

**FACTORS INFLUENCING PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACT FARMING IN KENYA: A  
CASE OF SORGHUM SMALLHOLDER FARMERS IN IMENTI NORTH, MERU  
COUNTY, KENYA.**

**BY**

**MBAABU CHRISTINE KANANA**

**A Research Project Report Submitted in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Award  
of the Degree of Master of Arts in Project Planning and Management of the University of  
Nairobi**

**2019**

## **DECLARATION**

This project report is my original work and has not been submitted in any other University for any award.

Signature .....

Date.....

**MBAABU CHRISTINE KANANA**

**L50/9517/2017**

This project report is submitted for examination with my approval as research supervisor.

Signature .....

Date.....

**Dr John Mbugua**

**School of Open and Distance Learning**

**University of Nairobi**

## **DEDICATION**

I devote this research project to my beloved family for their constant encouragement and support throughout my studies. I offer special thanks and blessings to my mother, Elizabeth Mbaabu for her love and prayers.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

I acknowledge the support and help of my supervisor Dr John Mbugua for his suggestions and guidance that has helped me complete this research project and to come up with practical ideas towards completion. My sincere gratitude also goes to all my lecturers and the entire staff of the University of Nairobi, Meru Learning Centre for the enabling environment and support whenever they could during the course of my studies. I would also like to acknowledge the director of Shalem Investment Ltd where I work, for granting and offering support throughout my study. Without forgetting my friends in the discussion groups who acted as my support team.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION .....	ii
DEDICATION.....	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.....	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS .....	v
LIST OF TABLES .....	vii
LIST OF FIGURES .....	viii
ABBREVIATION AND ACRONYMS.....	ix
ABSTRACT .....	x
<b>CHAPTER ONE .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background of the study.....	1
1.2 Statement of the Problem.....	3
1.3 Purpose of the study .....	4
1.4 Research objectives .....	4
1.5 Research Questions .....	4
1.6 Significance of the study.....	4
1.7 Delimitation of the study .....	5
1.8 Limitations of the study.....	5
1.9 Basic Assumptions of the study .....	5
1.10 Definition of significant terms .....	5
1.11 Organization of the study.....	6
<b>CHAPTER TWO .....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>LITERATURE REVIEW .....</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1 Introduction.....	7
2.2 The concept of Performance of Contract Farming among small holder farmers.....	7
2.3 Provision of interlinked Services and performance of contract farming.....	8
2.4 Income of farmers and performance of Contract farming .....	11
2.5 Sociological factors and performance in Contract Farming .....	13
2.6 Nature of the contract and performance of contract Farming.....	15
2.7 Farmers Attitude and performance of Contract farming .....	17
2.8 Theoretical Framework.....	17
2.8.1 The theory of diffusion of innovations .....	17
2.9 Conceptual framework.....	18
2.10 Research gaps.....	20
2.11 Summary of Literature Review .....	20
<b>CHAPTER THREE .....</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY .....</b>	<b>22</b>
3.1 Introduction.....	22
3.2 Research design.....	22
3.3 Target population .....	22
3.4 Sample size and sampling procedures.....	23
3.4.1 Sample size.....	23
3.4.2 Sampling procedure .....	23
3.5 Data Collection Instruments .....	24
3.5.1 Pilot testing of the instrument .....	24

3.5.2 Validity of the instrument .....	25
3.5.3 Reliability of the instrument.....	25
3.6 Data collection procedures.....	26
3.7 Data analysis techniques .....	26
3.8 Ethical considerations.....	27
3.9 Operational definition of the variables .....	27
<b>CHAPTER FOUR.....</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>DATA ANALYSIS, PRESENTATION AND INTERPRETATION OF FINDINGS.....</b>	<b>30</b>
4.1 Introduction.....	30
4.2 Response Rate .....	30
4.3 Reliability Analysis .....	30
4.4 Background Information.....	31
4.5 Interlinked Services .....	33
4.6 Income .....	34
4.7 Sociological Factors .....	35
4.8 Nature of the Contract .....	37
4.9 Farmers Attitude.....	38
4.10 Performance of Contract Farming in Imenti North, Meru County .....	38
4.11 Regression Analysis .....	39
<b>CHAPTER FIVE.....</b>	<b>42</b>
<b>SUMMARY OF FINDINGS, DISCUSSION, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS 42</b>	<b>42</b>
5.1 Introduction.....	42
5.2 Summary of the Findings.....	42
5.3 Discussion of the Findings.....	43
5.3.1 Interlinked Services .....	43
5.3.2 Income.....	43
5.3.3 Sociological factors .....	44
5.3.4 Nature of the contract .....	44
5.3.5 Farmers Attitude.....	45
5.3.6 Performance of Contract Farming .....	45
5.4 Conclusion .....	45
5.5 Recommendations .....	46
5.6 Recommendations for Further Studies .....	47
REFERENCES .....	48
APPENDICES .....	55
Appendix I: Letter of Transmittal .....	55
Appendix II: Research Questionnaire for smallholder farmers .....	56
Appendix III: Plagiarism Report.....	59

