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ABSTRACT

The main objective of this study was to investigate service quality dimension in health care service delivery, the case for Healthstrat. In doing so, the study adopted the following objectives: to investigate the factors that determine service delivery at Healthstrat, to investigate the customers' service expectations at Healthstrat and to determine the impact of service delivery mechanism on service quality. The study was guided by the SERVQUAL model which was made of ten dimensions of service quality when created; tangibles, reliability, responsiveness, communication, credibility, security, competence, courtesy, understanding the customer, and access, but later on these dimensions were reduced to five because some dimensions were overlapping (communication, credibility, security, competence, courtesy, understanding customers and access) and they included. Tangibles- physical facilities, equipment, and staff appearance. The study adopted a descriptive research design method, the method was preferred because it allows for prudent comparison of the research findings. The target population of this study consisted of all the 98 employees working at the hospitals that Healthstrat supports. The study used primary data collected through a structured questionnaire. Coded data was then fed into the statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) version 21. Descriptive statistics such as mean, standard deviation were generated. Standard deviation represented the degree of variability in the responses. The study found out that service quality as perceived by customers is as a result of how well service matches expectations. Secondly, that service quality was determined by two dimensions, namely; expectations and experience and each of these dimensions had underlying factors. Thirdly, five factors determined the outcome of service quality which is used by the customers to complete judgement during service delivery, namely; tangibles, reliability, responsiveness, assurance and empathy. The study recommends that participants mentioned the staff to be the focus of improvement based on the fact that it’s the service staff that actually operationalizes service quality standards and more importantly it’s during the service encounter where the customer forms the last judgements on service quality.
# TABLE OF CONTENTS

**DECLARATION**....................................................................................................................... ii

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS** .................................................................................................. iii

**DEDICATION**.......................................................................................................................... iv

**ABSTRACT**............................................................................................................................... v

**LIST OF FIGURES**.............................................................................................................. viii

**LIST OF TABLES**.................................................................................................................. ix

**LIST OF ABBREVIATION AND ACRONYMS**.................................................................... x

**CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION**................................................................................... 1

1.1 Background of the Study.................................................................................................. 1

1.1.1 Service Quality.......................................................................................................... 2

1.1.2 Service Delivery.................................................................................................. 4

1.1.3 HealthStrat................................................................................................................. 6

1.2 Problem Statement........................................................................................................... 8

1.3 Research Objective......................................................................................................... 10

1.4 Value of the Study.......................................................................................................... 10

**CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW**................................................................... 12

2.1 Introduction..................................................................................................................... 12

2.2 Health Care Sector in Kenya......................................................................................... 12

2.3 Theoretical underpinning .............................................................................................. 14

2.4 Service Quality............................................................................................................... 16

2.4.1 Management of Service Quality............................................................................. 18

2.4.2 Dimensions of Service Quality ............................................................................... 18

2.5 Service Delivery............................................................................................................. 20

2.5.1 Factors affecting Service Delivery ........................................................................ 20

2.6 Conceptual framework................................................................................................... 24
LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 4.1: Distribution of Gender ................................................................. 29
Figure 4.2: Age group ................................................................................. 30
Figure 4.3: Level of education ................................................................. 31
LIST OF TABLES

Table 4.1: Factors Determining Service Quality ........................................................... 32
Table 4.2: Customers' Service Expectations at Healthstrat.......................................... 33
Table 4.3: Service Delivery Mechanism on Service Quality........................................ 34
Table 4.4: Service Quality ............................................................................................... 35
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation (Acronym)</th>
<th>Full Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EAI</td>
<td>Enterprise Application Integration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOK</td>
<td>Government of Kenya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICT</td>
<td>Information Communication Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPI</td>
<td>Key Performance Indicators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOH</td>
<td>Ministry of Health, Kenya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOPHS</td>
<td>Ministry of Public Health and Sanitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGOs</td>
<td>Non-Governmental Organizations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERVQUAL</td>
<td>Service Quality Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPSS</td>
<td>statistical package for social sciences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>World Health Organization</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

Service quality is a comparison of expectations with performance. A business with high service quality will meet customer needs whilst remaining economically competitive. Improved service quality may increase economic competitiveness. This aim may be achieved by understanding and improving operational processes; identifying problems quickly and systematically; establishing valid and reliable service performance measures and measuring customer satisfaction and other performance outcomes (Caron and Giauque, 2006).

According to Clinton, Williamson and Bethke, (2004) since Service Quality is the universal concept of providing service quality, the company will be able to increase its’ revenue, improved customer service and customer loyalty (Ladhari, 2009). Athanassopoulos, (2010) affirmed the relations between customer satisfaction and company profit in their research. Therefore, it is important for any company to concentrate on improving their customer service. The quality of the service is important for both, consumers and service provider Companies. Without any doubt, customers will choose the company which provides them best goods or quality services. Moreover, nowadays the customers are becoming more and more demanding as for the development of service quality as well as the number of service companies. Customers have more choices to buy the services, which is why it is important for every organization to stand solid among other competitors to get the business. Thus, the competitions between the service companies become very tough. Fortunately, “service marketers have experienced it for past few years that competition can be well managed by differentiating through
quality”, moreover “the importance of service lies in customer service management” (Ladhari, 2009). Any company should be able to generate the sufficient sales and retain the customers in order to survive in the competition. The only way is to provide quality service to the customers at a favorable cost.

1.1.1 Service Quality

Service quality is considered an important tool for a firm’s in a competitive environment to differentiate itself from its competitors (Ladhari, 2008). The relevance of service quality to companies is emphasized especially the fact that it offers a competitive advantage to companies that strive to improve it and hence bring customer satisfaction.

Service quality has received a great deal of attention from both academicians and practitioners (Negi, 2009) and services marketing literature service quality is defined as the overall assessment of a service by the customer (Eshghi, Roy, & Ganguli, 2008). Ghylin., Green, Drury, Chen, Schultz, Uggirala, Abraham, & Lawson, (2006) points out that, by defining service quality, companies will be able to deliver services with higher quality level presumably resulting in increased customer satisfaction. Understanding service quality must involve acknowledging the characteristics of service which are intangibility, heterogeneity and inseparability, (Parasuraman, Zeithaml, & Berry, 2005); (Ladhari, 2008, p.172). That way, service quality would be easily measured.

