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ABSTRACT

The world has been experiencing an increase in the use of information technology. Information technology has brought about new avenues for business as well as provided different ways in which businesses can benefit. The market has also become competitive and the different firms have had to come up with different strategies to be able to make profits. These firms have also realised how important Information technology is and how it can be put to use in the firm to be able to gain some form of advantage over competitors. Thus Information technology is now used to provide competitive advantage for the different firms. The objective of this study was to establish drivers for adoption of Information technology for competitive advantage by Internet service providers, to establish the impact of IT adoption on competitive advantage of Internet service providers in Kenya and to establish the challenges faced by Internet Service Providers in their use of Information Technology for their competitive advantages in Kenya. The study used census research design. The target population was obtained from TESPOK (Telecommunications Service Providers of Kenya) and totalled 22. The research instrument used was questionnaire which was administered using “drop and pick later” method and via email. The respondents were chief operational officers and chief technical officers from each of the firms. Data was analysed using percentages, frequencies, mean scores and standard deviation. The presentation of the quantitative data was through the use of tables. The findings were that the key impact for the use of information technology was to improve decision making by the Internet Service providers, the key challenge was the use of the correct Information technology Platform and the need to improve on the speed of operations as the key driver for the use of Information technology by the firms.
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CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Utilization of Information Technology in business activities plays a key role in the success of organizations. However, in order to achieve this success through information technologies, they have to be in line and matched with business strategies of the firm (Galliers, 1993). Thus businesses have realized the significance of information technologies utilization in their business strategy toolset (Wong, Ngan, Chan, Felix, T.S, & Alain, 2012). Information Technology (IT) has become intertwined with business in an inextricable manner and when it is used in organizations intensively can result in long-term competitive advantages (Jorfi, Nor, & Najjar, 2011). Competitive advantage is defined as an organizational capability to perform in one or many ways that competitors find difficult to imitate now and in the future (Kotler, 2000). Porter (1985) defines competitive strategy as the search for a favourable competitive position in an industry, with an aim to establish a profitable and sustainable position against the forces that determine industry competition.

According to Porter (1985) to have a competitive advantage a firm must create superior value for buyers by devising a competitive strategy that is able to establish a profitable and sustainable position relative to competitors. According to Dehning and Stratopoulos (2003) Information technology has the capability of creating competitive advantage. Information Technology (IT) is more than just computers and must be conceived broadly to encompass the information that business create and use as well a wide spectrum of increasingly convergent and linked technologies that process the information (Porter & Millar, 1985).

A study by Daneshvar and Ramesh (2010) concluded that a firm adopting Information Technology (IT) enjoys innovation, growth, cost reduction, alliance, and differentiation advantages generated by the IT. On the other hand, Information Technology (IT) enhances information processing, communication and alliance patterns. These characteristics could improve Small and Medium Enterprises (SME) competitiveness in international markets as well as facilitate relationships with other firms within the same value chain.
A study by Bilgihan (2011) concluded that Information Technology (IT) investments help companies develop Information Technology (IT) capabilities and Information Technology (IT) competencies that lead to achieving low cost, added value, speed, agility, and customer service. Bilgihan further conclude that it is not the Information Technology (IT) applications that offer the competitive advantage but rather how Information Technology (IT) applications are developed, implemented, integrated with other areas and systems help hotel companies to develop dynamic capabilities to achieve competitive advantage.

1.1.1 Information Technology for Competitive Advantage

The motivation by firms to use Information technology as a means of gaining competitive advantage is driven by their need to achieve low cost delivery of products and services, deliver differentiated products and services, be able to focus on a specific market segment and also improve on the innovative practices of the organisation.

Low cost delivery of product and services refers to the delivery of a quality product or service at a low cost and that the product or service is attractive to the market and yield a return on investment. Deliver differentiated products and services refer to the addition of unique features to the products and services that are attractive to the market and hence enable for the increase in customer base. Focusing on a specific market segment refers to firms identifying specific market segments and then moving to satisfy the needs of these segments. Information Technology (IT) is then used to provide the necessary capabilities required to fill the needs of that segment. Innovation is whereby the firm comes with new ways of doing things as well as new products and services. Information Technology (IT) is then used by the firm to aid in the development of new products and services as well as improves on existing ones.

According to Vu (2013) Information Communication Technology (ICT) enhances firms efficiency and competitiveness by promoting better communications and interaction with customers, enabling for cost saving and enhances growth and performance.

According to Feen and Willcocks (1998) for a firm to achieve a sustainable competitive advantage it must overcome several challenges. It must focus its IS efforts to support business strategies and use Information Technology (IT) innovations to develop new, superior strategies,
It must devise and effectively manage strategies for delivery of low cost, high quality IS services and it must choose the correct technical platform on to mount its IS services. Gantz and Gens (2004) further add to these challenges by stating that the most important challenge faced is on how to use technology optimally and efficiently in businesses.

A study done by Consoli (2012) says that investment into the use of Information Communication Technology (ICT) by most firms is inhibited by high financial costs of investments, absence of the required infrastructure for the ICT to ride on, lack of skilled staff and the evolution of technology without users having adequate training.

According to Diebold (1986) Information Technology (IT) has changed the competitive environment in six basic ways: Information Technology (IT) enhances Products and services, Information Technology (IT) enables for Cost displacement, Information Technology (IT) enables for Enhanced decision support, Information Technology (IT) results in the Revision of the mission or objectives of the firm, Business interrelationships and also enabled for the Creation of new products and services.

A study done by Consoli (2012) found that the benefits of Information Communication Technology (ICT) occur only after a period of adoption and depend on the type of business, internal changes and suppliers-customers interaction. He further moves to classify the benefits into performance, growth, expansion and new products. He further adds that in order to gain best performances it’s important to align Information Communication Technology (ICT) with organisational capabilities and processes.

### 1.1.2 Internet Service Providers in Kenya

The Communications Commission of Kenya (CCK) financial report for the year 2012-2013 says that the postal and telecommunications sub-sectors contributed 2.1% to Gross Domestic Product (GDP), which was down from 2.2% in the previous period (CCK, 2014). Another report by McKinsey (2013) says that the internet sector contributed 2.9% of Kenya’s Gross Domestic Product (GDP) in 2012. This contribution to Gross Domestic Product (GDP) shows just how important Internet sector is important to the Kenyan economy it’s because of this contribution that the Kenya ICT board is focused on implementing a long-term national vision, facilitating investment, and encouraging innovation in both the private and public sectors.
The main business by ISPs is to provide access to internet based products and services. They usually buy internet capacity as bulk and the sell it into smaller chunks to end users. The delivery of these services is usually through Information Technology (IT) Infrastructure either owned by the company or leased from other firms. They also provide services such as domain hosting services, email services, web-hosting services, collocation services and VOIP. Others provide Internet Protocol transit services where they also serve as resellers of internet capacity to other ISPs.