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 3. 1: Target Population .....	23
Table 3. 2: Sampling Frame .....	24
Table 3. 3: Operationalization of variables .....	28
Table 4. 1: Response Rate .....	30
Table 4. 2: Reliability Analysis .....	30
Table 4. 3: Gender of the Respondents .....	31
Table 4. 4: Highest Level of Education.....	31
Table 4. 5: Age Bracket.....	32
Table 4. 6: Benefits of Participating in Contract Farming .....	33
Table 4. 7: Aspects of Interlinked Services .....	34
Table 4. 8: Annual Average Income from Sorghum Before Joining Contract Farming .....	34
Table 4. 9: Annual Average Income from Sorghum After Joining Contract Farming .....	35
Table 4. 10: Age Bracket That Highly Participates in Contract Farming .....	36
Table 4. 11: Level of Education that is Actively Involved in Contract Farming .....	36
Table 4. 12: Sociological Factors.....	36
Table 4. 13: Type of Contract.....	37
Table 4. 14: Type of Price Option .....	37
Table 4. 15: Opinion on The Participation of FBOs, NGOs And Cooperatives in Contract Farming arrangements.....	38
Table 4. 16: Farmers Attitude.....	38
Table 4. 17: Aspects of Performance of Contract Farming .....	39
Table 4. 18: Model Summary .....	39
Table 4. 19: ANOVA Test.....	39
Table 4. 20: Coefficients of Determination .....	40

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Conceptual Framework .....	19
--------------------------------------	----



## **ABBREVIATION AND ACRONYMS**

ASAL	--	Arid and Semi-Arid Lands
CF	--	Contract Farming
ESA	--	East and Southern Africa
GMA	--	Gross Margin Analysis
NACOSTI	--	National Commission for science, Technology & Innovation
SHFs	--	Small Holder Farmers
SPSS	--	Statistical Package for Social Sciences
SSA	--	Sub-Saharan Africa

## ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study was to determine the factors that influence the performance of contract farming among sorghum small holder farmers in Imenti North, Meru County, Kenya. The statement of the problem enumerated from the poor performance sorghum contract farming programmes are facing yet the contract farming arrangement is believed to provide numerous benefits to the farmers such as market opportunities, credit accessibility and capacity building among others. The objective of the proposal was to determine the influence of interlinked services on performance of contract farming, the influence of income on performance of contract farming, the influence of sociological factors on performance of contract farming, and the influence of nature of the contract on performance of contract farming. A descriptive research design was used. The target population was obtained from sorghum contracted small holder farmers in Imenti North, Meru County. The target population for investigation was drawn from 1200 contracted small holder farmers from four locations in Imenti North. The sample size was 291 to whom semi structured questionnaires was administered for data collection. The simple proportionate random sampling method was used to determine the sample size. The reliability of the instruments was assessed using the half-split technique. The data was analysed using both qualitative and quantitative methods. A correlation analysis was done to determine the relationship between variables and a multiple regression analysis was conducted to determine the relationship between the dependent and independent variable. The findings were presented in tables. The results obtained in this study were utilized in designing and implementing successful programmes in the sorghum contract market. The study found that farmers received benefits from participating in contract farming where trainings were received most, and then input supply arrangements, input loan while crop insurance cover was the least received. Further, it was found that in terms of the most beneficial services, the ranking was as follows; trainings, insurance cover, input loan and the least beneficial being inputs arrangement. The study also found that the farmer's annual average income increased after joining contract farming and this was linked to high yields and high prices offered by contract markets. The study found that sociological factors have a strong and positive influence on the performance of contract farming. The study found out that most of the farmers that participated in contract farming were aged between 30-40 years and had attained primary education. Further, the study found that it was strongly agreed that the age of a farmer influences performance of contract farming and education level of a farmer influences performance of contract farming. It was agreed that the gender of a farmer influences performance of contract farming while it was undecided that the land size of a farmer influences performance of contract farming. The study found that most of the farmers preferred written contracts compared to oral ones. The study found out that farmer's satisfaction and experience influence their attitude towards contract farming. The study concluded that income had the greatest influence on performance of contract farming among sorghum smallholder farmers in Imenti North in Kenya followed by nature of contracts, then interlinked services and sociological factors had the least effect on the performance of contract farming among sorghum smallholder farmers in Imenti North in Kenya. The study recommended that the government should contribute towards more farmer trainings through the Ministry of Agriculture Officers, involve research institutions to come up with new & high yielding sorghum varieties, assist the farmers by minimising the price of the essential farm input equipment's as well as providing tractors for hire to be accessible to farmers at a cheaper rate. The study also recommended that farmers should be loyal to their contract markets and not side sell to brokers and ensure that the products are of the required standards to make sure that the consumers who are also the buyers are satisfied. Lastly, the contract firms should strive to sign more written contracts with the farmers which they prefer. The study further recommends that since the study was only limited to Imenti North in Meru County, a similar study should be done in other counties in Kenya and for other crop farmers such as Maize or dairy farmers.