In this study, service quality can be defined as the difference between customer’s expectation for service performance prior to the service encounter and their perception of the service received. Customer’s expectation serves as a foundation for evaluating service
quality because. quality is high when performance exceeds expectation and quality is low when performance does not meet their expectation (Asubonteng et al., 2006). Expectation is viewed in service quality literature as desires or wants of consumer i.e., what they feel a service provider should offer rather than would offer (Parasuraman et al., 2008). Perceived service is the outcome of the consumer’s view of the service dimensions, which are both technical and functional in nature (Gronroos, 1984).

Service quality is an important dimension and is often linked to dimensions, namely, duration and affective content which play a vital role in comparing service encounters (Price, Arnould. & Tierney, 2005). The authors suggested a framework that focused on service encounters that fell at the extreme of these three dimensions, named as extended, affectively charged and intimate (EAI) encounters. Utilizing qualitative and quantitative information, they advanced some measures of service provider performance and tested a structural model of the relationships among service provider performance, affective response and service satisfaction for EAI encounters. Chandon, Leo, & Philippe (2007) proposed a dyadic approach that explored the multiple dimensions of the quality of service encounters and their evaluation from the two perspectives of customers and contact personnel.

Chandon, Leo, & Philippe (2007) explains that companies must decide whether they intend to compete on the basis of low price or differentiation and whether they will target broadly across a number of market segments. This refers to speed, courtesy, competence and easy of repair. The consumers are not concerned about a product breaking down but
also about the elapsed time before service is restored and the timeliness in which service appointment are kept. The nature of their dealings with the services personnel and the frequency with service calls/repairs fail to correct outstanding problems. In these case where problems are not immediately resolved, and complaints are filled in the company; if there are no procedures to handle the complaints there may be a possibility to affect the customers ultimate evaluation of product and service quality.

Public sector services are responsible and accountable to citizens and communities as well as to its customers. In addition, Caron and Giauque (2006) pointed out that public sector employees are currently confronted with new professional challenges arising from the introduction of new principles and tools inspired by the shift to new public management.

1.1.2 Service Delivery

Service delivery framework is a set of principles, standards, policies and constraints used to guide the design, development, deployment, operation and retirement of services delivered by a service provider with a view to offering a consistent service experience to a specific user community in a specific business context. Service delivery framework is the context in which a service provider's capabilities are arranged into services (Helmsing, 2005).

Oboth (2001) noted that in as far as the Local Government Act, the constitution and any other statutes that are studied, there is no definition of the phrase (service delivery) either deliberately or ignorantly. According to Oboth (2001), Service is a system or
arrangement that supplies public needs whereas delivery is periodical performance of a service. Therefore service delivery is a system or arrangement of periodical performance of supplying public needs.

Helmsing (2005) in his study defines service delivery as a deliberate obligatory decision by the elected or appointed officials to serve or deliver goods and services to the recipients. Heskett (1987) defines service delivery as an attitudinal or dispositional sense, referring to the internationalization of even service values and norms. Customer care involves putting systems in place to maximize customers' satisfaction. It should be a prime consideration for every business sales and profitability depends on keeping your customers happy. It is more directly important in some roles than others. For receptionists, sales staff and other employees in customer-facing roles, customer care should be a core element of their job description and training, and a core criterion when recruiting (Athanassopoulos, 2000).

From the point of view of an overall sales process engineering effort, customer service plays an important role in an organization's ability to generate income and revenue. From that perspective, customer service should be included as part of an overall approach to systematic improvement. One of the most important aspects of a customer service Key Performance Indicators (KPI) is that of what is often referred to as the "Feel Good Factor". Basically, the goal is to not only help the customer have a good experience, but to offer them an experience that exceeds their expectations (Barnes, 2004).
1.1.3 HealthStrat

HealthStrat (Health strategy) offer world class support services to governmental and non-governmental organizations in healthcare management which was formed in 2002. Utilizing its core competencies in continuous clinical quality improvement, community wellness systems, and health service systems, HealthStrat guarantees improved quality of care with the aim of attaining world class health outcomes for all (Parasuraman et al., 2008).

This strategy sets out the journey to develop a shared services organization to serve the emerging health environment. It has been approved by the Directorate of the HealthStrat following detailed deliberation by both the HealthStrat Leadership Team and a steering group established to support the strategy development process. Following the approval of the Directorate of the HealthStrat, it was time to move to implement the key actions contained within the strategy (Ladhari, 2009). There was an extensive engagement as part of the strategy preparation process including dialogue with staff of the HealthStrat and the voluntary sector, the Department of Health, Department of Public Expenditure and Reform as well as the unions. The creation of a shared service entity is a key support and enabler to the wider health reform. The reforms identified can be facilitated by a single supporting shared services infrastructure. The successful implementation of a shared services model will also avoid the risk of investment in duplicated support services across the country in the emergent organizational structures (Shahin, 2005).
HealthStrat has developed clinical quality monitoring tools that support its client’s continuous clinical quality improvement systems. HealthStrat assists health providers collect and analyze data related to the quality, quantity and the effectiveness of services provided, should there be a gap in service provision, HealthStrat will facilitate implementation of activities to result in improved services. PSI is a competitor of HealthStrat which worked closely with the six main manufacturers of mosquito nets in Kenya as well as several large importers. HealthStrat believe that that the services a patient receives is only as good as the competency of the healthcare provider attending to them. Its in-house specialists utilize innovative training methodologies in training that focus on the competency of the healthcare provider rather than focusing on imparting pure knowledge (Chowdhary and Prakash, 2007).

The health service generally faces a severe financial challenge because of multi-year reductions to its funding base resulting in a need for significant savings. Budget 2014 means that the health service will have an overall gross Vote reduction in 2014 of €272m and a savings target of €619m. This challenge comes at a time when the demand for and costs of health and personal social services are increasing every year as a result of: An 8% increase in population since 2006 with a 14% increase in the number of people over 65 years of age; 1.8 million people (40% of the population) qualifying for medical cards, an increase of 590,000 or 46% since January 2008; The increasing burden of chronic disease management; advances in the development of medical technologies that, whilst welcome, are highly cost-intensive (Juanita et al., 2005; Parasuraman et al., 2008). The core frontline health services have been impacted in recent years by a diminishing
administrative resource (2000 WTEs) at a time of higher than ever need for attention to administrative functions and a paucity of ICT investment.

