

**INFLUENCE OF EXTERNAL SUPERVISORS' PRACTICES ON TEACHERS'
ATTITUDE TOWARDS INSTRUCTIONAL IN KIAMBU MUNICIPALITY,
PRIMARY SCHOOLS, KENYA**

Muraguri Jennifer Wanjiru

**A research report submit-~~ted~~ in partial fulfillment for the award of the degree
of masters of education in educational administration**

University of Nairobi

2013

DECLARATION

This research project proposal is my original work and has not been presented for a degree in any other University

.....

Muraguri Jenniffer Wanjiru

REG NO: E55/62585/2011

This research proposal has been submitted for examination with our approval as the University supervisors

.....

Jeremiah M. Kalai, PhD

Lecturer

Department of Educational Administration and Planning

University of Nairobi

.....

Dr. Ursulla A. Okoth

Lecturer

Department of Educational Administration and Planning

University of Nairobi.

DEDICATION

To my parents Mrs. Juliet Watare and my late Dad Mr. Muraguri and my Husband Mr. Maina Johnson and children Alex Maina ,Margret Mumbi and Lucy Watare for their support throughout my entire study period.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I gratefully acknowledge with sincere gratitude the contributions and assistance made by my project supervisors Dr. Kalai (PhD) and Dr Ursula Okoth for their efforts in providing guidance and enlightening me all along the research writing.

To the entire University of Nairobi I am very grateful for giving me an opportunity to pursue my education at the Institution.

Special thanks to my mum Juliet, my Husband Johnson and our children for their moral support, understanding, love and encouragement to me.

Last but not least, I owe deep gratitude to all the head teachers and teachers in Kiambu municipality who filled my questionnaires.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Title page.....	i
Declaration.....	ii
Dedication	iii
Acknowledgement.....	iv
Table of contents.....	v
List of tables	ix
List Of figures.....	xi
Abbreviation and acronyms.....	xii
Abstract.....	xiii

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Backgrounds to the study	1
1.2 Statement of the problem	5
1.3 Purpose of the study	6
1.4 Objectives of the study	6
1.5 Research questions	7
1.6 Significance of the study	7
1.7 Limitations of study	8
1.8 Delimitations of study	8
1.9 Basic assumptions of the study	8
1.10 Definitions of significant terms	9

1.11 Organization of the study	10
--------------------------------------	----

CHAPTER TWO

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Introduction	11
2.2 Concept of instructional supervision	11
2.3 The influence of collaborative by QASOs on teachers attitude	19
2.4 QASOs mentorship practices and its influence on teachers' attitude	23
2.5 Influence of fault finding practices by QASOs on teachers attitude.....	25
2.6 Influence of victimization practices by QASOs on teachers' attitude	26
2.7 Summary of literature review	28
2.8 Theoretical framework	28
2.9 Conceptual framework	30

CHAPTER THREE

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 Introduction	31
3.2 Research design.....	31
3.3 Target population	31
3.4 Sample size and sampling procedure	32
3.5 Research instruments	32
3.6 Validity of the instrument	33
3.7 Instrument reliability	33

3.8 Data collection procedures	34
3.9 Data analysis techniques	34

CHAPTER FOUR

DATA ANALYSIS PRESENTATION AND INTERPRETATION

4.1 Introduction	35
4.2 Questionnaire return rate.....	36
4.3 The influence of collaborative by QASOs on teachers attitude.....	36
4.4 QASOs mentorship practices and teachers attitude.....	38
4.5 Influence of fault finding practices by QASOs on teachers attitude.....	41
4.6 Influence of victimization practices by QASOs on teachers' attitude.....	43

CHAPTER FIVE

SUMMARY OF THE STUDY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Introduction	66
5.2 Summary of findings	66
5.3 Conclusions	69
5.4 Recommendations	71
5.5 Suggestions for further research	72
REFERENCES	73
APPENDICES	79
APPENDIX I Letter of introduction	79

APPENDIX 2 Questionnaire for teachers	80
APPENDIX 3 Questionnaire for head teachers	85
APPENDIX 4 Research authorization.....	89