## CHAPTER ONE

### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Background of the study

Sorghum grain is the 5<sup>th</sup> most significant cereal crop cultivated globally. The grain species originated in Australia, Mesoamerica, Africa, Indian Ocean and parts of the Pacific Ocean. Sorghum serves as a cash crop and also consumed domestically. There are a range of uses that sorghum carries which include human food, biofuel, animal feed, production of flour and alcoholic beverages. Nutritionists classify sorghum as an extremely healthy cereal which is rich in vital nutrients that are very important in the body. Owing to its nutritional and rising commercial value, several countries around the globe promote production of the crop.

Statistics from the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations have ranked the USA as the top most producer of sorghum with an average production of 11.5 million tonnes. India comes second; with the ideal climate in the country the farmers do not use any supplementary mechanisms to hasten the growth of the crop. Nigeria ranks third globally and the top in Africa. Other countries in the top ten list of sorghum production globally are; Mexico, Sudan, Sudan former, China, Argentina, Ethiopia and Australia (Chelengat, 2017).

Sorghum is majorly grown in the arid and semiarid lands (ASALs) of Africa and Asia, probably owing to its diversity and versatility. The future of the sorghum value chain is linked to food security, poverty alleviation and income growth. The objectives of the sorghum enterprise are more applicable in developing countries of the African continent as compared to the developed countries.

Widely held smallholder farmers (SHFs) in the Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) live below the poverty line and they depend on agriculture as their main source of livelihood (Chen and Martin, 2010). The major contributing factors towards poverty have been low production and limited market opportunities for their harvest which results to majority of the farmers failing to purchase quality inputs contributed by absence of credit accessibility. According to (Tschirley et al., 2009), initiatives to reverse the trend have been established; the private sector and the government have joined hands in introducing the concept of contract farming especially with smallholder farmers. This is to counteract these circumstances and provide an alternative solution by providing inputs on credit and an assured market for their produce.

In developing countries, CF has enabled farmers to commercialize their operations through markets that have been created domestically and internationally. Numerous case studies that have been undertaken involving agricultural commodities in Africa, Central and Latin America

and Asia show that farmers have benefited variably from CF through access of markets, production inputs and other non-material benefits (Masakure, 2011).

Contract farming has been practiced in the SSA countries for several crops and for a long time. For instance, Zimbabwe, Zambia, Malawi and Mozambique are widely known for production of cotton under CF. However, there are no reliable figures indicating the number of farmers participating in CF in developing countries. The informal estimates show that in Kenya for example, only a quarter of the farmers produce under contract. The rates in other countries are lower. Surveys in Ghana, Vietnam and Uganda indicate that less than 5% of the small holder farmers participate in CF. The World Bank conducted a study that depicted only 7 percent of the sampled households engaged in any form of agricultural CF (Losch, Freguin and E.White, 2011)

In Kenya, sorghum is grown mostly in the ASALs of the country. Sorghum has been a deserted crop over time and serving the subsistence purposes only. The cereal crop lost favour when most farmers shifted to maize which became the staple food and most preferred crop upon introduction by the colonizers. However, owing to the effects of climate change, Kenya has been experiencing declining rainfall amounts. As a result, Kenya has become a food deficit country due to decreased food production. This has necessitated the Kenyan government to establish programmes such as contract farming that promote growth of drought tolerant crops such as sorghum, which is known to adapt well in harsh environments as a means of improving income and ensuring food security.

Contract Farming is an arrangement involving two or more parties who come into an agreement, whereby one party is the producer and the other the buyer (setboonsarng et al., 2011). According to Bellemare, CF is a scheme of promoting smallholder involvement in rationalized market opportunities, thereby boosting and securing SHFs returns. Through CF the proceeds of SHFs are extensively grown (miyata et al., 2009; Olomola, 2010; Bellemare, 2012; Wainana et al., 2012).

The main goal of CF is to create a ready market to the farmers, provide agricultural extension services and inputs (Birthal, 2010). On the other hand, the SHFs, should commit to produce the contracted crop according to the specifications given by the purchasers in terms of quality, quantity and standards. With the above-mentioned services to be provided by the contractor, contract farming is expected to reduce poverty, hunger and catalyse economic development (Parekh, 2013). According to Oya, 2012, contract farming accounts for approximately 15% of agricultural output in developed countries. However, the potential benefits vary with the nature of contract.

## **1.2 Statement of the Problem**

The demand for sorghum in Meru is steadily rising due to industrial use such as processing by millers and brewing by East Africa Malting. Sorghum is among the main cash crops in Meru County making the region highly prospective in regards to sorghum growing (GoK, 2013), however the farmers engaged in sorghum contract farming are not able to meet the demand leading to poor performance of CF. Apparently, the demand for sorghum is higher than supply to contract markets. For instance, Shalem Investment Ltd who buys sorghum from SHFs and supplies to East Africa Malting has never achieved the contracted quantity since 2013. This is a clear indication that the performance of contract farming is poor and something needs to be done.

In 2013, the company's contracted volume by East Africa Malting was 1,000,000kgs against 600,000kgs which was delivered. 1,460,000kgs contracted in 2014 against 1,110,000kgs delivered, 1,920,000kgs contracted in 2015 against 1,370,000 delivered, 3,860,000kgs contracted in 2016 against 3,100,000kgs delivered, 4,300,000 kgs contracted in 2017 against 4,050,000 kgs delivered and 3,700,000kgs contracted in 2018 against 3,400,000 kgs delivered.