The Communications Commission of Kenya (CCK) is the regulatory authority for the communications sector in Kenya. Established in 1999 by the Kenya Communications Act (KCA) No. 2 of 1998, CCK’s initial mandate was regulation of the telecommunications and postal/courier sub-sectors, and the management of the country’s radiofrequency spectrum. In recognition of the rapid changes and developments in technology which have blurred the traditional distinctions between telecommunications, Information Technology (IT) and broadcasting, the Government in January 2009 enacted the Kenya Communications Amendment Act 2009.

The Communications Commission of Kenya (CCK) report for the financial year 2012-2013 (CCK, 2014) says that the Internet sub-segment in Kenya grew with 12.43 million internet subscriptions and 19.65 million internet users. This represented an internet penetration of 48.3 per cent compared with 35.5 per cent the previous year. The increase was driven by the growth in mobile/internet subscriptions that have dominated the internet sub-sector. It further says that affordable devices such as smart phones and social networking applications have become increasingly popular especially among urban youth. The report further states that the number of Internet users increased by 40.1 per cent, to stand at 19,654,925 in the Financial Year 2012/13. The growth was attributed to the increased usage of the internet for basic services such as banking, healthcare and education, availability of a wide array of affordable internet access devices such as smart phones and tablets, as well as the innovative promotions and special offers and affordable bundled internet services provided by operators.
Table 1: Internet Subscriptions and Estimated Internet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Terrestrial mobile data/internet subscriptions</td>
<td>1,562,065</td>
<td>3,059,906</td>
<td>4,189,720</td>
<td>7,655,576</td>
<td>12,340,005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terrestrial wireless data/internet subscriptions</td>
<td>8,602</td>
<td>22,134</td>
<td>29,979</td>
<td>21,709</td>
<td>21,282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satellite data/internet subscriptions</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>953</td>
<td>960</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>1,278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed digital subscriber line (DSL) data/internet subscriptions</td>
<td>7,822</td>
<td>9,631</td>
<td>15,168</td>
<td>11,682</td>
<td>11,512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed fiber optic data/internet subscriptions</td>
<td>851</td>
<td>4,303</td>
<td>22,460</td>
<td>49,371</td>
<td>58,197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed cable modem (dial up) data/internet subscriptions</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total internet subscriptions</td>
<td>1,824,203</td>
<td>3,096,952</td>
<td>4,258,287</td>
<td>7,738,882</td>
<td>12,432,308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated internet users</td>
<td>3,648,406</td>
<td>7,832,252</td>
<td>12,538,030</td>
<td>14,032,366</td>
<td>19,654,925</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: CCK Report 2012-2013

The Kenya Communications Commission of Kenya in 2008 brought about one of the key changes by changing the licensing framework from the technology oriented framework to the unified licensing framework. According to (Ogongo, 2013) the impact of ULF has been Increased demand for licenses, Ease of acquisition of licenses, Increased scope of licenses with limited restrictions, on possible innovative services, Increased interest and participation of young, technology savvy population the drivers of mobile applications in Kenya and Increased innovative services/application. The Communications Commission of Kenya (CCK) report for the year 2012/2013 (CCK, 2014) says that the total number of licensees under the Unified Licensing Framework (ULF) increased from 1,311 to 1,558 in the Financial Year 2012/13 and this represented a 18.8 per cent growth compared to the previous year’s 55.3 per cent. The effect of this change in licensing was a reduction on the barriers to entry for firms into the market place. Infrastructure firms benefited as they were now capable of providing internet capacity via their Infrastructure as opposed to being limited to the provision of infrastructure access.

The ISP industry has also been hit by economic challenges and the entry of new entrants into the market. Most ISPs have seen their profits dip owing to entry of new entrants into the market and
existence of alternative products and services. These alternative products and services have been mostly through the mobile phone companies who offer 3G and 4G internet access. These companies have eaten into the market share of ISP thus resulting in a reduction in profit. The continued revenue growth inertia in the voice market has seen operators shift to other markets in the ICT industry such as the data market. Mobile operators continue to aggressively engage in the data market and have deployed wireless broadband mobile networks that have speeds of up to 21 Mbps (CCK, 2014).

Another change has been that of the entry of the undersea fibre cable systems such as SEACOM, TEAMS and EASSY that opened up the country to high speed internet access and higher bandwidth capacities. The entry of these cables led to reduction on the use of VSAT as the route to the internet. The entry of the undersea cables brought about technological challenges such as how to integrate the fibre capacity onto their networks and also upgrade of their existing infrastructures.

Table 2: Under Sea Available Capacities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UNDERSEA FIBER OPTIC CABLE CAPACITY</th>
<th>2010/11</th>
<th>2011/12</th>
<th>2012/13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SEACOM Capacity (Mbps)</td>
<td>79,626.24</td>
<td>308,224.00</td>
<td>578,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEAMS Capacity (Mbps)</td>
<td>102,332.16</td>
<td>101,990.00</td>
<td>101,990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EASSY Capacity (Mbps)</td>
<td>122,880.00</td>
<td>122,880.00</td>
<td>122,880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LION2 Capacity (Mbps)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40,960.00</td>
<td>40,960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Undersea Bandwidth capacity (Mbps)</td>
<td>304,838.40</td>
<td>574,054.00</td>
<td>844,230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satellite Bandwidth Capacity (Mbps)</td>
<td>336.10</td>
<td>649.80</td>
<td>639.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Available Capacity (MBPS)</td>
<td>305,174.50</td>
<td>574,703.80</td>
<td>844,870</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: CCK Report 2012-2013
A study by Omae, Ndungu and Kibet (2013) found that the top competitive challenges in the telecommunication industry was intensive rivalry with other competitors, existence of cheaper products, high bargaining power of customers and poor quality service.

1.2 Problem Statement
A study by Breznik (2012) found that the possibility that Information Technology (IT) can contribute to a firm performance and help gain competitive advantage has received a great deal of attention in recent years. Some scholars have claimed that Information Technology (IT) does provide competitive advantage and its impact can either be direct or indirect while others have suggested that Information Technology (IT) cannot be a source of competitive advantage as it doesn’t meet the requirements of the competitive advantage concept. Further to this others argued that Information Technology (IT) has a negative impact on the performance of a firm and hence on competitive advantage.

The age old way of thinking was that Information Technology (IT) cannot change the way a company does business but it merely provides a tool for the implementation of the business strategy. Hence it does not define or shape the strategy (Ferguson & Glover, 1996). According to Ross, Beath, and Goodhue (1996) Information Technology (IT) becomes a tool for competitive advantage when it is both strategically valuable and difficult for competitors to imitate. Advances in information provision have led organizations to attempt and develop IS strategies which interrelate with their business strategies and which together support corporate missions (Christine and Rogerson, 1994).

