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Despite far reaching reforms implemented in taxation in Kenya, tax revenue collection has not yet reached a level where it can meet all the expenditure requirements of the government. This is more so the case during this crucial transitional period to the new constitutional dispensation where the coming into place of a devolved government structure means the public sector wage bill has been increasing drastically. One of the possible strategies to increase tax revenue collection is to expand the tax base by bringing in more businesses into the tax bracket. Most of these untaxed businesses are in the informal sector, and therefore there is a need to analyze this expansive sector and how it impacts on the overall revenue performance. This is the problem tackled by this paper. The key objective of the study was to determine the impact of the growth of the informal sector on tax revenues, with the aim of coming up with policy recommendations on the way forward regarding the taxation of the informal sector. Using statistical methodology, the study sought to formulate a model based on the existing data on taxation and the informal sector, as well as other variables that were identified as determinants of tax revenue based on past studies. The study employed time series data from secondary sources, including past research papers, Kenya Economic Surveys, World Bank and IMF Publications, Working papers, Journals, web sources and text books. The empirical analysis used the Ordinary Least Squares regression method with the accompanying statistical tests. The results showed that an increase in the size of the informal sector leads to a decrease in revenue performance and vice versa, and is statistically significant. The results also indicated that FDI, openness to trade, and per capita GDP are compellingly significant in determining tax revenue performance. The key policy recommendations indicate a need to formulate policies that are aimed at including the informal sector in the tax bracket by fostering voluntary compliance and reducing costs of tax collection.
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CHAPTER ONE

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

1.1.1 Historical background
Tax policy and administration in Kenya has gone through various phases of reform over the years. From independence in 1963 until the early 1980s, public spending in Kenya was financed through a somewhat uncoordinated set of taxes and fees inherited from British rule and supplemented by foreign aid inflows. The oil shock in the early 1970s led to the country’s first significant fiscal crisis, in response to which some relatively minor tax reforms were undertaken (Eissa et al., 2009). Sales taxes were introduced, as well as trade taxes in order to control the increasing deficit in balance of payment. In 1986, the then government launched the tax policy and modernization program (TMP). This program aimed at raising revenue levels by expanding the tax base, attaining 24% tax/GDP ratio and maintaining that level of performance, making the tax structure more equitable and sealing tax leakage loopholes among other objectives. (Moyi and Ronge, 2006). This target was raised to 28% in 1992. (Muriithi and Moyi, 2003). This led to the eventual union of the various revenue departments in the Ministry of Finance under one semi-autonomous tax agency, Kenya Revenue Authority, in 1995.

In the years immediately following the introduction of the TMP revenues gradually increased, reaching 24.6 percent of GDP in the years 1995-96, after which they stabilized at around 23 percent until the end of the decade as recorded in the Kenya Revenue Authority’s Annual.
Revenue Performance Report (KRA, 2005). In 1999-2000 revenues fell below 20 percent of GDP, and this decline continued until they reached a low of 17.8 percent of GDP in 2001-02. (Karingi et al., 2004). Since then there has been a slow increase to slightly above 20 percent of GDP in 2011/2012 with reforms ongoing under the Revenue Administration Reforms and Modernization Programme (RARMP).

1.1.2 Tax administration: The Kenyan scenario
Tax revenues play a vital role in Kenya’s economic development. This can be deduced from the attention that issues of taxation have received over the years as found in government Sessional Papers (Republic of Kenya, 1965-2007). Tax Management Administration Guidelines (1986) contain reforms in all areas of tax policy. They emphasize the need to raise more revenue without increasing the burden of taxation on those who are already contributing to the exchequer. The tax measures contained in these documents consist of broadening the tax base to include additional sector activities and strengthen tax administration.

These measures were adopted after the government realized that the tax structure did not raise adequate revenues thereby encouraging domestic borrowing and seeking external finance, which constitute only temporary measures of deficit financing. Moreover, external funds could no longer be relied on due to donor conditions and the increasing interest to channel funds to Eastern Europe after the cold war (Gelb, 1993). Furthermore, potential sources for domestic borrowing are few and external grants reduce autonomy and increase political and economic dependence. The alternatives are therefore to raise money through taxation, curtail desired government expenditures, or continuously revise the tax structure.
The main shortcoming of Kenya’s tax structure since independence has been its over-dependence on a small number of sources of tax revenue, namely trade taxes, sales tax/VAT and income tax (Ole, 1975; Muriithi and Moyi, 2003; Wawire, 2003). The trade taxes, sales tax/VAT on various imported products are vulnerable to external events because their prices are determined in the world market and tend to be volatile. This has resulted in inadequate tax revenues and continuous existence of budget deficits. This also limits Kenya’s taxable capacity because tax collection depends on the accounting veracity of formal businesses.

According to Prest (1975), the taxable capacity of a country is determined by several factors. The first consideration by tax payers is the utilization of revenue by government. This refers to whether the revenue raised is intended for public absorption of goods and services or for making transfer payments. The second factor is the composition of expenditure. For instance, there is a marked difference in willingness to pay taxes depending on whether the money is going towards defence rather than education provision. Table 1.1 illustrates Kenya’s taxable capacity and tax effort n various tax heads for year 2000 to 2002.

**Table 1.1: Kenya’s revenue capacity and tax effort**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Tax</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Revenue Effort</th>
<th>Tax Capacity</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Personal income taxes</td>
<td>2000/01</td>
<td>46,942</td>
<td>30,487</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2001/02</td>
<td>48,551</td>
<td>32,451</td>
<td>66.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excise tax-beer</td>
<td>2000/01</td>
<td>7,395</td>
<td>6,395</td>
<td>85.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excise tax-cigarettes</td>
<td>2000/01</td>
<td>6,579</td>
<td>3,795</td>
<td>57.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2001/02</td>
<td>2000/01</td>
<td>2001/02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Import duty</td>
<td>5,389</td>
<td>44,651</td>
<td>2,806</td>
<td>52.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2001/02</td>
<td>43,412</td>
<td>21,286</td>
<td>49.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporate tax</td>
<td>78,730</td>
<td>79,764</td>
<td>27,359</td>
<td>34.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2001/02</td>
<td>83,309</td>
<td>28,044</td>
<td>35.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAT (Total tax</td>
<td>91,400</td>
<td>91,400</td>
<td>60.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>base 451,766)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAT (Total tax</td>
<td>50,900</td>
<td>50,900</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>base 496,000)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Karingi et al. (2004)

According to an evaluation study by the British Department for International Development (DFID) of tax reforms in a number of sub-Saharan African countries, the failure to tax the informal sector and agriculture, and the continued tendency of granting tax exemptions to powerful businesses and individuals with close political connections provide the main reasons why collection appears to have stagnated at a relatively low level. (DFID, 2004)

Although Kenya embarked on massive tax reforms in 1986, little is known about the performance of the reforms in terms of raising the revenue mobilization capacity of the tax system. This study attempts to fill this gap in knowledge.

1.2 Kenya’s informal sector
Traditionally in Kenya, informal sector activities consisted of urban artisans, but have grown to include manufacturing, building and construction, distributive trades, transport and communication, and community and personal services industries. Currently, the main activities include tailoring, carpentry, blacksmithing, retail shops, groceries and kiosks, among others. The
sectoral distribution of these enterprises shows a wide variation, with 64.5% of the total enterprises being in wholesale and retail trade, while only 0.3% were in private households. Overall, 71% of industries are in the rural areas with the dominant industries being trade and manufacturing. (Atieno, 2006)

The informal sector is a crucial sector of most of the developing countries. The liberalization and privatization processes have resulted to the states’ failure to be the employer. The private sector is left to take up this role. The organized private sector has been unable to absorb the growing numbers of jobseekers, and the informal sector stepped in to fill in the gap. This indeed is the reason why informal sector should be supported and encouraged. In most cases, the informal sector is viewed as illegal and its activities barred by the government as well as the people working in the formal sector. Urbanization in Kenya on the other end has been occurring in the context of weak economic growth resulting in poor infrastructure, housing and services especially in the slums.

The informal sector has long been an integral part of the culture and economy in Kenya. It currently employs approximately 8.3 million people. This includes workers and entrepreneurs/owner-managers of the enterprises. The informal sector contributes to about 18% of the GDP. (Ogutu, 2013)

In past studies, it has been found that a significant number of citizens participating in the informal economy do so in order to evade taxes and government regulations (Andreoni et al.,
Increased tax revenue captured from this expansive, untapped tax base would contribute to GDP, alleviate poverty, and improve citizens’ standard of living.

The informal sector in Kenya is characterized by:

a) Activities that are undertaken with the primary objective of self-generation of employment and incomes mostly unregistered and unrecorded in official statistics
b) Operate in small scale and mostly have very low level of capital, productivity and income
c) Have little or no access to organized market, credit institutions, modern technology, and formal education.
d) Many activities are carried without fixed location or in places that are not visible to the authorities such as small shops, stalls, or home-based operations.

(Muchiri and Audi, 2007)

1.3 Problem Statement

Kenya relies heavily on tax revenue to fund government expenditure, both current and capital. Although there has been great improvement in tax effort as a result of wide ranging reforms in this area, revenue collection still falls short of its full potential. In the face of a ballooning annual budget, especially with the rising wage bill occasioned by the implementation of the new constitution, there is urgent need to re-evaluate the tax system with the aim of improving collection. With the tax burden increasingly lying on a small section of the economy, the answer appears to be in the unexplored revenue base, namely the informal sector.
Research has been carried out in the past in other countries on the revenue losses implied by the informal sector, also referred to as the Hard to Tax sector (HTT). For the United States, Kenadjian (1982) reports on the findings of a 1979 IRS study that estimated total unreported taxable income of US $ 74.9 billion in 1976, of which self-employment income was US$ 33 billion; a considerable share of unreported self-employment income could be considered as belonging to the hard-to-tax group.

