

**THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN FINANCIAL DEEPENING AND
PERFORMANCE OF SMALLHOLDER FARMERS IN HOMA BAY COUNTY,
KENYA**

OJWANG CONSOLATE ADHIAMBO

**A RESEARCH PROJECT REPORT SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT
OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF THE DEGREE OF MASTER
OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION, SCHOOL OF BUSINESS, UNIVERSITY OF
NAIROBI**

OCTOBER 2014

DECLARATION

This project report is my original work and has not been submitted for award of a degree in any other university or college for examination/academic purposes.

Signed: Date:

OJWANG CONSOLATE ADHIAMBO

Reg. No.: D61/79505/2012

SUPERVISOR

Signed: Date:

Name: DR. SIFUNJO KISAKA

Department of Finance & Accounting

School of Business

University of Nairobi

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

My first and foremost gratitude goes to The Almighty God for enabling me and guiding me through my academic life. I am grateful to The University of Nairobi for admitting me to the MBA programme in the School of Business. My supervisor, Dr. Sifunjo Kisaka also earns my deepest thanks for his timely and strict guidance to refine this work. My gratitude goes to the staff at SASRA, my lecturers, colleagues, fellow students for their companion and shared experiences. I am forever be indebted to my loving family for giving up their valuable time to this course. My special thanks to those who knowingly or otherwise had a positive contribution to the successful completion of this research project.

DEDICATION

I dedicate this research work to daughter Micha, who is my inspiration in everything I do and every choice I make. To my parents Mr. & Mrs. Lawrence and Millicent Ojwang who always supported me in every endeavor, you made me whom I am today and to my siblings Eve, Jedidah, Winnie, Trevor and Rita you are the reason I am here at all, you inspired me immensely.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION.....	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.....	iii
DEDICATION.....	iv
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	x
ABSTRACT.....	xi
CHAPTER ONE	1
INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Background of the Study	1
1.1.1. Financial Deepening	2
1.1.2 Performance of Smallholder Farmers	2
1.1.3 Financial Deepening and Performance of Smallholder Farmers	3
1.1.4 SHF in Homa Bay County	4
1.2 Research Problem	5
1.3 Objectives of the study.....	6
1.4 Value of the Study	6
CHAPTER TWO	7
LITERATURE REVIEW	7
2.1 Introduction.....	7
2.2 Theoretical Literature.....	7
2.2.1 Edward Shaw’s Theory.....	7
2.2.2 Ronald McKinnon Complementary Theory	8
2.2.3 Financial Liberation Theory	9

2.2.4 Financial Repression Theory	9
2.2.5 Fry’s Theory on Financial Liberation	10
2.3 Determinants of Performance of SHF.....	11
2.3.1 Credit (Loans)	11
2.3.2 Savings/Deposits.....	12
2.3.3 Transactions	13
2.3.4 Insurance	13
2.3.5 Farm Size	14
2.4 Empirical Literature	15
2.4.1 Review of International Literature.....	15
2.4.2 Review of Local Research	16
2.5 Summary on Literature Review	18
CHAPTER THREE	20
RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	20
3.1 Introduction.....	20
3.2 Research Design.....	20
3.3 Population and Sample	20
3.4 Data and Data Collection Instruments	21
3.5 Data Analysis	21
3.5.1 Conceptual Model.....	21
3.5.2 Analytical Model	22
3.6 Data Reliability and Validity	22
CHAPTER FOUR.....	24
DATA ANALYSIS, RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	24
4.1 Introduction.....	24

4.2 Summary Statistics.....	24
4.2.1 Performance of SHF (Income).....	24
4.2.2 Share Capital per Smallholder Farmer.....	25
4.2.3 Farmers Deposits to Cooperatives	26
4.2.4 Loans per Smallholder Farmer.....	27
4.2.5 Assets per Smallholder Farmer	28
4.3 Relationship between Financial Deepening and Performance of SHF	29
4.3.1 Correlation Analysis	29
4.3.2 Results of Model Goodness of Fit Test.....	29
4.3.3 Results of ANOVA	30
4.3.4 Estimated Model	31
4.4 Discussion.....	31
4.5 Summary	33
CHAPTER FIVE	34
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	34
5.1 Introduction.....	34
5.2 Summary of the Study	34
5.3 Conclusion	35
5.4 Limitations of the Study.....	35
5.5 Recommendations for Further Research.....	36
REFERENCES.....	37
APENDICES	42
Appendix 1: Data on Farmers’ Cooperatives – Homa Bay County.....	42

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 4.1: Summary Statistics for Performance of Smallholder Farmers	24
Table 4.2: Summary Statistics for Share Capital per Smallholder Farmer Market..	25
Table 4.3: Summary Statistics for Smallholder Farmers Deposits	26
Table 4.4: Summary Statistics for Loans per Smallholder Farmer	27
Table 4.5: Summary Statistics for Assets per Smallholder Farmer.....	28
Table 4.6: Correlation Matrix.....	29
Table 4.7: Goodness of Fit Statistic.....	29
Table 4.8: Analysis of Variance (ANOVA).....	30
Table 4.8: Regression Analysis.....	31

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 4.1: Graphical Presentation of Distribution of Income in the County.....	24
Figure 4.2: Graphical Presentation of Distribution of Share capital per farmer.....	25
Figure 4.3: Graphical Presentation of Smallholder Farmers Deposits	26
Figure 4.4: Graphical Presentation of Distribution of Loans per Farmer.....	27
Figure 4.5: Graphical Presentation of Distribution of Assets per Farmer.....	28

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ADB:	African Development Bank
ASCA:	Accumulating Savings and Credit Association
ASDS:	Agricultural Sector Development Strategy
MDG:	Millennium Development Goals
GDP:	Gross Domestic Product
KNBS:	Kenya National Bureau of Statistics
GDP:	Gross Domestic Product
MFSP:	Mobile Phone Financial Service Providers
KARI:	Kenya Agricultural Research Institute
MSMEs:	Small Medium Enterprises
ROSCA:	Rotating Savings and Credit Association
SACCOS:	Savings and Credit Co-Operatives
LDC:	Low Developing Countries
KARI:	Kenya Agricultural Research Institute
TFP:	Total Factor Productivity
SSA:	Sub Saharan Africa
SHF:	Small Holder Farmers
SASRA:	Sacco Societies Regulatory Authority

ABSTRACT

Theory and evidence show that financial sector deepening has some positive impact on performance and widens access to financial services for the SHF. However the subject of the relationship between financial deepening and performance of SHF has not been fully explained thus empirical evidence, presents varied positions. This study aimed at establishing the relationship between financial deepening and performance of SHF in Homa Bay County, Kenya. The research focused on 2011/2012 & 2012/2013 period because records for previous periods were not easily accessible. In this research, performance of SHF was the dependent variable while the independent variables were various aspects of financial deepening, namely; Farmers Assets, Deposits to Cooperatives, Share Capital and Loans given to farmers.

The study used the multiple regression model regressing Performance of SHF against Assets, Deposits, Loans and Share Capital. The analysis was done at 95% confidence level. The significance of the constant term of the regression and the coefficient of each of the independent variables was tested using t-tests while the significance of the whole regression was measured using the F-test. The degree to which the variation in independent variables explained the variation in GDP growth was measured by the coefficient of determination. The research established that the performance of farmers would still grow by 2,155.92 Kes independent of Assets, Loans, Share Capital and Deposits. However, from the model, SHFs' average Assets, Loans, Share Capital and Deposits were not statistically significant in determining performance of SHF.

It was indicated that a 1% rise in Share Capital would result in 1.74% drop in Performance of SHF if all the other variables remain constant and that increasing Deposits by 1% would lead to 1.71% drop in Performance of SHF if all other variables remain constant. It also indicated that 1% rise in Loans would lead to 0.96% rise in Performance of SHF if all other variables remain constant and that 1% rise in private credit would drive 1.03% rise in Performance of SHF if all other variables remain constant. However, coefficient of determination indicated that only 65% of variation in Smallholder Farmers Performance was well explained by Assets, Loans, Share Capital and Deposits. It is, therefore, recommended that strategies to enhance financial deepening be put in place as a mechanism of stimulating performance of SHF in Homa Bay County.

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

Financial deepening is a process whereby financial institutions and markets provide a range of services and instruments that allow for, efficient exchange of goods and services (e.g., payments services), effective savings and investment decisions (including at long maturities) and the financial sector can create a broad menu of assets for risk sharing purposes. In other words, it can be understood as a process of increasing the efficiency, depth (e.g., credit intermediation and market turnover), breadth (e.g., range of markets and instruments); and reach (e.g., access) of financial systems (IMF, 2012).

SHF are those farmers who have limited resource endowments relative to other farmers in the sector. In favorable areas with high population densities they often cultivate less than one ha of land, whereas they may cultivate 10 ha or more in semi-arid areas, or manage 10 head of livestock (FAO). Agricultural Performance can be defined in financial sector as the ability to achieve gross margin efficiency, operating profit efficiency, positive return on equity, positive returns on assets, sales, net income, working capital ratio etc (CAPI, 2009)

Financial sector deepening is not a goal in itself, rather it is a tool for economic growth (Beck, 2007). Improving the production capacity of agriculture in developing countries through performance increases is an important policy goal where agriculture represents an important sector in the economy as it provides livelihood directly and indirectly to a significant portion of the population of all developing countries.