The Internet Services Provider market in Kenya has seen changes occur in its environment. The technological, Economic and legal changes have pushed providers towards a new way of thinking where IT is used to provide competitive advantage. The ISPs in Kenya are seeing different forces that put the different firms at the risk of closing shop and have responded with different strategies. As indicated in CCK financial report for the year 2012-2013 the increase in number of issued licences means that there are more players in the market. The entry of the voice players into the data market has reduced the market share of the internet service provider firms as evidenced by the CCK financial report for the year 2012-2013. This entry has also offered
consumers different alternative means of internet access. Even though this entry might sound to be gloomy the number of internet service subscriptions continue to grow meaning there is still a considerable number of the population requiring the services. This has meant that the ISP industry players have had to change tact and come up with new strategies for survival, expansion and capture of further market share. While this is so knowledge on the use of Information technology for competitive advantage is still inadequate. Some of the studies done by on Information technology and competitive advantage include An Analysis of Information and Communication Technology on Service Innovation and Competitive advantage: A case of Commercial Banks in Kenya by Humphrey Muriuki, Oddillia Nabwire Okoth, Makau Wa Mutua and Christine W. Mwangómbé, The Adoption of Information and Communication Technology by Small Enterprises in Thika Municipality by Samuel Obino Mokaya, Competitive Advantages and Strategic Information Systems by Mahmood Hemmatfar and Mahdi Salehi to name but a few. These researches conclude that the application, benefits and impacts are different for each industry and each organisation owing to the uniqueness of the application of IT. This research will look at internet services provision firms in Kenya.

This research aims to address the following questions: what are the drivers for the adoption of IT for strategic advantage, what are the impacts of Information technology adoption on competitive advantage by internet service providers’ and what are the challenges being faced by ISPs in Kenya by their use of Information technology for competitive advantage.

1.3 Objectives of the Study

The main objective of the study is to establish the status of IT usage by internet service providers in Kenya to boost their competitiveness. In more specific terms the study seeks to:

1.) To establish drivers for adoption of IT for competitive advantage by ISPs
2.) To establish the impact of IT adoption on competitive advantage of ISP’s in Kenya
3.) To establish the challenges faced by Internet Service Providers in their use of Information Technology for their competitive advantages in Kenya
1.3 Value of the Study
This study will add to the body of knowledge on the usage of information technology for competitive advantage. This will be useful to scholars, teachers and researchers in this study area. This study will add more towards the debate that It truly can be used for Competitive advantage.

The findings of this study will be of importance to ISPs, Government, Policy Makers, Investors, researchers, IT consultants, academicians, scholars as well as teachers. This is because this research will give an insight into the uses, challenges and impact on the use of information technology for competitive advantage.

Governments will gain from this research by understanding some of the challenges faced by ISPs and help to set regulatory/policy framework with regards to enabling IT use for competitive advantage in the ISP industry. Policy makers will also benefit as they will be able to come up with favourable policies that will promote the use of IT for Competitive advantage. Potential new entrants and investors into the ISP market will also benefit from this research as they will gain knowledge on how they can use IT to implement the strategies they have set while entering the market, thus enabling them survive the competitive market. IT consultants will also benefit from this study as they will after reading this research findings be able to advise their clients appropriately further enabling from the successful implantation of any projects they may be handling.
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Introduction
There are several purposes for which IT can be used: amongst others, for value creation, problem solving (Melville, Gurbaxani, & Kraeme, 2006), as well as for the purposes of communicating (Ryssel & Ritter, 2004). IT usage enables efficiency and considering that IS must be able to generate returns on investment, the usage of IT can also be seen as strategic and an important competitive advantage enabler (Valacich & Schneider, 2010).

According to Strebinger and Traiblmaier (2006) IT has changed the structures and organizational processes. Technology has caused organizations to become small, decreased the number of staffs and organizational level, extended inside and outside organizational communication and also decreased communication costs. According to Tabb (2006) In order to create sustainable competitive advantage using information technology, we must first understand the influence of technology on organizational activities and processes and know how to create value using it.

Porter and Millar (1985) highlighted three ways in which IT can impact competition among business organizations, IT adoption establishes new businesses, develops competitive advantage and also changes the industry structure. By adopting an appropriate technology, a business organization can reach greater heights of competency, see improvements in its performance and ensure that its competitive advantage is retained. Furthermore, in order to prevail against rivals in today’s business environment, having precise information is crucial, which can only be attained by having an appropriate IT infrastructure.

The Internet service providers look to gain competitive advantage by using Information technology. They are motivated by different drivers towards the adoption of IT for Competitive advantage and in the process face different challenges. After overcoming the challenges the ISPs then see the impacts of their investment on IT through different dimensions.
Conceptual Model

The different firms are driven by different needs towards the use of information technology in order to achieve competitive advantage. The drivers towards this vary from firm to firm and once the different firms identify that Information technology is the answer move to implement its use.

The use of information technology is usually is within the different functional areas of the firm. The use of information technology has some form of effect and this is seen by the impacts of the use of information technology for competitive advantage. The firms can then look back and see if the impacts of the use of information technology for competitive advantage are the reasons as to why they opted to use information technology in the first place. They thus are able to confirm whether they truly have been successful or not.

2.2 Theoretical Framework

In order to serve as the basis for the research and analysis this section looks to analyze and explain the different theoretical frameworks available in the understanding of competitive advantage and its pursuit by ISPs using information technology. This section will look at the different forces that firms must content with in the market place, the different strategies available to ISPs, the different competitive advantage theoretical views and the adoption of technology as the means of which the competitive advantage will be achieved. The theories include Porter 5 forces model, Porter Generic Strategies model, competitive advantage theories (Knowledge based view, resource based view, dynamic capabilities) and the technology acceptance model.
2.2.1 Porter 5 Forces Model

According to Porter and Millar (1985) a firm develops its business strategies in order to obtain competitive advantage over its rivals. This is done by responding to five primary forces: the threat of new entrants, rivalry among existing firms within an industry, the threat of substitute products or services, the bargaining power of suppliers, and the bargaining power of buyers.

The internet service provision industry in Kenya is besieged by these forces. There are New entrants into the market place. Some of these entrants include Virtual ISPS (Piggy Back on Other providers) as well as the undersea cable firms. Another firm that recently joined the infrastructure market was the KPLC which offers overhead fibre network over long distances.

Supplier bargaining power has also being seen with those having a huge IP transit customer base and infrastructure offering different levels of service. New substitutes services are being offered as ways to access the internet using alternative means. This has been driven by the mobile phone companies offering 3G and 4G services.
2.2.2 Porter Generic Strategies Model
Porter (1985) considered that in the long-term the extent to which a firm is able to create a defensible position in an industry is a major determinant of the success with which it will outperform its competitors. He proposed generic strategies by which a firm can develop a competitive advantage and create a defensible position. These include overall cost leadership, differentiation and focus.