According to Cheeseman and Griffiths (2006), although Kenya’s tax revenues have increased during the recent process of reform they have fallen in relation to GDP, whilst the share of government revenue made up through tax revenues has not increased. The question is; to what extent does the rapid expansion of the informal sector influence tax revenue performance? In past studies, no specific attention has been paid on the effect of the growth in the informal sector on tax revenue collection in Kenya. This study thus aimed at filling this gap in country specific literature on the revenue losses implied by the growth of the informal sector as well as the level of significance.

Terkper (2003) states that developing countries lose tax revenue in proportionally greater amounts than developed countries from the informal sector because small and medium traders tend to thrive in underground economies, and further estimated that the tax losses could constitute as much as 35 to 55 percent of GDP. According to a World Bank study in year 2006, Kenya’s informal sector constituted 98 percent of all businesses in the country, absorbed annually up to 50 per cent of new employment seekers and had an employment growth rate of 12-14 percent. (World Bank, 2006).
1.4 Objectives of the study

i) To determine the effect of the informal sector on tax revenue performance.

ii) To identify the other determinants of revenue performance in Kenya

iii) To draw policy implications from the above objectives.

1.5 Significance of the Study

The study contributes to the existing literature on the determinants of revenue collection in Kenya. The results could be used to design growth-oriented programs and carry out tax changes that are growth enhancing. The study provides an empirical groundwork on Kenya’s revenue structures upon which prudent tax measures could be based. It identifies the effect of growth of informal sector on revenue collection which when properly understood, documented, and captured in relevant tax revenue models, would make it possible to estimate accurately revenues within a specified period of time. The study also stimulates further research in the area of taxation. The study brings together comprehensive evidence on the role the informal sector can play in increasing revenue collection in Kenya. It provides an informed basis for taking action on tax policy in addition to what is currently known about revenue function in Kenya.

1.6 Justification of the study

According to Eissa and Jack (2009), raising around 20 percent of GDP in taxes is either impressive or dangerous, depending on the distortionary costs and the productivity and efficiency of public spending. As the efficiency in Kenya’s revenue collection grows, the burden of tax continues to increase. In most cases the incidence of tax lies on the consumer as traders invariably pass the burden of tax to buyers by factoring tax in their prices. This is likely to result
either in the sort of social unrest that Karl Marx envisaged as a result of class struggles or encourage the emergence and growth of a black market as the private sector seeks to hide their transactions in order to evade the excess burden of tax. According to Musgrave and Musgrave (1989), excess taxation may also reduce the level of employment as firms try to lower costs of production.

With this in mind, it is imperative to widen the tax base in order to reduce the tax burden without negatively affecting revenue performance. By analyzing the tax potential of the informal sector, it is possible to formulate policies that can both lower the tax burden by spreading the incidence of tax as well as increase tax revenue from this large revenue base. This study was therefore aimed at investigating the effect of the revenue losses occasioned by the growth of the informal sector in order to stimulate policies addressing the three issues of social equity, sustainability of tax performance and prevention of tax evasion as a result of excess tax burden.

1.7 Organization of the study

Chapter two analyzes theoretical and empirical literature in the area of informal sector and taxation and gives an overview in the context of the study. Chapter three introduces the methodology and the analytical framework while chapter four presents the data analysis and discussion of the results. Finally, Chapter five consists of the conclusion and policy recommendations derived from the results of the study.
CHAPTER TWO

2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Introduction
This section endeavors to explore and review the existing theories and empirical research studies that have been undertaken on taxation and the informal sector. Much research have been conducted in these areas, and a thorough review of these works further elucidates the need to carry out a study on how the informal sector affects tax collection in Kenya, and by extension, the developing countries. The section highlights the arguments and findings that have been advanced by different scholars.

2.2 Theoretical Literature Review

2.2.1 The Informal Sector
The informal sector consists of all the economic activities that are carried out by economic agents operating outside formal arrangements and official legislation requirements. In Kenya, these exclude pastoralist activities and subsistence farming. (KNBS, 2007)

The three criteria of the definition of informal sector enterprises in the 15th ICLS (International Conference of Labor Statisticians) resolution refer to the legal organization of the enterprises, their ownership and the type of accounts kept for them. These three criteria are all embodied in the concept of household unincorporated enterprises as described above. However, while all informal sector enterprises can be regarded as household unincorporated enterprises, not all household unincorporated enterprises belong to the informal sector. At its 90th Session, the
International Labour Conference (ILC) used the term ‘informal economy’ as referring to “all economic activities by workers and economic units that are – in law or in practice – not covered or insufficiently covered by formal arrangements” (ILO, 2012).

The vast majority of informal sector activities are involved with production of goods and services whose production and distribution are perfectly legal. This is in contrast to illegal production. There is also a clear distinction between the informal sector and underground production. Informal sector activities are not necessarily performed with the deliberate intention of evading the payment of taxes or social security contributions or of infringing labour legislation or other regulations. Certainly, some informal sector enterprises prefer to remain unregistered or unlicensed in order to avoid complying with some or all regulations and thereby reduce production costs. A distinction should, however, be made between those whose business revenue is high enough to bear the cost of regulations and those who do not afford comply with existing regulations because their income is too low and irregular, because certain laws and regulations are quite irrelevant to their needs and conditions, or because the State is virtually non-existent in their lives and lacks the means to enforce the regulations it has enacted. In some countries at least, a sizeable proportion of informal sector enterprises are actually registered in some way or pay taxes, even though they may not be in a position to comply with all legal and administrative requirements. Moreover, substantial segments of underground production originate from enterprises belonging to the formal sector. Examples include the production of goods and services ‘off the books’, undeclared financial transactions or property income, overstatement of tax-deductible expenses, employment of clandestine workers, and unreported wages and overtime work of declared employees. In summary, although informal sector and
underground activities may overlap, the concept of the informal sector needs to be clearly distinguished from that of underground production. (ILO, 2012)

2.2.2 The Dynamics underlying the growth of the Informal Sector
The literature on the driving forces underlying the size of informal economy has mainly focused on the effects of government actions, notably taxation and regulation\(^1\), and has reached the widespread conclusion that the existence of an informal sector is the result of the failure of political institutions to promote a working market economy. Consistently with this view, Johnson et al. (1998) and Friedman et al. (2000) find that institutional traits (for instance, the extent of corruption or the strength of the rule of law) explain most of the cross-country variation of the available informality measures\(^2\).

On the other hand, Levenson and Maloney (1998) provide a fresh, alternative interpretation to the emergence of an informal sector, in particular to the size choice of informals, without relying on the burden imposed by the government to the private sector. They state that small firms do not scale down to avoid paying taxes, but their limited investment needs and the narrow nature of their operations make stable property rights unimportant and the gains from civic participation flimsy. Naturally, since the benefits from participating in societal institutions grow larger as firms do, voluntary compliance and the will to being charged (i.e., taxed) for participation arise.


\(^2\) A striking finding of Friedman et al. (2000) is that higher tax rates are associated with a small informal sector. They argue that high tax rates increase tax revenues that would enable the government to finance a stronger legal environment and, consequentially, to reduce informality.
In other words, firms evolve from informality to formality as they grow to their long-run equilibrium size.

Reasoning along these lines, the structure of the market, especially the demand that firms face, is likely to be a determinant of the size of the informal sector as important as the governmental burden imposed on business-making. In fact, a firm deciding the sector in which to operate would compare the benefits from producing with scale economies and paying taxes against the profits of producing under a less efficient technology. If a demand expansion occurs, ceteris paribus, the benefits of formality become evident as it eases meeting the higher demand and generating the corresponding profits, leading to a further reduction in the costs of formality.

2.2.3 The Informal Sector and Taxation

According to Chen (2005), informal sector activities provide the only opportunity for many people to secure their basic needs for survival. In countries without unemployment insurance or other kinds of social benefits, the only alternative to being unemployed is engaging in informal sector employment. Other informal sector employment (as employers in informal manufacturing establishments or as skilled self-employed workers in small businesses) may sometimes provide better pay. These workers may even earn more than regular employees working in formal jobs. But even for these better-off workers informal sector employment rather than formal sector employment is often the only option. (Chen et al, 2005).

The taxation of the informal sector has in the past elicited different reactions. There are varying opinions on whether or not this sector should be taxed. Opponents of informal sector taxation argue that subjecting this sector to tax would go against the principle of equity which advocates
that each one pays according to his capacities. With this general argument against the tax, elements more specific to the informal sector are added. Opponents of the taxation of the informal sector further state that to tax the incomes from informal origins amounts to taxing the most stripped population and thus increasing poverty and inequalities. They further argue that apart from the difficulty of bringing such firms into the tax net, individual incomes within the sector are low, and tax rates correspondingly modest, while the costs of collection and overall administrative burden are very high, owing to the large number of individual firms and the difficulty of monitoring. Further opposition to the taxation of the informal economy is sometimes raised on equity grounds, as the operators of informal sector firms are frequently low-income, thus making taxation of such firms potentially regressive (e.g. Joshi et al., 2012; Pimhidzai and Fox 2012).

Proponents of taxation of the informal sector assert that beyond the mechanical increase in the fiscal receipts that a taxation of the informal sector would generate, it is also premised on the promotion of a greater social justice and the respect of the sovereignty of political power (Medahri, 1989). Despite the fear that taxation may hinder growth, a growing body of research suggests that formalisation – of which entry into the tax net is a central component – may in fact have significant benefits for growth, or, at the very least, may not hinder growth. At the core of those findings is the fact that informality carries a variety of costs to firms, and it also precludes access to certain opportunities available to formal firms. The benefits of formality may include greater access to credit, increased opportunities to engage with large firms and the government, reduced harassment by police and municipal officials, and access to broader training and support programmes. (Joshi et al., 2012)
According to Osoro (1995), there are different perspectives to the taxation of the informal sector. For instance, if the supply of labour is more elastic to the underground economy than the regular economy, optimal tax theory suggests that the former be taxed at a relatively low rate, an application of inverse elasticity rule. Alternatively, if the participants in the underground economy tend to be poorer than those in the regular economy, then to the extent that the society has egalitarian income redistribution objectives, it might be desirable to leave the underground economy intact. There is no evidence that either of these two assertions is correct. What is important to note is that analysis of the usual utilitarian welfare criteria leads to ambiguous results in respect of the desirability of an underground economy.

