A Review of Reproductive Indices of the Dairy Herd at University Vet Farm (Kanyariri)

Mutuku J. M. J56/76195/2012



Introduction

 The two major problems in the country. Poverty and food insecurity •Agriculture contributes abt 24.2% of GDP (CIA world factbook, 2013) •Livestock subsector contributes 7 per cent of GDP (GoK, 2010) •Estimated number of : cattle- 17,467,774; dairy milk cows - 3,355,407

(Population & Housing census results, 2010)

cont



•The dairy industry is the largest agricultural sub-sector in Kenya, (Muriuki *et al*, 2004).

It contributes:
17 % of agricultural GDP and
3.5 % of total GDP (GOK, 2008)

Introduction

Reproductive efficiency = ability to get cows bred back rapidly after calving with a minimum number of breedings per cow.

Inefficient reproduction - reduces both the efficiency of milk production & the number of available replacement heifers - decreases profit (Varner et al, 1914)

Objective

to;

Review the reproductive performances of the vet farm dairy herd





Materials & Methods Reproductive performance records were reviewed at the Veterinary farm, Kanyariri. •A total of 24 records purposively reviewed. •Data on herd size, age at first service, gestation, calf to estrus, calf to conception, age at 1st calving, intercalving interval, calves per year, inseminations collected, entered and analyzed in Microsoft excel(Microsoft corp., 2007)



Results Herd size approximately 210 Average age approx. 7 years Milking herd - 80 Replacement heifers, culls - 130

Indices

Index	n	min	max	Mean	Std
Age 1 st service	24	20	41	29	36.5
(Months)					
Gestation (days)	24	275	337	283	282
Calf to estrus (Days)	22	44	303	155	-
Calf to conception	22	44	558	193	80-85
(days)					

-Cont-

Index	n	min	max	Mean	Std
Age at 1 st calving (Month)	23	29	52	40	31
1 st calving interval (Hare et al, 2006)	19	11	22	15.9	12.9
Inter calving interval (Months)	18	12	30	18.1	12
Calves per year	24	0	1	0.63	1



Conceptions	1997 - 2006	2007 - 2012	Total
Successes	31	63	94
repeats	10	86	96
% success	75.6	42.3	49.5

- cont-

No. of services per conception = 1.44

Overall conception rate = 100/190 = 52.6 %

Discussion

Fertility in cattle is affected by

- environmental,
- genetic,
- disease and
- management factors.

These influence the reproductive process at ovulation, fertilization or implantation or during gestation and parturition. Inter Calving interval (CI) is an important index of cow reproductive performance and an ICI of 365 days is desirable for efficient production (Esslemont , 1993).
ICI of

646 days reported (Odima et al,. 1994)
480 days by MoLD, 1989
The reproductive indices reviewed were not within expected values .

- cont-

- Probable reasons;
- Inadequate nutrition
- Failure to manifest estrus/ anoestrus
- Failure to detect estrus
- Repeat inseminations/ breeders/ inseminator effect

Recommendations •Herd size; ->overstocked - reduce to carrying capacity \succ remove culls. •**Records** Urgent need to correctly capture & enter details for individual animal and herd.

1 cow calved without insemination1 cow was in calf for 337 days

-Cont-

•Consider new cow card design to include e.g. birth weight (calf) and periodic weight gains (dam & calf); BCS at insemination •Cull non productive animals 650 litres/ 80 milkers *1 cow repeatedly inseminated 7 times – not pg 18* months later! •Establish causes of prolonged inter-calving interval

References

Esslemont, R. J. (1993): Relationship between herd calving to conception intervals and culling rate for failure to conceive, Veterinary Record 133: 163-164

Ensminger, M. E. (1969). Animal science. Interstate Printers and Publishers, Danville, Illinois, USA. 1253 pp.

GoK, (2010): Agricultural Sector development Strategy; 2010-2020

GOK,(2010).Population & Housing census results)

Government of Kenya (2008). Sessional Paper of the National Livestock Policy.

Kanuya N L (1992): Reproductive functions and performance of post partum dairy cows in Morogoro district, MVM thesis, Sokoine **Knudsen P B and Sohael A S (1970)** The Vom herd. A study of the performance of mixed Friesian Zebu herd in a Tropical environment. Tropical Agriculture, Trinidad 47(3): 189

- 203

-Cont-

Muriuki, H., A. Omore, N. Hooton, M. Waithaka, R. Ouma, S.J. Staal and P. Odhiambo 2004: The policy environment in the Kenya dairy sub-sector: A review.

NDDP Monitoring Unit, (1989). National Dairy Development Project. Fertility Report 1988. Ministry of Livestock Development, Naivasha, Kenya.

Odima, P., A. Mcdermott, J., J.mutiga, E., R. (1994);Reproductive performance of dairy cows on smallholder Dairy farms in kiambu district, kenya: design, methodology and development considerations. Ojango, J.M.K. and Pollott, G.E. (2001): J. Anim. Sci., 79: 1742-1750. Osei S A, Eltah-Baale K and Karikari P (2004): The reproductive performance of Friesian cattle breed in the hot humid zone of Ghana. http://www.fao.org/AG/AGAINFO/resources/documents/WAR/war/U 4900B/u4900boj.htm

- cont-

Roy J. H. B. (1983): Problems of calf rearing in connection with their mortality and optimal growth: a review, Livestock Production Science 10: 339 -349

Schei I, Volden H, Baevre L (2005): Effects of energy balance and metabolizable protein level on tissue mobilization and milk performance of dairy cows in early lactation. *Livestock Production Science* **95** 35-47.

Windig JJ, Calus MPL, Beerda B, Veerkamp RF (2006): Genetic correlations between milk production and health and fertility depending on herd environment. *Journal of Dairy Science* **89** 1765-1775

Thank you