Porter (1980) argued that implementing them successfully requires different resources and skills, organizational arrangements, control procedures and incentive systems. The generic strategies may also require different styles of leadership and can translate into very different corporate cultures and atmosphere.

2.2.3 Resourced Based View of Competitive Advantage
The Resource Based View (RBV) says that the way in which resources are applied within a firm can create a competitive advantage (Wernerfert, 1984). It says that an organization’s human capital management practices can contribute significantly to sustaining competitive advantage by creating specific knowledge, skills and culture within the firm that are difficult to imitate (Mata, Fuerst, & Barney, 1995).
This view is based on two main assumptions, resource diversity and resource immobility (Mata et al, 1995). According to Mata et al. (1995) Resource diversity pertains to whether a firm owns a resource or capability that is also owned by numerous other competing firms, then that resource cannot provide a competitive advantage and Resource immobility refers to a resource that is difficult to obtain by competitors because the cost of developing, acquiring or using that resource is too high. In order to create human capital resource diversity and immobility, an organization must have adequate human capital management practices, organizational processes, knowledge management practices and systems, educational opportunity and social interaction practices in place (Barney, 1991).

2.2.4 Knowledge Based View (KBV)
This view sees knowledge as the most important strategic resource and is concerned about how knowledge affects strategic management, the coordination within the firm and the organizational structure (Grant, 1996). Alchian and Demsetz (1972) observed that efficient production with heterogeneous resources is a result not of having better resources but in knowing more accurately the relative productive performances of those resources. This approach considers firms as bodies that generate, integrate and distribute knowledge (Narasimha, 2000). The ability to create value is not based as much upon physical or financial resources as on a set of intangible knowledge based capabilities. According to the KBV competitive success is governed by the capability of organisations to develop new knowledge-based assets that create core competencies (Pemberton & Stonehouse, 2000). KBV makes the assumption that the critical input in production and primary source of value is knowledge (Grant, 1996).

According to Raft and Lord (2002) Firms that possess stocks of organizational knowledge associated with value that could be described as uncommon or idiosyncratic, stand a good chance of generating sustaining high returns.

2.2.5 Dynamic Capabilities View (DCV)
This view focuses on determining the capabilities of firms that can become a source of competitive advantage and how resources can be developed, deployed and protected by the firm in order to make this advantage sustainable (Teece, Shuen, & Pisano, 1997). Amit and
Schoemaker (1993) define capabilities are the capacity a firm has to deploy resources. Knowledge is a resource and a process, and transferring information within the firm by feedback can be a strategic resource that helps evaluate the current allocation or deployment of resources and compare it to the expectations or goals that the firm had as objective (Earley et al 1990).

2.2.6 Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)
The Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), is used to explain user acceptance of technology, has been extensively researched since first introduced by Fred D. Davis in 1989. TAM suggests that usefulness and ease of use are beliefs about a certain technology that have influence over an individual’s attitude toward actual use of that technology (Porter & Donthu, 2006).

2.3 Drivers for the Use of IT for Competitive Advantage
Turban et al (2006) introduces factors that push firms towards use of IT for Competitive advantage owing to role played by IT in Strategic Management. Turban cites innovation and competitive intelligence as some of the reasons for using IT for strategic advantage.

IT helps create innovative applications that provide direct strategic advantage to organizations. A study by Talebnejad (2008) concluded that organisations use information technology as a tool to gain competitive advantage. The competitive advantage gained enables the organisation to be able to, codify, execute and control strategies related to organisational levels and business operations to achieve approval of stakeholders through innovation.

Competitive intelligence is aided by IT when IT is used collecting and analysing information about products, markets, competitors, and environmental changes (Guimaraes & Armstrong, 1997). Prescott and Gibbons (1996) define competitive intelligence as a formalised continuous evolving process by which a management team access evolution of its industry, capabilities and behaviour of its current competitors to assist in maintaining or developing competitive advantage. A study by Prescott & Bhardwaj (1995) shows that Competitive intelligence programs provide benefits such as Influencing actions of decision-makers, Improving early warning signals, Identifying new opportunities, Exploiting competitor vulnerabilities, Sharing of ideas and Better serving the company’s customers.
A study by Wang (2008) found that ICT offered several advantages to hotels of all sizes. The advantages included increased effectiveness due to cost decrease and revenue expansion and quality customer relationships due to personal contact services and dialogue with customers.

### 2.4 Challenges in the use of ICT for Competitive Advantage

According to Piccoli and Ives (2005) the challenges/barriers that erode competitive advantage can be grouped into the following IT-resources barrier, complementary-resources barrier, IT-project barrier, and pre-emption barrier.

IT Project Barrier indicates that Since IT-dependent strategic initiatives rely on an essential enabling IT core, they cannot be implemented until the necessary technology has been successfully introduced. IT Resources and Capabilities Barrier indicates that IT-dependent strategic initiatives rely on IT to support a complex network of activities. These initiatives are dependent on access to the resources and capabilities necessary to produce and utilize the technology. As the strategy becomes more reliant on pre-existing IT resources sources or repositories) and capabilities, it becomes increasingly difficult to copy. Complementary Resources Barrier indicates that in order to implement an IT-dependent strategic initiative, the firm must develop or acquire the necessary complementary resources for IT. Pre-emption Barrier indicates that IT-dependent strategic initiative pioneered by the first mover creates preferential relationships with customers or other members of the value system and thereby introduces substantial switching costs (Piccoli & Ives, 2005).

A study by Arvidsson, Holmström and Lyytinen (2014) Suggested three key challenges to the successful implementation of an IS strategy. These challenges include achieving alignment between business strategy and IS system capabilities, implementing the system in the organisation and shaping the use of the system and related practices to achieve alignment with strategy. Merali, Papadopoulos and Nadkarni (2012) further suggests that the major challenge is dealing with the complexity of how the different challenges will play out in a dynamic, network, political and economic environment.
2.5 Impact on the use of ICT for Competitive Advantage

Teece, Shuen and Pisano (1997) found that IT investments can lead to developing IT capabilities and dynamic IT competencies which lead to low cost, value added, speed, agility, innovation, and customer service.

Porter and Millar (1996) noted that IT affects competition in three fundamental ways. These include IT changes industry structure thus altering the rules of competition, creates competitive advantage by giving companies new way to outperform their rivals and brings about new businesses from existing operations.

A study by Issa-Salwe, Ahmed, Aloufi, and Kabir (2010) found that most of the effect of the implementation of IS for strategic advantage assists companies achieving their business goals. Such companies improve on their competence and efficiency and that some of the benefits are not so beneficial. They also found that a new system is more than just hardware and software that it’s also the people and organisation. They further found that the use of information systems requires cultural change with resistance being overcome by effective communications and training.

A study by Fadum (2013) found that development of Information communications technology promotes good governance; facilitate timely information processing and distribution, and impacts positively on the organizations performance. He further found that there was a positive relationship between ICT adoption and profitability.
CHAPTER THREE: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 Introduction
This chapter provides an overview of the strategy that was used to conduct the research. This chapter contains the research design, study population, sampling techniques, data collection methods and methods of data analysis.

