2}-\lambda_{v}^{2} \beta_{2} \alpha+\right.\right. \\
& \left.\lambda_{v} \beta_{2} \delta i_{h}^{*}-\lambda_{h} \lambda_{v} \beta_{2} a c i_{h}^{*}-\lambda_{v} \beta_{2} \alpha a c i_{h}^{*}+\lambda_{v} \beta_{2} \delta a c i_{h}^{* 2}\right)+\left(-\beta_{2} a^{3} b c^{2} m i_{h}^{* 2}-\lambda_{h} \lambda_{v} \beta_{2} a c i_{h}^{*}-\right. \\
& \left.\left.\lambda_{v} \alpha \beta_{2} a c i_{h}^{*}+\lambda_{v} \delta \beta_{2} a c i_{h}^{* 2}-\lambda_{h} \beta_{2} a^{2} c^{2} i_{h}^{* 2}-\alpha \beta_{2} a^{2} c^{2} i_{h}^{* 2}+\delta \beta_{2} a^{2} c^{2} i_{h}^{* 3}\right)\right]+\left[\left(\lambda_{v} \delta a^{2} b c m i_{h}^{* 2}+\right.\right. \\
& \left.\lambda_{h} \lambda_{v}^{2} \delta i_{h}^{*}+\lambda_{v}^{2} \alpha \delta i_{h}^{*}-\lambda_{v}^{2} \delta^{2} i_{h}^{* 2}+\lambda_{h} \lambda_{v} \delta a c i_{h}^{* 2}+\lambda_{v} \delta \alpha a c i_{h}^{* 2}-\delta^{2} \lambda_{v} a c i_{h}^{* 3}\right)+\left(a^{3} b c^{2} m \delta i_{h}^{* 3}+\right. \\
& \left.\left.\lambda_{h} \lambda_{v} a c \delta i_{h}^{* 2}+\lambda_{v} \alpha a c \delta i_{h}^{* 2}-\lambda_{v} \delta a c i_{h}^{* 3}+\lambda_{h} a^{2} c^{2} \delta i_{h}^{* 3}+\delta \alpha a^{2} c^{2} i_{h}^{* 3}-\delta^{2} a^{2} c^{2} i_{h}^{* 4}\right)\right]=0 \tag{2.7.28}
\end{align*}
$$

$\left[\delta^{2} a^{2} c^{2}\right] i_{h}^{* 4}-\left[\delta \alpha a^{2} c^{2}+\lambda_{h} a^{2} c^{2} \delta-\lambda_{v} \delta a c+\delta a^{3} b c^{2} m-\delta^{2} \lambda_{v} a c+\delta \beta_{2} a^{2} c^{2}+\right.$ $\left.\delta a^{3} b c^{2} m\right] i_{h}^{* 3}-\left[\lambda_{v} \alpha a c \delta+\lambda_{h} \lambda_{v} a c \delta+\lambda_{v} \delta \alpha a c+\lambda_{h} \lambda_{v} \delta a c+\lambda_{v} \delta a^{2} b c m+\lambda_{v} \delta \beta_{2} a c+\right.$ $\lambda_{v} \beta_{2} \delta a c+\lambda_{v} \delta \sigma a^{2} b c m-\lambda_{v}^{2} \delta^{2}-\alpha \beta_{2} a^{2} c^{2}-\beta_{2} a^{3} b c^{2} m-\lambda_{h} \sigma a^{3} b c^{2} m-\alpha \sigma a^{3} b c^{2} m-$ $\left.\lambda_{h} \beta_{2} a^{2} c^{2}-\sigma a^{4} b^{2} c^{2} m^{2}-\delta \sigma a^{3} b c^{2} m\right] i_{h}^{* 2}-\left[\lambda_{v}^{2} \alpha \delta+\lambda_{h} \lambda_{v}^{2} \delta+\lambda_{v} \beta_{2} \delta+\alpha \sigma a^{3} b c^{2} m+\right.$ $\lambda_{h} \sigma a^{3} b c^{2} m+\sigma a^{4} b^{2} c^{2} m^{2}+\lambda_{h} a^{2} b c m a c-\lambda_{v} \sigma a^{2} b c m \delta-\lambda_{v} \beta_{2} a^{2} b c m-\lambda_{h} \lambda_{v} \sigma a^{2} b c m-$ $\left.\lambda_{v} \alpha \sigma a^{2} b c m-\lambda_{v} \alpha \beta_{2} a c-\lambda_{h} \lambda_{v} \beta_{2} a c-\lambda_{v} \beta_{2} \alpha a c-\lambda_{h} \lambda_{v} \beta_{2} a c-\lambda_{h} \sigma a^{3} b c^{2} m\right] i_{h}^{*}-$ $\left[\lambda_{v} \lambda_{h} a^{2} b c m+\lambda_{h} \lambda_{v} \sigma a^{2} b c m+\lambda_{v} \alpha \sigma a^{2} b c m-\lambda_{v} \lambda_{h} \sigma a^{2} b c m-\lambda_{h} \lambda_{v}^{2} \beta_{2}-\lambda_{v}^{2} \beta_{2} \alpha\right]=0$