The general manager of East African Malting a subsidiary of East Africa Breweries Ltd quoted "we have a target to provide up to 30,000 tonnes of sorghum in 2017, against the less than 10,000 tonnes that we currently get. This is why the drive to get small holder farmers especially in ASALs is crucial for us" the firm was asking small holder farmers in Meru to sign contracts with their intermediary contractors to grow sorghum (Gwengi, 2016).

Since the sorghum contractual market opportunities exist in Meru County, Smallholder farmers should be empowered to utilize them. A well-managed CF arrangement brings efficacy in coordinating and promoting agricultural production and marketing. With organized and well managed CF arrangements, there is reduced risk and uncertainty for the farmers and contractors in comparison to marketing produce in the open market.

The performance of contract farming is dependent on numerous physical, Social and economic factors. This could be the reason why contract farming has low performance even with the numerous benefits that come along with the arrangement such as higher prices in comparison to the open markets, provision of inputs and extension services. On the other hand, the benefits inculcated in the CF may not be attractive to the small holder farmers, or the benefits could only be theoretical. Some of the authors have criticised CF and revealed it is not a beneficial arrangement to the SHFs.

In this case, the factors that influence performance of CF arrangements remain questionable. Whilst most of the studies explore the impacts of CF on the livelihoods of participating

smallholders, only in rare cases are the SHFs themselves asked explicitly about the motivating factors that influence them to produce on contract. This study attempted to bridge the aforementioned literature gap using a case study of smallholder sorghum farmers in Meru County, Imenti North Sub County.

### **1.3 Purpose of the study**

The purpose of the study was to establish the factors that influence performance of contract farming among sorghum small holder farmers.

### **1.4 Research objectives**

The study sought to achieve the following objectives;

- i. To determine the influence of interlinked services on performance of contract farming among sorghum smallholder farmers in Imenti North, Meru County.
- ii. To determine the influence of income on performance of contract farming among sorghum small holder farmers in Imenti North, Meru County.
- iii. To determine the influence of sociological factors on performance of contract farming among sorghum smallholder farmers in Imenti North, Meru County.
- iv. To determine the influence of the nature of the contract on performance of contract farming among sorghum smallholder farmers in Imenti North, Meru County.

### **1.5 Research Questions**

This study sought to answer the following questions;

- i. How do interlinked services influence performance of contract farming among sorghum smallholder farmers in Imenti North, Meru County?
- ii. How does income of sorghum small holder farmers influence performance of contract farming in Imenti North, Meru County?
- iii. How do the sociological factors influence performance of contract farming among sorghum smallholder farmers in Imenti North, Meru County?
- iv. How does the nature of contract influence performance of contract farming among sorghum smallholder farmers in Imenti North, Meru County?

### **1.6 Significance of the study**

The findings were shared with Sorghum contractors, Non-Governmental organizations, agents and large markets such as EABL to design and implement sorghum contract farming programmes more favourably to attract an increased number of small holder farmers.

The County government of Meru benefited from the findings of this study since the factors that encourage small holder participation in contract farming in Meru were established. The findings also helped in building the capacity of sorghum farmers to understand contract farming and coping strategies around the arrangement.

The findings were shared with the Ministry of Agriculture and Livestock production to provide input in policies regarding contract farming and small-scale farmers.

The findings also contributed to the body of academia and provided input in the agricultural gaps subject to further academic research

### **1.7 Delimitation of the study**

The focus of the study was to delineate the factors that influence performance of contract farming among sorghum small holder farmers in Imenti North, Meru County. Questionnaires were administered involving the Sorghum small holder farmers in Imenti North to generate the necessary data for the study. The study involved the sorghum small holder farmers in this area who participated in contract farming. The duration of the study was 2 months.

### **1.8 Limitations of the study**

The limitations facing the study included some factors that were not within the researcher's control. Some of these include: honesty of the sampled SHFs and biasness. To minimize this, the researcher asked the respondent to be honest and unbiased.

The research environment had uncontrolled settings whereby the extraneous factors had influence on the data gathering. These variables included motivation of the respondent, anxiety, statements from other respondents, stress, while responding to the questionnaire. Although these were not in the researcher's control, efforts were made to ask the sampled respondents to be objective during the exercise. If the representative sample was not reached as desired due to reasons beyond the researcher's control, the minimum sample was exceeded to compensate.

### **1.9 Basic Assumptions of the study**

It was assumed that the data obtained in this study was a representative of all situations regarding sorghum contract farming in Kenya. It was also assumed that the respondents gave correct and honest personal responses during data collection.

### **1.10 Definition of significant terms**

**Income:** This is the total amount of money an individual receives from selling goods/services. In this case, the money a small holder farmer receives from selling sorghum under contract.

**Interlinked services:** An act of helpful activities connected to each other. These services include but are not limited to services such as training, linkages to credit, technical advice and input supply arrangement.

**Nature of the contract:** Refers to the conditions set out in the agreement between the buyer and the small holder farmers. Some of the conditions established in a contract include but not limited to pricing, standards requirements, mode of payment and transport arrangements.