3.2 Research Design
This used descriptive survey design/methodology. Descriptive survey research is designed to provide a picture of how the situation is in its natural setup/environment. It can further be defined as a scientific method that involves the observation and description of the behaviour of a subject/situation without influencing the subject/situation in anyway.

3.3 Population
The target population was the Internet Service Providers in Kenya. The population size was 44 and was obtained from TESPOK on 15th September 2014. The list is shown in Appendix 1. A census of the ISPs in Kenya was conducted to obtain the data. Census method was used in carrying out of this research. This method was chosen as it provides a true measure of the population and will aid in providing benchmark data that can be used for future studies.

3.4 Data Collection
Primary data was collected through the use of a questionnaire. The Questionnaire had four sections. Section A captured demographic information. Section B captured the drivers for the use of Information technology for Competitive advantage. Section C captured the challenges faced in the use of IT for competitive advantage. Section D captured the impact on the use of IT for strategic advantage.

The questionnaire that was used for this research is provided on Appendix II. The questionnaires were delivered to respondents using the “drop and pick later method” and also through the use of email. The respondents who received the questionnaire through email were required to do either of the following print out the questionnaire and fill it before waiting for the researcher to pick it up, some were to fill online then convert the questionnaire before returning it back and some
printed the questionnaire filled it before scanning it and emailing it to the researcher. The target respondents were Chief Operation Officers and Chief Technology Officers in the different organisations.

3.5 Data Analysis
According to Bryman and Bell (2003) data analysis refers to a technique used to make inferences from data collected by means of a systematic and objective identification of specific characteristics. Once data was collected from the population it was audited to verify completeness and then coded.

The questionnaire section A, B, C, D and E was analyzed using descriptive statistics. Section E was also analyzed using Regression analysis.
CHAPTER FOUR: DATA ANALYSIS, FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Introduction
This chapter discusses data analysis, findings and discussion of the study. The objective of the study was to establish drivers for adoption of information technology for competitive advantage, establish the impact of information technology adoption on competitive advantage and to establish the challenges faced by internet service providers in their use of information technology for competitive advantage. The objective of the study were to establish drivers for adoption of IT for competitive advantage by ISPs, to establish the impact of IT adoption on competitive advantage of ISP’s in Kenya and to establish the challenges faced by Internet Service Providers in their use of Information Technology for their competitive advantages in Kenya.

Data was collected through questionnaires which were sent to the Chief Operation Officers and the Chief Technical Officers of the different firms. Out of 22 Questionnaires that were administered to the respondents 13 were filled and returned for data analysis. This represented a response rate of 59 percent which was considered adequate for the research purpose.

4.2 Demographic Information
This section provides the findings of the study on demographic information. The findings that are represented in this section include gender of the respondents, age, years worked in their respective firms, education levels, how old the firm is, number of employees the firm has and the number of branches that the firm has.

4.2.1 Gender
One of the general questions was to do with the gender of the respondents. Data was analysed and the results are presented in Table 3.

Table 3: Gender of Respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Frequency (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>84.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Researcher, 2014
From Table 3, 11 of the respondents were male with 2 being female. The conclusion from this data is that the persons who hold the post of either Chief Operational Officer or Chief technical officers are male.

4.2.2 Age of respondents
The study sought to find the age of the respondents. Data collected was analysed and is presented in Table 4.

Table 4: Age of Respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Bracket (Yrs)</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Frequency (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18-25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-30</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>30.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-35</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>30.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36-40</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-45</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46-50</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above 50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Researcher, 2014

From the Table 4, the ages of between 26 to 30 and 31 to 35 is where majority of the respondents lay. Each of these age brackets had 4 respondents falling in their respective categories. The conclusion obtained from this data is that most of those in these positions are young individuals.

4.2.3 Years Worked For the Firm
The years that the respondent had worked in the firm was also investigated. Data was collected and analysed and the results are presented in Table 5.
Table 5: Years Worked in Firm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years Worked</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Frequency (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>92.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Researcher, 2014

From Table 5, 12 of the respondents have been with their present companies between 1 to 10 years. Only one responded by saying that they had been with their respective company for more than 10 years. The conclusion here is that most of the Chief technical Officers and Chief Operational Officers either joined the companies recently or have grown within the company over time. Another conclusion is that the turnover of employees in the positions of Chief Operational Officer and Chief technical officer is very high.

4.2.4 Education Level

The education level of the respondents was also investigated. Data was collected and analysed and presented in Table 6.

Table 6: Education Level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Education Level</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Frequency (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Certificate</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diploma Holder</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under Graduate Degree</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>38.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Master’s Degree</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>61.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doctorate Degree and Above</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Researcher, 2014
From Table 6, we see that majority of the respondents and Master’s Degree with 8 out of the 13 holding this level of Education. The conclusion from this is that the posts of the Chief Operational Officers and Chief Technical Officers is one that requires a high level of education with a Master’s Degree being key to qualification for these posts.

4.2.5 Years the Firm has been in Existence
The number of years that the firm has been in existence was also investigated. Data collected was analysed and presented in Table 7.

Table 7: Years the Firm has been in Existence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years Firm Has been in Existence</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Frequency (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>69.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>23.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Researcher, 2014

From Table 7 we see that majority of the firms are not more than 10 years old. From Table 7 we see that 9 out of the 13 respondents had been with their respective companies for between 1 to 10 years. Only one of the respondents had been with the firm for over 30 years. This particular company was internationally based with branches all over Africa and the world. Thus we can conclude that most of the Internet Service providers in Kenya began shop not more than 10 years ago.

4.2.6 Number of Employees in the Firm
The number of employees that the firm has was also investigated. Data collected was analysed and presented in Table 8.
Table 8: Number of Employees in the Firm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number Of Employees</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Frequency (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-30</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>38.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61-70</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 70</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>38.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Researcher, 2014

From Table 8, we see that majority of the firms have between 1 -30 employees. Those within this particular range were 7 in total. A total of 5 firms had over 70 employees. The conclusion here is that majority of the internet service providers in Kenya have a maximum of 30 employees. Majority of the firms have between 21 to 30 employees. 5 firms have over 70 employees working in their firms.

4.2.7 Number of Branches
The number of branches that the firm has was also investigated. Data collected was analysed and presented in Table 9.

Table 9: Number of Branches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number Of Branches</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Frequency (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>92.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From the data, majority of the firms had between 1 to 10 branches. Only one had more than 10 branches. The conclusion from this is that majority of the firms don’t have not more than 10 branches and that the possibility that these majority of these branches might be at similar locations exists.