For easy analysis of the above polynomial we let each of the coefficients of $i_{h}^{*}$ to be equal to some constants say $A, B, C, D$ and $E$ so as to obtain the polynomial

$$
\begin{align*}
& f\left(i_{h}^{*}\right)=A i_{h}^{* 4}+B i_{h}^{* 3}+C i_{h}^{* 2}+D i_{h}^{*}+E  \tag{2.7.30}\\
& \text { or } A i_{h}^{* 4}+B i_{h}^{* 3}+C i_{h}^{* 2}+D i_{h}^{*}+E=0 \tag{2.7.31}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& A=\delta^{2} a^{2} c^{2},  \tag{2.7.32}\\
& B=-\left[\delta \alpha a^{2} c^{2}+\lambda_{h} a^{2} c^{2} \delta-\lambda_{v} \delta a c+\delta a^{3} b c^{2} m-\delta^{2} \lambda_{v} a c+\delta \beta_{2} a^{2} c^{2}+\delta a^{3} b c^{2} m\right], \\
& =-\left[\delta a c\left[a c\left(+\lambda_{h}+\alpha+\beta_{2}\right)-\lambda_{v} \delta(\delta+1)\right]+2 \delta a^{3} b c^{2} m\right] \\
& =-\delta a c\left\{\left[a c\left(+\lambda_{h}+\alpha+\beta_{2}\right)-\lambda_{v} \delta(\delta+1)\right]+2 a^{2} b c m\right\}  \tag{2.7.33}\\
& C=-\left[\lambda_{v} \alpha a c \delta+\lambda_{h} \lambda_{v} a c \delta+\lambda_{v} \delta \alpha a c+\lambda_{h} \lambda_{v} \delta a c+\lambda_{v} \delta a^{2} b c m+\lambda_{v} \delta \beta_{2} a c+\lambda_{v} \beta_{2} \delta a c+\right. \\
& \lambda_{v} \delta \sigma a^{2} b c m-\lambda_{v}^{2} \delta^{2}-\alpha \beta_{2} a^{2} c^{2}-\beta_{2} a^{3} b c^{2} m-\lambda_{h} \sigma a^{3} b c^{2} m-\alpha \sigma a^{3} b c^{2} m-\lambda_{h} \beta_{2} a^{2} c^{2}- \\
& \left.\sigma a^{4} b^{2} c^{2} m^{2}-\delta \sigma a^{3} b c^{2} m\right], \\
& =-\left[a c\left(\lambda_{v} \delta\left[2\left(\lambda_{h}+\alpha+\beta_{2}\right)-\lambda_{v} \delta\right]-\beta_{2} a c\left[\alpha+\lambda_{h}\right]\right)+\lambda_{v} \delta a^{2} b c m[\sigma+1]-a^{3} b c^{2} m\left(\beta_{2}+\right.\right. \\
& \\
& \left.\left.\sigma\left[\lambda_{h}+\alpha+\delta+a b m\right]\right)\right] \\
& =  \tag{2.7.34}\\
& \lambda_{v} \delta a^{2} b c^{2} m\left(\beta_{2}+\sigma\left[\lambda_{h}+\alpha+\delta+a b m\right]\right)-a c\left(\lambda_{v} \delta\left[2\left(\lambda_{h}+\alpha+\beta_{2}\right)-\lambda_{v} \delta\right]-\beta_{2} a c\left[\alpha+\lambda_{h}\right]\right)- \\
& D=-\left[\lambda_{v}^{2} \alpha \delta+\lambda_{h} \lambda_{v}^{2} \delta+\lambda_{v} \beta_{2} \delta+\alpha \sigma a^{3} b c^{2} m+\lambda_{h} \sigma a^{3} b c^{2} m+\sigma a^{4} b^{2} c^{2} m^{2}+\lambda_{h} a^{3} b c^{2} m-\right. \\
& \lambda_{v} \sigma \delta a^{2} b c m-\lambda_{v} \beta_{2} a^{2} b c m-\lambda_{h} \lambda_{v} \sigma a^{2} b c m-\lambda_{v} \alpha \sigma a^{2} b c m-\lambda_{v} \alpha \beta_{2} a c-\lambda_{h} \lambda_{v} \beta_{2} a c- \\
& \left.\lambda_{v} \beta_{2} \alpha a c-\lambda_{h} \lambda_{v} \beta_{2} a c-\lambda_{h} \sigma a^{3} b c^{2} m\right], \\
& = \\
& =\left[+\lambda_{v}\left\{2 \beta_{2} a c\left(\alpha+\lambda_{h}\right)+a^{2} b c m\left(\sigma\left[\lambda_{h}+\delta+\alpha\right]+\beta_{2}\right)\right\}-\left(\lambda_{v} \delta\left[\lambda_{v}\left(\alpha+\lambda_{h}\right)+\beta_{2}\right]+\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.a^{3} b c^{2} m\left\{\lambda_{h}+\sigma[\alpha+a b m]\right\}\right)\right]  \tag{2.7.35}\\
& = \\
& =+\lambda_{v}\left\{2 \beta_{2} a c\left(\alpha+\lambda_{h}\right)+a^{2} b c m\left(\sigma\left[\lambda_{h}+\delta+\alpha\right]+\beta_{2}\right)\right\}-\left(\lambda_{v} \delta\left[\lambda_{v}\left(\alpha+\lambda_{h}\right)+\beta_{2}\right]+\right. \\
& \left.a^{3} b c^{2} m\left\{\lambda_{h}+\sigma[\alpha+a b m]\right\}\right)  \tag{2.7.36}\\
& E=-\left[\lambda_{v} \lambda_{h} a^{2} b c m+\lambda_{h} \lambda_{v} \sigma a^{2} b c m+\lambda_{v} \alpha \sigma a^{2} b c m-\lambda_{v} \lambda_{h} \sigma a^{2} b c m-\lambda_{h} \lambda_{v}^{2} \beta_{2}-\lambda_{v}^{2} \beta_{2} \alpha\right] \\
& =
\end{align*}
$$