**Performance of Contract farming:** Contract Farming is an agreement between the farmers and the contracting firms to produce and supply particular agricultural products. The performance of contract farming in this case will be measured by indicators such as number of farmers benefiting from CF, volumes of sorghum delivered by contracted farmers, number of acreages utilized under CF and number of contracts signed.

**Sociological factors:** Are circumstances, characteristics, aspects and experiences that influence the personality, attitude and lifestyle of a small holder farmer and affects the way they live and behave.

### **1.11 Organization of the study**

The study is organized into five chapters. Chapter one deals with background of the study, statement of the problem, purpose of the study, research objectives, research questions, significance of the study, delimitations and limitations of the study, basic assumptions and definitions of significant terms.

Chapter two contains introduction to literature review based on the objectives of the study. It further looks at the theoretical framework, conceptual framework, research gaps and finally the summary.

Chapter three, covers research methodology which involves introduction, research design, target population, sample size and sampling procedure, instrument of data collection, pilot testing of the instrument, validity and reliability of the instruments, data collection procedures, data analysis techniques, ethical considerations and operational definition of the variables.

Chapter four presents data collection, analysis of the findings, interpretation and discussion of the findings. Chapter five closes the study presenting a brief of findings, conclusions, recommendations and suggestions for action and further studies.



## CHAPTER TWO

### LITERATURE REVIEW

#### 2.1 Introduction

This chapter summarizes findings from other researchers in the same area of study. The literature review provides an extensive research in association to the factors influencing performance of contract farming among sorghum small holder farmers. The session also gives a wide spectrum of opinions from different authors. The structure of the chapter is divided into empirical review, theoretical framework and conceptual framework. The study also sought to fulfil the identified knowledge gap.

#### 2.2 The concept of Performance of Contract Farming among small holder farmers

This study is based on the model of contract farming. CF has been defined differently and especially depending on the context. According to Prowse (2012), CF is when a company lends inputs (fertilizers, seeds, pesticides, credit or trainings) to the crop producers and in return the firm gets all the purchasing power over the contracted crop. CF can also be defined as an agreement between the farmers and the contracting firms to produce and supply particular agricultural products under forward agreements, frequently at predetermined prices (Bellemare and Bloem, 2018)

In normal circumstances the buyer will create a good production environment by facilitating the producer with the expectation of buying the produce during harvest. According to Tschirley et al. (2009), contract farming (CF) can be defined as active vertical coordination between growers of an agricultural product and buyers or processors of that product. In addition, Bellemare and Bloem (2018) defines Contract farming as an agreement between one or more farmer (s) and a contractor for the production and supply of agricultural products under forward agreements, frequently at pre-determined prices.

There are four models of CF which include; centralized model, the multipartite model, informal model and intermediary model. The centralized model also known as classical contract farming involves the processor/buyer purchasing from a large population of SHFs. The model is vertically integrated and, in most cases, this arrangement provides for services like input credit, capacity building and transportation of the harvest. The second model is known as multipartite model arises when a combination of two or more organizations (state, private agribusiness firms, international aid agencies or non-governmental organizations-NGOs) work together to coordinate and manage the cooperation between buyers and farmers (Oya, 2012). During liberalization, majority of the nation's especially developing ones enthusiastically devoted in CF via joint enterprises with private firms. This model is practiced in China where the joint

ventures between the government departments and domestic and foreign investors in establishment of the processing unit as well as entering a contract farming agreement with local farmers (Miyata, 2009). When a joint venture is carefully planned, the vertical coordination may be strong and could offer suitable control in the transactions with farmers.

The third model is the intermediary model which shares most of the features with the centralized model. The only nature being that the organization in the intermediary model represents another firm. The intermediary takes up all arrangements for the buyer including inputs arrangements, trainings, payments to the SHFs and final transportation of the product. This model might be economically attractive to the buyer because of the significant management effort that goes in handling the thousands of farmers, thus the need to outsource the assignment to an agent.

Lastly, the informal model involves casual oral agreements between contracting parties and regularly repeated marketing transactions but is characterized by the absence of written contracts or equally binding and specifying documents (Kelly & Pemberton, 2016). The arrangement is common with perishable products such as vegetables, and fresh fruits. The success of the model is dependent on service providers, mostly offered by the government agencies (Bellemare and Bloem, 2018).

Some authors have recorded that the efficacy of a contract arrangement is determined by the type of interaction between farmers, buyers and other stakeholders involved in the contract scheme. Where CF has been unsuccessful, a number of cases have been reported as the cause; poor management among parties, poor terms and conditions & post determination of prices that are dictated by contract markets. Consequently, this has caused unfavourable selection, moral hazards & breach of contracts. Bellemare and Bloem (2018) affirm that with effective management, contract farming can be a means to develop markets and bring about the transfer of technical skills in a way that is profitable for both the contractor and the farmer. Performance of contract farming in this case was measured by indicators such as number of farmers benefiting from CF, volumes of sorghum delivered by contracted farmers, number of acreages utilized under CF and number of contracts signed.