This study entails investigating whether or not there is a relationship between financial deepening and performance of smallholder farmers in Homa Bay County, Kenya. Emphasis is placed on performance because expansion of arable land is very limited in most countries due to physical lack of suitable land and/or because of environmental priorities (Zepeda, 2001). Homa Bay County Government, has under her goals for agriculture to strengthen Access to Credit through Agriculture Finance Corporation and SACCOs

1.1.1. Financial Deepening

Financial deepening is often understood to mean that sectors and agents are able to use a range of financial markets for savings and investment decisions, including at long maturities (access), financial intermediaries and markets are able to deploy larger volumes of capital and handle larger turnover, without necessitating large corresponding movements in asset prices. The financial sector can create a broad menu of assets for risk-sharing purposes.

Deepening by increasing transaction volumes, can enhance the capacity to intermediate capital flows without large swings in asset prices and exchange rates. But it can also attract volatile capital inflows, complicating macroeconomic management. It can lower the reliance on foreign savings and attenuate balance sheet mismatches by increasing the scope to raise funds in domestic currencies and at longer maturities (IMF, 2012). One of the most pressing issues for Africa is to channel existing resources into productive investment so that they can stimulate productivity, create employment, provide individuals and enterprises with basic utilities, and contribute to efficient natural resource management (OECD, 2009)

1.1.2 Performance of Smallholder Farmers

Agriculture is the lifeblood of African economies and societies - more than half a billion Africans, or some 65% of the population (more than 80% in some countries), depend on small-scale farming as their primary livelihood source. Yet they are marginalized and often do not produce enough to feed their families throughout the year, primarily because they lack access to the inputs, services, credit and markets that would enable them to increase their production. SHF are also deeply vulnerable to climate and economic shocks (African Smallholder Farmers Group website).

United States Department of Agriculture used a model known as total factor productivity (TFP) to measure agricultural productivity. TFP takes into account all of the land, labor, capital, and material resources employed in farm production and compares them with the total amount of crop and livestock output. If total output is growing faster than total inputs, it is an improvement in total factor productivity ("factor" = input). Agricultural

total factor productivity (TFP) was stagnant in the Sub Saharan Africa (SSA) region between 1961 and 1985 and then grew at about 1 percent per year through 2008.

Although an improvement, the TFP growth rate for SSA is still only about half the average for all developing countries during the same period. However, some SSA countries achieved productivity growth rates averaging 2 percent per year or higher. Agricultural research investments, economic reform, and other factors account for the fact that some countries improved their agricultural TFP more than others. USDA (2013) found out that the factors promoting productivity were economic and trade policy reforms and low investments in land improvement and fertilizer use among others.

1.1.3 Financial Deepening and Performance of Smallholder Farmers

Increasing value in agriculture is one of the six key factors given priority under the economic pillar in Kenya Vision 2030. Kenya will raise income in agriculture, livestock and fisheries by processing and thereby adding value to her products before they reach the market. The annual economic reports produced by Kenya National Bureau Statistics for the 2013 and 2014 indicated a positive correlation between the national economic growth and the growth of the agricultural sector and the sector is said to contribute about 26 % of the national GDP. Growth in the agricultural sector decelerated in 2013 to 2.9 per cent from a revised growth of 4.2 per cent in 2012 and this contributed 17.6 per cent of the overall Gross Domestic Product (GDP), this is according to the Kenya National Bureau of Statistics (KNBS) 2014 Economic Survey,

A study by African Development Bank in 2010 concluded that the expansion of smallholder farming can lead to a faster rate of poverty alleviation, by raising the incomes of rural cultivators and reducing food expenditure, and thus reduces income inequality (World Bank, 2008). As observed by Ravallion (2001), a rise in average household income by 2 percent leads to a fall in the poverty rates by about 4 percent on average. Faster agricultural growth has put countries on the path of a much broader transformation process: rising farm incomes raising demand for industrial goods; lowering food prices, curbing inflation and inducing non-farm growth, and creating an additional demand for workers. Rising on-farm productivity also encourages broad entrepreneurial activities through diversification into new products, the growth of rural

service sectors, the birth of agro-processing industries, and the exploration of new export market (World Bank, 2008).

The 2008 World Development Report also observed that GDP growth originating in agriculture is about four times more effective in reducing poverty than GDP growth of other sectors (World Bank, 2008). Various estimates have indicated that there have been positive, though marginal, changes in the poverty profiles of the four studied countries, but not to the level needed to meet the MDG- Eradicate extreme poverty and hunger. (World Bank, 2008).

According to Kenya Agricultural Research Institute, the agricultural sector is the mainstay of the Kenya's economy. The sector directly contributes 24% of the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) and 27% of GDP indirectly through linkages with manufacturing, distribution and other service related sectors. Approximately 45% of Government revenue is derived from agriculture and the sector contributes over 75% of industrial raw materials and more than 50% of the export earnings. It is also the largest employer in the economy, accounting for 60 per cent of the total employment

1.1.4 SHF in Homa Bay County

According to Strategic Plan for Homa Bay County 2013-2023, there are approximately 150,000 farm families with an average of 2.2 acres farm per family. The main crops produced in the Homa Bay County include maize, beans, sorghum, millet, kales, sweet potatoes and peas and this is grown in about 6,000 hectares. The vast majority (80 per cent) of the farmers produce maize and beans. This is because maize and beans are considered the staple foods of the county. The average productivity of maize is 0.9 tons per hectare in the County and the total production of Maize was 67,965 tons in 2012, down from 88,143 tons in 2011.

Some of the hindrances to smallholder farming success in the County were identified as inadequate financial facilities, lack of farm machineries and poor business management among others. The potential for irrigated agriculture stands at 8,966 hectares with only 13.3% exploited. Small holdings are prominent in densely populated areas of Homa Bay Town, Rangwe, Kasipul and Kabondo Kasipul where the main crops grown are maize,

beans, pineapples, ground nuts and potatoes. (Homa Bay County Integrated Development Plan, 2013 – 2017)

1.2 Research Problem

According to theoretical literature, there are several mechanisms through which access to finance and performance may be related. (Loayza & Ranciere, 2005) in their IMF working paper WP/05/170, concluded that economic growth is positively and significantly linked to the measure of financial intermediation in the long run. The hypothesis upon which this study is based is that there is a co-relationship between financial deepening or access and performance of SHF. According to Ghosh, Mookherjee & Ray (1999), credit is essential in poor rural economies as it is required to finance working capital and investment in fixed capital particularly among farmers too poor to accumulate much saving. Credit cushions farmers from risks associated with consumption during difficult times and it enables them to undertake riskier investments as it will enable them to better deal with the consequences of poorly performing investments (Eswaran & Kotwal, 1990).

Klapper, Laeven and Rajan, (2004) argue that access to credit permits greater market entry by talented new entrants and this causes continuous disequilibria in the market that creates opportunity for value creation in the market and affects firms' responsiveness to new market conditions. When producers are unable to make the necessary upfront investments or cannot bear additional risk, they have to forgo opportunities to boost their productivity, enhance their income and improve their well-being (FAO, 2011 March). Diagne and Zeller, (2001) says without adequate access to loans or insurance, producers who face negative shocks, such as droughts, illness or a significant drop in the prices they receive, can lose some of the few assets they do have.

Looking at Homa Bay County, farmers have faced low production in the last decade due to many challenges one of them being lack of access to finance. Action Aid (2001) also identified competition from foreign producers arising from economic liberalization and the high cost of crop production which increases the prices of the products and makes them vulnerable when competing against cheaper imported products. Lack of capital to invest in farming and a general low level of funding from the government has also

hindered increased crop production (UNESCO, 2006). According to the World Bank, small-scale farmers require access to four kinds of financing: 1) credit used as working capital; 2) savings for lean months; 3) transactional facilities; and 4) insurance of crops and livestock.

From the above discussion, theory and evidence show that financial sector deepening has some positive impact on growth and widens access to financial services for the SHF. It also shows that there has been high performance on arrears where there is access to finance compared to those without. Action Aid (2001) also noted low production was experienced in areas around South Nyanza which include Homa Bay County due to lack of financial access among other reasons. However the subject of the relationship between financial deepening and performance of SHF has not been fully explained. This study therefore sought to establish whether the performance of a smallholder farmer has any direct or indirect relationship with financial deepening. This is a test whether performances being achieved by smallholder farmers are directly related to financial deepening in that economy. And so the research questions is; what is the relationship between financial deepening and performance of SHF in Homa Bay County, Kenya.

1.3 Objectives of the study

To examine if there is a relationship between financial deepening and performance of smallholder farmers in Homa Bay County.