Growth in the informal sector raises several broader issues for society. Some have argued, for instance, that cheating is habit-forming, saying that once people become accustomed to evading taxation, they continue to do so, even if marginal tax rates are lowered in the future (Osoro 1995; Lindbeck, 1980).

Taxation of the informal sector comes with numerous challenges. Apart from the difficulty of bringing such firms into the tax net, individual incomes within the sector are low, and tax rates correspondingly modest, while the costs of collection and overall administrative burden are very high, owing to the large number of individual firms and the difficulty of monitoring. Further opposition to the taxation of the informal economy is sometimes raised on equity grounds, as the operators of informal sector firms are frequently low-income, thus making taxation of such firms potentially regressive (Pimhidzai and Fox, 2012). Consequently, many tax experts have been somewhat skeptical of the value of focusing significant scarce resources in developing countries
on taxing small informal sector firms, given low revenue yields, high administrative costs and the questionable value of taxing low-income individuals (Keen, 2012).

Das-Gupta (1994) identifies several types of inefficiencies associated with the HTT. First, the use of cash, barter, and other less efficient means of payments among the HTT should lead to excess burdens. Second, there may be losses in economies of scale if the hard-to-tax utilize many smaller transactions as opposed to larger ones in order to avoid detection. Third, there may be a larger-than-optimal allocation of labor and other resources in the hard-to-tax sectors due to the differential tax burdens.

This other type of inefficiency is similar to that identified by Alm (1985) in the context of the shadow economy. The existence of a sector to which resources may move in order to evade taxation means that taxes drive a wedge between the returns to factors in different sectors. For example, if factors of production are mobile between taxed and untaxed activities, then they will move between these sectors until the net-of-tax return in the taxed sector equals the return in the untaxed sector. However, the gross-of-tax return to a factor measures the social productivity of the factor, and the gross-of-tax return was higher in the taxed sector by the amount of the tax. Consequently, a tax on a factor in only some of its uses encourages over allocation of factors to untaxed activities and so generates an excess burden.

A similar source of potential inefficiency is discussed by Palda (1998), also in the context of the shadow economy. In the presence of different abilities to enter the shadow economy (or the HTT sector in our case), markets will tend to select producers for both their ability to evade taxes and
their ability to have low costs of production. An excess burden arises when efficient firms are crowded out by inefficient firms with greater ability to evade taxes.

There are also other possible sources of inefficiencies that arise from the existence of tax evasion (Martinez-Vazquez, 1996) and that might also be relevant in the presence of the hard-to-tax. One might be termed the “anxiety costs” of tax evasion, or the loss in utility suffered by risk-averse individuals engaged in tax evasion activities (Yitzhaki, 1987). There are also out-of-pocket costs that often accompany tax evasion. These include such costs as the expenses incurred by taxpayers to cover their evasion (including payments to tax professionals and bribes to tax officials), the costs borne by the tax agency in its enforcement activities, and costs imposed on other taxpayers who must comply with stricter information and disclosure requirements.

If tax evasion and the accompanying revenue loss prompt the government to increase tax rates on other taxes to offset the revenue loss, then these rate increases generate additional excess burdens; on the other hand, if the government responds by reducing government services, then there is a welfare loss from the diversion of resources from the public sector. Finally, there may well be a cost that arises because cheating imposes a negative externality on others in the form of “unhappiness” that some are not paying their “fair share” of taxes. Note that this externality can exist independently of any loss of tax revenues from tax evasion.

According to the African Development Bank Group, the informal sector is a fast growing segment of Kenya’s economy, but tax evasion remains particularly high. The informal segment of the agricultural sector constitutes the largest portion of the economy, and employs the largest
segment of people. However, it presents several challenges to tax administration for several reasons. First, it is a high risk and uneven source of income for its operators. As a consequence, for example, past efforts to tax it through presumptive income tax failed due to: many unrecorded open air markets; delays and a failure to make payments to producers by many government controlled marketing boards; unpredictable profit and cash flows for growers of export crops arising from global market variations; and a high reliance on rain fed agriculture, which exacerbates the unpredictability of farmers’ incomes. Second, most of the labor is provided by the family and therefore it is hard to audit revenue streams and costs. Third, it attracts much politics which often blurs the real issues and results in resistance to required policy and legislative changes. The trade segment of the informal sector has also been booming. But again, there are particular challenges around trying to bring this segment into the tax net. In one respect, informal trading businesses increasingly operate through small scale outlets whereby the identity of individual operators is difficult to confirm. In another but related respect, many such outlets may be operated by an individual using different PINs. This way, for example, it is easy for the individual to avoid paying even turnover tax. (African Development Bank Group, 2010)

2.2.4 Measuring the informal sector

Measuring the informal sector is a challenge, but several methods have proven useful. Direct approaches such as voluntary surveys or tax audits can be beneficial, though there is no assurance that respondents provide accurate information when asked to reveal the extent of illegal, tax evasive economic activities (Schneider and Enste, 2000). Therefore, several indirect approaches are useful for estimating the size of the informal economy, including two methods which use observed economy-wide variables, such as electricity usage and money. A simple
approach is the electricity consumption method (ECM), in which increases in energy use are compared with movements of GDP during the same period.

a) The Electricity Approach
Kaufmann and Kaliberda (1996) note that the electricity to overall GDP elasticity is close to one, therefore, measuring the difference between the growth of electricity use and the growth of official GDP is a reliable indicator of the growth of the informal economy. This method, however, fails to capture those informal sector activities that do not require increased electricity consumption or that use other sources of energy. There are also possible downward biases with improved efficiency in electricity consumption or energy price increases and upward biases from decreased technological efficiency resulting from poor maintenance; researchers manipulate the output elasticity values to compensate for these possible biases. Feige and Urban (2008) developed a modified electric consumption method (MEC) which compensated for some of the downward biases and allowed for changes in input factors such as electricity price increases.

b) The Currency Demand Approach
Another approach to estimating the size of the informal economy is the currency demand approach (the monetary or transaction approach) that observes the increase in the demand for cash. Although Ahumada et al. (2008) indicate that the monetary method has become extremely popular over the years because of its presumed simplicity, they also indicate that the wide diversity of results obtained when this model is applied has generated skeptical views on its applicability. This method was pioneered by Gutmann (1977) who proposed measuring the currency component of the money stock, M1, which grows relative to the growth of the informal
economy, as contrasted with demand deposits which grow with the development of the formal economy. The model is based on the assumption that because agents intend to keep some transactions hidden from official records, they conduct their trades using cash. If the amount of currency that is used to make these hidden transactions can be estimated, and if the income velocity of money is known, it would be possible to get a measure of the size of the informal economy. Gutmann’s (1977) approach is very appealing to monetarists who believe that money is used for transaction purposes and not for speculative purposes.

Feige (1979) provided an extension to Gutmann’s (1977) method. Feige (1979) focused on the relationship between the volume of total transactions and observed income, or GDP. Beginning with Fisher’s quantity, Feige (1979) included the identity equation which assumes that there is a constant relationship between the money flows related to transactions and the total value added (official and unofficial). He then modified Fisher’s quantity equation to include the informal sector.

Given the size of the money supply, the velocity of money that is assumed to be the same for the formal and informal economies, and the official GDP, the informal economy can then be measured choosing a benchmark year and assuming the size of the informal economy as a ratio of the formal economy is known that year the current value of the informal economy is then calculated from the remainder of the sample (Vuletin, 2008). In fact, as noted by Ahumada et al. (2008), if the ratio of the value of transactions to nominal income remains constant through time (assuming no hidden transactions), the size of the shadow economy is equal to the difference between estimated total nominal income and observed nominal income. A key drawback to using this approach is that it is questionable to assume that $k$ remains constant over time. Another
criticism is that the velocity of money could be misinterpreted by the effect that credit card and check usage could have over the amount of cash held.

The idea presented by Gutmann (1977) and Feige (1979) has been criticized on several fronts. Ahumada et al. (2008) report that in addition to their weak theoretical foundation, the model’s quantitative accuracy has been called into question due to time series properties, structural breaks and sensitivity to units of measurement Thomas (1999), Schneider and Enste (2000), and Breusch (2005)]. Tanzi (1999) also criticizes the monetary approach claiming that the income-velocity also depends on the opportunity cost of holding cash (an assumption that was omitted from the original approach) as well as variables that induce economic agents to make hidden transactions. Ahumada et al. (2008), however, note that interest in the monetary approach is still high because of the large and growing size of the informal economy, and because other methods are not improvements over the monetary approach. In this approach, econometric models are constructed to measure differences in the observed demand for currency and the estimated demand for currency in the official economy.