LIST OF TABLES

Table 4.1: Response rate	35
Table 4.2: Teachers' age	36
Table 4.3: Teachers highest professional training.....	37
Table 4.4 Teachers assessment by QASOs.....	38
Table 4.5: QASOs and teachers planning supervision in advance	39
Table 4.6: QASOs helping teachers in curriculum delivery	40
Table 4.7: QASOs helping teachers in syllabus coverage	42
Table 4.8: QASOs recommending in-service training.....	43
Table 4.9: QASOs conducting supervision without prior arrangement.....	44
Table 4.10: QASOs influenced by head teachers to incriminate teachers.....	45
Table 4.11: QASOs intimidating teachers	47
Table 4.12: QASOs generalization of supervision findings	48
Table 4.13: experienced teachers embrace supervision better	49
Table 4.14: Female teachers more cooperative	50
Table 4.15: Head teachers' age	51
Table 4.16: Highest training for head teachers	52
Table 4.17: Frequency of QASOs visits	53
Table 4.18: Prior supervision arrangements by QASO	54
Table 4.19: QASOs post observation conference with teachers	55
Table 4.20: QASOs regular assessment of teachers	56
Table 4.21: QASOs enhancing class effectiveness skills	57

Table 4.22:QASOs positively encouraging teachers on pupils performance	58
Table 4.23:QASOs having supervision written guidelines	59
Table 4.24:QASOs conducting impromptu and irregular visits in schools	60
Table 4.25:qasos interested in negative comments.....	61
Table 4.26: QASOs with personal interests in supervision.....	62
Table 4.27: QASOs catching teachers on the wrong during supervision.....	63
Table 4.28: QASOs generalizing of supervision findings	64
Table 4.29: QASOs influencing transfer of less performing teachers transfer	65

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 Conceptual framework	31
---------------------------------------	----

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

DQAS	Directorate of Quality Assurance and Standards
DQASO	District Quality Assurance and Standard Officers
FME	Federal Ministry of Education
HMI	Her Majesty Inspector
MOEST	Ministry of Education Science and Technology
QASOS	Quality Assurance and Standards Officers

ABSTRACT

The study sought to investigate how the external supervisors' practices influence teachers' attitudes towards instructional supervision in Kiambu Municipality. The study focused on four objectives: to determine the extent to which quality assurance and standards officers' collaborative supervision influences teachers' attitudes towards instructional supervision, to examine the influence of quality assurance and standard officers mentoring practices on teachers attitude towards instructional supervision, to determine fault finding practices by quality assurance and standards officers on teachers' attitudes towards instructional supervision and to establish the extent to which victimization by quality assurance and standard of officers influences teachers attitude towards instructional supervision. The finding of this study may significantly benefit teachers training colleges, QASO and ministry of education personals involved in decision making and formulating policies on supervision

The study was conducted using descriptive survey research. The population in this study was 64 teachers and 12 head teachers in public schools in Kiambu municipality. Stratified random sampling was used to select teachers to take part in the study. The study used two sets of questionnaires to collect the data from both the head teacher and teachers. The supervisor provided the expertise to determine the validity of the study and the reliability was determined by using split half technique which was 0.88

The findings showed that Quality assurance and standard officers' collaborative supervision affect teachers' attitude towards instructional supervision positively because they plan in advance on the date to carry out supervision. Mentoring supervision influence teachers attitude positively because QASOs guide teachers on how to transmits positive reinforcement with the aim of encouraging people to excel and helping teachers with skills on how to effective classroom managers. However, fault finding and victimization practices influences teachers attitude negatively because teachers feel harassed when they are found unprepared and this makes the teacher to dislike the instructional supervision exercise.

The finding of the study recommend prior arrangement of visits before visiting schools, objective intervention during the instructional supervision, objectivity of reports and educating both teachers and the instructional officers on their roles in the supervision process. QASOs should also be trained on the instructional supervision activity, the procedure, interpersonal relations with teachers, report writing among other critical aspects of the process.