1.4 Value of the Study

This study has provided information to policy makers about the main barriers to financial access and inclusion of SHF. The findings inform reforms and strategies to enhance financial inclusion. It also aims to provide information to the financial institutions on market conditions and opportunities and in particular, insight into the types of products and delivery channels that suit different farmers and finally to provide data for use in academic research into the impact of access to financial services and products on growth, development and poverty reduction.

CHAPTER TWO

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Introduction

This chapter discusses literatures that have been done in relation to financial deepening and performance of industries. Section 2.2 discusses the theoretical literature, section 2.3 looks at the determinants of performance of SHF, section 2.4 presents the empirical literature, section 2.5 reviews local research and section 2.6 summarizes the chapter.

2.2 Theoretical Literature

This section looked into five different financial deepening theories. That is Edward Shaw's Theory, Ronald McKinnon's Theory, Financial Liberation Theory, Financial Repression Theory and Fry's Theory

2.2.1 Edward Shaw's Theory

The Theory was developed by Shaw (1973), he argued that financial liberalization permits a centralization of the funds market, which is a necessary condition for economic development. According to him, financial repression has several negative consequences: In contrast, financial liberalization has positive effects on growth thanks to an optimal allocation of resources with a saving price that reflects its scarcity and the unification of the domestic financial system. Moreover, it also leads to less unemployment (as the price of capital increases and as there is substitution of capital by labor), a better financial credit offer (with longer maturity for instance) and the entry of foreign capital. (Fowowe)

Shaw defines financial deepening as the accumulation of financial assets at a faster pace than the accumulation of non-financial wealth and total output. Thus, when deepening is occurring stocks of financial assets aggregative grow relative to income or in proportion to tangible wealth and their range of qualities widens. His contention is that the development of the financial sector of the economy does matter despite the little attention which has been paid to it in the development literature.

Shaw presents first the implications of money and finance for growth in a basic neoclassical model and in a Keynesian version which allows for risk and risk aversion. In both versions money is seen as part of real wealth. The major value of the wealth view is

seen in the emphasis on the relative price of money and its significance in money deepening, which in its turn has important effects: a negative income effect due to substitution of money to physical wealth in savings allocation and a positive income effect due to the increase in productivity of labour and capital brought about by the services of money.

He makes few assumptions, that; money not being wealth there is no substitution effect, but there still is a positive and even more accentuated income effect, while the absorption of factor inputs by the monetary industry has a negative one, furthermore there is a positive effect of growth in real money on the propensity to save and an investment effect brought about by the unification of the capital market, diminished uncertainty regarding forward rates of return and more discrimination choice among investments alternatives. Shaw's predicament for financial liberalization is very simple: let prices for financial instruments be relevant prices and ensure that markets for financial instruments be competitive, while controlling money supply.

2.2.2 Ronald McKinnon Complementary Theory

This theory was developed by Ronald McKinnon (1973). His hypothesis predicts that money and investment are complementary due to self-financed investment, so that a real deposit rate is the key determinant of capital formation for developing economies. In emerging markets, saving resources exist but are badly managed. Emerging economies are fragmented so there is a greater likelihood of having investments that are less productive. Capital accumulation is discouraged by the fact that for a high inflation rate, nominal interest rates are set too low and thus real interest rates could be negative. As capital supply of banking sector is limited and banks have only specialized credit activities, people have to finance their investment projects by themselves or have to go to the informal sector where interest rates are often usurious.

For McKinnon, financial liberalization lead to unified financial markets and the best strategy is to let interest rates freely fluctuate. In this case, interest rates would reflect the capital scarcity and the information costs about borrower quality. Beside, high interest rates would stop low yield investments. The authorities should limit their role to ensure low inflation and to promote financial sector development.

McKinnon and Shaw's model have received several criticisms; first financial repression is not the only cause of credit rationing. According to Weiss and Stiglitz (2000), information asymmetry, monopolistic banks and other market imperfections can lead to the same result. Second, financial repression may be the only choice for financing governments when there is no government bond market or no efficient tax system. Third, the relation between interest rates, saving and investment is not so obvious. It is worth examining whether, as Shaw believes, the substitution is actually stronger than that of revenue. Finally, a market oriented financial system may increase the quantity of investment but not necessarily its quality.

2.2.3 Financial Liberation Theory

McKinnon and Shaw (1973) later came up with Financial Liberation Theory which argues that financial repression through interest rate ceilings keeps interest rates low and this discourages savings with the consequence that the quantity of investment is stifled. Thus investment is constrained by savings. The quality of investment is also low because the projects that will be undertaken under a regime of repression will have a low rate of return. With financial liberalization, interest rate deregulation means that the interest rate will rise, thereby increasing savings and also investment. The increased investment results in the rationing out of low-yielding projects and the subsequent undertaking of high-yielding projects. The quality of investment rises and this will ultimately increase economic growth. McKinnon and Shaw therefore advocated the liberalization of such repressed financial systems so as to promote economic growth (Fowowe). However this view was strongly contested with (Stiglitz, 2000) arguing that if financial integration proceeds fast, the propensity of crisis events is higher. Additionally financial liberation may turn to be excessively selective, leaving smaller businesses or smaller economies without sufficient access to finance.

2.2.4 Financial Repression Theory

The seminal works of McKinnon (1973) and Shaw (1973) attributed financial repression as the cause of the unsatisfactory growth performance of developing countries. Both McKinnon and Shaw advocated that financial liberalization was needed to remedy the problems caused by the financial repressive policies of developing countries. According

to their argument, a repressed financial sector discourages both saving and investment because the rates of return are lower than what could be obtained in a competitive market. In such a system, financial intermediaries do not function at their full capacity and fail to channel saving into investment efficiently, thereby impeding the development of the overall economic system. It posits therefore that the liberation of these countries from their repressive conditions would induce savings, investment and growth.

A general criticism that has been leveled against this tradition is that its view on the role of institutions is negative. Their view of the role of institutions, it is argued, conflicts with what goes on in any real economy where markets work through a whole network of institutions. Inclusive in these institutions are trade unions, firms, and the state who play a crucial role in collecting information and reducing uncertainty. (Graham, 1996)

2.2.5 Fry's Theory on Financial Liberation

Michael J Fry (1978) theory assumes that inflation is a monetary phenomenon that is defined as the difference between the rate of growth of nominal money supply and money demand in real per capita terms. In his model, real money demand is supposed to be positively related to the real deposit rate and real permanent income. On the other hand, inflation is assumed to be a positive function of the increase in money supply and is negatively related to the real deposit rate. Fry summarizes the distorting effects of interest rate ceilings on deposits as follows: i) in an inter-temporal maximization of consumption framework, low interest rates make current consumption more attractive relative to future consumption and hence decrease the current saving rate. ii) The efficiency of investment falls both because low yielding projects become feasible and because of credit rationing that distorts the efficient allocation of funds. iii) If the ceiling is imposed only on deposit rates and loan rates are able to adjust accordingly, then the spread between loan and deposit rates is much higher than it would be if deposit rates were left to reach equilibrium levels.

This might create a problem of credit rationing from the banks related to adverse selection since very high loan rates might attract borrowers that engage in very risky projects and hence ration out borrowers with moderate but profitable projects. iv) However, even if ceilings are imposed also on the loan rates, the situation is no better

since banks cannot attach risk premiums to these rates and hence, not only investment is allocated inefficiently but also there is a problem of viability and fragility of financial institutions and the financial system. v) Financial fragility can emerge also by government policy to subsidize loan rates to the financing of projects by the banks that it thinks to be necessary for economic development. In this case, there might be a decreased incentive on subsidized borrowers to repay their loans and this would certainly add to the financial viability problem of banks.

2.3 Determinants of Performance of SHF

Farmers require four kinds of financial services to achieve their economic goals: credit, savings, transfer and payment facilities and insurance (CGAP and IFAD 2006). Access to financial services has the potential to improve commercialization of smallholder agriculture and contribute to poverty alleviation among rural communities (Kirui, O.K et al, 2010). A growing body of evidence suggests that increasing poor people's access to better financial tools can help accelerate the rate at which they move out of poverty and help them hold on to economic gains (Bills & Melinda Gates Foundation).

A number of agents in rural areas such as government departments, commercial banks, microfinance institutions, traders, telecommunications companies, community-based organizations, families, and friends provide financial services, which can include credit, savings, insurance, transfers, and payments. Even so, tailoring and providing financial services for small-scale farmers remains challenging they are located in remote and often sparsely populated areas, and rarely possess the sorts of physical or financial assets that financial institutions customarily accept as collateral. Typical rural assets, such as livestock, pose challenges of inventory assessment and management, and collateral substitutes based on warehouse receipts or returns from future crops are unavailable in many countries. Farmers also have a special need for financial products with a time horizon extending over multiple crop cycles (Bagazonzya et al).