Vuletin (2008) came up with a time series method of measuring the informal sector by measuring the excess demand for currency. Currency demand is a function of factors such as the evolution of income, payment practices and interest rates, and of factors that drive individuals to operate within the informal economy such as the tax burden, government regulation and complexity of the tax system. To estimate the size of the informal economy, the growth of currency is measured when government regulations and the tax burden are held at their lowest value. The difference between currency development at that level is compared with the development of currency at the current level of high tax burden and government regulations. The
size of the informal economy is then computed and compared to the official GDP. Criticisms of this method of estimation include the possibility that not all transactions are conducted with cash, the velocity of money may not be equal in both economies, and the assumption that the size of the informal economy is zero in a base year is unlikely. In addition, since the economy has some degree of dollarization, it is necessary to measure not only the local currency in circulation but also the foreign currency in circulation (Feige and Urban, 2008).

c) The income vs expenditure technique

The discrepancy between national expenditure and income statistics can also be computed to estimate the size of the informal economy. The gap between the income measure of GDP and the expenditure measure of GDP should lead to an estimate of unreported income, thus indicating the size of the informal economy (Schneider and Enste, 2000). Critics of this method claim that expenditures cannot be measured without error and expenditure components cannot be constructed to be statistically independent from income factors.

d) The Multiple Indicators, Multiple Causes Approach

The Multiple Indicators, Multiple Causes (MIMIC) approach which uses real cause and indicator variables rather than monetary values is also widely used (Loayza, 1997; Schneider and Enste, 2000). The concern with monetary variables, again, is that the country may have a high degree of dollarization which may cause an underestimation in calculating the size of the informal economy. The MIMIC method focuses on several observable causes and the observable effects of the informal economy and uses their association with the informal economy itself, an unobserved variable, to estimate the size of the informal economy. Cause variables include the
tax burden, labour rigidities, the importance of agriculture, the inflation rate and the strength of
the enforcement system. Indicator variables used in the MIMIC approach are contributions to the
social security system, degree of unionization, and secondary school enrollment. The correlations
of these cause and effect variables are then inserted into an econometric regression to convert
ordinal within-sample predictions for informal economy size into absolute time-series data
(Vuletin, 2008). This is seen as the most accurate approach by many. However, Feige and Urban
(2008) “consciously refrain” from using it because of its many flaws in data transformation,
sliding and scaling in order to create suitable benchmarks. Comparing the findings of multiple
measurement approaches, however, compensates for the benefits and drawbacks of each and
provides a more complete representation of the size of the informal economy.

e) The Employment Approach

According to the International Labor Organization, indirect methods based on residual balance
techniques have been primarily used to estimate employment in the informal sector and informal
employment, but they can also be used for estimating the contribution of the informal sector to
the GDP.

Residual balance techniques are able to produce estimates for the following data items:
(a) size of employment in the informal sector; and
(b) size of informal employment.

They do so by comparing labour force statistics produced through a population census, a labour
force survey or another household survey covering employment with statistics on ‘formal’
employment from establishment censuses or surveys, social insurance registrations or fiscal
records. The first type of source, also referred to as the ‘exhaustive’ source, is assumed to
capture all forms of employment (formal and informal) from which statistics based on the second type of source, providing statistics on ‘registered’ or ‘formal’ employment, can be subtracted. The estimates from the population census or labour force survey are always larger than those from the economic census, establishment survey or administrative records, because the latter do not capture employment outside formal establishments. However, they tend to produce statistics on jobs, not on persons employed. Thus, depending on the extent of multiple job-holding and the sub-categories of workers compared, the residual balance obtained is used as a proxy of total informal employment or of employment in the informal sector. (ILO, 2012)

This, being the commonly used approach by governments to estimate the informal sector as approved internationally in the preparation of National Accounts, is the method employed in this paper for estimating Kenya’s informal sector size and its growth over the time period covered by the analysis, i.e. 1980-2011.

2.3 Empirical Literature Review
In order to measure the effect of the informal sector on the tax revenue performance, it must be analyzed in the context of the effect of other determinants on tax collection. Past studies have demonstrated the relationship between the various determinants and tax performance through econometric tax models. Several empirical studies have been undertaken to assess tax performance across different countries. Most of the studies have used tax share in GNP/GDP or tax ratio as the dependent variable with different combinations of explanatory variables.
Chipeta (2002) conducted a study on the ‘second economy’ in Malawi and its effect on tax yield. He employed both the Guttman and Tanzi methods of estimating the size of the informal economy using the monetary approach. His study revealed that between 1972 and 1990, tax evasion rose as both the size of the second economy and the average tax rate increased. As a percentage of actual total tax revenue and of potential tax revenue, tax evasion declined between 1972 and 1974. While showing fluctuations, thereafter it rose rapidly and was about 60% of actual tax revenue and 37% of potential tax revenue in 1990, representing sevenfold and fourfold increases, respectively.

In studies carried out on tax evasion by the informal economy, Feige (1979) estimated the tax revenue loss in the United Kingdom to be around £9 billion. Other estimates of tax revenue losses in the United Kingdom, according to Pyle (1989) ranged from £2 - £11 billion per year. Estimates have also shown that revenue has been lost due to evasion of indirect taxes. Pyle reported the amount to lie between £250m and £500m per year due to evasion of VAT. Estimates have also been done in other countries and still figures are not small. (Teera, 2002)

Rosser et al. (2000, 2003), find a positive correlation between the Gini coefficient and the size of the informal share among transition economies. They argue that the detrimental effect of informality on public finances reduces the capacity that a government has to perform sound redistributive policies, whereas inequality encourages the desire a person may have to beat the system and to not comply with the prevailing regulations.

Distortions brought about by the informal sector affect tax buoyancy and elasticity. According to AERC (1998), tax buoyancy and elasticity refer to the responsiveness of tax revenue to
variations in tax base and to changes in tax rates and rules. Buoyancy in effect means that changes in the discretionary elements of taxation such as rates leads to changes in tax revenue. Elasticity refers to tax responsiveness to percentage growth in tax bases such as increase in profits or income. This in effect means growth in the economy can lead to higher tax revenue without the government having to increase taxes. Osoro (1995), analyzed the relationship between tax buoyancy/elasticity and the existence of the underground economy in Tanzania and postulated an inverse relationship between the two. This was seen to be because the growth of the underground economy eroded the tax base and consequently reduced receipts, which in turn affected revenue productivity. In addition, the study estimated the size of the underground economy in Tanzania for the period 1967-1990 in order to determine the magnitude of tax evasion. The resulting estimates showed that the size of the underground economy was significant and grew from about 10% of official GDP in 1967 to 31% in 1990. These estimates suggested that tax evasion in 1990 was equal to more than one-third of total tax receipts in that year.

Muriithi and Moyi (2003) studied the post-reform buoyancy and elasticity of the various tax heads in Kenya. They found out that the overall post-reform tax system was elastic, with buoyancy and tax to GDP elasticity exceeding unity. Direct taxes were leading in buoyancy, followed by excise duties and import duties. VAT/ Sales tax had low tax to GDP (income) elasticity, signaling problems in its administration. They suggested improvement in the remuneration of tax collectors, taxpayer education and enhancement of compliance among other measures to improve the performance of tax.
Cebula and Feige (2011) used regression analysis to determine the size, growth and determinants of tax evasion by America’s underground economy. Their findings were that 18-19% of total reportable taxable income was not reported to the authorities, giving rise to a tax gap of nearly 500 billion US dollars. They found that over the period 1960-2008, tax evasion was an increasing function of the average effective federal income tax rate, unemployment rate, public dissatisfaction with the government and per capita real GDP. Tax audits reduced tax evasion but to a very modest degree.

Lotz and Morss (1967) used the data of developed and developing countries to find the ratio of tax revenue to GNP. They used per capita GNP and openness for this. The results showed the positive and statistically significant effect for both per capita GNP and for openness. Tanzi (1987) found only the per capita income effect positive and significant by taking the data of only developing countries.

In investigating factors affecting tax revenue in Uganda, Teera (2002) used the time series data of the period 1970 to 2000 and estimated a model. The results showed that tax evasion by the informal economy affects all type of taxes. GDP per capita showed the surprising negative sign. Tax evasion and openness (as measured by import ratio) showed the significant negative impact.

A similar study by Bahl (2003) using the data of OECD and less developed economies explained the determinants of tax revenue. He used the non-agricultural share of GDP, openness and the rate of population growth all of which showed the positive and statistically significant result.
Simple correlation between tax effort and the size of shadow economy showed the negative but statistically significant result.

In another study, Gupta (2007) analyzed the determinants of tax revenue efforts in developing countries using regression analysis. His principal findings were that structural factors such as per capita GDP, share of agriculture in GDP, and trade openness are strong determinants of revenue performance. Foreign aid was found to improve revenue performance. However foreign debt was found not to have a significant effect. Among the institutional factors, corruption was a significant determinant of a country’s revenue performance. Political and economic stability matters as well, but this finding was not robust across specifications. Finally, countries that depended on taxing goods and services as their primary source of tax revenue were found to have relatively poor revenue performance. On the other hand, countries that rely more on income taxes, profit taxes, and capital gains taxes, performed much better in revenue collection.

2.4 Overview of the literature

From the various literatures reviewed it is clear that the informal sector’s effect on tax collection cannot be ignored. The theoretical literature outlines the various schools of thought regarding the taxation of the informal sector as well as the various methods of estimating its size. From theoretical literature, it is apparent that no standard method of measuring the size of the informal sector has been definitively developed. The various methods have been proven to have their weaknesses. For instance Kaufmann and Kaliberda’s method has a limitation in that some informal sector activities do not require use of electricity and there are also biases with constant variations in the prices of electricity due to the various internal and external factors. Similarly the Feige and Gutmann methods have been criticized due to their weak theoretical underpinning and
also questions of quantitative accuracy due to time series properties, structural breaks and sensitivity to units of measurement.

For ease of measurement of Kenya’s informal sector, the method chosen for this study was the employment method, since it takes into consideration data available in the System of National Accounts (SNA), which is commonly used by the government in economic surveys.

The empirical literature further demonstrates the econometric research that has been carried out by various scholars across the world. This covers the areas of the taxation of the informal sector, variously referred to as the ‘second economy’, the ‘Hard to Tax’ sector and the ‘informal economy’ among other terms. The empirical literature exposes a lively interest on the significance of this often untaxed or under – taxed sector, with most studies showing a high level of statistical significance of its effects on tax performance. The empirical studies also touch on the buoyancy and elasticity of tax especially in view of the influence of the informal sector.

In the context of the reviewed literature, this study contributes to existing literature on taxation by introducing the informal sector in the tax model as an explanatory variable to tax revenue performance, something past empirical studies have not pursued in the Kenyan field. Once measured, the informal sector variable was applied in a regression model. This, as expected from the literature review, yielded results showing growth in its size has a negative impact on revenue collection. The study also brought in other factors that affect tax performance such as Foreign Direct Investment, inflation, Per Capita GDP, agriculture sector contribution to GDP, trade openness and political stability.
CHAPTER THREE

3.0 METHODOLOGY

3.1 Analytical Framework

A number of studies have exploited the determinants of tax revenue in both developing and developed countries and several factors determining a country’s taxable capacity have been identified in these studies. The determinants can be grouped into two categories namely: The level of development as measured by a country’s real GDP; and the structure of the economy as indexed by such characteristics as the openness of the economy (OE), measured by the ratio of the forex bureau rate to the Inter-bank Foreign Exchange Market (IFEM) rate and the size of informal sector among others. Government policies are also thought to influence tax revenue directly through a variety of ways, including the real value of exchange rate (RER).