The health service is developing an integrated portfolio of reform programmes to ensure that its core objectives to deliver for safe and effective health and social care services for patients, services users, carers and families in multiple settings are met. The shared services entity will serve all elements of the health system including the voluntary sector and will be driven by a strong awareness of client needs and the challenges facing the wider health environment. Successful delivery of this plan is dependent upon investment in people, systems and processes. The implementation of a national financial and procurement solution is a key priority along with the need to invest in pensions management, recruitment and CRM systems (Chowdhary and Prakash, 2007). The success of the shared service entity will be determined by its governing body, measuring both service performance and customer satisfaction. Third party benchmarks will be used to assess relative value over time. The changes being proposed in how we approach and resource the provision of shared services will be designed having due regard to the current health service context (Juanita et al., 2005).

1.2 Problem Statement
In today’s global competitive environment delivering quality service is considered as an essential strategy for success and survival (Parasuraman et al., 2005). Even the public sector organizations have come under increasing pressure to deliver quality services (Randall and Senior, 2004) and improve efficiencies (Robinson, 2003). Customer needs and expectations are changing when it comes to governmental services and their quality
requirements. However, service quality in public sector organizations is slow and is further exacerbated by difficulties in measuring outcomes, greater scrutiny from the public and press, lack of freedom to act in an arbitrary fashion and a requirement for decisions to be based in law.

Studies have been done on service quality dimensions. For instance, Sureshchander et al. (2002) state that "The veritable gains of a quality revolution come only from customer delight, which again to a very great extent depends on the customer’s perceptions of overall service quality. According to Douglas & Connor, (2003), services are intangible in nature, evaluating the customer’s perception of quality can be done through the interaction with the personnel offering services. Service quality is an important area to academicians because of its relevancy to service companies and therefore many researchers have tried to develop various models to measure it, even though some claim it is hard to measure because of its intangibility which is hard to quantify. From their suggestion, interaction between consumer and service provider is very important when measuring service quality because through that interaction, the service provider could easily understand the consumer better and identify what he/she exactly wants.

Several researchers have dealt with service quality (Brysland and Curry, 2001). Brysland and Curry (2001) stated that the literature clearly supported the use of SERVQUAL in the public sector. According to Gowan, Seymour, Ibarreche, & Lackey (2001) service provision is more complex in the public sector because it is not simply a matter of meeting expressed needs, but of finding out unexpressed needs, setting priorities,
allocating resources and publicly justifying and accounting for what has been done. In addition, Caron and Giauque (2006) pointed out that public sector employees are currently confronted with new professional challenges arising from the introduction of new principles and tools inspired by the shift to new public management. This study therefore intends to fill in the research gap since there is no study done on the relationship between service quality and service delivery. In this it would be important to ascertain the factors that determine service quality at Healthstrat? What are the customer’s service expectations? and finally how service quality management practices impact on service delivery at Healthstrat?

1.3 Research Objective

i. To investigate the factors that determine service delivery at Healthstrat.

ii. To investigate the customers’ service expectations at Healthstrat.

iii. To determine the impact of service delivery mechanism on service quality

1.4 Value of the Study

The findings from the study will particularly be useful in providing additional knowledge to existing and future organizations on service quality. The findings will also provide a useful reference document to stakeholders in the NGOs and Public Service in their endeavors to enhance Service quality to their customers.

Additionally, the research will be helpful in identifying further areas of research built on its recommendations. To the academic field, the study may be a source of reference material for future research on other related topics. It will also help the policy makers
within public and private sector to identify crucial areas in their organizations and make appropriate decisions to ensure that enhanced service quality.
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Introduction
This study is informed by a comprehensive review of both theoretical and empirical literature. This has helped in building an in-depth understanding of the current body of knowledge on, service quality and service delivery.

2.2 Health Care Sector in Kenya
According to World Health Organization, the total health expenses are the sum devoted to the public and private health sectors. It covers the provision of health services (preventive and curative), the family planning activities, the nutrition activities, and the emergency aid designated for health but does not include provision of water and sanitation.

Health Financing in Kenya: The Case of RH/FP in Kenya found that the estimates of Ministry of Health expenditures between 2005/06 and 2009/10 grew from Ksh 30 billion to Ksh 47 billion, as shown in Table 1 below. This represents an overall growth of 56%. As a percentage of the Government of Kenya’s (GOK) total budgets, the expenditures remain low at 5.3% in 2009/10—almost eight years after the government committed itself to increasing this ratio to 15% by signing the Abuja Declaration. Yet, there are signs of improvement, as the Mid-term Expenditure framework for 2009-2010 indicate that the government intends to increase allocation to the health sector consistently in the two upcoming financial years.
The health sector in Kenya relies on several sources of funding: public (government), private firms, households and donors (including faith based organizations and NGOs) as well as health insurance schemes (Ministry of Health Kenya, 2011). The GOK funds the health sector through budgetary allocations to the MOH and the MOPHS and related government departments. As far as government spending is concerned, the Ministry of Finance sets three year budget ceilings for each sector in Kenya. In practical terms this means that the Ministry of Health creates a budget based on what the Ministry of Finance has said it will allocate for health expenditures rather than submitting a budget request based on actual needs. The Ministry of Health then disburses the funds it receives through its District Health Management Boards. The health budget shortfalls are manifest in the widespread lack of adequate drugs and pharmaceuticals, staff shortages and poor maintenance of equipment, transport, and facilities (Ministry of Health Kenya, 2011).

Kenya depends significantly on donor funds, many of which supplement the development component of the national health budget. In 2009/10, on-budget external resources accounted for 15.1% of all health spending in Kenya, or Kshs 7.1 million. In 2005/06, Kenya’s health budget was made up to 11.3% by donor contributions. Over the years, Kenya’s health sector has increased its dependency on external sources.

Kenya’s health care system is structured in a step-wise manner so that complicated cases are referred to a higher level. Gaps in the system are filled by private and church run units. The structure thus consists: Dispensaries and private clinics, Health Centres, Sub-district hospitals and nursing homes, District hospital and private hospitals. Provincial
hospitals and National hospitals. Health care expenditure in the SSA varies substantially over time and across countries. Health financing is important for the improvement of health status in any economy. At the macroeconomic level, the level and growth of health care expenditure has been attributed to the income level of such country (Ministry of Health Kenya, 2011). The performance of the health sector is therefore assumed to reflect the size of the income elasticity of health care.