### **2.3 Provision of interlinked Services and performance of contract farming**

Contract farming provides diverse opportunities to farmers ranging from accessibility to a reliable market and most importantly provision to credit access, inputs, marketing and production services (training and extension services). Contract farming can encourage technology and skill transfer

supporting the small holder farmers in meeting vital crop standards required in the market (Prowse, 2012).

Masakure (2011), delineates that contract farming has numerous benefits: increase on-farm diversification, technical assistance and skill transfer. This is true because contract farming offers the opportunity to learn basic concepts on production. The skills learnt through CF may include; efficacy in utilization of farm resources, record keeping, inputs application and quality standards. Moreover, spill over effect to the adjacent fields may occur and an improvement in their overall farming practices observed. According to Mwamakimbula (2014), farmers will voluntarily participate in extension training if their needs and preferences have to be addressed. Guo et al. (2009) discovered in a study of contract farming in some eastern provinces in China, that small holder farmers are attracted to contract farming so as to obtain the advantages of technical assistance to improve product quality, credit access and input supply arrangement.

In a study conducted in the North Central Zone of Nigeria, it was revealed that 90% of the contract farmers had received various services and exposed to trainings by the contracting firms as compared to only 28% of the non-contract farmers who received trainings from the government officers. It was recommended that the rice farmers should participate more in contract farming to take advantage of the services so as to increase productivity and income to escape poverty (Tsado, Ojo & Ajavi, 2014).

A different survey conducted by (Moyo, 2014) in Mazowe district in Zimbabwe revealed that most tobacco contract farmers get extension services from their contractors unlike those not contracted. The survey revealed that 100% of the contracted farmers receive extension services while 35% of the non-contracted farmers do not access extension services. This is because the non-contracted farmers entirely rely on government services which are not reliable especially owing to the budgetary constraints facing the government thus the reason for 65% non-contract farmers not having received services.

From the Zimbabwe study, 97.4% of the contracted farmers received inputs from their contractors while 97.3% of the non-contract farmers purchased inputs from their individual capital. This is a confirmation that contract farming is a resourceful marketing arrangement. With the contracting firms providing trainings and inputs arrangement this largely influences farmer's operations thereby impacting positively on the quality and quantity of crops produced. Therefore, the difference in performance between the contract and non-contract farmers can be attributed to the CF intervention (Moyo, 2014).

In developing countries, access to agricultural inputs has been cited as a major challenge to small holder farmers. In a contract production context, the financial and input demands coupled with

labour requirements tend to be higher as compared to a non-contract arrangement. Key & Runsten (2013), therefore argue that inputs supply arrangements and financial linkages are important elements of a contract design targeting small holder farmers. According to Chavas (2010) SHFs are limited to produce in large scale due to the lack of access to certified inputs and input loans. In addition, the SHFs have very small land sizes making it challenging to compete with large scale growers.

Small holder farmers may consider participating in contract farming so as to access inputs from the contracting firm especially in a situation where there are not many input suppliers in the area. In developing countries, public provisions of agricultural inputs and services have been noted to be inefficient due to unreliable delivery (Dorward, 2010) and also due to political interference (Banful, 2010).

Inputs arrangements from the contracting firm are more likely to win the trust of farmers, because the quality of the inputs is assured and has an effect on the output quality. The small holder farmers claim that the contracting firm is the claimant of their produce, therefore, will offer high quality inputs as compared to other sources (Wolf, 2011). According to Abebe (2013), Ethiopian potatoes contract farmers preferred seeds supplied by the contracting firm because it is believed to be of high quality and more reliable than from other sources.

Access to extension services is viewed as an intangible incentive and more so helps the farmers to increase productivity. This creates a strong commitment to the contract scheme by the contracted farmers. Evaline (2015) in a research conducted in the lower Eastern parts of Kenya revealed that 50% of the contracted sampled households received production advice from extension services with the most common advice covering agronomic practices and the best varieties that do well in ASAL regions. The trainings were received by 80% of the sampled respondents.

A study conducted in Bungoma/Kakamega districts in Kenya revealed a positive spill over from contract arrangements among sugarcane farmers. The study concluded that there was a positive correlation between the farmer's participation in the contract arrangements to the farmer's access to inputs on credit (Govereh, Jayne and Nyoro, 2009).

In most cases, smallholder farmers have difficulties to get credit for farm inputs. Due to the high risk associated with farmers there is less possibility of banks' lending them money (Key & Runsten, 2013). High interest rates are also a hindrance. In this light, contract farming allows farmers to access credit giving them power to purchase inputs. In most cases, the contractors advance the credit in form of inputs through enforced agreements. In some cases, farmers use the contract agreement as collateral to access credit from other sources (Simmons, 2012). According to Evaline (2015) while it

is known that access to credit for sorghum production and marketing is important, in a contract arrangement study conducted in Kenya it was observed that 93% of the Households accessed necessary agricultural credits. 7% of the households did not access any credit for sorghum production and marketing. Financial constraints among small holder farmers are immense and if contract farming can make credit accessible to farmers this would be a great incentive for participation in the contract scheme.

