UNIVERSITY OF NAIROBI ACADEMIC DIVISION

ANTI-CORRUPTION STRATEGIES

ACADEMIC REGISTRAR'S OFFICE ADMISSION SECTION EXAMINATION SECTION DEANS/SENATE SECTION

ISO PROCEDURES

- I) PROCEDURE FOR MANAGEMENT OF EXAMINATIONS - UON/0P/07
- ii) PROCEDURE FOR GRADUATION UON/OP/09
- III) PROCEDURE FOR ADMISSION UON/OP/10
- IV) PROCEDURE FOR MANAGEMENT OF EXEMPTIONS AND TRANSFER OF CREDITS
 - UON/OP/13

- V) PROCEDURE FOR EXAMINATION DISCIPLINARY UON/OP/14
- VI) PROCEDURE FOR MANAGEMENT OF ACREDITATION UON/09/17
- VII) PROCEDURE FOR MANAGEMENT OF INAUGURAL AND PUBLIC LECTURES – UON/OP/83

CREATING AWARENESS

- i) University polices
- ii) Job Descriptions
- iii) Service Charters
- iv) Procedures and processes
- v) Academic year schedule
- vi) Procurement plan
- vii) Staff appraisals

ANTI-CORRUPTION/PREVENTION

- i) Individual level
- ii) Group level
- iii)Section level
- iv)Divisional level
- v) University Management level

GROUP LEVEL

i) Share experiencesii) Fortify each otheriii) Implement the processes

SECTION LEVEL

- I) Interrogate the processes
- II) Discuss issues emerging from process failures
- III)Review and suggest changes

DIVISIONAL LEVEL

i) Link with University
Managementii) Forward issues of concerniii) Propose appropriate
changes/action

ACTION TAKEN

- i) Structures in place
- ii) Monthly meetings
- iii) Training of staff (internal/external)
- iv) Corruption reporting boxes in place
- v) Review of current processes (on-going)
- vi) Corruption risk analysis
- vii) Staff survey on anti-corruption (preparation started)

IMPACT

- i) Greater awareness of University efforts to prevent corruption
- ii) Greater awareness of the processes by staff in various sections
- iii) Better understanding of the broadness of the concept of corruption
- iv) Some element of fear with respect to consequences of being involved in corrupt practices

CHALLENGES

- i) Understanding of the reporting procedures
- ii) Difficulties in deciphering perceived corruption and actual corruption
- iii) Too many individuals involved in certain key processes (e.g. examinations)
- iv) Infiltration of external influences that enhance or promote corruption (e.g. forged result slips, change of names and fake receipts

IMPORTANCE OF SECRETARIES IN THE PREVENTION OF CORRUPTION

- i) Gatekeepers to all major offices
- ii) Privy to all that goes on in those offices.
- iii) Major link between University and the clients
- iv) Disseminators of vital information
- v) Organizers of critical office set-ups
- vi) Holder of important institutional memories
- vii) Driver of efficiency and effectiveness of the office