2.3 Theoretical underpinning
The SERVQUAL model is suitable for service quality dimensions in creating quality service delivery at Healthstrat. This is because one cannot use a generic SERVQUAL model in this context since it may not be adequate to assess service quality in health sector and will not provide a good measure of customers’ perceptions. Parasuraman et al., (2005), developed a model of service quality after carrying out a study on four service settings: retail banking, credit card services, repair and maintenance of electrical appliances, and long-distance telephone services. The SERVQUAL model represents service quality as the discrepancy between a customer’s expectations of service offering and the customer’s perceptions of the service received.

According to Parasuraman et al., (2005) this makes it an attitude measure. What this model strives to measure exactly is the consumer perception of the service quality which depends on the size of the gap between expected service and perceived service which in turn, depends on the gaps under the control of the service provider such as delivery of service, marketing, (Parasuraman et al., 2005). This measurement of service quality is based on both on how consumer evaluates the service delivery process and the outcome
of the service, (Parasuraman et al., 2005, p.42). A good service quality is considered as one which meets or exceeds consumer’s expectation of the service (Parasuraman et al., 2005, p.46).

The SERVQUAL model was made of ten dimensions of service quality when created; tangibles, reliability, responsiveness, communication, credibility, security, competence, courtesy, understanding the customer, and access, Parasuraman et al., (2005, p.47-48) but later on these dimensions were reduced to five because some dimensions were overlapping (communication, credibility, security, competence, courtesy, understanding customers and access) and they included, Tangibles- physical facilities, equipment, and staff appearance. Reliability- ability to perform the promised service dependably and accurately; Responsiveness- willingness to help customers and provide prompt service; Assurance- knowledge and courtesy of employees and their ability to inspire trust and confidence; Empathy- caring, individual attention the firm provides its customers (Parasuraman et al., 2008, p.23).

These dimensions mainly focus on the human aspects of service delivery (responsiveness, reliability, assurance, and empathy) and the tangibles of service. According to study carried out by Ladhari, (2009), it is recommended that the SERVQUAL model is a good scale to use when measuring service quality in various specific industries but that it is appropriate to choose the most important dimensions of this model that fit to that particular service being measured in order to assure reliable and valid results. In this regard, we will use this model because it takes into account customer’s expectation of a
service as well as perceptions of the service which is best way to measure service quality in service sector (Shahin, 2005).

Buttle, (2006) makes mentions of several researchers that have used the SERVQUAL model in various industries (retailing, restaurants, banking, telecommunication industry, airline catering, local government, hotels, hospitals, and education). He further suggests that service quality has become an important topic because of its apparent relationship to costs, profitability, customer satisfaction, customer retention and positive word of mouth and it is widely considered as a driver of corporate marketing and financial performance.

2.4 Service Quality
According to Parasuraman, Zeithaml and Berry (2005). Service quality is defined as a comparison of customer expectations with service performance. The organizations with high service quality meet the customer needs and also remain most economical in terms of competition as improved service quality also makes the firm more competitive. High service quality is achieved by knowing operational process through identifying problems in service and defining measures for service performances and outcomes as well as level of customer satisfaction.

Initial efforts in defining and measuring service quality emanated largely from the goods sector, research work in the area of service quality was laid down in the mid-eighties by Parasuraman, Zeithaml and Berry (2005). These were amongst the pioneer researchers to point out that the concept of quality prevalent in the goods sector is not extendable to the services sector. They noted that services were intangible and needed a distinct framework
for evaluation as opposed to goods sector where tangible cues exist to enable consumers evaluate product quality. Quality in the services context falls in the realm of experience and credence, properties which are complex to measure and evaluate objectively (Parasuraman, Zeithaml and Berry, 2005; Zeithaml and Bitner, 2001). The contributions made by Parasuraman, Zeithaml and Berry (2008) in their studies on service quality have continued to shape the direction of research in the services sector.

Service is a series of behaviours, processes and performances (Zeithaml and Bitner, 2006). Delivering quality service is an essential strategy for success and survival in today’s competitive environment (Dawkins and Reichheld, 2000). According to Parasuraman et al., (2005), early studies during 1980s focused on determining what service quality meant to customers and developing strategies to meet customer expectations. Service quality has also attracted the attention of researchers all over the world (Chowdhary and Prakash, 2007). However, most of the research has focused on measuring service quality using the SERVQUAL instrument (Parasuraman et al., 2005). Parasuraman et al., (2008) stated that the factors for assessing service quality could be categorized into five service quality dimensions which include tangibility (the physical evidence of the service such as physical facilities and appearance of personnel), reliability (consistency of performance and service dependability), responsiveness (the willingness or readiness of employees to provide prompt service), assurance (knowledge and courtesy of employees and their ability to inspire trust and confidence) and empathy (caring, individualized attention the firm provides its customers). Many researchers have been using two methods in measuring service quality (Juanita et al., 2005).
2.4.1 Management of Service Quality

The introduction of customer and consequent emphasis on continuous improvement in management is one aspect that distinguishes Quality Management from the classical, human relations, systems and contingency theories. Quality Management bridges the gap between classical and human relations theories by introducing the aspect of customer to both sides (Clinton, Williamson, and Bethke, 2004). It introduces changes in the organizational systems that align the social and technical systems. It is a customer focused management paradigm shaped by beliefs relating to quality, measurement, product positioning, product design and key stakeholder.

According to Ho, (2009) customer focused management paradigm, quality is viewed as one component of the value created for the customer. It is managed in to the process of making the product or service and is seen as synergistic with cost and delivery. Service quality is viewed as something that encompasses the entire organization. Since all functions are responsible for product quality and all share the cost of poor quality, quality is viewed as a concept that affects the entire organization.

2.4.2 Dimensions of Service Quality

Hill and Wilkinson (2000) came up with eight major dimensions of quality. These dimensions refers to the primary operation characteristics of product e.g. clarity of a television. In the services industry, speed and time are good measures. It combines both user and based approaches to the difference of quality. Measureable products and services attributes are involved and brands can be ranked objectively on at least one
dimension of performance. The connection between quality and performance is also dependent circumstances.