2.3.1 Credit (Loans)

Credit in the form of loans, personal loans, salary loans, overdraft facilities, or credit lines, is often used as working capital at the beginning of the growing season to purchase inputs and prepare land. They also need capital to invest in equipment such as tractors or

drip irrigation and to harvest, process, market, and transport their produce. It is important to distinguish between short-term loans, which microfinance institutions usually provide, and the long-term financial services required for agricultural and livestock enterprises. (CGAP & IFAD, 2006) Agriculture is often perceived as much riskier than other sectors, particularly by financial institutions that lack in-house expertise on agriculture. This lack of understanding leads many MFIs to inflate the risk of farm microfinance. Financing farmers presents risks that vary in both likelihood and severity, but they are identifiable and possible to mitigate effectively.

For smallholder farms usually those supporting single family expenses come early in the season before the planting while income arrives only several months later with the harvest. How, then, can these farmers access the cash they need to plant their crops and, more importantly, to survive between harvests? Access to credit and, thus, access to seeds, soil nutrients, equipment, extension advice, and improved technology can help change that. (Thurow, 2014) El-Osta and Johnson (no year provided) on their paper ‘Determinants of Financial Performance of Commercial Dairy Farms’ found out the financial position of farmers hinges on many factors in addition to the price of produce a factor no farmer can control.

2.3.2 Savings/Deposits

Savings may be in the form of current accounts, savings accounts, or fixed or time deposits. Farmers have a significant need for savings, because their income is seasonally tied to the harvest, and for much of the year they rely on savings to smooth consumption (CGAP & IFAD, 2006). The revolution in agriculture will impact immediately on reducing poverty by increasing household incomes and level of savings, and enhancing the quality of life. Savings help farmers to prepare for future emergencies or risks, to smooth out variations in income and consumption: Saving during surplus periods to use during difficult periods or to invest in opportunities potentially profitable (purchasing a cow, starting a small enterprise, storing grain to resell during high price season, etc.) (FAO). Village savings and loans groups in which members pool resources and lend to members in need are also a low-cost solution that could help to reduce the worst impacts

of the lean season or extreme weather events, while creating local funds that farmers can tap into for other development activities.

Ashraf et al, (2005) run an evaluation in the Philippines that tested commitment accounts with great success which were designed so that the customer chose a savings goal (either a date or amount) that they had to reach before withdrawing funds. The bank also offered additional commitment mechanisms including a safe box (similar to a piggy bank) and automatic transfers. The commitment savings product had positive impacts in Malawi on the amount of planting for the next season, sales from the next harvest, and consumption after harvest. Committed farmers spent 26 percent more on inputs, had increased sales by 22 percent and consumed 17 percent more after the harvest.

2.3.3 Transactions

Good financial transaction systems help farmers to make and receive payments securely, access other financial services such as micro-insurance, and connect to local and regional agribusinesses. Farmers can sell their produce and receive cash from the comfort of their farms by use of mobile phone to call and pay for services reducing distance and losses in the production cycle. They can also use these services to pay for wages, utility bills, etc.

Good transactions systems allow for local and international money transfers, remittances, government transfers, and check clearing (CGAP & IFAD, 2006) Mobile money and agent banking have proven we can increase access and also reduces the time used collecting or sending cash. Mobile money system also allows farmers to borrow and repay without the need to necessarily physically visit a lender and this reduces the cost of borrowing and therefore a positive move towards affordable credit.

2.3.4 Insurance

Insurance may cover crops and livestock as well as human life and health (CGAP and IFAD 2006). Insurance pay farmers in the event that a portion of their crops cannot be harvested because of bad weather or if prices for cash crops fall precipitously between planting and harvesting. If those farmers took out they will be paid for the crops destroyed by drought at the price they would have fetched at the market. Agricultural insurance schemes are a potential tool to cope with income losses through indemnity

payments and therefore stabilize income and economic performance of farms. The support of insurance use would be possible through direct subsidies for insurance premiums, through providing reinsurance, or through more indirect support by enhancing research and development of insurance products and providing an institutional framework for the agricultural insurance market (Iturrioz 2009) Under certain conditions, the support of insurance can be regarded as a Green Box measure within the WTO agreements (OECD 2009).

According to Livestock Kenya (2011), Livestock Insurance helps farmers in Pastoralists are able to restock animals lost following a severe drought. Insurance policies can be used by herders as collateral to buy food or drugs to help their animals survive difficult periods. Livestock insurance can provide herders with the means to obtain credit from financial institutions that are currently unwilling to lend due to climatic risks, some insurers like Blue Shield Insurance add value to this service by joining hands with other stakeholders to create a market for insurance services. This is by educating farmers on avoiding risks, ensuring that farmers have loans to do their farming and initiating the drilling of boreholes in the low rainfall areas. Livestock insurance is a risk management tool for livestock farmers facing frequent or severe drought.

2.3.5 Farm Size

Sharma, Bangarva & Sharma (2007) on their study 'Factors Affecting Gross and Net Income of Farmers in Different Farming Systems' concluded that the size of land holding possessed by respondent is most the important variable affecting annual gross and net income obtained from various farming system. On the contrary the variables namely house and farm building, farm machinery and power, information and recreation facility source of energy and social participation did not affect significantly either the gross income or the net income from the farming system.

Mazumdar (1965); Dyer (1991) found out that inputs, costs, and output per hectare seemed to decrease as farm size increased, while output per unit of input increased. Ghose (1979) concludes that small farms' allocative efficiency is due not to the superiority of peasant organization of production, but relies on primitive technology and undeveloped markets: in the absence of saving technologies and developed markets in

inputs (such as fertilizer) and labor, small farms, with abundant labor and the use of farmyard manure, have the advantage

2.4 Empirical Literature

This section looks at various global literatures done in the past that have tried to support the relationship between financial deepening and performance. The first discuss is on Financial Dependence on Growth, then Finance and Growth and finally Financial Market Deepening and Small Farm Productivity.

2.4.1 Review of International Literature

Rajan and Zingales (1998) on their study, 'Financial Dependence on Growth' developed a new methodology to investigate whether financial sector development has an influence on industrial growth. They suggested that financial development has a substantial supportive influence on the rate of economic growth and this work partly by reducing the cost of external finance to financially dependent firms. They added that there was no contradiction when the lack of persistence of economic growth is set against the persistence of financial development. Other factors may cause changes in a country's investment opportunity set. Finance may simply enable the pursuit of these opportunities and thereby enhance long term growth.

Financial dependence and growth was revisited by Fisman & Love 2003, who argued that Rajan & Zingales (1998) may be implicitly testing whether financial intermediaries allow firms to better respond to global shocks to growth opportunities, rather than the extent that financial intermediaries allow firms to grow in industries with an inherent (technological) financial dependence. (Fisman & Love, 2003)

Levine (2004) on his study 'Finance and Growth' appraised and critiqued theoretical and empirical research on the connections between the operation of the financial system and economic growth. While subject to ample qualifications and countervailing views, the preponderance of evidence suggested that both financial intermediaries and markets matter for growth and that reverse causality alone is not driving this relationship. Furthermore, theory and evidence imply that better developed financial systems ease

external financing constraints facing firms, which illuminates one mechanism through which financial development influences economic growth.

Trew (2006) critiqued Levine study by arguing that growth theory and growth empirics have become disconnected especially in relation to the question of finance and growth. Theory and empirical evidence make it difficult to conclude that the financial system merely and automatically responds to economic activity, or that financial development is an inconsequential addendum to the process of economic growth. The theory he reviewed suggested that greater financial efficiency reduces the disincentive to entrepreneurship or the accumulation of human capital, thus increasing the rate of technological progress and consequently also the long-run growth rate of the economy.

Carter, Cheng & Sarris did a study on ‘Financial Deepening and Small Farm Productivity’ their paper developed twin puzzles. 1) Ample evidence that uninsured risk depresses small holder productivity and the development of rural financial markets and 2) Yet, to date it has proven hard to sustain formal agricultural insurance despite apparent need. They explored prospects for resolving these twin puzzles with formal theory of the behavior of smallholder household and a competitive sector of rural lenders. They demonstrate that neither credit nor insurance markets are likely to fully develop in isolation, however, “interlinking” these markets and contracts is more likely to succeed. How interlinkage works depends on collateral environment. Insurance subsidies may be smart

2.4.2 Review of Local Research

Ambunya (2003) did a study to trace the impact of financial liberalization on financial deepening and growth through the increment in credit channel to the private sector following financial deregulation. The study looked at the period 1991 – 2002 and found that the growth of the financial sector and the real sector moved interdependently in the period of financial liberalization in Kenya. Financial deepening makes it possible for credit availability and capital. Economic growth on the other hand is expected to raise income levels and hence savings mobilization through the interest rate channel. The results showed that financial reforms undertaken in Kenya impacted positively on

economic performance but it did not explain how much of economic growth was affected by the deepening.

Odhiambo (2009) did a paper on the impact of interest rate reforms on financial deepening and economic growth in Kenya, using two models: the financial deepening model and the dynamic Granger causality model. The study attempted to answer two critical questions: Does interest rate liberalization in Kenya have any positive influence on financial deepening? Does the financial depth which results from interest rate liberalization lead to economic growth? Using co-integration and error-correction models, the study found strong support financial depth to Granger cause economic growth in Kenya. The study, therefore, concluded that the interest rate liberalization in Kenya has succeeded in increasing economic growth through its influence on financial depth.