4.3 Extent of Information Technology Use by Functional Area
The research investigated the extent that Information technology has been used in the different functional areas within the firm. The data collected was mapped on a 5 point Likert Scale with: 1-Strongly Disagree, 2-Disagree, 3-Neutral, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree. The means and standard deviation for this data were computed and tabulated. The mean indicates the average response on a particular functional area. The Standard deviation indicates variation from the average response. A high standard deviation indicates a high variation from the average response whereas a small standard deviation indicates a small variation. The means where interpreted according to Likert Scale where for example a mean of 4.12 is reduced and rounded to 4.0 before being mapped to the Likert Scale to indicate that there was agreement. Results of the analysis are presented in Table 10.
### Table 10: Extent of IT Use in Functional Areas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FUNCTIONAL AREA</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>MEAN</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Finance</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical Operations</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Resources</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sales</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marketing</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research and Development</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>1.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer Relations</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>2.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office Administration</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source: Researcher, 2014**

Data in Table 10 shows that the respondents agreed on the use of Information technology for competitive advantage in finance had a mean of 2.8 and a standard deviation of 2.7. From them mean we can conclude that the respondents disagreed that information technology is not used for competitive advantage in finance function of the firms.

Data in table 10 shows that in the technical function of the firms use of information technology for competitive advantage the mean was 3.5 and the standard deviation was 2.6. From the mean we can conclude that the respondents were neutral on the use of information technology for competitive advantage in the technical function of the firm.

In the human resources function we have a mean of 2.4 and standard deviation as 1.52. From the mean this showed that the respondents disagreed with the use of Information technology for strategic advantage in the human resource function of the organisation.

In the sales function we have a mean of 2.4 and standard deviation of 1.52. From the mean this showed that the respondents disagreed that there was usage that information technology was used for competitive advantage in the sales function.

In the marketing function of the firm we have a mean of 2.6 and standard deviation of 1.52.
In the research and development function we have a mean of 2.07 and standard deviation of 1.82. From the mean this showed that respondents disagreed that Information technology was extensively used in research and development.

In the customer relations function we have a mean of 3.47 and a standard deviation of 2.30. From the mean we can say that the respondents where neutral towards agreeing that Information technology usage extent in the firms customer relations function.

In the office administration function we have a mean of 2.47 and standard deviation of 1.14. From the mean we can say that the respondents disagreed on the large usage extent of Information technology in the Office Administration Function of the firm.

4.4 Drivers for use of Information Technology for Competitive Advantage

The research investigated the drivers for the use of Information technology for Competitive advantage. The data collected was mapped on a 5 point Likert Scale with: 1-Strongly Disagree, 2-Disagree, 3-Neutral, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree. The means and standard deviation for this data was computed and tabulated. The mean indicates the average response on a particular functional area. The Standard deviation indicates variation from the average response. A high standard deviation indicates a high variation from the average response whereas a small standard deviation indicates a small variation. The means where interpreted according to Likert Scale where for example a mean of 4.12 is reduced and rounded to 4.0 before being mapped to the Likert Scale to indicate that there was agreement. The results of the analysis are presented in Table 11 below.

Table 11: Drivers for Use of IT for Competitive Advantage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DRIVER</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>MEAN</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low cost in delivery of products and services</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delivery of differentiated service</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Innovation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focus on specific market segment</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>1.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From the data the highest mean was 3.87 which represented the use of information technology to increase the speed of operations. The lowest was 2.47 and this represented the use of information technology to provide high switching costs for customers. The highest deviation was the standard deviation for this was 3.435113. The mean of 3.87 indicates that most of the respondents were neutral on the use

4.5 Challenges in Use of Information Technology for Competitive Advantage

The research investigated the challenges in the use of information technology for competitive advantage. The data collected was mapped on a 5 point Likert Scale with: 1-Strongly Disagree, 2-Disagree, 3-Neutral, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree. The means and standard deviation for this data was computed and tabulated. The mean indicates the average response on a particular functional area. The Standard deviation indicates variation from the average response. A high standard deviation indicates a high variation from the average response whereas a small standard deviation indicates a small variation. The means where interpreted according to Likert Scale where for example a mean of 4.12 is reduced and rounded to 4.0 before being mapped to the Likert Scale to indicate that there was agreement. The results of the analysis are presented in Table 12.
### Table 12: Challenges in Use of IT for Competitive Advantage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Challenges</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>MEAN</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Difficulty in Focusing Information System efforts to Support Strategy</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>2.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficulty in using technology efficiently</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>2.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficulty in choosing the correct Information Technology framework</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>1.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of Effective Management of strategies used for Competitive advantage</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of finances</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of skilled staff</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>2.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of Information Communication Technology Infrastructure</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>2.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of management support</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>2.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficulty Measuring Information Technology productivity gains</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of Supporting Technological Core</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>2.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of a Management framework for Information Technology</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>3.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Implementation Issues</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>3.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of Information Technology policy within the organization</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>2.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fear of Being pioneer in use of the technology</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>2.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increasing costs of supporting the information technology system</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>2.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimizing threats and risk to the Information systems</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficulty using Tech Optimally</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>2.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Researcher, 2014
The data in Table shows the highest mean as being 2.8 and the lowest being 1.6. The mean of 2.8 was captured on the lack of a management framework for information technology challenge. This challenge had a standard deviation of 3.13. The lowest mean was on the challenge of being fearful of being a pioneer in the use of the technology.

4.6 Impact of adoption of Information Technology on the firms Competitive

The research investigated the impact of the adoption of information technology for competitive advantage. The data collected was mapped on a 5 point Likert Scale with: 1-Strongly Disagree, 2-Disagree, 3-Neutral, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree. The data was analysed using mean, standard deviation and regression analysis.

4.6.1 Means and Standard Deviation

The means and standard deviation for this data was computed and tabulated. The mean indicates the average response on a particular functional area. The Standard deviation indicates variation from the average response. A high standard deviation indicates a high variation from the average response whereas a small standard deviation indicates a small variation. The results of the analysis are presented in Table 11.

Table 13: Impacts of use of IT for Competitive Advantage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impacts of Use of Information Technology for Competitive Advantage</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>MEAN</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low cost delivery of products and services</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>2.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differentiated Services and products</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>1.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improvement on Innovation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focus on specific market segment</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>3.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced competitive disadvantage</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>2.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std Dev</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increase in productivity</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>1.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased switching costs for customers</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowered supplier power</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>1.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowered buyer power</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased costs of entry to its industry by competitors</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved Communication and information flow</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>2.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved Decision Making</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>3.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Company growth</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Company expansion</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>2.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source: Researcher, 2014**

The data in the Table shows the highest mean as being 3.73 and the lowest being 2.4. The highest mean was on improved decision making and the lowest was on lowered buyer power and on increased costs of entry to the industry by competitors. The highest deviation was 3.13 and this was on the focusing of on a specific market segment and the lowest was 1.51 which had the impacts of lowered supplier power and improvement on innovation.