The value of $E$ in the above equation can only be greater than zero if $R_{0}<1$ where
$R_{0}=\frac{a^{2} b m c}{\lambda_{v}\left[\lambda_{h}+r+\delta\right]}$
It then follows that $A>0$.Further, $E>0$ whenever $R_{0}<1$. The number of possible real roots of (2.7.31) depends on the signs of $B, C$ and $D$. This can be analyzed by Descartes' rule of signs on the quartic.

### 2.8 Descartes rule of signs

Descartes' rule of signs is a method that can be used to determine the number of positive or negative roots of a polynomial.

Let $p(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} x^{i}$ be a polynomial with real coefficients such that $a_{m} \neq 0$.
Define $v$ to be the number of variations in sign of the sequence of coefficients $a_{m} \ldots \ldots . . a_{n-1}$. By, 'variations in sign', we mean the number of values of $n$ such that the sign of $a_{n}$ differs from the sign of $a_{n-1}$, as $n$ ranges from $m$ down to 1 .

For example, consider a polynomial $p(x)=x^{2}-4 x+4$. The coefficients are $1,-4,4$, so there are 2 variations in sign (since the sign of 1 differs from that of -4 , which in turn differs from that of 4.)

Then the number of positive real roots of $p(x)$ is $v-2 N$ for some integer $N$ satisfying $0 \leq N \leq \frac{v}{2}$. The number N represents the number of irreducible factors of degree 2 in the factorization of $p(x)$. Thus $N=0$ if it is known that $p(x)$ splits over the numbers. The number of negative roots of $p(x)$ may be obtained by the same method by applying the rule of signs to $p(x)$.

### 2.8.1 History of the method

This result is believed to have been first described by Rene Descartes in his 1637 work La Geometrie. In 1828, Carl Friedrich Gauss improved the rule by proving that when there are fewer roots of polynomials than there are variations of sign, the parity of the difference between the two is even.

The various possibilities for the roots of $f\left(i_{h}^{*}\right)$ are tabulated in the table below
Table 1: Number of possible positive real roots of $f\left(i_{h}^{*}\right)$ for $\mathrm{R}_{0}>1$ and $\mathrm{R}_{0}<1$.

| Cases | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | $\mathrm{R}_{0}$ | No. of sign <br> change | No. of positive real <br> roots |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | + | + | + | + | + | $\mathrm{R}_{0}<1$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | + | + | + | + | - | $\mathrm{R}_{0}>1$ | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | + | - | - | - | + | $\mathrm{R}_{0}<1$ | 2 | 0,2 |
|  | + | - | - | - | - | $\mathrm{R}_{0}>1$ | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | + | + | - | - | + | $\mathrm{R}_{0}<1$ | 2 | 0,2 |
|  | + | + | - | - | - | $\mathrm{R}_{0}>1$ | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | + | - | + | - | + | $\mathrm{R}_{0}<1$ | 4 | $0,2,4$ |
|  | + | - | + | - | - | $\mathrm{R}_{0}>1$ | 3 | 1,3 |
| 5 | + | - | - | + | + | $\mathrm{R}_{0}<1$ | 2 | 0,2 |
|  | + | - | - | + | - | $\mathrm{R}_{0}>1$ | 3 | 1,3 |
| 6 | + | + | + | - | + | $\mathrm{R}_{0}<1$ | 2 | 0,2 |
|  | + | + | + | - | - | $\mathrm{R}_{0}>1$ | 1 | 1 |
| 7 | + | + | - | + | + | $\mathrm{R}_{0}<1$ | 2 | 0,2 |
|  | + | + | - | + | - | $\mathrm{R}_{0}>1$ | 3 | 1,3 |
| 8 | + | - | + | + | + | $\mathrm{R}_{0}<1$ | 2 | 0,2 |
|  | + | - | + | + | - | $\mathrm{R}_{0}>1$ | 3 | 1,3 |

Theorem 2.8.1
The system has a unique endemic equilibrium $E^{*}$ if $\mathrm{R}_{0}>1$ and Cases $1,2,3$ and 6 are satisfied; it could have more than one endemic equilibrium if $\mathrm{R}_{0}>1$ and Cases $4,5,7$, and 8 are satisfied; it could have 2 or more endemic equilibria if $\mathrm{R}_{0}<1$ and Cases 2-8 are satisfied.

### 2.8.2 Stability of endemic equilibrium point

The existence of multiple endemic equilibria when $\mathrm{R}_{0}<1$ (is shown in Table 1). Table 1 suggests the possibility of backward bifurcation [22-24], where the stable DFE coexists with a stable endemic equilibrium, when the reproduction number is less than unity. Thus, the occurrence of a backward bifurcation has an important implication for epidemiological control measures, since an epidemic may persist at steady state even if $\mathrm{R}_{0}<1$. This is explored below by using Centre Manifold Theory [25] .Now, we shall establish the conditions on parameter values that cause a backward bifurcation to occur in system (4), based on the use of Center Manifold theory, of the paper in Castillo-Chavez and Song [25].