In the SERVQUAL model: reliability, responsiveness, competence, access, courtesy, communication, credibility, security, understanding the customer and tangibles. A customer's expectation of a particular service is determined by factors such as recommendations, personal needs and past experiences. The expected service and the perceived service sometimes may not be equal, thus leaving a gap. The service quality model or the 'GAP model' developed by a group of authors- Kevin, Kristine and Berry at Texas and North Carolina in 1985, highlights the main requirements for delivering high service quality. It identifies five 'gaps' that cause unsuccessful delivery. Customers generally have a tendency to compare the service they 'experience' with the service they 'expect'.

Common measures of reliability are the mean time of fast and the failure rate per unit time. Because measures require a product to be used for some time, they are more relevant to product and services that are consumed instantly. Customers do not alias possess complete information about a product or service attribute. Frequently indirect measures are the only basis for comparing brands. Product durability can only be inferred from various tangible and intangible aspects of product. In such circumstance signaling device become important influence about quality. Such things are images, advertising and brand names giving a perception of quality rather than reality itself. They can be however
critically as exemplified in product details of manufacture, the distributor as well as the reputation of above Nigel, Stuart, and Robert, (2007).

2.5 Service Delivery
Service delivery is a deliberate obligatory decision by the elected or appointed officials to serve or deliver goods and services to the recipients. Service delivery framework is a set of principles, standards, policies and constraints used to guide the design, development, deployment, operation and retirement of services delivered by a service provider with a view to offering a consistent service experience to a specific user community in a specific business context. Service delivery framework is the context in which a service provider's capabilities are arranged into services (Helmsing, 2005).

Helmsing (2005) in his study defines service delivery as a deliberate obligatory decision by the elected or appointed officials to serve or deliver goods and services to the recipients. Heskett (1987) defines service delivery as an attitudinal or dispositional sense, referring to the internationalization of even service values and norms. Customer care involves putting systems in place to maximize your customers' satisfaction with your business. It is more directly important in some roles than others. For receptionists, sales staff and other employees in customer-facing roles, customer care should be a core element of their job description and training, and a core criterion when you're recruiting (Athanassopoulos, 2000).

2.5.1 Factors affecting Service Delivery
Poor service delivery and governance remains an overwhelming challenge in most
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African countries and factors attributed to be affecting local governments include the following among other:

Infrastructure affects greatly on service delivery. For instance, in Kenya, the provision of better infrastructures has lagged behind over years. There are poor roads and inadequate electricity supply and hence Kenya still stands in need of better infrastructures (Proceedings of National Investment Conference, 2003).

Public procurement, at the local government level, is believed to be one of the principle areas where corruption in Kenya takes place ("National Public Procurement Integrity Baseline Survey," 2006). Corruption is disastrous to the sound functioning of any government department. Corruption has been an intractable problem in many developing countries; especially where it has become systematic to the point where many in government have a stake. It diverts decision-making and the provision of services from those who need them to those who can afford them (Langseth, Kato, Kisubi & Pope, 2007).

Lawal (2000) further asserts that corruption has been rampant among the senior civil bureaucrats to whom the public funds meant for developmental purposes are entrusted. Generally, wide-scale embezzlement by officials of the grassroots has made the needed development of the grassroots a tall dream and has rendered them financially incapable to discharge their constitutionally assigned responsibilities hence compromising service delivery.
At every stage of public procurement, there are risks of integrity. During the pre-bidding period: starting from needs assessment, common risks include lack of adequate needs assessment, planning and budgeting of public procurement, requirements that are not adequately or objectively defined, an inadequate or irregular choice of the procedure and a timeframe for the preparation of the bid that is insufficient or not consistently applied across bidders (OECD, 2007). All these concern the function of procurement planning. Should local government's procurement system fail to manage these risks, accountability and eventual service delivery is seriously threatened.

Despite the increase in the total amount of funds available to local government in Kenya, its economic and financial profile is still poor as compared to the development programme it is expected to carry out. This situation is not unconnected to the mismanagement and embezzlement of these funds by the local councils (Bailey, 2008).

Ahmed (2005) further noted that many local governments in Kenya, however, are unable to deliver services to residents. He said this might be because of lack of finances or lack of capacity to provide a good service at an affordable price. So local governments should find other ways to ensure that the services are improved and reach the people most in need of them.

The other contributing factor is that local ward committees are not fully operational, resulting in poor communication with communities. Ward committees have been the
focus of considerable attention by government as well civil society, with substantial investment already made in an attempt to ensure that these structures have the necessary capacity and resources required for them to fulfill their envisaged roles as the voices of communities (Langseth, 2007). At the same time, questions that are often asked are how effective are these institutions; whether they are useful conduits for community involvement in local governance; whether, as created space for public participation, they are inherently capable of playing the critical role expected of them towards service delivery.

Indiscipline is rampantly perceived and well pronounced among the workers in local government (Hernon & Whitman, 2001). They further said that senior officers who travel to their families away from their offices on Friday return very late the following Monday or may decide to stay back till Tuesday; and the junior members of staff who directly or indirectly observe this more often than not are in the habit of playing truant with their jobs. Little or no commitment to duty has become a rule rather than an exception.

Hard-earned and limited resources accrued to and raised by local government are always mismanaged. Priorities are misplaced; projects are done not according to or as demanded by the people but regrettably in tune with the selfish end and aggrandizement of the political leadership in collaboration with the senior bureaucrats at the local government level of administration (Johan, 2006).
2.6 Conceptual framework
The dependent variable in this research is the service quality against the dimensions of service quality.
CHAPTER THREE: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 Introduction
The chapter is thus structured into research design, target population, sample and sampling techniques, data collection and data analysis techniques.

3.2 Research Design
The research design that was used in this study was a descriptive research design method aimed at establishing service quality and service delivery at Healthstrat. The method was preferred because it allows for prudent comparison of the research findings. It also attempts to describe or define a subject often by creating a profile of a group of problems, people or events through the collection of data and tabulation of the frequencies on research variables or their interaction as indicated.

3.3 Target Population
According to Cooper and Schindler, (2000), population refers to the entire group of individuals or objects to which researchers are interested in generalizing the conclusions. Population is defined as all the members of a real or hypothetical set of people, events or objects to which a researcher wishes to generalize the results of the research study (Borg and Gall, 1989). The target population of this study consisted of all the 98 employees working at the hospitals that Healthstrat supports.

3.4 Sampling
Sampling means selecting a given number of subjects from a defined population as representative of that population. Any statements made about the sample should also be
true of the population (Orodho, 2002). Mugenda and Mugenda (2003), states that a sample of 30% is considered representative for a population of less than 500.