Ndege (2012) carried out a study to establish the impact of financial sector deepening on economic development in Kenya. The study targeted the 44 banking institutions operating in Kenya as at 31st December 2011 and adopted a Quantitative comparative design. During the period of the study (2007-2011), financial sector deepening was high as a result of commercial banks leveraging their operations through adoption of new technologies including automation. During the period, economic growth started at a high of 7.1 then fluctuated to a low of 1.5 in 2008. However, the study focused only on the banking sector ignoring other sectors' contribution to financial deepening.

Aduda, Chogii & Murayi (2014) did a study on The Effect of Capital Market Deepening on Economic Growth in Kenya. The research objective that was set out was to determine the effect of Capital Market deepening on the economic growth of Kenya. The study adopted five independent variables for capital market deepening and one dependent variable. The study suggested that three out of five variables for capital market deepening have a significant positive relation with GDP and therefore be concluded that indeed capital market deepening has a significant positive effect on economic growth in Kenya. The results were found to be consistent with previous research conducted on the stock market deepening variables and economic growth.

The research further lend support to the finance-growth nexus which suggested the positive role played by finance in mobilizing savings and investments through creation of efficient capital markets. The study however failed to find a bidirectional relationship between economic growth and finance in Kenya as suggested by some researchers including Owiti (2012) and Osamwonyi I and Kasimu A (2013).In conclusion they said that a deep market will act as a spur to economic growth in Kenya.

Ochanda (2014) carried out a study to examine the effect of financial deepening on growth of small and medium-sized enterprises in Kenya: A case of Nairobi County. The study sought to find out the effect of financial innovation, financial sector regulation and inflation and general interest rates on growth of SMEs. It was also determine the effect of credit access on growth of SMEs in Nairobi County. Regression models were used to examine the effect of financial deepening on growth of SMEs. The study found that access to credit positively influenced the growth of 92% of SMEs. Financial innovation was also found to have a strong positive influence on the growth of SMEs. High financial sector regulation, inflation and interest rates were found to hinder growth of SMEs. The study found out that for years 2009 to 2013, annual inflation and general interests were seen to be very high and negatively affecting SMEs growth. The study recommended for establishment of subsidized credit for SMEs and a research organ to steer ahead financial innovation as well as financial sector deregulation.

Wanyama et al (no date provided) concluded that access to credit enabled farmers to purchase inputs or acquire physical capital, thus contributing to technology adoption, increased capital accumulation and input intensity in agriculture. Subsequently this may promote increased input use and production and marketing of high value crops (horticultural crops) and intensification of livestock production (Dairy, Poultry).

2.5 Summary on Literature Review

This chapter has discussed what other authors in different parts of the world link performance and investment and what they entail. It has provided a comprehensive overview of the literature surrounding financial deepening where it has become apparent that some lacunas exist. Accordingly, there have been calls for more empirical research investigating the relationship between financial deepening and performance of SHF in

developing country contexts and the influence of the institutional environment, especially in Africa.

Past research studies have demonstrated that demand for financial services and products from the poor, low-income households and MSMEs in developing and emerging markets grows when the providers know what these segments of the population use and value; the services and products they demand can then be offered on a sustainable basis. These services and products are usually affordable, convenient, flexible, reliable, safe and sustainable. (FinAccess, 2013) However no study exists according to my knowledge that investigates the relationship of financial deepening and performance of SHF in Homa Bay County, Kenya. This study has therefore added new knowledge on Homa Bay County specifically.

CHAPTER THREE

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 Introduction

This chapter presents five main sections. Section 3.2 presents the research design used in the study. Section 3.3 discusses the population and sample under study and how the sample was chosen to make it a representative of the study population. Section 3.4 presents data and data collection instruments. Section 3.5 presents both the conceptual and analytical model adopted in analyzing the research findings.

3.2 Research Design

This study used quantitative techniques to get information. The quantitative technique used was descriptive research design because it uses description as a tool to organize data into patterns that emerge during analysis. Descriptive research design helps the researcher to clearly identify and describe true characteristics of a research problem without manipulation of research variables (Mugenda & Mugenda,2003). Descriptive also seeks to portray accurately the characteristics of a particular individual, situation or a group.

This study investigated whether a relationship exists between Financial Deepening and Performance of SHF. In this study, we have two major variables of interest: the dependent variable is the performance of SHF while the independent variables were aspects of financial deepening.

3.3 Population and Sample

Population refers to an entire group of individuals, events or objects having common observable characteristics (Zikmund, 2003). He also states that, a target population is the complete group of specific population elements relevant to the research project. The populations under this comprise the Small Holder Farmer Groups in Homa Bay County who have engaged in farming for economic purposes between the years 2011 – 2013 and farmers' cooperatives. Farmers in cooperatives were chosen because of ease of access to information.

A sample is a subset, or some part of a larger population. Sampling design deals with the method of selecting items to be observed for the given study. The numbers of registered

Agriculture SACCOS at SASRA are 10 and they were all tested. These represent about 4,178 farmers in Homa Bay County.

3.4 Data and Data Collection Instruments

Data collection refers to gathering specific information aimed at proving or refuting some facts (Orodho and Kombo, 2002). The study used secondary data in meeting its obligation. Therefore, secondary data collection techniques were employed.

The study collected financial performance reports from targeted SACCOS' annual reports. These were collected from the SASRA offices within the study period 2011-2013. This data was analysed to facilitate meeting the study objective. Other productivity Data were collected from Kenya Horticultural Competitiveness Annual Reports.

3.5 Data Analysis

Quantitative data analysis was carried out. The quantitative analysis was used to provide in depth information on the study, establishing the relationships from the data captured so as to come up with precise conclusions and recommendations.

Quantitative data analysis was used to organize data collected, create categories and patterns, analyze and interpret the information to determine the adequacy and the credibility, usefulness, consistency and validation of the hypothesis. Data was presented in the form of tables and diagrams so as to make more sense of the information. Software such as excel was used to give a clear picture of the quantitative data before the presentation.

3.5.1 Conceptual Model

Linear regression model was used to analyze the data. Regression analysis is used in finding out whether an independent variable predicts a given dependent variable .The regression model used was in the form;

$$Y= f(X) \tag{1}$$

In this study, performance of SHF was the dependent variable (Y) while financial deepening aspects were the independent variable (X). Performance of SHF was determined by use of the regression model based on the resulting linear regression.

3.5.2 Analytical Model

The study adopted the multiple linear regression model for analysis.

$$\mathbf{SFP} = \alpha + \beta_i \mathbf{SC}_t + \beta_{ii} \mathbf{L}_t + \beta_{iii} \mathbf{D}_t + \beta_{iv} \mathbf{A}_t + \varepsilon_t \quad (2)$$

Where **SFP**= Smallholder Farmer Performance as measured by Income.

α =Constant term

β_i =coefficients of i

\mathbf{SC}_t = Average Share Capital of farmer t

\mathbf{L}_t = Average Loans taken by farmer t

\mathbf{D}_t = Average Deposits by farmer t

\mathbf{A}_t = Average Assets belonging to farmer t

ε =Standard Error

Where **SFP** is the dependent variable presenting performance of SHF we are trying to predict, **SC_t**, **L_t**, **D_t**, & **A_t** are the independent variables presenting different aspects of financial deepening we are using to predict **SFP**. β_i , β_{ii} and so on are the coefficients or multipliers that describe the size of the effect the Financial Deepening is having on Performance of SHF, and α is the value **SFP** is predicted to have when all the independent variables are equal to zero

The reason behind this measure is that there is a co-relationship between financial deepening or access and performance of SHF. (Loayza & Ranciere, 2005) in their IMF working paper WP/05/170, concluded that economic growth is positively and significantly linked to the measure of financial intermediation in the long run.

3.6 Data Reliability and Validity

To test the reliability of the study, official data from reliable Government agency was obtained. This government agency, The Sacco Societies Regulatory Authority (SASRA) is mandated to regulate all cooperatives in Kenya and has official records. Only data from

farmers' cooperatives in Homa Bay County was obtained. Further, the relevance rate to was achieved at 90% on all the variables. The draft data was improved to produce the final data that was consistent.

To test the validity of the study, we compared the measures of the study with previous studies, and also ensured the goals and objectives are clearly defined and further getting feedback from other non-interested parties.

CHAPTER FOUR

DATA ANALYSIS, RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Introduction

This chapter presents analysis of the empirical results of the study. Section 4.2 discusses summary statistics, section 4.3 discusses the estimated or empirical model, section 4.4 discusses the results and section 4.5 summarizes the chapter.

4.2 Summary Statistics

4.2.1 Performance of SHF (Income)


Table 4.1: Summary Statistics for performance of SHF

	Percentage
Mean	6.66%
Median	9.46%
Standard Deviation	-8.51%

Source: Research Findings

Table 4.1 provides the summary statistics for performance of SHF measured by income in Homa Bay County, Kenya in 2011/2012 and 2012/2013. The percentage change in mean on income per farmer was 6.66% ($\sigma = -8.51\%$). The percentage change in median for the same period was 9.46%.