Theorem 2.8.2
Let one consider the following general system of ordinary differential equations with a parameter $\varphi: f(x, \varphi), f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$.

Without loss of generality, it is assumed that $x=0$ is an equilibrium point of the system for all values of the parameter. We assume that:
(A1) $A=D_{x} f(0,0)$ is the linearized matrix of system around the equilibrium point $x=0$ with $\varphi$ evaluated at zero is simple eigenvalues of $A$ have negative real parts.
(A2) Matrix $A$ has non-negative right eigenvalue $w$ and a left eigenvalue $v$ corresponding to the zero eigenvalue. Let $f_{k}$ be the $k^{\text {th }}$ component of $f$ and

$$
\begin{gather*}
a_{1}=\sum_{i, j, k=1}^{5} v_{k} w_{i} w_{j} \frac{\partial^{2} f_{k}(0,0)}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}} \\
b_{1}=\sum_{i, k=1}^{5} v_{k} w_{i} \frac{\partial^{2} f_{k}(0,0)}{\partial x_{i} \partial b} \tag{2.8.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

The local dynamics of the system around 0 are totally determined by $a_{1}$ and $b_{1}$
(i) In the case where $a_{1}>0, b_{1}>0,0$ ne has that when $\varphi<0$ with $\varphi$ close to zero, $x=0$ is unstable; when $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1, x=0$ is unstable and there exists a negative and locally stable equilibrium;
(ii) In case, where $a_{1}<0, b_{1}<0$, one has that when $\varphi<0$ with $|\varphi|$ close to zero, $x=0$ is locally asymptotically stable and there exists a positive unstable equilibrium; when $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$, $x=0$ is locally asymptotically stable and there exist a positive unstable equilibrium ;
(iii) In the case where $a_{1}>0, b_{1}<0$, one has that when $\varphi<0$ with $|\varphi|$ close to zero , $x=0$ is unstable and there exists a locally asymtotically stable negative equilibrium; when $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$, $x=0$ is unstable and a positive unstable equilibrium appears
(iv) In the case where $a_{1}<0, b_{1}>0$, one has that when $\varphi<0$ changes from negative to positive, $x=0$ changes its stability from stable to unstable. Correspondingly $a_{1}$, negative unstable equilibrium becomes positive and locally asymptotically stable. Particularly, if $a_{1}>0$ and $b_{1}>0$, then a backward bifurcation occurs at $\varphi=0$

To apply the stable manifold theorem, the following simplification and change of variables are made on the model

First, we let, $x_{1}=s_{h}, x_{2}=i_{h}, x_{3}=r_{h}, x_{4}=s_{v}, x_{5}=i_{v}$
so that $N_{h}=x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}$ and $N_{v}=x_{4}+x_{5}$

Further, by using the vector notation, $X=\left(s_{h}, i_{h}, r_{h}, s_{v}, i_{v}\right)^{T}$, the system can be written in the form $\frac{d x}{d t}=\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}, f_{4}, f_{5}\right)^{T}$ as follows:
$\begin{aligned} \frac{d x_{1}}{d t} & =f_{1}=\left(1-x_{1}\right) \lambda_{h}-a b m x_{5} x_{1}-\alpha x_{1}+\delta x_{1} x_{2} \\ \frac{d x_{2}}{d t} & =f_{2}=a b m x_{5} x_{1}+\sigma a b m x_{3} x_{5}-\beta_{2} x_{2}+\delta x_{2}^{2} \\ \frac{d x_{3}}{d t} & =f_{3}=r x_{2}-\lambda_{h} x_{3}-\sigma a b m x_{3} x_{5}+\delta x_{2} x_{3}+\alpha x_{1} \\ \frac{d x_{4}}{d t} & =f_{4}=\lambda_{v}\left(1-x_{4}\right)-a c x_{4} x_{2} \\ \frac{d x_{5}}{d t} & =f_{5}=a c x_{4} x_{2}-\lambda_{v} x_{5}\end{aligned}$
where,
$\beta_{2}=\lambda_{h}+r+\delta$
The jacobian matrix evaluated at disease free equilibrium $E_{0}=(1,0,0,1,0)$ with $b=b^{*}$ is

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
-\lambda_{h}-a b m x_{5}-\alpha+\delta x_{2} & \delta x_{1} & 0 & 0 & -a b m x_{1} \\
\sigma a b m x_{5} & -\beta_{2}+2 \delta x_{2} & \sigma a b m x_{5} & 0 & \sigma a b m x_{3}+a b m x_{1} \\
\alpha & r+\delta x_{3} & -\lambda_{h}-\sigma a b m x_{5}+\delta x_{2} & 0 & -\sigma a b m x_{3} \\
0 & -a c x_{4} & 0 & -\lambda_{v}-a c x_{2} & 0 \\
0 & a c x_{4} & 0 & a c x_{2} & -\lambda_{v}
\end{array}\right]
$$