In this paper, tax revenues are converted to their real values by dividing their nominal values with the consumer price index (CPI). This is to avoid biased results caused by inflation. The CPI is used because it falls on the expenditure side of the GDP equation. Furthermore, CPI is more of a cost of living and hence it is the right one to employ for tax revenues which have the effect of reducing disposable personal income. The other reason for its application is that it includes the cost of imports and some items that are not actually goods and services that affect the cost-of-living.

Both dependent and independent nominal variables are converted to real variables, measured in constant Kenya shillings. Time series data for average GDP and its related variables are converted from their nominal values to their real values by dividing nominal values with the GDP deflator using 1995 as the base year. The deflator is chosen because it is the most
A comprehensive price index for GDP (Branson, 1989). Furthermore, it correctly measures inflation since it amounts to a weighted average of the changes in all prices of newly produced goods in the economy.

Diagnostic tests were carried out on the time series data to test for co-integration, multicollinearity and stationarity as detailed in section 3.3 of this chapter. This involved the use of the Augmented Dickey – Fuller (ADF) Test for stationarity as well as standard co-integration methodology followed by the associated Engel-Granger error correction model and a correlation matrix to test for any possible multicollinearity of the data.

3.2 The Model
The study employs a linear regression model. The fully modified OLS procedure is applied to obtain the long run estimates for the variables. The fully modified OLS produces asymptotically unbiased estimates.

3.2.1 Empirical model specification
To estimate the parameters using OLS method, the multiplicative equation of the seven variables is linearized by using the logarithms of the variables in the empirical model and introducing an error term U. We can then express the tax equation as:

\[ \ln T = a_0 + a_1 \ln Y + a_2 \ln AGDP + a_3 \ln INF + a_4 \ln FDI + a_5 \ln OE + a_6 \ln I + a_7 \text{Dummy} + U \]

Where, T: Tax Revenue Performance
Y: Per capita GDP
AGDP: Agriculture sector contribution to GDP
INF: Informal Sector
FDI: Foreign Direct Investment
OE: Trade openness
I: Inflation rate
Dummy: Political stability, using dummy variables
\( a_n \): Coefficients to be estimated
U: Error term

3.2.2 Definition of Variables and a priori expectations

In this study, the following determinants have been considered and included in the model as explanatory variables: Per capita GDP, number of persons employed in the informal sector, agriculture sector contribution to GDP, trade openness, foreign direct investment and a dummy to capture the political stability component with particular reference to events that disrupt economic activity such as the year 2007/2008 post election violence.

Per capita income is a proxy for the overall development of the economy and is expected to be positively correlated with tax share as it is expected to be a good indicator of the overall level of economic development and sophistication of the economic structure. Moreover, according to Wagner’s law, the demand for government services is income elastic, so the share of goods and services provided by the government is expected to rise with income. A higher per capita income reflecting a higher level of development is held to indicate a higher capacity to pay taxes as well as a greater capacity to levy and collect them (Chelliah, 1971).
The degree of international trade measured by the share of exports and imports should also matter for revenue performance. Imports and exports are amenable to tax as they take place at specified locations. Furthermore, most developing countries shifted away from trade taxes in the 1990s, which was largely due to the widespread liberalization of trade undertaken under the Uruguay Round. The effect of trade liberalization on revenue mobilization may be ambiguous. If this liberalization occurs primarily through reduction in tariffs then one expects losses in tariff revenue. On the other hand, Keen and Simone (2004) argue revenue may increase provided trade liberalization occurs through tariffication of quotas, eliminations of exemptions, reduction in tariff peaks and improvement in customs procedure. There is also a strong positive correlation between trade openness and the size of the government, as societies seem to demand (and receive) an expanded role for the government in providing social insurance in more open economies subject to external risks.

Foreign Direct Investment has also been identified as a factor that may affect revenue performance. As to the impact of FDI on revenues, foreign subsidiaries by their higher than average profit rate increase the potential for corporate income tax revenues. FDI also creates employment opportunities hence raising revenue from income taxes. However, in the presence of inward capital flows, the overall level of activity in the economy is artificially and or temporarily increased through foreign borrowing and so is the aggregate tax base. As a consequence, tax revenues become artificially buoyant and volatile. (Teera, 2002)
During any period of high inflation, governments' upkeep costs for everything rises. However, since inflation hurts both trade and diminishes buying power of the consumer, business revenues fall. The actual real tax proceeds gathered by the government, after adjusting for inflation, are less than in a period of normal inflation, due to both increased operating costs and decreased tax revenues from businesses.

Agriculture sector contribution to the GDP, variable captures the effects of growth in agriculture sector on tax revenue. In most of the developing countries the agriculture sector contribute significantly in GDP but due to strong agriculture lobbies the government face difficulties to impose tax on agriculture sector specially direct tax. Thus agriculture sector is used as a tax evasion funnel for the income which is generated through other non-agriculture sectors. Small farmers are notoriously difficult to tax and a large share of agriculture is normally subsistence, which does not generate large taxable surpluses, as many countries are unwilling to tax the main foods that are used for subsistence. (Teera, 2002; Stotsky & WoldeMariam, 1997).

Political stability is also a factor in determining the revenue collection in each particular financial year as instability in the country affects the gross national income negatively. Therefore in the years of political instability, it is expected that the amount of revenue collected drops significantly below the projected figure postulating political stability. Therefore, for purposes of this research, a dummy variable was used to measure political stability, with instability being represented mainly in the election years such as 1992, 1997, 2002 and 2007.

The variables studied in the time series are further presented with their respective expected signs based on past studies in Table 3.1 below:
Table 3.1: Variables and expected signs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Expected sign</th>
<th>Measurement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total tax revenue collection (T)</td>
<td>Dependent variable</td>
<td>Tax/ GDP Ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Informal Sector (INF)</td>
<td>Negative (-)</td>
<td>Ratio of Labor Employment in informal sector to Total Labor Employment in the economy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(As per findings by Chipeta, 2002; Osoro, 1995)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per-capita GDP (Y)</td>
<td>Positive (+)</td>
<td>GDP/ Population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(As per study by Chelliah, 1971)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture sector contribution to GDP(AGDP)</td>
<td>Ambiguous</td>
<td>Ratio of Agricultural sector production to GDP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(as per findings by Teera, 2002; Stotsky &amp; WoldeMariam, 1997)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade Openness (OE)</td>
<td>Positive (+)</td>
<td>Ratio of imports to exports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(As indicated by findings by Keen and Simone, 2004; Bahl, 2003)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign Direct Investment (FDI)</td>
<td>Positive (+) as found by Teera (2002)</td>
<td>Foreigners’ investment in capital goods and capital markets (stocks) in Kenya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflation rate (I)</td>
<td>Negative (-)</td>
<td>The annual inflation rates recorded in Kenya over the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Inflation is expected to affect</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>per capita income and firms’ profits negatively, thus affecting taxes negatively</td>
<td>period covered by the study.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Political Stability</td>
<td>Either positive or negative (as per the study by Gupta, 2007. Instability mainly in election years: 1992, 1997, 2002, 2007)</td>
<td>Dummy (1 during unstable years and 0 in stable years)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.3 Stationarity, Cointegration and Diagnostic Testing

Prior to testing for a causal relationship between the time series, the first step is to check the stationarity of the variables used as regressors in the models to be estimated. Stationary series have finite variance, transitory innovations from the mean and a tendency to return to its mean value as opposed to non-stationary series. Therefore we need to ensure that the variables we want to estimate have their means and variance well defined, constant and independent of time. The aim is to verify whether the series have a stationary trend, and, if non-stationary, to establish orders of integration. For this purpose, Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) was used to test for stationarity of the data and all the explanatory variables was examined. To test the level of integration of the variables, the well-known Dickey Fuller (DF) and the augmented Dickey Fuller (ADF) tests was used. If the series are non-stationary the use of classical methods of estimation such as OLS could lead us to mistakenly accept spurious relationships, and thus their results would be meaningless. Second, in cases where the series are non-stationary around their
mean, the traditional suggestion is to difference the series. This usually leads to stationarity, hence allowing the application of conventional econometrics (Granger and Newbold, 1974). However, first differencing is certainly not an appropriate solution to the above problem and has a major disadvantage of preventing the detection of long-run relationship that may be present in the data, i.e. the long-run information is lost, which is precisely the main question being addressed. The standard ADF procedure was based on the following equation (Dickey and Fuller, 1979).

\[ \Delta y_t = \beta_1 + \beta_2 y_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{p} d_i \Delta y_{t-i} + v_t \]

Where \( y = \) is the variable of interest = \{Y, AGDP, EMPR, FDI, AGDP, OE, INF, Dummy\} and \( v_t \) is the white noise residual of zero mean and constant variance. \( \Delta \) is a first-difference operator, \( \rho \) is the number of optimal lags, \( t= \) time

\{\beta_1, \beta_2, d1... d_\rho\} is a set of parameters to be estimated.

Both of the null and alternative hypotheses in unit root tests are:

H0: \( \beta_2 = 0 \) (\( y \) is non-stationary/a unit root process)

H1: \( \beta_2 \neq 0 \) (\( y \) is stationary)

The unit root hypothesis of the Dickey-Fuller can be rejected if the t-test statistic from these tests is less than the critical value tabulated. In other words, by the Augmented Dickey Fuller (ADF) test, a unit root exists in the series \( y \) (implies non stationary) if the null hypothesis of \( \beta_2 \) equals zero is not rejected (Gujarati, 1995). On the evidence of non stationarity in each variable and the same order of integration of all the variables, the study pursued the cointegration methodology and the subsequent estimation of the associated error correction model (Engle and Granger,
The absence of a cointegrating relationship (long-run equilibrium) among the variables allows the application of simple Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) to estimate the model without risking misleading inferences stemming from spurious correlations.