Figure 4.1: Graphical Presentation of Distribution of Income in the County



Source: Research Findings

As shown in Figure 4.1 the overall behaviour of farmers' income in the county increased slightly in the period 2012/2013 compared to 2011/2012, however Uchumi Bora and Homa had a slight decrease in income.

4.2.2 Share Capital per Smallholder Farmer


Table 4.2: Summary Statistics for Share Capital per Smallholder Farmer

Mean	1.00%
Median	-2.02%
Standard Deviation	1.91%

Source: Research Findings

Table 4.2 provides the summary statistics for Share Capital per smallholder farmer in Homa Bay County, Kenya in 2011/2012 and 2012/2013. The percentage change in mean on share capital per farmer was 1.00% ($\sigma = 1.91\%$). The percentage change in median for the same period was -2.02%.

Figure 4.2: Graphical Presentation of Distribution of Share Capital per Farmer



Source: Research Findings

As shown in Figure 4.1 there was no big change in share capital per farmer in the period 2012/2013 from the previous period 2011/2012. In Uchumi Bora Corporative, the share capital per farmer was slightly higher in 2011/2012 period than in 2012/2013 while at NASS, the reverse was witnessed.

4.2.3 Farmers Deposits to Cooperatives


Table 4.3 Summary Statistics for SHF Deposits

	Percentage
Mean	-4.57%
Median	-5.82%
Standard Deviation	-4.10%

Source: Research Findings

Table 4.3 provides the summary statistics for deposits/savings by SHF in Homa Bay County, Kenya in 2011/2012 and 2012/2013. The percentage change in mean on deposits per farmer was -4.57 % ($\sigma = 4.10\%$). The percentage change in median for the same period was -5.82%. This means that there was a decrease in contribution by members in 2012/2013 period compared to 2011/2012 period.

Figure 4.3 Graphical Presentation of SHF Deposits



Source: Research Findings

As shown in Figure 4.3 the deposit by members to Homa in 2012/2013 period was less than half of what was deposited in 2011/2012 period. Mizizi Investment also had a slight decrease in members' contributions. On the other hand, Sansora had more than half increase in the 2012/2013 period. Overall, there was a decrease in deposits compared to the previous period.

4.2.4 Loans per Smallholder Farmer


Table 4.4: Summary Statistics for Loans per Smallholder Farmer

	Percentage
Mean	13.43%
Median	34.74%
Standard Deviation	-1.79%

Source: Research Findings

Table 4.4 provides the summary statistics for Loans per smallholder farmer in Homa Bay County, Kenya in 2011/2012 and 2012/2013. The percentage change in mean on loans per farmer was 13.43% ($\sigma = -1.79\%$). The percentage change in median for the same period was 34.74%.

Figure 4.4: Graphical Presentation of Distribution of Loans per farmer



Source: Research Findings

As shown in Figure 4.4 the average loan per member in Mizizi Investment, Uchumi Bora and Homa decreased slightly while that of Sansora, Asuco and Nass increased slightly within the two periods. The other groups were almost stable.

4.2.5 Assets per Smallholder Farmer


Table 4.5: Summary Statistics for Assets per Smallholder Farmer

	Percentage
Mean	-5.53%
Median	-14.95%
Standard Deviation	-3.34%

Source: Research Findings

Table 4.4 provides the summary statistics for Assets per smallholder farmer in the cooperatives in Homa Bay County, Kenya in 2011/2012 and 2012/2013. The percentage change in mean on Asset per farmer was -5.53% ($\sigma = -3.34\%$). The percentage change in median for the same period was 14.95%.

Figure 4.5: Graphical Presentation of Distribution of Assets per Farmer



Source: Research Findings

As shown in Figure 4.4 the average Asset per member in Sansora, Asuco and Nass increased slightly while that of Mizizi Investment and Uchumi Bora decreased slightly.

There was a more than half decrease in assets per farmer in Homa. The rest were a little bit stable.

4.3 Relationship between Financial Deepening and Performance of SHF

4.3.1 Correlation Analysis

Table 4.6: Correlation Matrix

	<i>Performance of SHF</i>	<i>Share Capital</i>	<i>Deposits</i>	<i>Loans</i>	<i>Assets</i>
Performance of SHF	1.00				
Ave Share Capital	0.37	1.00			
Ave Deposits	0.21	-0.33	1.00		
Ave Loans	0.52	0.57	0.57	1.00	
Ave Assets	0.54	0.62	0.52	0.94	1.00

Source: Research Findings

As shown in Table 4.6 there was strong positive correlation between performance of SHF and Loans, $r = 0.52$; between performance of SHF and Assets $r = 0.54$; between Share Capital and Loans, $r = 0.57$; between Share Capital and Assets, $r = 0.62$; between loans and deposits, $r = 0.57$; between deposits and assets, $r = 0.52$ and between Loans and Assets $r = 0.94$. Weak positive correlation was between Performance of SHF and Share Capital, $r = 0.37$ and between Performance of SHF and Deposits, $r = 0.21$. Weak negative correlation was found between Share Capital and Deposits = -0.33

4.3.2 Results of Model Goodness of Fit Test

Data was analysed using the goodness of fit test. Performance of SHF is the dependent variable and the independent variables are Share capital, deposits, Loans and Assets.

Table 4.7: Goodness of Fit Statistic

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate	Durbin-Watson Sig. F Change
1	0.81	0.65	0.37	8058.57	1.42

Source: Research Findings

Determination of coefficients (R^2) were also carried out to determine the strength of the relationship between independent and dependent variables. The study established an R square of 0.65. This implies that 65% of the SHF performance in Homa Bay County is attributed to changes in independent variables. The Durbin-Watson test statistic tests the null hypothesis that the residuals from an ordinary least-squares regression are not auto correlated. The Durbin-Watson statistic ranges in value from 0 to 4. A value near 2 indicates non-autocorrelation; a value toward 0 indicates positive autocorrelation; a value toward 4 indicates negative autocorrelation. Since the Durbin-Watson value of 1.42 was close to 2, then it can be concluded that there was no autocorrelation among the model residual.

4.3.3 Results of ANOVA

Data was analysed using the goodness of fit test. Performance of SHF is the dependent variable and the independent variables are Share capital, deposits, Loans and Assets.

Table 4.8: Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)

	<i>Df</i>	<i>SS</i>	<i>MS</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>Significance F</i>
Regression	4	603,299,295.83	150,824,823.96	2.32	0.19
Residual	5	324,702,399.07	64,940,479.81		
Total	9	928,001,694.90			

Source: Research Findings

The study used ANOVA statistics to establish the significance of the relationship between financial performance and the independent variables. The regression model is not significant given the level of significance $F(4,5) = 2.32$, $p = 0.19$ which is above 0.05, therefore there is no statistical significant difference between the means of the dependent and independent variables.

4.3.4 Estimated Model

Multiple regression analysis was used to determine the significance of the relationship between the dependent variable and all the independent variables pooled together. Performance of SHF is the dependent variable and the independent variables are Share capital, deposits, Loans and Assets.

Table 4.9: Regression Results

	Coefficients	Standard Error	t Stat	P-value
Constant	-2155.92	4937.11	-0.44	0.68
Ave Share Capital	-1.74	0.78	-2.23	0.08
Ave Deposits	-1.71	0.76	-2.25	0.07
Ave Loans	0.96	0.46	2.08	0.09
Ave Assets	1.03	0.45	2.28	0.07

Source: Research Findings

From the regression result, the estimated model is given below:

$$\text{Performance of SHF} = -2155.92 - 1.74(\text{SC}) - 1.71(\text{D}) + 0.96(\text{L}) + 1.03(\text{A})$$

At 5% level of significance all the variables are not statistically significant in explaining the performance of SHF.

4.4 Discussion

The coefficient Loans was 0.96 which was not statistically significant, $t = 2.08$, $p > 0.05$. The coefficient indicated that 1% rise in Loans would lead to 0.96% rise in Performance of SHF if all other variables remain constant. The positive relationship confirms the findings of Ochanda (2014) who carried out a study to examine the effect of financial deepening on growth of small and medium-sized enterprises in Kenya: A case of Nairobi County. The study among other objectives sought to determine the effect of credit access on growth of SMEs in Nairobi County. Regression models were used to examine the effect of financial deepening on growth of SMEs. The study found that access to credit

positively influenced the growth of 92% of SMEs. The study recommended for establishment of subsidized credit for SMEs and a research organ to steer ahead financial innovation as well as financial sector deregulation. The findings were also similar to those of Wanyama et al (no date provided) who found out that access to credit enabled farmers to purchase inputs or acquire physical capital, thus contributing to technology adoption, increased capital accumulation and input intensity in agriculture. Subsequently this may promote increased input use and production and marketing of high value crops (horticultural crops) and intensification of livestock production.