At DFE $x_{1}=1, x_{2}=0, x_{3}=0, x_{4}=1, x_{5}=0$
$J_{D F E}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}-\left(\lambda_{h}+\alpha\right) & \delta & 0 & 0 & -a b m \\ 0 & -\beta_{2} & 0 & 0 & a b m \\ \alpha & r & -\lambda_{h} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -a c & 0 & -\lambda_{v} & 0 \\ 0 & a c & 0 & 0 & -\lambda_{v}\end{array}\right]$
Choosing $b$ as a bifurcation parameter and solving for $b=b^{*}$ when $R_{0}=1$ gives
$R_{0}=\frac{a^{2} b c m}{\lambda_{v}\left(\lambda_{h}+r+\delta\right)}$ or
$1=\frac{a^{2} b^{*} c m}{\lambda_{v}\left(\lambda_{h}+r+\delta\right)}$ or
$b^{*}=\frac{\lambda_{v}\left(\lambda_{h}+r+\delta\right)}{a^{2} c m}$

It can be easily seen that the jacobian $J$ of the linearized system has a simple zero eigenvalue and all other eigen-values have negative real parts. Hence the centre manifold theory can be used to analyze the dynamics of the system. For the case when $R_{0}=1$, it can be shown that the jacobian matrix $J$ has a right eigenvector (corresponding to zero eigen-value) given by

$$
w=\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, w_{4}, w_{5}\right)^{T}
$$

where,

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
-\lambda_{h} & \delta & 0 & 0 & -a b m \\
0 & -\beta_{2} & 0 & 0 & a b m \\
0 & r & -\lambda_{h} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -a c & 0 & -\lambda_{v} & 0 \\
0 & a c & 0 & 0 & -\lambda_{v}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
w_{1} \\
w_{2} \\
w_{3} \\
w_{4} \\
w_{5}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]} \\
& -\lambda_{h} w_{1}+\delta w_{2}-a b m w_{5}=0  \tag{2.8.11}\\
& -\beta_{2} w_{2}+a b m w_{5}=0  \tag{2.8.12}\\
& r w_{2}-\lambda_{h} w_{3}=0  \tag{2.8.13}\\
& -a c w_{2}-\lambda_{v} w_{4}=0  \tag{2.8.14}\\
& a c w_{2}-\lambda_{v} w_{5}=0 \tag{2.8.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Using equation (2.8.11)
$-\beta_{2} w_{2}+a b m w_{5}=0$,
we have $w_{2}=\frac{a b m}{\beta_{2}} w_{5}$
From equation (2.8.11)
$-\lambda_{h} w_{1}+\delta w_{2}-a b m w_{5}=0$,
we have $w_{1}=\frac{\delta w_{2}-a b m w_{5}}{\lambda_{h}}$ or

$$
\begin{align*}
& w_{1}=\frac{\delta\left(\frac{a b m}{\beta_{2}} w_{5}\right)-a b m w_{5}}{\lambda_{h}} \text { or } \\
& w_{1}=\frac{\frac{a b m\left(\delta-\beta_{2}\right)}{\beta_{2}} w_{5}}{\lambda_{h}} \text { or } \\
& w_{1}=\frac{a b m\left(\delta-\beta_{2}\right)}{\lambda_{h} \beta_{2}} w_{5} \tag{2.8.17}
\end{align*}
$$

From equation (2.8.14)

$$
-a c w_{2}-\lambda_{v} w_{4}=0
$$

we have $w_{4}=-\frac{a c}{\lambda_{v}} w_{2}$ or

$$
\begin{align*}
& w_{4}=-\frac{a c}{\lambda_{v}}\left(\frac{a b m}{\beta_{2}}\right) w_{5} \text { or } \\
& w_{4}=-\frac{a^{2} b c m}{\lambda_{v} \beta_{2}} w_{5} \tag{2.8.18}
\end{align*}
$$

From equation (2.8.13)

$$
r w_{2}-\lambda_{h} w_{3}=0
$$

we have $w_{3}=\frac{r w_{2}}{\lambda_{h}}$ or
$w_{3}=\frac{r\left(\frac{a b m}{\beta_{2}} w_{5}\right)}{\lambda_{h}}$ or
$w_{3}=\left[\frac{\frac{\lambda_{h} \text { rabm }}{\beta_{2}}}{\lambda_{h}}\right] w_{5}$
$w_{3}=\left[\frac{\mathrm{rabm}}{\beta_{2}}\right] w_{5}$

From equation (2.8.15)

$$
\begin{align*}
& a c w_{2}-\lambda_{v} w_{5}=0 \text { or } \\
& w_{5}=\frac{a c}{\lambda_{v}} w_{2}=\frac{a c}{\lambda_{v}}\left[\frac{a b m}{\beta_{2}}\right] w_{5} \text { or } \\
& w_{5}=\frac{a^{2} b c m}{\lambda_{v} \beta_{2}} w_{5} \text { or } \\
& w_{5}=w_{5}>0 \tag{2.8.20}
\end{align*}
$$

since
$R_{0}=\frac{a^{2} b c m}{\lambda_{v} \beta_{2}}=1$
where, $\beta_{2}=\lambda_{h}+r+\delta$

Similarly, the components of the left eigenvector of $J$ (corresponding to the zero eigenvalue) denoted by