A correlation matrix for the variables was run. If there is a strong correlation between any two variables (i.e. 0.5 and above), then there could be a problem of multicollinearity. Similarly a high $R^2$ with low values of t statistic, high F-value for a group of coefficients that are individually insignificant and when the coefficient change with inclusion of a new variable, then this could also be a sign of multicollinearity. Multicollinearity is a situation where the measured variables (independent variables) are too highly correlated to allow precise estimation of their individual effects. Multicollinearity may lead to a large $R^2$, that is, if the coefficients on the right hand side are important. It may also lead to inconsistent results in terms of size and sign. In addition it also becomes difficult to identify separate effects of variables involved.

### 3.4 Data sources
For estimation purposes, yearly time series data covering the period 1980-2011 was used. Data collection guidelines were used to collect the data for the study. Time series data was obtained from Quarterly Budgetary Reviews, International Monetary Fund Financial Statistics Year Books, International Monetary Fund Government Finance Statistics Yearbooks, Economic Surveys, Budget Speeches, Statistical Abstracts and National Development Plans. Other sources included library desk studies and visits to the Treasury, KRA, Kenya Institute of Public Policy and Research (KIPPRA), African Economic Research Consortium (AERC), The World Bank local office, and Ministry of Planning and National Development (MPND).
Several limitations were encountered involving the data used in this research. First, the sample period is limited to 1980-2011 because of the non-availability of official national account data prior to this period. Consequently, the estimates obtained using some of the current econometric techniques have some limitations that must be taken into account. For instance, the time series data needed differencing to make them stationary. This technique prevents the detection of long run relationship that may be present in the data.

Second, owing to the unavailability of reliable quarterly data for most of the variables under consideration for the entire period, the periodicity of all the data used in this investigation was annual. Despite some these shortcomings, it is hoped that the data acted as a guide in obtaining reasonable results for the purpose of this paper. As long as the Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) assumptions i.e. linearity of the model, no multicollinearity, and absence of heteroscedasticity, remain intact, time series models present nothing different or unusual. However, time series data is quite likely in practice to violate a few of the standard assumptions. Therefore it was important to examine the likely scenarios and how to deal with them.

The study took care of multicollinearity issues through the use of differentiation methodology. Furthermore, all of the series were transformed into log form. Log transformation can reduce the problem such as heteroscedasticity because it compresses the scale in which the variables are measured, thereby reducing a tenfold difference between two values to a twofold difference. (Gujarati 1995)
CHAPTER FOUR

4.0 DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

4.1 Descriptive statistics

Secondary data was collected from various sources, including the World Bank, AERC, KRA, KIPPA, MPND, IMF and the Kenya National Bureau of Statistics. Statistical analysis was carried out using STATA 10 software. Measures of central tendency and measures of dispersion were taken. The mean and median locate the center of the relative frequency distribution. The mean is the average value of the series, obtained by adding up the series and dividing by the number observations. Median is the middle value (or average of the two middle values) of the series when the values are ordered from the smallest to the largest. The median is a robust measure of centre of distribution since it is less sensitive to outliers than the mean. It is clear from table 1 that the mean and median are very close implying that the data doesn’t suffer from outlier problem.

Measures of dispersion help determine the extent to which values are dispersed or spread out. Several measures of dispersion are considered i.e maximum and minimum, (which determine the range) and standard deviation.

Table 4.1: Summary statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$LnT$</th>
<th>$LnY$</th>
<th>$LnAgdp$</th>
<th>$LnInf$</th>
<th>$LnFdi$</th>
<th>$LnOe$</th>
<th>$LnI$</th>
<th>$Ps$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>1.27491</td>
<td>2.639389</td>
<td>1.477566</td>
<td>1.001578</td>
<td>0.4516477</td>
<td>0.9699107</td>
<td>1.017638</td>
<td>0.5454545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>1.273805</td>
<td>2.608089</td>
<td>1.487692</td>
<td>0.9885589</td>
<td>-0.348093</td>
<td>1.033541</td>
<td>1.055449</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>1.222326</td>
<td>2.347402</td>
<td>1.398134</td>
<td>0.6627578</td>
<td>-2.257617</td>
<td>0.7150788</td>
<td>0.1915427</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>1.342101</td>
<td>2.935772</td>
<td>1.534274</td>
<td>2.115172</td>
<td>0.473883</td>
<td>1.333962</td>
<td>1.662558</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The standard deviation is a measure of dispersion or spread in the series. Skewness is a measure of asymmetry of the distribution of the series around its mean. The skewness of a symmetric distribution such as the normal distribution is zero. Positive skewness means that the distribution has a long right tail and negative skewness implies the distribution has a long left tail. The distribution for the variables in this series as shown in table 1 indicates that the measure of skewness is in most cases close to zero implying that the distribution of this dataset are normal.

Kurtosis measures the peakedness or flatness of the distribution of the series. The kurtosis of a normal distribution is 3. If it exceeds three the distribution is peaked (leptokurtic) relative to the normal. If the kurtosis is less than 3, the distribution is flat (platykurtic) relative to the normal. From the kurtosis values in table 1, all variables are normally distributed except TOT and FDI.

4.2 Stationarity analysis
The time series properties of the variable used in the model was tested using the ADF test. In the analysis one lag is chosen since the data is expressed yearly. The variable series are also expressed in logarithms. It follows that all the variables have unit roots i.e. I(1) order of integration. The results are presented in table 4.2 and 4.3 below.
Table 4.2: Unit root test results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Test statistic</th>
<th>1% Critical Value</th>
<th>5% critical value</th>
<th>10% critical value</th>
<th>Stationarity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LnT</td>
<td>-4.853</td>
<td>-3.709</td>
<td>-2.983</td>
<td>-2.623</td>
<td>Stationary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LnY</td>
<td>-0.510</td>
<td>-3.709</td>
<td>-2.983</td>
<td>-2.623</td>
<td>Non stationary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LnAgdp</td>
<td>-1.388</td>
<td>-3.709</td>
<td>-2.983</td>
<td>-2.623</td>
<td>Non Stationary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LnInf</td>
<td>-2.037</td>
<td>-3.709</td>
<td>-2.983</td>
<td>-2.623</td>
<td>Non stationary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LnFdi</td>
<td>-5.938</td>
<td>-3.709</td>
<td>-2.983</td>
<td>-2.623</td>
<td>Non Stationary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LnOe</td>
<td>-1.785</td>
<td>-3.709</td>
<td>-2.983</td>
<td>-2.623</td>
<td>Non stationary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LnI</td>
<td>-3.648</td>
<td>-3.709</td>
<td>-2.983</td>
<td>-2.623</td>
<td>Non stationary</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.3: Unit root test results after differencing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Test statistic</th>
<th>1% Critical Value</th>
<th>5% critical value</th>
<th>10% critical value</th>
<th>Stationarity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>dLnAgdp</td>
<td>-4.164</td>
<td>-3.716</td>
<td>-2.986</td>
<td>-2.624</td>
<td>Stationary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dLnOe</td>
<td>-4.022</td>
<td>-3.716</td>
<td>-2.986</td>
<td>-2.624</td>
<td>Stationary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dLnI</td>
<td>-6.772</td>
<td>-3.716</td>
<td>-2.986</td>
<td>-2.624</td>
<td>Stationary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dPs</td>
<td>-4.575</td>
<td>-3.716</td>
<td>-2.986</td>
<td>-2.624</td>
<td>Stationary</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Since all the variable series have unit roots, it is possible that they are cointegrated thus the next stage was to test for the possible existence of a cointegrating equation. This begins with the test for multicollinearity.

4.3 Test for Multicollinearity

The table below presents the results of the test for multicollinearity among the variable series data.

**Table 4.4: Test for Multicollinearity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>VIF</th>
<th>1/VIF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DLnAgdp</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>0.687729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLnY</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>0.740460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPs</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>0.875338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLnInf</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.883028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLnI</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.892737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLnFDI</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.895320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLnOe</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.944740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean VIF</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After first differencing all variables were tested for multicollinearity using Variance Inflation Formula (VIF), since the VIF were all below 10. There was no problem of multicollinearity.
4.4 Autocorrelation test

The "Durbin-Watson test for autocorrelation" is a statistic that indicates the likelihood that the deviation (error) values for the regression have a first-order autoregression component. The regression models assume that the error deviations are uncorrelated.

If the deviations are autocorrelated, there may be a number of consequences for the computed results namely: The estimated regression coefficients may no longer have the minimum variance property; the mean square error (MSE) may seriously underestimate the variance of the error terms; and the computed standard error of the estimated parameter values may underestimate the true standard error, in which case the t values and confidence intervals may be incorrect.

Small values of the Durbin-Watson statistic indicate the presence of autocorrelation. A value less than 0.80 usually indicates that autocorrelation is likely. Initially .8199349 For our case, the Durbin Watson statistic is 2.497682 this shows that there is no possible serial correlation between the dependent variable and the residual of the estimated equations.