The coefficient of Deposits was -1.71 which was not statistically significant, $t = -2.25$, $p > 0.05$. This coefficient indicated that increasing Deposits by 1% would lead to 1.71% drop in Performance of SHF if all other variables remain constant. The findings are similar to that of Ashraf et al, (2005) who tested commitment accounts in Philippines with great success which were designed so that the customer chose a savings goal (either a date or amount) that they had to reach before withdrawing funds. The commitment savings product had positive impacts in Malawi on the amount of planting for the next season, sales from the next harvest, and consumption after harvest. Committed farmers spent 26 percent more on inputs, had increased sales by 22 percent and consumed 17 percent more after the harvest.

The coefficient of Assets was 1.03 which was statistically significant, $t = 2.28$, $p > 0.05$. The coefficient indicated that 1% rise in private credit would drive 1.03% rise in Performance of SHF if all other variables remain constant. This is in agreement with Schneider & Gugerty (2011) who argued that asset endowments are significant determinants of households' ability to access and effectively use productivity enhancing knowledge and technologies. The findings were also similar to Tatwangire (2011) study which investigated the joint contribution of four productive asset endowments to welfare improvement of households in rural Uganda by testing for alternative functional forms and possible asset interaction effects using Cobb-Douglas, translog, and semi-parametric specifications of the production function. The findings of the study suggested that accumulation of productive assets can be a good instrument for poverty reduction in rural areas.

4.5 Summary

This chapter has reported on the findings that a relationship exists between Financial Deepening and Performance of SHF. Two major variables were tested the dependent variable was the performance of SHF while the independent variables were various aspects of financial deepening, namely; Loans, Deposits, Share Capitals & Assets. Data from Farmers cooperatives in Homa Bay County in Kenya was used. The data was obtained from SASRA offices in Nairobi. Full range of the measures of central tendency mean, standard deviation, and the median were provided. The mode turned a zero figure so it was omitted. The graphs were used as it best presents variables from two periods. Quantitative data analysis was carried out to provide in depth information on the study, establishing the relationships from the data captured so as to come up with precise conclusions and recommendations. This analysis were Correlation and Regression Analysis which organize data collected, create categories and patterns, analyze and interpret the information to determine the adequacy and the credibility, usefulness, consistency and validation of the hypothesis. Data was presented in the form of tables and figures so as to make more sense of the information. Software such as excel was used to give a clear picture of the quantitative data before the presentation.

CHAPTER FIVE

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

5.1 Introduction

This chapter presents summary and conclusion of the study. Section 5.2 discusses summary of the study, section 5.3 discusses conclusions, section 5.4 discusses the results and section 5.5 summarizes the chapter.

5.2 Summary of the Study

Theoretical positions by the Edward Shaw's Theory, Ronald McKinnon's Theory, Financial Liberation Theory, Financial Repression Theory and Fry's Theory are that there is a close relationship between financial development in a country and economic growth. Shaw (1973), argued that financial liberalization permits a centralization of the funds market, which is a necessary condition for economic development. McKinnon (1973) predicts that money and investment are complementary due to self-financed investment, so that a real deposit rate is the key determinant of capital formation for economies. Financial Liberation Theory argued that financial repression through interest rate ceilings keeps interest rates low and this discourages savings with the consequence that the quantity of investment is stifled. In Fry (1978) model, real money demand is supposed to be positively related to the real deposit rate and real permanent income. Empirical evidence, however, presents varied positions. This study was designed to find out the situation between financial deepening and economic (GDP) growth in Kenya since theoretical positions and available evidence were not in universal agreement. The research covered a period of 20 years from 1993 to 2012.

In this research, Performance of SHFs, was the dependent variable while the independent variables were the components of financial market development and deepening, namely, Deposits by farmers, Loans taken up by farmers for development, Investments by farmers through Share Capitals in cooperatives and Assets in this cooperatives for all members (farmers). Determination of coefficients (R^2) were also carried out to determine the strength of the relationship between independent and dependent variables. The study established that 65% of the SHF performance in Homa Bay County is attributed to

changes in independent variables. The Durbin-Watson test statistic tests the null hypothesis that the residuals from an ordinary least-squares regression are not autocorrelated. Since the Durbin-Watson value of 1.42 was close to 2, then it can be concluded that there was no autocorrelation among the model residual.

5.3 Conclusion

This research makes the following conclusions: first, there is a strong relationship between Performance of SHF and financial deepening. The variables of financial deepening, namely, Deposits, Loans, Assets and Share Capital have a bearing on the Performance of SHF in Homa Bay County. Secondly, performance of SHF in Homa Bay County will be negatively affected without financial deepening indicating that other variables not captured by the model used in this study are not very strong in driving Performance of SHF. This indicates that even if there was no change in deposits, loans, assets and share capital, the farmers would realize negative growth.

Investing in Share Capital and Deposits has a negative effect on Performance of SHF in Homa Bay County. This means that as the percentage of Share Capital and Deposits increase as a share of Performance of SHF, growth in performance of SHF is hampered. On the other hand, reducing the percentage of Deposits and Share Capital will lead to increased Performance of SHF. Loans and Assets ownership have a positive effect on Performance of SHF. Increasing the rate of Loans given to SHF and Assets would lead to an increase in performance of SHF while reducing Loans and Asset ownership would reduce performance.

5.4 Limitations of the Study

The study assumed that the relationship between Performance of SHF and financial deepening is linear. This assumption led to the use of the multivariate linear regression model. There is a possibility that the relationship is not linear like used in the analysis among all the variables of financial deepening and that could be why the variables weakly explained the variation in Performance of SHF. This study is unable to categorically state whether the relationship is linear or otherwise. The findings are therefore limited to the linearity assumption. The research findings are applicable to

Homa Bay County in Kenya and within the period of study. The study has not established whether the results are same outside Homa Bay or not.

Further, since finance is in part a behavioural issue, the study has only given findings applicable within the context of the historical data. The study has not expressly investigated as to whether the findings are applicable after 2013. The findings are as accurate as the data used and the regression analysis. This research has not been able to establish the accuracy of the data used beyond the authenticity of the source. This means it cannot be deduced whether the records are accurate and if so, to what extent. Further, the model does not show whether there is a causality relationship between Performance of SHF and financial deepening in Homa Bay County.

5.5 Recommendations for Further Research

This study has not established the causality relationship between Financial Deepening and Performance of SHF. A study should be done to establish whether there is a causality relationship between Financial Deepening and Performance of SHF and further establish the nature and direction of the causality. A study can also be done in more than one county in Kenya to enrich the findings and provide more room for generalizability. This is because the findings of this study focused on Homa Bay alone between 2011/2012 and 2012/2013 period. A study with a wider population will be more informing and will give more generalizable results.

REFERENCES

- Aduda, J., Chogii, R. & Murayi, M.T. (2014). *The Effect of Capital Market Deepening on Economic Growth in Kenya*. Journal of Applied Finance & Banking, vol. 4, no. 1, 2014. Science Press Ltd.
- Agricultural Sector Development Strategy 2010–2020*. Retrieved from <http://www.ascu.go.ke/DOCS/ASDS%20Final.pdf>
- Ambunya, N. N. (2003). *Financial liberalization and economic growth in Kenya: a VAR analysis*, Unpublished MBA Project, UON.
- Ashraf, Nava, Natalie Gons, Dean Karlan, and Wesley Yin, 2003. *A Review of Commitment Savings Products in Developing Countries*. Asian Development Bank Economics and Research Department, Working Paper 45
- Bagazonzya, H., Safdar, Z. & Sen, S. *Broadening Smallholders' Access to Financial Services through ICT*. Retrived through http://www.ictinagriculture.org/sites/ictinagriculture.org/files/final_Module7.pdf
- Beck, T. (2007, July 2). *Finance and growth – lessons from the literature and the recent crisis*. London. London School of Economics.
- Bills & Melinda Gates Foundation. *Financial Services for the Poor. Strategy Overview*. Retrieved from <http://www.gatesfoundation.org/What-We-Do/Global-Development/Financial-Services-for-the-Poor>
- Canadian Agri-Food Policy Institute (CAPI). (2009) *Measuring Farm Profitability and Financial Performance*. Ottawa. Canada
- CGAP (Consultative Group to Assist the Poor) and IFAD (International Fund for Agricultural Development). 2006. “*Emerging Lessons in Agricultural Microfinance*.” Rome: IFAD.
- County Government of Homa Bay. *First County Integrated Development Plan 2013-2017*. Retrieved From http://Homa_Bay.go.ke/wp-content/uploads/2014/03/FINAL-CIDP-DOCUMENT-HOMA-BAY.pdf
- Diagne, A. & Zeller, M. (2001) *Access to Credit and Its Impact on Welfare in Malawi*. International Food Policy Research Institute. Washington, D.C. USA
- Dyer, G. 1991. “*Farm Size–Farm Productivity Re-Examined: Evidence From Rural Egypt*.” Journal of Peasant Studies 19(1): 59–92