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v_{4}, v_{5}\right)^{T} \tag{2.8.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,
$\left[\begin{array}{lllll}v_{1} & v_{2} & v_{3} & v_{4} & v_{5}\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}-\lambda_{h} & \delta & 0 & 0 & -a b m \\ 0 & -\beta_{2} & 0 & 0 & a b m \\ \alpha & r & -\lambda_{h} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -a c & 0 & -\lambda_{v} & 0 \\ 0 & a c & 0 & 0 & -\lambda_{v}\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0\end{array}\right]$
Expanding the system yields the system of equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\lambda_{h} v_{1}=0 \\
\delta v_{1}-\beta_{2} v_{2}+r v_{3}-a c v_{4}+a c v_{5}=0 \\
-\lambda_{h} v_{3}=0 \\
-\lambda_{v} v_{4}=0 \\
-a b m v_{1}+a b m v_{2}-\lambda_{v} v_{5}=0 \tag{2.8.23}
\end{gather*}
$$

Solving the system (2.8.23), we obtain,
$v_{1}=0$,
$v_{3}=0$,
$v_{4}=0$,
From,
$\delta v_{1}-\beta_{2} v_{2}+r v_{3}-a c v_{4}+a c v_{5}=0$, we have, $-\beta_{2} v_{2}+a c v_{5}=0$ implying
$v_{2}=\frac{a c}{\beta_{2}} v_{5}$
Again, from
$-a b m v_{1}+a b m v_{2}-\lambda_{v} v_{5}=0$,
we have,

$$
a b m v_{2}-\lambda_{v} v_{5}=0 \text { or }
$$

$v_{5}=\frac{a b m}{\lambda_{v}} v_{2}$ or
$v_{5}=\frac{a b m}{\lambda_{v}}\left[\frac{a c}{\beta_{2}}\right] v_{5}$ or
$v_{5}=\frac{a^{2} b c m}{\lambda_{v} \beta_{2}} v_{5}$ or $v_{5}=v_{5}>0$
since $R_{0}=\frac{a^{2} b c m}{\lambda_{v} \beta_{2}}=1$
where $\beta_{2}=\lambda_{h}+r+\delta$
Computation of $a_{1}$ : for transformed system , the associated non-zero partial derivatives of $f$ (evaluated at DFE) which we need in the computation of $a_{1}$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial^{2} f_{2}(0,0)}{\partial x_{5} \partial x_{1}}=\frac{\partial^{2} f_{2}(0,0)}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{5}}=a b m,  \tag{2.8.29}\\
& \frac{\partial^{2} f_{2}(0,0)}{\partial x_{3} \partial x_{5}}=\frac{\partial^{2} f_{2}(0,0)}{\partial x_{5} \partial x_{3}}=\sigma a b m,  \tag{2.8.30}\\
& \frac{\partial^{2} f_{2}(0,0)}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}=2 \delta,  \tag{2.8.31}\\
& \frac{\partial^{2} f_{5}(0,0)}{\partial x_{4} \partial x_{2}}=\frac{\partial^{2} f_{5}(0,0)}{\partial x_{2} \partial x_{4}}=a c \tag{2.8.32}
\end{align*}
$$

From

$$
a_{1}=\sum_{i, j, k}^{5} v_{k} w_{i} w_{j} \frac{\partial^{2} f_{k}(0,0)}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}
$$

it then follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{1}=v_{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{5} w_{i} w_{j} \frac{\partial^{2} f_{2}(0,0)}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}+v_{5} \sum_{i, j=1}^{5} w_{i} w_{j} \frac{\partial^{2} f_{5}(0,0)}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}  \tag{2.8.33}\\
& a_{1}=2 v_{2}\left[w_{1} w_{5}(a b m)+w_{3} w_{5}(\sigma a b m)+w_{2} w_{2}(\delta)\right]+2 v_{5}\left[w_{2} w_{4}(a c)\right] \tag{2.8.34}
\end{align*}
$$