30% of 98 = 30

3.5 Data Collection
The study used primary data collected through a structured questionnaire divided into two sections: Section one focused on the general background of the respondents while section two lay emphasis on establishing the relationship between service quality and service delivery at Healthstrat. The respondents were expected to give an insight on the area of study. The questionnaire was administered through drop and pick.

3.6 Data Analysis
Data analysis is the process of bringing order, structure and meaning to the mass of information collected. It involves examining what has been collected and making deductions and inferences (Kombo and Tromp 2006). This study will employ factor analysis to analyze the data obtained.

Coded data was then fed into the statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) version 21. This version of SPSS has been selected for analysis since it offers a more user friendly interface and can easily be linked with Microsoft office utility programs. Descriptive statistics was used. Editing involves going through the questionnaires to see if respondents responded to questions and see if there are blank responses. Tabulation involves counting the number of cases that fall into various categories. Descriptive
statistics such as mean, standard deviation were generated. Standard deviation represented the degree of variability in the responses.
CHAPTER FOUR: DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

4.1 Introduction

This chapter provides an analysis of data collected from the field to determine the service quality at Healthstrat. The analysis was done by analyzing questionnaires collected from employees working at the hospitals that Healthstrat supports. A total of 30 questionnaires were distributed and 26 were collected having been filled completely. This constitute a response rate of 86.9% which according to Mugenda Mugenda (2003) a response rate of more than 80% is sufficient for a study. Data collected from the field was sorted and later analyzed using statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) software.

The results are presented in tables and figures to highlight the major findings. They are also presented sequentially according to the research questions of the study. Descriptive statistics, mean scores, standard deviations were used to analyze the data collected. The raw data was coded, evaluated and tabulated to depict clearly the results on service quality at Healthstrat.

4.2 Demographic Characteristics

The study sought to establish the information on the respondents employed in the study with regards to the gender, age, their level of education and duration of service. These bio data points at the respondents’ appropriateness in responding to the study questions required for the study to be complete.
4.2.1 Gender of the respondents

The respondents were asked to indicate their gender, this was expected to guide the researcher on the conclusions regarding the degree of congruence of responses with the gender characteristics on service quality at Healthstrat. The results of the study are presented in the figure below.

Figure 4.1: Distribution of Gender

![Gender Distribution](image)

The results as shown in the figure 4.1 show that majority of the respondent were male at 63% while female was 37%. The results indicate that majority of the employees working at the hospitals that Healthstrat supports in Kenya are men.

4.2.2: Respondents' age group

This area of the study, the researcher sought to know the age category of the respondents. This was expected to guide the researcher in understanding the most active age group in regards to service quality in Healthstrat. Figure 4.2 shows that the study findings.
On age category, 11.6% of respondents were aged between 31-35 years, 51.2% were aged 36-40 years, 25.6% were aged above 41-45 years and the rest of the respondents 11.6% were aged between 46-50 years. From these findings, most of the respondents working at the hospitals that Healthstrat supports in Kenya belong to an age category of 36-40 years. This is the most active age group hence they are actively involved in service delivery and have rich experience.

4.2.3 Level of education

Figure 4.3 indicates the study results of the respondents' level of education. This was to help the researcher understand the level of education of the employees working at the hospitals that Healthstrat supports in Kenya.
The study findings indicate that 30.2% of the respondents are first degree holders, 52.3% are postgraduate degree holders and 18.6% had PHDs. All employees working at the hospitals that Healthstrat supports were found to be degree holders and therefore it can be assumed that the respondents provided information based on the academic knowledge, skills and experience they have gained over the years.

### 4.3 Factors determining Service Quality

The study in this part aimed at identifying the extent at which the following factors affect service quality in the organization. Data was collected using linkert scale of No extent (1), Little extent, (2), Moderate extent (3), Large extent (4) and Very large extent (5). The table below shows the research findings.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statements</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quality is very important in my work</td>
<td>2.8372</td>
<td>.37097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality standards are clearly defined for each division of the hospital</td>
<td>2.7442</td>
<td>.48961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I expect management to set standards for quality service</td>
<td>2.4419</td>
<td>.33356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regard held for your professional skill – seen as much more important to Medical and Allied Health staffs</td>
<td>3.1628</td>
<td>.47372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information is regularly collected about the service quality expectations of disciplines/areas my unit deals with</td>
<td>3.0465</td>
<td>.34548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I expect to be able to measure the quality of service from other disciplines/areas</td>
<td>2.9302</td>
<td>.43269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I tend to be more critical when evaluating work quality of people I work with on a regular basis than those I work with on an irregular basis</td>
<td>3.0000</td>
<td>.38680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My work quality is formally assessed as part of my performance appraisal</td>
<td>3.4419</td>
<td>.29589</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the research findings, assessment of work quality as part of performance appraisal had the highest mean score at 3.4419. This signifies that it is the most important factor that determines service quality. Other significant factors are regular collection of information on service quality (measure = 3.0465) and critical evaluation of the work quality of people (m=3.0). Less significant factor was employee expectations on management to service quality standard (m=2.4).
4.4 Customers' Service Expectations at Healthstrat

Respondents were asked their views on how dimensions of quality influenced the service delivery at Healthstrat. They were requested to rate with a degree of agreement/disagreement using a scale of 1-5, by ticking their appropriate choice. The table below shows the research findings.

Table 4.2: Customers’ Service Expectations at Healthstrat

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors Under Consideration</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tangibles at Healthstrat - Appearance of physical facilities, equipment, personnel, and communication materials</td>
<td>3.4419</td>
<td>.29589</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reliability at Healthstrat - Ability to perform the promised service dependably and accurately</td>
<td>3.3953</td>
<td>.25971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsiveness at Healthstrat - Willingness to help customers and provide prompt service</td>
<td>3.0930</td>
<td>.31760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assurance at Healthstrat - Knowledge and courtesy of employees and their ability to convey trust and confidence</td>
<td>3.3488</td>
<td>.38604</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empathy at Healthstrat - Caring, individualized attention the firm provides its customers</td>
<td>3.4186</td>
<td>.36306</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the study findings, most respondents were in agreement that appearance of physical facilities, equipment, personnel, and communication materials is what customers highly regard about service with a mean score of 3.4. Other significant factors were reliability - ability to perform the promised service dependably and accurately, assurance - knowledge and courtesy of employees and their ability to convey trust and confidence and empathy - caring, individualized attention the firm provides its customers. The least important factor
was responsiveness - willingness to help customers and provide prompt service with a mean score of 3.0930.