#### **2.4 Income of farmers and performance of Contract farming**

Small holder farmers are limited by marketing opportunities which creates problems when deciding what to produce. With contract farming, there is an assured market and certainty in income. The returns a farmer gets from selling in the spot market or to brokers depends on the prevailing market prices, the level of urgency that a farmer has to fulfil the existing needs with the money and ability to negotiate with the traders. This creates substantial uncertainty in the income to be earned. Contract farming overcomes this problem since the contractors specify the price in advance (Singh, 2012).

Using the comparative case study analysis, the CF assessments conducted in the beginning in Africa have showed a positive effect on income (Glover, 2010). According to Minot (2014) who re-evaluated CF in developing countries found that generally contract farming caused an increase in the income of small holder farmers. The recurrent failure in CF was also a significant finding. However, despite of the societal problems experienced, Porter (2009) concluded that farmers in Africa were better off due to participating in contract farming.

Following a review by Porter (2009) on CF in Africa, he revealed that SHFs income increases when they engage in CF although the arrangement is associated with numerous social problems. Birthal (2010), Conducted the Gross Margin Analysis (GMA) and found that the income contracted farmers derive through the CF arrangement is higher than what is earned by the non-participants. In India, the GMA for the dairy contract farmers almost doubled the income of the independent dairy farmers. This is majorly owing to lower production and marketing expenses for the contract farmers compared to non-contract.

With use of econometric analysis, there are several studies recently that have explored the impact of contract farming. Miyata (2009) examined the impact of CF on income to the participating SHFs in China. The study was based on a survey conducted for the apple and green onion producers (162) and 4 contracting firms. The findings were the small holder farmers can benefit from contract farming.

Simmons (2002), conducted a study in Indonesia to assess the impact of contract farming in maize seed, rice seed and poultry and the findings showed that contracts have a positive effect on the

welfare of farmers. The seed corn and broilers contracts had high proceeds to capital while seed rice CF had zero impact on capital proceeds. Further, the three contracts cut absolute poverty.

An efficiency and distribution analysis on poultry production contract farming was conducted in the state of Andhra Pradesh India, revealed that contract farming is more efficient in comparison to non-contract farming. Further, the findings also revealed there was a huge difference in income earnings between the two groups. Under contract farming, there is higher expected returns and lower risk. According to Ramaswami (2013), comparing the average returns of the two groups they concluded that contract farmers gain appreciably in terms of income. According to Bellemare and Bloem (2018), in a study in Senegal on the impact of CF on income found that 32,000 contract peanut growers improved their proceeds considerably compared to non-contract farmers.

Gibbon (2009) conducted a study in Uganda analysing the revenue effect on smallholder farmers participating in contractual organic cocoa production. They found that contract farming exposes farmers to improved farming methods which in turn enhance their yields and as a result there is a positive revenue effect for contract farmers.

In a different study carried out in South West of Ethiopia in the Sheka zone, the annual income from honey production showed a significant mean difference at 1% level between the contract and non-contract beekeepers. The beekeepers under contract earn more annual income as compared to those not contracted. The difference can be attributed to high prices offered by the contract markets. This is because the sales price of honey shows a significant mean difference (Meshesha, 2011).

In a study conducted in Kandara district in Kenya, the findings show that the contracted farmers report higher incomes, however, the differences in income of the contracted and not-contracted groups are not significant. Therefore, the variations in the income cannot be attributed to the CF arrangement. The study concluded that although produce markets through contracting have shown positive impact on the participants, only the contracts that are managed well have proved to be beneficial to the farmers (Mwambi,Oduol, Mshenga, Saidi, 2016).

Other research shows that some of the CF arrangements favour the large-scale farmers leaving out the SHFs from the development process thus continue to get poorer. According to Bellemare and Bloem (2018), over dependence on contract crops may cause loss of bargaining power with the contractor making the SHFs accept unfair, manipulative and less favourable terms. Therefore, this can make farmers extremely exposed to price instability in turn making the farmers participating in CF to consequently lower household proceeds (Bellemare and Bloem, 2018). In summary, the influence of CF on the degree of income level varies in different studies. In countries where contract farming is in the process of establishment, there are high levels of

exploitation by the contractors. Bellemare and Bloem (2018), conducted a research and one case revealed negative effect on contracted farmers income due to monopoly and opportunistic behaviour. In addition, lack of transparency in pricing and quality control influences negative income effects.

## **2.5 Sociological factors and performance in Contract Farming**

Age, education and gender are mostly included in empirical studies. However, there has not been a consensus as to the significance and sign of each of the sociological variables on CF performance. Oswald (2010), noted that sociological factors have an influence on the performance and success of entrepreneurs and enterprises. Rugimbana (2009) reveals that to achieve efficacy in use of inputs and modern agro-technology, it requires a certain degree of education to enable farmers to decode and comprehend the complex nature and make efficient selection, as well as to make appropriate allocation of resources so as to benefit the new opportunities offered by superior inputs.

In a study conducted in Ghana on the determinants of contract farming performance, it was revealed that farm size influenced the decision of farmers to participate in the arrangement (Asante, 2011). Frayne (2008), found that farm size, the level of education and gross income variables influence the farmers' probability of participation. This is related to Karli (2009) who revealed that the larger the size of land the lower the probability of a farmer participating in CF.