substituting

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{1}=\frac{a b m\left(\delta-\beta_{2}\right)}{\beta_{2} \lambda_{h}} w_{5}, w_{2}=\frac{a b m}{\beta_{2}} w_{5}, w_{3}=\left[\frac{r a b m}{\beta_{2}}\right] w_{5}, w_{4}=-\frac{a^{2} b c m}{\lambda_{v} \beta_{2}} w_{5}, \\
& w_{5}=w_{5}>0 \text { and } \\
& \quad v_{1}=0, v_{2}=\frac{a c}{\beta_{2}} v_{5}, v_{3}=0, v_{4}=0, v_{5}=v_{5}>0 \text { in the equation above we get }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{1}=2 v_{2}\left[w_{1} w_{5}(a b m)+w_{3} w_{5}(\sigma a b m)+w_{2} w_{2}(\delta)\right]+2 v_{5}\left[w_{2} w_{4}(a c)\right]  \tag{2.8.35}\\
& a_{1}= \\
& 2 v_{5} w_{5}^{2}\left[\frac{a c}{\beta_{2}}\right]\left[a b m\left(\frac{a b m\left(\delta-\beta_{2}\right)}{\beta_{2}}\right)+\sigma a b m\left[\frac{r a b m}{\lambda_{h} \beta_{2}}\right]+\delta\left(\frac{a b m}{\beta_{2}}\right)^{2}\right]+2 v_{5} w_{5}^{2}\left[a c\left(\frac{a b m}{\beta_{2}}\right)\left(-\frac{a^{2} b c m}{\lambda_{v} \beta_{2}}\right)\right] \\
& a_{1}=2 v_{5} w_{5}^{2}\left[\frac{a c}{\beta_{2}}\right]\left\{\frac{a^{2} b^{2} m^{2} r}{\lambda_{h} \beta_{2}}+\frac{\sigma a^{2} b^{2} m^{2}\left[\left(\delta-\beta_{2}\right)\right]}{\beta_{2} \lambda_{h}}+\frac{\delta a^{2} b^{2} m^{2}}{\beta_{2}^{2}}-a b m\left[\frac{a^{2} b c m}{\lambda_{v} \beta_{2}}\right]\right\} \\
& \begin{aligned}
& a_{1}=\left[\frac{2 a^{2} b c m v_{5} w_{5}^{2}}{\lambda_{h} \lambda_{v} \beta_{2}^{3}}\right]\left\{\lambda_{v} \beta_{2} r a b m+\sigma \lambda_{v} \beta_{2} a b m\left(\delta-\beta_{2}\right)+\lambda_{v} \lambda_{h} \delta a b m-\lambda_{h} \beta_{2}\left(a^{2} b c m\right)\right\} \\
& \quad=\left[\frac{2 R_{0} w_{5}^{2}}{\lambda_{h} \beta_{2}}\right]\left\{\lambda_{v} \beta_{2} r a b m+\sigma \lambda_{v} \beta_{2} a b m\left(\delta-\beta_{2}\right)-\lambda_{h} \beta_{2}\left(a^{2} b c m\right)+\lambda_{v} \lambda_{h} \delta a b m\right\} \\
& \quad=-\left[\frac{2 a b m}{\lambda_{h} \beta_{2}}\right]\left\{\sigma \lambda_{v} \beta_{2}^{2}+\lambda_{h} \beta_{2} a c-\lambda_{v}\left(\beta_{2} r+\lambda_{h} \delta+\delta \sigma \beta_{2}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
\end{align*}
$$

If we let

$$
\begin{align*}
g & =\sigma \lambda_{v} \beta_{2}^{2}+\lambda_{h} \beta_{2} a c \text { and }  \tag{2.8.37}\\
s & =\lambda_{v}\left(\beta_{2} r+\lambda_{h} \delta+\delta \sigma \beta_{2}\right), \tag{2.8.38}
\end{align*}
$$

we then have $a_{1}<0$ if and only if $g>s$
For the sign of $b_{1}$, it can be shown that the associated non-vanishing partial derivatives of $f$ are given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& b_{1}=\sum_{i, k=1}^{5} v_{k} w_{i} \frac{\partial^{2} f_{k}(0,0)}{\partial x_{i} \partial b} \\
& b_{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{5} v_{2} w_{i} \frac{\partial^{2} f_{2}(0,0)}{\partial x_{i} \partial b}+\sum_{i=1}^{5} v_{5} w_{i} \frac{\partial^{2} f_{5}(0,0)}{\partial x_{i} \partial b}  \tag{2.8.39}\\
& b_{1}=v_{2} w_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} f_{2}(0,0)}{\partial x_{1} \partial b}+v_{2} w_{5} \frac{\partial^{2} f_{2}(0,0)}{\partial x_{5} \partial b}+v_{2} w_{3} \frac{\partial^{2} f_{2}(0,0)}{\partial x_{3} \partial b}+v_{2} w_{5} \frac{\partial^{2} f_{2}(0,0)}{\partial x_{5} \partial b}+v_{5} w_{2} \frac{\partial^{2} f_{5}(0,0)}{\partial x_{2} \partial b}  \tag{2.8.40}\\
& b_{1}=v_{2} w_{5} \frac{\partial^{2} f_{2}(0,0)}{\partial x_{5} \partial b}=v_{2} w_{5} a m>0  \tag{2.8.41}\\
& \text { Thus } b_{1}>0 \tag{2.8.42}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $a_{1}<0$ and $b_{1}>0$ holds as stated in Theorem 2.8.2 above, then model (2.2.6) undergoes a forward bifurcation at $R_{0}=1$ and has a negative unstable endemic equilibrium point(EEP) which becomes positive and locally asymptotically stable when $\alpha=0$.

Figure : The Diagram of Forward Bifurcation when

$$
\lambda_{h}=0.89, \lambda_{v}=0.35, a=0.29, c=0.75, m=0.358, r=0.00019, \delta=0.333, \sigma=0.01, \alpha=0
$$



It is observed that as $R_{0}$ decreases to one, the disease also decreases due to the acquired immunity to malaria which develops gradually due to continuous exposure to infections. The disease free equilibrium (DFE) occurs when $R_{0}<1$. When $R_{0}>1$, it should be noted that the diseases continue to exist in population due to re-infection of the individuals who lose immunity, which implies that the disease can invade the population and persist at an alarming rate.