4.5 Service Delivery Mechanism on Service Quality
Respondents of the study were asked to rate the extent at which the following factors conform to service delivery mechanism on service quality in their respective organization. The table below shows the research findings.

Table 4.3: Service Delivery Mechanism on Service Quality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SERVICE DELIVERY MECHANISM</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reliability has a significantly positive influence on service delivery</td>
<td>2.9628</td>
<td>.37372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tangibility has a significantly positive influence on service delivery</td>
<td>3.0465</td>
<td>.44548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triadic communication network interposed between patients and family</td>
<td>2.9302</td>
<td>.23269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Competence is seen as possession of the required skills and knowledge to perform the service</td>
<td>3.0000</td>
<td>.28680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsiveness has a significantly positive influence on service delivery</td>
<td>3.4419</td>
<td>.39589</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collaboration as a notion of teamwork and working together for patient outcomes</td>
<td>3.2558</td>
<td>.38961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity as a sense of fairness in how the actions of others impact on the individual concerned.</td>
<td>2.9302</td>
<td>.30357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Understanding the customer in making an effort to know customers and their needs.</td>
<td>3.4419</td>
<td>.29589</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assurance has a significantly positive influence on service delivery</td>
<td>3.3953</td>
<td>.35971</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the research findings, understanding the customer in making an effort to know customers' needs and responsiveness has a significantly positive influence on service delivery mechanism on service quality with a mean score of 3.4419. This signifies that
they are the most important factors that determines service quality mechanism on service quality. Other significant factors are assurance, collaboration as a notion of teamwork and working together for patient outcomes and competence is seen as possession of the required skills and knowledge to perform the service (m=3.0). Less significant factor were triadic communication network interposed between patients and family and equity as a sense of fairness in how the actions of others impact on the individual concerned (m=2.4).

Using a scale 1-5, respondents were asked to rate the extent of disagreement/disagreement of the extent of service quality at healthstrat. The table below shows the research findings.

Table 4.4: Service Quality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SERVICE QUALITY</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High service quality is achieved by knowing operational process</td>
<td>4.3953</td>
<td>.25971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improvement in service design and delivery helps achieve higher levels of service delivery</td>
<td>4.0930</td>
<td>.31760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There are measures put in place to check service quality at healthstrat</td>
<td>4.3488</td>
<td>.38604</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the research findings, respondents strongly agreed that; High service quality is achieved by knowing operational process with a mean of 4.3, improvement in service design and delivery helps achieve higher levels of service delivery m=4.0 and that there are measures put in place to check service quality at healthstrat with a mean score of 4.34.
4.6 Summary Interpretation

The study aimed at identifying the extent at which the following factors affect service quality for service delivery at Healthstrat. On service quality, assessment of work quality as part of performance appraisal had the highest mean score at 3.4419. This signifies that it is the most important factor that determines service quality. Other significant factors are regular collection of information on service quality and critical evaluation of the work quality of people. According to Parasuraman, Zeithaml and Berry (2005, an organizations with high service quality meet the customer needs and also remain most economical in terms of competition as improved service quality also makes the firm more competitive. High service quality is achieved by knowing operational process through identifying problems in service and defining measures for service performances and outcomes as well as level of customer satisfaction.

On customers’ service expectations, respondents were in agreement that appearance of physical facilities, equipment, personnel, and communication materials is what customers highly regard about service with a mean score of 3.4. They also agreed that reliability - ability to perform the promised service dependably and accurately, assurance -knowledge and courtesy of employees and their ability to convey trust and confidence and empathy - caring, individualized attention the firm provides its customers. This contends with a study by Parasuraman et al., (2005), who argues that service quality meant to customers and developing strategies to meet customer expectations. Service quality has also attracted the attention of researchers all over the world (Chowdhary and Prakash, 2007). However, most of the research has focused on measuring service quality using the SERVQUAL instrument.
On service delivery mechanism on service quality, understanding the customer in making an effort to know customers' needs and responsiveness has a significantly positive influence on service delivery mechanism on service quality with a mean score of 3.4419. Helmsing (2005) in his study defines service delivery as a deliberate obligatory decision by the elected or appointed officials to serve or deliver goods and services to the recipients. Service delivery framework is therefore a set of principles, standards, policies and constraints used to guide the design, development, deployment, operation and retirement of services delivered by a service provider with a view to offering a consistent service experience to a specific user community in a specific business context. Service delivery framework is the context in which a service provider's capabilities are arranged into services (Helmsing, 2005).
CHAPTER FIVE: SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Introduction
This chapter presented the summary of key data findings, conclusions drawn from the findings highlighted and policy recommendations that were made. The conclusions and recommendations drawn were in quest of addressing research objectives of determining the impact of service delivery mechanism on service quality at Healthstrat.

5.2 Summary of the Findings
From the research findings of service quality at Healthstrat, majority of the respondent were male at 63% while female was 37%. The research also found that 11.6% of respondents were aged between 31-35 years, 51.2% were aged 36-40 years, 25.6% were aged above 41-45 years and the rest of the respondents 11.6% were aged between 46-50 years. Thirty percent of the respondents are first degree graduates, 52.3% are postgraduate degree holders and 18.6% had PHDs.

Concerning factors determining service quality at Healthstrat, respondents agreed that Quality standards are clearly defined for each division of the hospital, regard is held for their professional skill – seen as much more important to Medical and Allied Health staffs. Information is regularly collected about the service quality expectations of disciplines/areas my unit deals with. Additionally, respondents expect to be able to measure the quality of service from other disciplines/areas, tend to be more critical when evaluating work quality of people they work with on a regular basis than those they work with on an irregular basis and their work quality is formally assessed as part of performance appraisal.
On customer service expectation standards for quality service. Appearance of physical facilities, equipment, personnel, and communication materials; reliability, responsiveness, assurance and empathy - caring, individualized attention the firm provides its customers were highly responded.