**4.6.2 Regression Analysis**

Regression was done to establish the relationship between the Competitive Advantage impacts and the extent of use of Information technology in the different functional Areas within the firm. The dependent Variable was Competitive Advantage. This consisted of the average of each respondent to each of the impacts in the questionnaire. The independent Variable consisted the extent of use of Information technology in the different functional Areas in the firm. The X variables were the following:

Variable 1 – Finance and Accounting

Variable 2 – Technical Operations

Variable 3 – Human Resources
Variable 4 – Sales

Variable 5 – Marketing

Variable 6 – Research and development

Variable 7 – Customer Relationship Management

Variable 8 – Office Administration

**Figure 4: Regressed Competitive Advantage**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regression Statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Multiple R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R Square</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted R Square</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ANOVA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>df</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coefficients</th>
<th>Standard Error</th>
<th>t Stat</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Lower 95%</th>
<th>Upper 95%</th>
<th>Lower 95.0%</th>
<th>Upper 95.0%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>4.27060648</td>
<td>1.949379107</td>
<td>2.190752</td>
<td>0.093613</td>
<td>-1.1417367</td>
<td>9.6829506</td>
<td>-1.1417367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X Variable 1</td>
<td>0.24399691</td>
<td>0.276247892</td>
<td>0.883253</td>
<td>0.426989</td>
<td>-0.5229902</td>
<td>1.01098402</td>
<td>-0.5229902</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X Variable 2</td>
<td>-0.64882452</td>
<td>0.73760393</td>
<td>-0.879638</td>
<td>0.428728</td>
<td>-2.6967413</td>
<td>1.3990923</td>
<td>-2.6967413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X Variable 3</td>
<td>0.59579529</td>
<td>0.281558392</td>
<td>2.116063</td>
<td>0.101792</td>
<td>-0.1859361</td>
<td>1.37752671</td>
<td>-0.1859361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X Variable 4</td>
<td>-1.74164301</td>
<td>0.583552002</td>
<td>-2.984555</td>
<td>0.040556</td>
<td>-3.3618431</td>
<td>-0.1214429</td>
<td>-3.3618431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X Variable 5</td>
<td>1.29273454</td>
<td>0.57705595</td>
<td>2.240224</td>
<td>0.0886</td>
<td>-0.3094296</td>
<td>2.8948987</td>
<td>-0.3094296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X Variable 6</td>
<td>0.35643128</td>
<td>0.83916831</td>
<td>0.736555</td>
<td>0.502257</td>
<td>-0.9871372</td>
<td>1.6999997</td>
<td>-0.9871372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X Variable 7</td>
<td>-0.00837907</td>
<td>0.269587443</td>
<td>-0.031081</td>
<td>0.976694</td>
<td>-0.7568738</td>
<td>0.7401157</td>
<td>-0.7568738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X Variable 8</td>
<td>-0.12588763</td>
<td>0.220331032</td>
<td>-0.571357</td>
<td>0.598329</td>
<td>-0.7376246</td>
<td>0.4858494</td>
<td>-0.7376246</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Researcher, 2014

From the regression we find that R Square was 0.8071 which is slightly higher than 0.80 meaning that there is a good fit for the data.
CHAPTER FIVE: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Introduction
This section provides the summary of findings, conclusions and recommendations that are made from the study after considering the study’s findings. The objective of the study were to establish drivers for adoption of IT for competitive advantage by ISPs, to establish the impact of IT adoption on competitive advantage of ISP’s in Kenya and to establish the challenges faced by Internet Service Providers in their use of Information Technology for their competitive advantages in Kenya.

5.2 Summary of Findings

5.2.1 Demographic Information
This section provides a summary of the findings from the study with regards to demographics. The study found that males form a large percentage of the Chief Operational officer and Chief Technical Officer Positions in most Internet service provider firms. The ages of those in these positions lay primarily between 26 to 35 years of age. Most of the firms seem to have been formed within a 10 year period. This 10 year period seemed to have been a boom period in the Internet services provider sector thus the high number of firms falling within this age bracket. Education is a key factor and majority of respondents had master’s degree level as the highest level of education attained. The different firms had different number of employees with majority of the firms having not more than 30 employees. The different firm’s seemed to have the same number of branches with majority of the firms having branches of between 1 and 10.

5.2.2 Extent of Technology use in Different Functional Area
The use of information technology for competitive advantage was seen to be highest in technical operations. From this we can conclude that ISPs in Kenya value their technical operations and hence have seen the importance of using Information technology in this area. Internet Service providers offer technology oriented products and service and hence this could be one of the reasons why they see the application of information technology to technical operations as key to achieving competitive advantage.
5.2.3 Drivers for the use of Information technology For Competitive Advantage
Increased speed of operations had the highest mean. From this we can conclude that ISPs in Kenya see the speed of operations as critical to their competitiveness and have hence applied Information technology to boost their competitiveness. The internet service provider market is service based and hence could explain why the speed of operations is viewed as being key.

The driver with the lowest mean was creation of barriers for competitor entry. We can thus conclude that most of the firms were not concerned about using information technology as a mean of blocking future new entrants from the market. They were more concerned with increasing their speed of operations.

5.2.4 Challenges in Use of Information Technology for Competitive Advantage
The difficulty in choosing the correct Information technology framework had the highest mean. From this we can conclude that the correct choice of technology framework is critical towards the use of information technology for competitive advantage by internet service providers in Kenya. We can thus conclude that the wrong choice of Information Technology framework could lead to the firm not enjoying competitive advantage through the use of Information Technology.

The fear of being the pioneer in the use of technology was had the least mean. From this we can conclude that the firms would like to be pioneers in use of technology as technology would mark them out from competitors and give them the competitive edge that they seek.

5.2.5 Impact of the Adoption of Information Technology for Competitive Advantage
The greatest impact on the use of information technology for competitive advantage was the improvement on decision making within the organization. Every decision made by the firm is critical to its survival and its competitiveness. Thus through the use of Information technology decision making has been improved thus we can say that with improved decision making competitive advantage is also gained.

Lowered buyer power and increased costs of entry to market had the least mean. From this we can conclude that buyers/consumers of services offered by Internet Service Providers have a greater amount of choice on whether to use the services offered by a particular firm or not. Information technology did not seem to have an impact of reducing the number of entrants to
market as this also had a low mean. From this we can conclude that Information technology serves as a catalyst for the entry of new players into the market place.

5.3 Conclusions
From the study we can conclude that most of the not all ISPs are using Information technology for competitive advantage but information technology is primarily impact of Information technology use is improved decision making. The key challenge that different Internet Service Providers will need to address would be the identification and use of the correct Information technology Platform for the firm. The key reason that many of the firms are using information technology is to improve on the speed of their operations.

5.4 Recommendations of the Study
The recommendation form the study is that Internet service providers use information technology for competitive advantage. The use of Information technology for competitive advantage must be seen as critical towards firms being able to compete effectively in the market place. Internet Service Providers need to be wary of the key challenge of identifying the correct technology Platform if they are to benefit from the use of Information technology for competitive advantage.