CHAPTER 3

## 3.NUMERICAL ANALYSIS OF THE MODEL

### 3.1 The model parameter estimates

The following parameter estimates we used in numerical simulation of the model.

| Parameter | Description | Value | Reference |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $a$ | the average daily biting rate on man by a single <br> mosquito | $0.29 /$ day | $[14,18]$ |
| $b$ | the proportion of bites on man that produce an <br> infection | 0.75 | $[18]$ |
| $c$ | the probability that a mosquito becomes <br> infectious | 0.75 | $[18]$ |
| $r$ | the rate of recovery of human hosts from the <br> disease | $0.00019 /$ day | $[5]$ |
| $\delta$ | the per capita death rate due to the disease | 0.333 | $[25]$ |
| $\lambda_{h}$ | the per capita natural birth rate of humans | $0.0015875 / \mathrm{day}$ | $[8]$ |
| $\lambda_{v}$ | the per capita natural birth rate of the mosquitoes | $0.071 / \mathrm{day}$ | $[8]$ |
| $\mu_{h}$ | the per capita natural death rate of the humans | $0.00004 / \mathrm{day}$ | $[5]$ |
| $\mu_{v}$ | the per capita natural death rate of the mosquitoes | $0.05 / \mathrm{day}$ | $[19]$ |

### 3.2 Numerical analysis of the model

In this section, we present the numerical analysis of the model. The parameter values in table 1 are used in the simulations to illustrate the behavior of the model. We observe that in the early stages of the epidemic, there is a high prevalence of malaria because of a large proportion of infected mosquito vectors that results in a significant decrease in the number of susceptible human hosts. As the proportions of infected humans and infected mosquitoes decrease and remain at low level values, we observe a dramatic increase in the immune class.. In the absence of infected human hosts and mosquito vectors, the proportion of the immune class decreases as a result of immunity loss and this leads to an increase in the human susceptibles. We eventually have a higher proportion of immune humans compared to the proportion of susceptible humans. There are damped oscillations of the proportions until an endemic equilibrium level is eventually reached and this converges to a steady state that is asymptotically stable. These numerical results support the results earlier obtained analytically that the endemic equilibrium is stable.

Also, since the is no vaccine for malaria and we hope to have one soon, it was observed from the simulations that the disease can be controlled by simply vaccinating about fifty percent of the total population.

Figure 3.2.1:Graph of human and vector population against time

$\lambda_{h}=0.89, \lambda_{v}=0.35, a=0.29, c=0.75, m=0.358, r=0.00019, \delta=0.333, \sigma=0, \alpha=0$
Figure 3.2.2: A graph of human and vector population when $5 \%$ of human population is vaccinated

$\lambda_{h}=0.89, \lambda_{v}=0.35, a=0.29, c=0.75, m=0.358, r=0.00019, \delta=0.333, \sigma=0, \alpha=0.05$

Figure 3.2.3: A graph of human and vector populations when $10 \%$ of human population is vaccinated

$\lambda_{h}=0.89, \lambda_{v}=0.35, a=0.29, c=0.75, m=0.358, r=0.00019, \delta=0.333, \sigma=0, \alpha=0.1$
Figure 3.3.4 :A graph of human and vector population when $50 \%$ of human population is vaccinated

$\lambda_{h}=0.89, \lambda_{v}=0.35, a=0.29, c=0.75, m=0.358, r=0.00019, \delta=0.333, \sigma=0, \alpha=0.5$

CHAPTER 4

## 4.DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

### 4.1 Discussion

We proposed a model with standard incidence for the dynamics of malaria within human hosts and mosquito vectors in which the reservoir of the susceptible human hosts is refilled by individuals who lose their immunity to the disease and newborns. The model was then reformulated in terms of the proportions of the classes of the respective populations.

Model analysis and simulations were carried out. Two equilibria points were obtained and their stability analyzed. It was established that for the basic reproduction number, $R_{0} \leq 1$, the diseasefree equilibrium is locally asymptotically stable so that the disease always dies out, and if $R_{0}>$ 1 , the disease free equilibrium point is unstable while the endemic equilibrium emerges as a unique equilibrium point, re-invasion is always possible and the disease never dies out.

Thus, a threshold population size is necessary for the perpetuation of the disease. These may be based on the parameters of the threshold quantity, $R_{0}$. We notice that in order to reduce the basic reproduction number below 1 , intervention strategies need to be focused on treatment and reduction on the contact between mosquito vector and human host. Thus, there is need for effective drugs, treated bed nets and insecticides that would reduce the mosquito population. Since malaria induced immunity is not everlasting, it remains a major obstacle to eradicate the disease even if individuals are protected. Numerical analysis revealed that the endemic equilibrium converges to a steady state.

We observe that there is a strong relationship between the proportion of infected mosquitoes and infected humans in the same locality in a way that arise in the proportion of infected mosquitoes results in an increase in the proportion of infected humans. Therefore, control efforts aimed at lowering the infectivity of infected individuals to the mosquito vector will contribute greatly to the lowering of the malaria transmission and this will eventually lower the prevalence of malaria and the incidence of the disease in that locality. This can be achieved by prompt provision of effective anti-malarial drugs to reduce transmission and morbidity. Thus, from the model, it is noted that recurrent and temporary immunity leads to oscillatory pattern in all the populations of the model. Also, from the model, it was observed that the disease can be controlled by vaccinating approximately fifty percent of the total population.

### 4.2 Recommendations

There is need to assess the global stability of this model through construction of appropriate Lyapunov function in order to evaluate the long time effect of partial immunity to re-infection.

We further recommend the investigation of the effect of the re-infection parameter $\sigma$ and the transmission probability from an infectious human to a susceptible vector $b$ on the associated backward bifurcation region, as a function of the average life span of mosquitoes $\left(1 / \mu_{v}\right)$.
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