4.5 Co-integration test

The major concern with time series is that if non-stationarity of data series persists then it may lead to spurious relationship. To avoid this problem, it is necessary to use the co-integration methodology. The regression equation can be estimated for the period 1960-2010 using the Engel-Granger two step procedure (Engel and Granger 1987). The first step is to estimate a long run equation using ordinary least squares (OLS) with variables, which are integrated of order one, I(1) in their levels. The results of the OLS with robust standard errors are shown in the table 4.5 below.
Table 4.5 OLS regression results

|      | Coef.     | Robust Std. Err. | t   | P>|t| | 95% Confidence Interval | Interval |
|------|-----------|------------------|-----|-----|-------------------------|---------|
| $dlnT$ | -0.970253 | 0.1888131        | -0.51 | 0.612 | -0.4867163            | 0.2926658 |
| $dlnY$  | -0.1959162 | 0.5680552        | -0.34 | 0.733 | -1.368325             | 0.9764921 |
| $dlninf$ | -0.335621 | 0.0238968        | -1.40 | 0.173 | -0.0828826            | 0.0157584 |
| $dlnFDI$ | -0.218435 | 0.0117528        | -1.86 | 0.075 | -0.0461               | 0.0024131 |
| $dlnOE$  | 0.0098658  | 0.1697539        | 0.06 | 0.954 | -0.340489             | 0.3602207 |
| $Di$    | -0.0720316 | 0.018804         | -3.83 | 0.001 | -0.1108411            | -0.0332221 |
| $dPs$   | -0.0268464 | 0.0192045        | -1.40 | 0.175 | -0.0664824            | 0.0127897 |
| Cons    | 0.002324   | 0.0095488        | 0.24 | 0.810 | -0.0173838            | 0.0220318 |

Number of observations = 32

F( 7, 24) = 2.55

Prob F = 0.0411

R-squared = 0.4375

Root MSE = 0.04682
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In order to avoid spurious regression, residual based cointegration test was used, where the stationarity of the residual implies a cointegrating relationship among the variables in the long run equation. The results for the ADF test of the residual are shown in the table 4.6.

Table 4.6: ADF test for residual

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Test Statistic</th>
<th>1% Critical Value</th>
<th>5% Critical Value</th>
<th>10% Critical Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Z(t)</td>
<td>-6.964</td>
<td>-3.709</td>
<td>-2.983</td>
<td>-2.623</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0000

Number of observations  =  31

Since the test statistic is -6.964 is less than the critical values at 1%, 5%, 10%, it therefore implies that residual from the regression using the ADF test is stationary. This result indicates that an ECM is a better fit than one without. The second step in the Engel Granger procedure is to estimate the corresponding error correction model (ECM), based on the long run cointegrating relationship to observe the short-run dynamics (Engel and Granger, 1987).

The study estimated the ECM using the residual from the long-run equation. The ECM is based on stationary data (as all the I(1) regressors are in first difference form) and includes the lagged residuals of the long-run equation, which is also I(0) when the variables have cointegrating relationship. Since the dummy variable is not continuous, there is no need to worry about the stationarity of the dummy variable. The study ran a short run error correction model (ECM). In the ECM, the one period lagged residual for annual data acts as the error correction term. The results of the error correction model in this case are presented in the table 4.7.
Table 4.7: Error Correction Model Results

|      | Coef.  | Robust Std. Err. | t     | P>|t| | 95% Confidence Interval | Interval |
|------|--------|------------------|-------|-----|------------------------|---------|
| dlnT |        |                  |       |     |                        |         |
| dlnY | -0.1652227 | 0.10503          | -1.57 | 0.130 | -0.3830415          | 0.0525962 |
| dlnAgdp | -0.3637257 | 0.2869408        | -1.27 | 0.218 | -0.9588043          | 0.231353  |
| dlnINF | -0.0433735 | 0.0103108        | -4.21 | 0.000 | -0.0647567          | -0.0219902 |
| dlnFDI | -0.201954  | 0.0066467        | -3.04 | 0.006 | -0.0339799          | -0.0064109 |
| dlnOe  | 0.1241625  | 0.0806629        | 1.54  | 0.138 | -0.0431221          | 0.02914471 |
| dlnI   | -0.552624  | 0.0117198        | -4.72 | 0.000 | -0.0795677          | -0.030957 |
| dPs    | -0.0104793 | 0.0080297        | -1.31 | 0.205 | -0.027132           | 0.0061734 |
| dEct   | 0.5798868  | 0.0661129        | 8.77  | 0.000 | 0.4427771           | 0.7169966 |
| _Cons  | 0.0037697  | 0.0056615        | 0.67  | 0.512 | -0.0079716          | 0.015511  |

Number of observations = 31

F( 8,22) = 23.73

Prob> F = 0.0000

R-squared = 0.8458

Root MSE = .02553
General model

\[ dln t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 dlny + \beta_2 dlnagdp + \beta_3 dlninf + \beta_4 dlnfdi + \beta_5 dlnae + \beta_6 dlni + \beta_7 d\text{Ect} \]

Where Ect is the error correction term (ECT) and d denotes the first differences of the variables.

Model

\[ dln t = 0.0038 - 0.1652 dlny - 0.3637 dlnagdp - 0.0434 dlninf - 0.0202 dlnfdi + 0.1242 dlnae - 0.0553 dlni - 0.0105 dps + 0.5799 d\text{Ect} \]

4.6 Discussion of the results

Since the variables are in logarithmic form, the coefficients reported are elasticities except the dummy variable. The R squared of 0.8458 indicates that 84 percent of the variations in revenue collection are explained by per capita GDP, agriculture sector contribution to GDP, informal sector, FDI Trade openness, inflation and political stability. The other factors not captured in the model account for approximately 15 percent.

Inflation rate, FDI and size of informal sector are statistically significant at 1% in explaining changes in revenue collection performance in Kenya.

An increase in the size of informal sector by 1% leads to a decrease in revenue collection by 0.0433% holding other factors constant. This result is significant and is in concurrence with the study’s expectations as indicated in the literature review. Due to the difficulties that accrue to the taxation of the informal sector, any growth in the sector implies increased revenue loss at a
statistically significant rate. Joshi et al. (2012) found that taxing the informal sector may not yield much because the individual incomes are low while the costs of taxation are high. Therefore, governments tend to leave this large sector alone. The informal sector is also a hiding place for tax evaders who conceal themselves under the croak of informality while in actual fact they should be in the taxable bracket. This is in concurrence with previous studies on the subject matter. For instance Chipeta (2002) found the amount of revenue lost through tax evasion by Malawi’s ‘second economy’ to be large and significant both in terms of potential revenue and total actual revenue. Teera (2002) also found similar results, in that Uganda’s underground economy was responsible for tax evasion that affected all the taxes. Cebula and Feige (2011) also had similar results regarding the United States revenue losses due to unreported income by the informal economy. This leads to the conclusion that fiscal policies need to be designed in order to increase the cost of informality whilst at the same time giving incentives to the firms that opt to voluntarily join the formal sector, with the ultimate objective of increasing the tax base.

The results indicate that a 1% increase in per capita GDP will lead to a decrease in total revenue collection by 0.165% holding other factors constant. This is a surprising result, given the fact that an increase in income per capita would imply a rise in taxable income which should improve tax collection. However, it may be an indication that the increase in GDP per capita may be accompanied by a higher wage expense for the formal sector, which has a negative impact on corporation tax, thus making the net effect on tax collection negative. It may also imply tax evasion by economic agents, with much of the taxable income going unreported or, in some cases, through corruption. The result may also be because part of the period under study was prior to the tax policy and modernization reforms whose effect was effectively felt in the late 1990’s, generally referred to as the post-tax reform era. A study by Muriithi and Moyi (2003)
established that buoyancy and tax to GDP elasticity in the post-reform era exceeded unity; meaning tax growth was responsive to GDP growth. This was also the case in the findings of Chelliah (1971) who concluded from his study that higher per capita income implies a higher capacity to pay taxes as well as a greater capacity to collect them. The findings in this study regarding the relationship between the tax collection and per capita income are therefore surprising and warrant greater study in future.

Holding other factors constant, a rise in agriculture sector contribution to GDP by one percent will lead to a decline in revenue collection by 0.3637% though this effect is not significant statistically. This was similar to the findings by Ghura (1998), who found that revenue declined with the share of Agriculture sector to GDP. This is likely to be as a result of the tax exemptions and subsidies given by the government to the agricultural sector. Value addition in this sector is low compared to other sectors of the economy, thus any revenue gains from an increase in AGDP are likely to be negligible. This may also be due to the fact that most of the agricultural activities are for subsistence, as well as political unwillingness by the government to tax this sector as analyzed by Stotsky & WoldeMariam (1997) as well as Teera (2002).

The results show that an increase in FDI by 1% leads to a decrease in revenue collection by 0.020% holding other factors constant. This may be as a result of large tax holidays granted to foreign investors especially in capital projects, as well as the long hiatus in capital gains tax. With the re-introduction of capital gains tax, future increases in FDI may yield an increase in tax revenue. Gupta (2007) found that capital gains tax significantly increases the revenue performance in developing economies. However, due to Kenya’s country specific risk, the government must be prudent in taxing capital growth, since investors require a risk premium for
their investment in the country in order to mitigate on any risk that may affect their return on investment.

Holding other factors constant, an increase in the measure of trade openness by 1% leads to an increase in revenue collection by 0.1242%. This is marginally significant at 10%. This is also according to expectations, since increased international trade is likely to boost collection from import duties and VAT. Keen and Simone (2004) made similar findings regarding the relationship between revenue collection and international trade. It also implies a larger market for local products, thus increasing the revenue base as firms expand production and profits. The tax reforms that removed most export duties also made Kenya’s exports competitive in the global market, thus inducing the production of goods for export and increasing the taxable income of exporters. Export processing zones, manufacture under bond and other duty remission schemes for goods imported for production of exports may also have contributed to this positive result in taxable income from international trade. Gordon and Li (2009) also found in their study that the developing countries on average still raise more than 16 per cent of government revenue from border taxes, making trade openness vital for their economic growth.

Holding other factors constant an increase in the rate of inflation by 1% leads to a decrease in revenue collection by 0.0553% though it is statistically insignificant. This may be as a result of the fact that inflation diminishes real income, thus impacting negatively on trade and profitability. It also affects firms in that unionized workers lobby for wage increases to mitigate the effects of inflation, thus reducing the taxable income of firms. Inflation also creates “inflation tax”, which when factored in may result in diminished tax revenue in real terms. A study by OECD (2006) concluded that Kenya faces persistent inflation mainly due to oil prices which are
always rising, as well as regular droughts. Inflation also affects real interest rates, which drives up the cost of borrowing and can negatively impact on economic growth, and hence revenue.