5.5 Limitations of the Study
The study faced several challenges when being conducted. The key challenge was that most of the respondents required a lot of reminding from the researcher for them to be able to respond to the questionnaire sent to them. There were cases where some of the respondents misplaced the questionnaires forcing replacement copies to be sent out to them. This limitation was however overcome by proper communication and constant reminder that the questionnaire needed filling. Those who misplaced the questionnaire had others sent out to them to enable them be able to participate in the study.

The study also could have effaced the possibility of bias from respondents who wanted their firms to look good. The researcher emphasized the importance of providing honest answers as
the data collected would be critical to their respective firms by improving on their knowledge on Information technology for competitive advantage.

5.6 Suggestions for Future Research

This study established the drivers for the adoption of Information technology for competitive advantage by Internet Service Providers in Kenya, the impacts of information technology adoption on competitive advantage of Internet service providers in Kenya and the challenges faced by internet service providers in their use of information technology to gain competitive advantage.

The drivers that drove internet service providers to use Information technology of competitive advantage could be an area for future research. Answering the question what pushes the Internet service providers to view information technology as the answer towards gaining a competitive advantage would form a research question for any future research.

How the different internet service providers put to use information technology to gain competitive advantage would also form an area for further research. Information technology is applied differently by different firms and how it’s applied by the different firms would form a good area to conduct research on.

Any future research can also move to apply the use of interview technique as a further method to gather/collection data in addition to the use of questionnaire method.
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APPENDIXES

APPENDIX I: INTERNET SERVICE PROVIDER POPULATION

List of internet service providers in Kenya obtained from TESPOK

1. Access Kenya Group Ltd.
2. Angani Ltd
3. Bandwidth and cloud services limited
4. Embarq limited
5. Frontier optical networks limited
6. Gateway Telecommunications (Kenya) Limited
7. Gelati limited
8. Iwayafrica Kenya limited
9. Jamii telecommunications limited
10. Kenyaweb.Com Ltd.
11. Liquid Telecoms
12. MTN Business Kenya Ltd
13. MyIsp
14. NairobiNet (k) limited
15. Orange Telkom
16. Safaricom limited
17. Seacom Kenya
18. SimbaNET
19. Sovaya communications limited
20. Tangerine Ltd
21. Wananchi group Kenya limited
22. Xtranet communications limited
**APPENDIX II: QUESTIONNAIRE**

**SECTION A: GENERAL INFORMATION**

Please complete this section by ticking the applicable box and filling in answers where appropriate.

**RESPONDENT**

1. What is your Gender?

   Male ………… [ ]

   Female ……… [ ]

2. What is your age bracket in years?

   18-25 ………… [ ]
   26-30 ………… [ ]
   31-35 ………… [ ]
   36-40 ………… [ ]
   41-45 ………… [ ]
   46-50 ………… [ ]
   Above 50 ……… [ ]

3. How many years have you worked for the firm?

   1 – 10 ………… [ ]
   11-20 ………… [ ]
   21-30 ………… [ ]
   Over 30 ………… [ ]

4. What is your level of Education?

   Certificate ………………………… [ ]

   Diploma Holder …………………… [ ]

   Under Graduate Degree ……… [ ]
Master’s Degree ........................ [ ]
Doctorate Degree and Above ...... [ ]

ORGANISATION

1. For how long has the organization been in existence ....... Years.

   1 – 10 .............. [ ]
   11-20 .............. [ ]
   21-30 .............. [ ]
   Over 30 .......... [ ]

2. How many employees does the organization have?

   1 - 10 ............... [ ]
   11-20 ............... [ ]
   21-30 ............... [ ]
   31-40 ............... [ ]
   51-60 ............... [ ]
   61-70 ............... [ ]
   Over 70 ............. [ ]

3. How many branches does the organization have?

   1 – 10 ...... [ ]
   11-20 ...... [ ]
   21-30 ...... [ ]
   31 -40 ...... [ ]
   51 -60 ...... [ ]
   61 70 ...... [ ]
   Over 70 .... [ ]
SECTION B: EXTENT OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY USE

Indicate the extent to which Information Technology has been applied to each of the following areas in the firm.

Indicate Using the following scale and Tick Appropriately.

1 – No extent  
2 – Small extent  
3 – Moderate Extent  
4 – Great extent  
5 – Very Great extent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FUNCTIONAL AREA OF OPERATION</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Finance and Accounting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Technical Operations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Human Resources</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Sales</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Marketing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Research and Development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Customer Relationship Management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Office Administration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others Specify</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SECTION C: Drivers for use of Information Technology for Competitive Advantage

Indicate the extent to which each of the following drivers was a factor in the company’s decision to use Information Technology for Competitive advantage.

Indicate Using the following scale and Tick Appropriately.

1 – No extent  
2 – Small extent  
3 – Moderate Extent  
4 – Great extent  
5 – Very Great extent
### Section D: Challenges in Use of Information Technology for Competitive Advantage

Indicate the extent to which the firm has faced each of the following challenges in the use of Information Technology for Competitive advantage?

Indicate Using the following scale and Tick Appropriately.

1 – No extent  
2 – Small extent  
3 – Moderate Extent  
4 – Great extent  
5 – Very Great extent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Challenges</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Difficulty in Focusing Information System efforts to Support Strategy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Difficulty in using technology efficiently</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Difficulty in choosing the correct Information Technology framework</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Lack of Effective Management of strategies used for Competitive advantage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Lack of finances</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Lack of skilled staff</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Lack of Information Communication Technology infrastructure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Lack of management support</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Difficulty Measuring Information Technology productivity gains</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Lack of Supporting Technological Core</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Lack of a Management framework for Information Technology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>System Implementation Issues</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Lack of Information Technology policy within the organisation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Fear of Being pioneer in use of the technology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Increasing costs of supporting the information technology system</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Minimising threats and risk to the Information systems</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Difficulty in using technology optimally</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Others, specify and rate accordingly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section E: Impact of adoption of Information Technology on the firms Competitive Advantage.**

To what extent has the firm achieved competitive advantage as a result of adopting Information technology?

Indicate for each of the following measures of competitive advantage using the following scale and Tick Appropriately.

1 – No extent      2 – Small extent    3 – Moderate Extent
4 – Great extent   5 – Very Great extent
## Impacts of Use of Information Technology for Competitive Advantage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Low cost delivery of products and services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Differentiated Services and products</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Improvement on Innovation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Focus on specific market segment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Reduced competitive disadvantage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Increase in productivity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Increased switching costs for customers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Lowered supplier power</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Lowered buyer power</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Increased costs of entry to its industry by competitors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Improved Communication and information flow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Improved Decision Making</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Company growth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Company expansion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Others, specify and rate accordingly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**THANK YOU**