- El-Osta, H.S & Johnson, J.D. *Determinants of Financial Performance of Commercial Dairy Farms*. United States Department of Agriculture. USA
- Eswaran, M. & Kotwal, A. *Implications of credit constraints for risk behaviour in less developed economies*. Oxford Econ Paper. (1990) 42 (2): 473-482
- Fisman, R. & Love, I. (2003, March). *Financial Dependence And Growth Revisited*. Working Paper 9582. Cambridge. National Bureau Of Economic Research.
- Fowowe, B. *Financial Liberalisation Policies and Economic Growth: Panel Data Evidence from Sub-Saharan Africa*. University of Ibadan. Ibadan, Nigeria
- Fry. M.J. (1978) *Money and Capital or Financial Deepening in Economic Development?*. Journal of Money, Credit and Banking, Vol 10. No. 4. Ohio State University Press. USA
- Ghosh, P., Mookherjee, D., & Ray, D. (1999) *Credit Rationing in Developing Countries: An Overview of the Theory*. A Reader in Development Economics, London: Blackwell (2000)
- Ghose, A. K. 1979. "Farm size and land productivity in indian agriculture: A reappraisal." Journal of Development Studies 16(1): 27–49.
- Graham, M. (1996, October). *Financial Repression, Interest Rates, and Credit Allocation in Sub-Saharan Africa*. U NU World Institute for Development Economics Research. Helsinki, Finland
- Heltberg, R. Siegel, P.B. & Jorgensen, S.L. (2009) *Addressing human vulnerability to climate change: toward a 'no-regrets' approach*. Global Environmental Change, Volume 19, Issue 1
- International Finance Corporation., Ideas 42. *Commitment Savings Accounts in Malawi*. Wasington D.C. USA
- International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD). (2013). *Enabling poor rural people to overcome poverty in Kenya*. Retrieved from <http://www.ifad.org/operations/projects/regions/pf/factsheets/kenya.pdf>
- International Monetary Fund. (2012). *Financial Deepening and International Monetary Stability*. IMF Staff Discussion Note. SDN/11/16. Retrieved From <http://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/sdn/2011/sdn1116.pdf>
- Iturrioz, R. (2009): *Agricultural Insurance. Primer Series on Insurance*. The World Bank

- Kenya Agricultural Research Institute publication. (Oct, 2002). Retrieved from <http://www.kari.org/fileadmin/publications/mtp/Mtp.pdf>
- Kenya National Bureau of Statistics. (2014) *2014 Economic Survey Report Highlights*. KNBS. Nairobi. Kenya.
- Kirui, O.K., Okello, J.J & Nyikal, R.A. (2010) *Awareness and use of m-banking services in agriculture: The case of SHF in Kenya*. Department of Agricultural Economics, University of Nairobi.
- Levine, R. (2004). *Financial Development and Economic Growth: Views and Agenda*. Policy Research Working papers. The World Bank. Retrieved from <http://elibrary.worldbank.org/doi/book/10.1596/1813-9450-1678>
- Livestock Kenya. (2011). Livestock Insurance. Retrieved From <http://livestockkenya.com/index.php/livestock-news/197-livestock-insurance>
- Loayza, N & Ranciere, R.(2005). *Financial Development, Financial Fragility, and Growth*. IMF Working Paper WP/05/170. DC. United States of America
- Mazumdar, D. 1965. "Size Of Farm And Productivity: A Problem Of Indian Peasant Agriculture." *Economica* 32(126): 161–173
- Mckinnon. R.I.(1973). *Money and Capital in Economic Development*. Brooking Institute. Brookings. United States
- Mugenda,M.,O.& Mugenda,G.,A. (2003).*Research Methods*. Nairobi: Acts Press
- Ndege, F. (2012). *The impact of financial sector deepening on economic growth in Kenya*. Unpublished MBA Project, UON.
- Ochanda, M. M. (2014). *Effect of financial deepening on growth of small and medium-sized enterprises in Kenya: A case of Nairobi County*. *International Journal of Social Sciences and Entrepreneurship*, 1 (11), 191-208.
- Odhiambo, M.N (2009) *Interest Rate Reforms, Financial Deepening and Economic Growth In Kenya:An Empirical Investigation*. *The Journal of Developing Areas* Vol. 43, No.1
- OECD (2009): *Managing Risk in Agriculture*: OECD Publishing
- Orodho, A.J& Kombo, D.K .(2002). *Research methods*. Kenyatta University. Nairobi.
- Owiti J. (2012) *Stock Market Development and Economic Growth in Kenya*. University of Nairobi. Kenya

- Rajan, R. G. & Zingales, L. (1998). *Financial Dependence and Growth*. NBER working Paper. Cambridge, United States of America
- Ravallion, M. (2001). *Growth, inequality, and poverty : looking beyond averages*. Policy Research Working Paper Series 2558, The World Bank.
- Schneider, K. & Gugerty, M.K. (2011) *Agricultural Productivity and Poverty Reduction: Linkages and Pathways*. The Evans School Review
- Sharma, Y.K., Bangarva, G.S & Sharma, S.K. (2007) *Factors Affecting Gross and Net Income of Farmers in Different Farming Systems*. Indian Res. J. Ext. Edu. 7 (1), January 2007
- Shaw, E.S. (1973). *Financial Deepening in Economic Development*. Oxford University Press. Oxford. United Kingdom
- Stiglitz, J.E. (2000). *Capital Market Liberation, Economic Growth and Instability*. The World Bank. DC. United States of America
- Tatwangire, A. (2011). *Access to Productive Assets and Impact on Household Welfare in Rural Uganda*. Thesis Number 2011.27. Department of Economics and Resource Management Norwegian University of Life Sciences. Norway
- Thurow, R. (2004) *Smallholder Financing: Meeting Demand Between Harvests*. CGAP Advancing financial inclusion to improve the lives of the poor. Retrieved through <http://www.cgap.org/blog/smallholder-financing-meeting-demand-between-harvests>
- Trew, A.W. (2006). *Finance and Growth: A Critical Survey*. St. Andrews. University of St. Andrews. Retrieved from <http://www.st-andrews.ac.uk/economics/CDMA/papers/wp0507>
- United States Department of Agriculture. (2013) *Resources, Policies, and Agricultural Productivity in Sub-Saharan Africa*. Washington, DC. USA
- Wanyama J. M., Mose, L. O., Rono, S. C., Mutoko, C. M., & Chesoro, J. *Socio-economic factors influencing rural households to access credit for agricultural purposes: A case of Trans Nzoia district, Kenya*. KARI Kitale, Kenya
- What we want to achieve*. (n,d) Retrieved August 12, 2014 from Africa SHF Group website, <http://www.asfg.org.uk/>

World Agroforestry Centre. 2003) *Voices of Poor Livestock Keepers in Migori*. Retrieved from http://www.worldagroforestry.org/units/Library/books/pdfs/30_Proceedings_of_the_workshop_on_voices_of_poor_livestock_keepers_VPLK

World Food Summit. (1996). *Monitoring progress since the World Food Summit*. FAO.

Zapeda, L. (2001). *Agricultural Investment, Production Capacity and Productivity*. FAO. Economic and Social Department. Retrieved from <http://www.fao.org/docrep/003/x9447e/x9447e03.htm#e>

Zikmund, W G. (2003). *Business Research Methods, 7th edition*. Thomson/South-Western
<http://www.raosoft.com/samplesize.html>

APENDICES

Appendix 1: Data on Farmers' Cooperatives – Homa Bay County

COOPORATIVES	MEMBERSHIP		AV SHARE CAPITAL PER MEMBER		AV DEPOSIT PER MEMBER		AV LOAN PER MEMBER		AV ASSET PER MEMBER		AVE INCOME PER MEMBER	
	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012	2013
KABONDO SWEET POTATO	1,536	1,561	1,678	1,651	2,100	2,418	2,404	2,767	3,375	3,886	3,375	3,886
NYABIERA	1,071	1,121	2,596	2,480	9,905	11,072	11,233	12,557	600	1,670	600	1,670
HOMABAY WOMENS	249	260	3,589	3,919	11,528	12,586	14,144	15,442	1,677	1,831	1,677	1,831
SANSORA	67	44	2,958	5,135	45,028	78,165	37,295	64,741	4,925	8,550	4,925	8,550
MIZIZI INVESTMENT	20	29	5,905	4,643	142,836	112,299	115,689	90,955	19,027	14,959	19,027	14,959
UCHUMI BORA	74	124	75,459	51,337	19,226	13,080	78,877	53,662	33,526	36,415	33,526	36,415
ASEGO	254	261	1,272	1,411	19,341	21,457	20,070	22,266	2,933	4,254	2,933	4,254
ASUCO	108	111	5,110	5,668	99,161	109,988	91,055	100,997	9,734	10,797	9,734	10,797
NASS	476	470	130,426	150,583	4,320	4,988	103,069	118,999	8,407	9,706	8,407	9,706
HOMA	108	411	14,514	4,348	70,929	21,248	33,582	10,060	8,552	2,562	8,552	2,562
TOTAL	3,963	4,392	243,507	231,175	424,375	387,300	507,418	492,446	92,756	94,630	92,756	94,631

Source: SASRA