The dummy variable capturing effect of political stability is insignificant and implies that when there is political instability i.e election years, the revenue collection goes down by approximately 0.0105%. The low level of significance indicates that there has not been any political instability serious enough to cause real damage to the economy, and by extension, to the tax revenue collection. However, this does not indicate that the political stability factor should be brushed aside, since its ripple effects do adversely affect the economy, and by extension, tax revenue. A study by the African Development Bank (2010) found out that the years of political turmoil always negatively impacted on the Kenyan economy. These included the collapse of the East African Community in 1977, the death of Mzee Jomo Kenyatta in 1978 and the attempted coup in 1982. All these shook investor confidence and the rate of GDP growth was badly affected. This too can be postulated in the case of the pre and post-election violence years covered by the dummy variable in this study’s model.

The error correction term is significant and positive with a relatively high speed of adjustment of about 0.57% suggesting that about 0.57% of deviations from long run equation are made up within one time period.
5.0 CONCLUSION AND POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Conclusion

Kenya has made great strides in the area of tax revenue collection. Tax reforms have increased the efficiency in tax collection and made the country largely self sufficient in meeting the government’s expenditure needs. The revenue collection agency, KRA, has made significant progress in widening its tax base and has consistently reported growth in revenue since its inception in 1995. However, the ballooning budget that has hit the Trillion shilling mark necessitates renewed strategic thinking to avoid huge budget deficits which would lead to greater indebtedness through domestic and foreign borrowing.

As the results of this study indicate, there are various determinants of revenue collection that affect the efficiency of this activity. Variations in per capita GDP, agriculture sector contribution to GDP, the informal sector, Foreign Direct Investment, Trade openness, inflation and political stability account for approximately 85% of variations in tax revenue collection. The remaining 15% is accounted for by other factors outside the model, which are catered for by the error term.

The purpose of this study was to determine the effect of the growth in the informal sector on tax revenue collection. The results indicate that an increase in the size of informal sector by 1% would lead to a decrease in revenue collection by 0.0433% holding other factors constant. This in effect means that a 100% increase in the size of the informal sector would lead to a 4.33% decrease in tax revenue collection. If this was applied to the current KRA target of almost 1 Trillion in the 2013/2014 financial year, this would translate to around 40 Billion Kenya Shillings tax loss. Given the rate of increase in the size of the informal economy as evidenced by
the consistent growth in employment in the sector, this kind of revenue loss is likely to grow in arithmetic progression. This compares with past studies conducted in other countries, all of which showed that growth in the informal sector has a corresponding negative effect on revenue collection efforts. (Chipeta, 2002; Osoro, 1995; Rosser et al, 2000,2003)

Both the results of the study and the literature review reveal a compelling need by the fiscal authorities to re-engineer the tax policies with a direct goal of tapping into the expansive informal sector. Even though a large number of those employed in the informal sector falls below the taxable bracket of Pay As You Earn tax, there exists many firms that operate informally whose turn over and profits have tax implications. There is also the possibility that much of the revenue is lost through loopholes in tax legislation and administration, thus the government may need to enact stiffer penalties for tax evasion and improve the capacity of the tax agency in order to carry out thorough audits and increase the cost of evading tax. Bureaucratic hurdles of registration and payment of taxes should be minimized in order to facilitate easier formalization of businesses.

Given the statistical significance of the results, fiscal policy decisions can be made on the basis of projections done using the regression model in this study. This would necessarily include the other variables considered in the model according to their respective significance levels. This is especially so for per capita GDP and trade openness which in addition to the informal sector are significant determinants of tax revenue performance.
5.2 Policy recommendations

Based on the findings of the study, fiscal authorities must take into consideration the magnitude of the effects of the growth in the informal economy as well as variations in the other determinants of tax revenue collection.

One of the policy measures necessary to cut revenue losses is formalization of the informal sector. Although many of the so-called “jua kali” businesses are small enterprises, there exists a considerable number of income generating ventures that should fall within the tax net. Kenya Revenue Authority has in the recent past put in a great effort to ensure landlords declare rental income for tax purposes. This effort includes the proposed mapping of all rental units in urban areas by means of a Geo-spatial technology. This in effect is one measure to formalize the housing sector which has largely been informally managed, especially where the landlords are individuals and not firms. Advance tax for the passenger transport sector also reeled in matatu operators into the tax net. Similar measures need to be instituted for other participants in the informal economy such as timber merchants, vehicle garages, unregistered construction site contractors, etc. Some of these businesses have a high sales turnover which could qualify for VAT registration. Others have a large number of employees who fall within the PAYE bracket. Through formulation of policies that give advantage to formal businesses over informal ones, these firms are likely to opt for formalization, thus increasing the tax base. This also requires firm political will as attempts to tax the informal sector are likely to be met with resistance and hostility from sections of the public and their leaders. Tax amnesty for voluntary compliance is also likely to catalyze the process of formalization of businesses for taxation purposes. The literature reviewed also raises the issue of “psychic costs” of informality and tax evasion. Cebula and Feige (2011) found out that the anxiety of being discovered and fined by the tax authorities
for tax evasion affected tax compliance by economic agents. This was increased by tax audits and their yields, which in turn made tax evaders calculate their expected loss in case an audit was carried out on their unreported income. Thus intensifying tax audits is likely to result in greater compliance and formalization of qualifying firms and individuals.

With the current era of devolution in Kenya, a significant portion of tax collection has been transferred from the national tax agency, KRA, to the county governments. It is also clearly apparent that most of the revenue collected by county governments was from small and medium enterprises located in rural localities and urban centers. This necessitates strategic thinking by county governments in defining their fiscal policies, which necessarily must balance the issues of social welfare, wealth redistribution and financial independence of individual counties. They must also consider the issue of employment, such that they do not cause unemployment by over-taxing small businesses. In this case, instead of the normal approach of instituting income taxes and trade taxes in a generalized impersonal manner, the counties may opt to employ a ‘benefit approach’ as enunciated by Musgrave and Musgrave (1989). This could either be through general benefit taxes or specific benefit taxes. Specific benefit taxes are already in use in most counties, and include user charges, tolls and fees. These are used where benefits accrue to a particular consumer of a private good or service. The general benefit taxes are more complex because they depend on individual preferences, and thus would depend on tax payers’ self evaluation of their demand for social goods. The appropriate formula would therefore depend on the income and price elasticity of demand for social goods, expressed by individual taxpayers’ indifference curves.

From the study, the results of other determinants also indicate the path that the government should follow in order to increase the revenue performance. For instance, the Agriculture sector
contribution to GDP has a negative sign, indicating an inverse relationship with revenue performance. To change this trend, policies that encourage value addition in the sector should be formulated. This would see the rise of processing industries for agricultural output, which would result in products that can be charged VAT on, as well as more profitable ventures that can yield a substantial increase in corporation tax and payroll tax (PAYE). It would also change the economy from a net importer to a net exporter, with exports of high value goods that can compete favorably in the global market.

Trade openness (OE) has the expected positive sign. Import taxes and VAT contribute greatly to the aggregate tax, and thus greater openness to international trade is likely to yield more revenue. However, Kenya needs to move from being a primary producer to an industrialized economy in order to gain comparative advantage in the international markets. This is especially so in the regional market, namely in the East African Community and the COMESA trading bloc. By moving from raw material production to selling finished goods, the Kenyan economy can greatly benefit from the regional market where the removal of tariff and non-tariff barriers greatly facilitate trade. This will, as a result lead to economic growth and better revenue performance. An evaluation of Kenya’s benefit from international trade can also help formulate better trade policies. The country should aim at moving from a net importer to a net exporter of finished goods as set out in the Vision 2030 whose objective is to see the country move into a middle-income economy. The results regarding Foreign Direct Investment show a negative sign, which implies that an increase in FDI leads to a reduction in tax revenue. This would seem to support the recent move by the government to reintroduce Capital Gains Tax, and thus this is the right policy measure to reverse the revenue loss. The government should also encourage foreign investors to engage in activities that enhance technological advancement and human capital
development, as opposed to employing expatriate workers. This is likely to lead to higher productivity of capital and labour in the economy, and subsequently lead to higher tax yields.

The results also indicate that although of statistical insignificance, high inflation and political instability negatively impact tax revenue performance. Therefore inflation control and a favorable political climate create the proper environment for growth in tax revenue. The usual inflation control mechanisms such as open market operations and price controls need to be employed astutely to ensure tax growth. This is more so especially in crucial sectors that drive the economy, such as the energy sector.

Income per capita is also a significant determinant of revenue performance. The negative sign seems to indicate that though growth in per capita GDP is a desirable sign of economic growth and development, it still impacts tax revenue collection negatively. In this respect, the government needs to encourage a saving culture among the citizenry in order to stimulate growth through investment. Although consumption increases as GDP per capita grows with the resultant disposable income, increased saving is likely to be more beneficial to the economy since the savings can be used to fund industrial growth, business expansion and infrastructural development which in effect would lead to an increase in taxable income.

Among the variety of measures available for the government to increase tax revenue from the informal sector, there are two that stand out from a study by Joshi (2012). The first one is called the Block Management System (BMS) which is practiced in Tanzania. According to Joshi, the BMS is set up so that trading areas are mapped and divided into blocks on the basis of geography, administrative boundaries or a few streets. Each block is mandated to operate all tax functions of identification, registration, assessing and accounting for revenue collected, with the BMS team
allocated a team of staff with relevant skills to perform these functions. This involves door to
door taxpayer recruitment and follow up within the set blocks. This system helps to zero in on
the small and medium enterprise which would normally hide under the cloak of anonymity. The
second option is the Associational Taxation which is practiced in Ghana. Joshi states that such a
strategy implies proactive efforts to foster effective collective action within the informal sector,
coupled with the creation of institutional channels to facilitate bargaining and cooperation
between informal sector associations and the state. This brings about acceptance by the business
community, increases compliance and reduces tax collection costs to a fixed minimum.

Finally, there are other factors that determine tax revenue performance but which are not covered
by this paper. This study therefore raises further questions in the area of taxation, and
recommends further research on the determinants of tax revenue performance as well as the
impact of the growth of the informal sector on the Kenyan economy.
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