On service delivery mechanism, the statement on Reliability has a significantly positive influence on service delivery, Tangibility has a significantly positive influence on service delivery, Triadic communication network interposed between patients and family, Competence is seen as possession of the required skills and knowledge to perform the service, Responsiveness has a significantly positive influence on service delivery, Collaboration as a notion of teamwork and working together for patient outcomes, Equity as a sense of fairness in how the actions of others impact on the individual concerned, Understanding the customer in making an effort to know customers and their needs and the statement on assurance has a significantly positive influence on service delivery.

5.3 Conclusions
From the research findings presented in chapter four and above summary of findings.

First of all it can be concluded that service quality as perceived by customers is as a result of how well service matches expectations. Secondly that service quality was determined by two dimensions, namely; expectations and experience and each of these dimensions had underlying factors. Thirdly, five factors determined the outcome of service quality which is used by the customers to complete judgement during service delivery, namely; tangibles, reliability, responsiveness, assurance and empathy. According to Ho, (2009) customer focused management paradigm, quality is viewed as one component of the
value created for the customer. It is managed into the process of making the product or service and is seen as synergistic with cost and delivery. Service quality is viewed as something that encompasses the entire organization. Since all functions are responsible for product quality and all share the cost of poor quality, quality is viewed as a concept that affects the entire organization.

Furthermore, the actual management of service quality depends on how well the gaps presented by Zeithaml et al. (1988) are managed. Each gap is a representation of a lack of focus on resources resulting in negative effects towards service quality. First of all it starts with management's perception of desired service quality by customers through analyzing customer expectations and demand analysis and based on their perception design specifications for service quality and these are then followed on by contact employees during service delivery.

The study further concludes that customer satisfaction should be measured once a year with the customer satisfaction index. Further, spontaneous feedback should be reviewed regularly. Even though spontaneous feedback isn't always realistic, because one receive something when the customer is satisfied or when they aren't. So what lies in between you don't find out. That is why the customer satisfaction index that we have once a year. Because this does measure all the points where service are delivered.

5.4 Policy Recommendations
For future implications, this study would have to be done again, this time involving much more participants as well as involving one strategic manager and one operational
manager into the study. Secondly, within this study it was argued which factors, namely; functional quality or technical quality was more important than the other. Although most of the participants mentioned that it’s the functional quality which has the most effect on the outcome of the service delivery because of the human element. A separate study on this subject would shed light on which element is more important and could provide more focus for improving service quality.

Last but not least, the last part of the interview covered the subject of focus for improving service quality. All participants mentioned the staff to be the focus of improvement based on the fact that it’s the service staff that actually operationalizes service quality standards and more importantly it’s during the service encounter where the customer forms the last judgements on service quality. This part can be applied to the importance of the human resource in daily operations. The importance of contact employee and how much improvement can be seen if more focus is given to employees.

5.5 Limitations of the Study
One of the limiting factors was that the researcher may have got inadequate information or if otherwise the response given would have been totally different from what the researcher expected. The main limitations of this study was uncooperative respondents, thus the research might be biased. Another limitation for the study included the short period which HealthStrat has been in existence which could not give a long trend for analysis.
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APPENDICES

Appendix I: Questionnaire

Kindly answer the following questions by ticking in the appropriate box or filling the spaces provided.

SECTION A: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Note: For each of the questions, tick against your response or write your response in the blank space provided.

1. Gender of the respondent
   Female ( )
   Male ( )

2. Age range in years
   18-25 years ( )   26-30 years ( )   31-35 years ( )   36-40 years ( )
   41-45 years ( )   46-50 years ( )   over 50 years ( )

3. Level of education
   Secondary ( )   Diploma ( )   1st Degree ( )
   Postgraduate ( )   PhD ( )
SECTION B: FACTORS THAT DETERMINE SERVICE QUALITY

Note: For each of the questions, tick against your response or write your response in the blank space provided.

4. To what extent does each of the following factors affect service quality in your organization? Use the following scale: No extent (1), Little extent, (2), Moderate extent (3), Large extent (4) and Very large extent (5)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statements</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quality is very important in my work</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality standards are clearly defined for each division of the hospital</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I expect management to set standards for quality service</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regard held for your professional skill – seen as much more important to Medical and Allied Health staffs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information is regularly collected about the service quality</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expectations of disciplines/areas my unit deals with</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I expect to be able to measure the quality of service from other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I tend to be more critical when evaluating work quality of people I work with on a regular basis than those I work with on an irregular basis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My work quality is formally assessed as part of my performance appraisal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SECTION C: THE CUSTOMERS' SERVICE EXPECTATIONS AT HEALTHSTRAT


To what extent has the following multiple and dimensions of quality influenced the service delivery at Healthstrat?
Factors Under Consideration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tangibles at Healthstrat - Appearance of physical facilities, equipment, personnel, and communication materials</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reliability at Healthstrat - Ability to perform the promised service dependably and accurately</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsiveness at Healthstrat - Willingness to help customers and provide prompt service</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assurance at Healthstrat - Knowledge and courtesy of employees and their ability to convey trust and confidence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empathy at Healthstrat - Caring, individualized attention the firm provides its customers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SECTION D: SERVICE DELIVERY MECHANISM ON SERVICE QUALITY

1. To what extent does each of the following conform to service delivery mechanism on service quality in your organization? Use the following scale: No extent (1), Little extent, (2), Moderate extent (3), Large extent (4) and Very large extent (5)

SERVICE DELIVERY MECHANISM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mechanism</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reliability has a significantly positive influence on service delivery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tangibility has a significantly positive influence on service delivery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triadic communication network interposed between patients and family</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Competence is seen as possession of the required skills and knowledge to perform the service</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsiveness has a significantly positive influence on service delivery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collaboration as a notion of teamwork and working together for patient</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Factors Under Consideration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Delivery Mechanism</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reliability has a significantly positive influence on service delivery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tangibility has a significantly positive influence on service delivery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triadic communication network interposed between patients and family</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Competence is seen as possession of the required skills and knowledge to perform the service</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsiveness has a significantly positive influence on service delivery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collaboration as a notion of teamwork and working together for patient</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>outcomes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity as a sense of fairness in how the actions of others impact on the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>individual concerned.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Understanding the customer in making an effort to know customers and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>their needs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assurance has a significantly positive influence on service delivery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Using a scale 1-5. Please tick (✓) all as appropriate. What the extent of service quality at healthstrat?


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SERVICE QUALITY</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High service quality is achieved by knowing operational process</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>improvement in service design and delivery helps achieve higher levels of</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>service delivery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There are measures put in place to check service quality at healthstrat</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>