Many authors have found age of the head of the household to have a negative effect on CF performance. This has been revealed by Simmons (2009) for seed corn in Indonesia and Bellemare (2012) in several commodities in Madagascar. On the other hand, Katchova and Miranda (2009) find that age had a significant positive effect for soybean in the United States. Other studies found an insignificant effect in Indonesia for seed rice and broilers (Simmons, 2012).

Rugimbana (2009) in Tanzania concluded that education has a significant level of influence on productivity. Based on the regression analysis of factors influencing performance of CF, the coefficient level of education for the farmer was significant with a value of 2.443 at  $p < 0.05$ . Her argument was based on the notion that educated farmers are more likely to comprehend and follow the guidance from the extension officers on how and when to use the recommended inputs as well as the importance of applying the improved technologies. Kalamata (2009) in Tanzania also found that there is higher probability of adopting recommended practices by farmers with a certain degree of education.

Obwona (2013) in Uganda studied the factors determining technical efficiency differential among farmers and found that education has an influence on technical efficiency among farmers with a positive significant value of 2.19 at  $p < 0.05$ . The results are interpreted as follows; education levels of farmers influence production at a certain degree. The researcher further explains that not only higher

education that contributed to this effect but also adult and vocational education. However, Foti (2009) contradicts this by establishing that the level of education has insignificant impact on production using regression analysis.

Age is also indicated to influence productivity and performance of contract farming. Masvongo (2013) in Zimbabwe found that age of the household head was negative and insignificant with a value of 0.528. This was attributed to the perception that age and performance are negatively correlated. The sample comprised of farmers between the age of 26-71 years. According to Yaron (2009), claimed that as one grows old, the tendency to repel change increases compared to farmers who are young who swiftly implements suitable technology. Obwona (2013) found that age has a negative insignificant with a value of -0.63 at  $P < 0.05$  when regressed on production. According to Rugimbana (2008), the age of an individual has an influence on productivity and food consumption. Her study reveals that old farmers are likely to be less productive as compared to those in the active age. Most of the sorghum farmers are of the age between 28 and 50 years. Others argue the young are likely to be less productive thus not involved in CF.

Gender is a key factor likely to influence production and performance of CF. Masvyongo (2013) found that among tobacco farmers, production was a subject of males accounting up to 82%. There was a negative significant value of 0.613 on production from the regression analysis of sex. Obwona (2013) on the other hand, found that sex has an insignificant effect on technical efficiency of farmers with a value of 0.0017 therefore does not influence technical efficiency of farmers. A study conducted in Zimbabwe to determine the effectiveness of CF revealed that 33% of the tobacco farmers are female while 67% are male. Out of the 33% female farmers, only 25.6% participated in contractual arrangements. This might be interpreted as women not being active participants in contract farming contributing to low productivity.

According to Bellemare (2012), he found out in a study in Kenya that females are less likely to participate in CF, which causes poor performance of the intervention. One possible reason is that in developing countries, institutional forces at times provide females with disadvantageous contract opportunities.

According to Aazamil (2011) there are a number of factors influencing farmers participation in CF which include trust, number of family members, land ownership and economic motivation. Nugussie (2009), revealed that the major variables strongly influencing farmers to join CF were male head households, family size and attending public meetings.

The widespread differences among the findings made on sociological factors could be caused by statistical or modelling differences. Further, it could be attributed to institutional differences across



the countries and commodities leading to heterogeneity. This suggests that policy makers who seek to promote CF should be wary of the implications of heterogeneity when designing policies.

## **2.6 Nature of the contract and performance of contract Farming**

The nature of the contract comprises of two major aspects which include; form of the agreement in terms of written/oral and the conditions stipulated in the contract. Depending on whether a contract is oral/written determines to a large extent the sharing of various farming and marketing risks among the contracted parties. A written contract is more specific on the roles and responsibilities of the involved parties, quality issues, farming practices, monitoring practices, penalties and arbitration issues. However, it is expensive to enforce and prepare (Poppo, 2012).

On the other hand, Oral contract thrives on continuous interactions and reputation for enforcement. According to Schipmann and Qaim (2011), in most studies oral contracts are obeyed than written contracts. However, in situations where the contractors are not integrated into the farmers social networks the farmers may not trust oral contracts for the fear of the firm not showing up to purchase the products. According to Kirsten and Satorius (2012), farmers will prefer written contracts since they carry a commitment of market certainty which is needed before production. Therefore, a written contract gives more market assurance than an oral contract.

According to Bijman (2008), the most basic benefit of contract farming the small holder farmers is giving a solution to market failures. Bijman noted that the contracts with an assurance of market have a higher chance of acceptability by farmers. However, Narayanan (2010) notes that a contract can be rejected even with assurance of markets and welfare gains if it is perceived to introduce a new risk. A study in India showed that smallholder's rejected contracts since they perceived the arrangement would bring other risks such as health concerns as a result of the required chemical inputs to produce. Schipmann and Qaim (2011) noted that farmers in Thailand rejected a contract offering higher returns since they valued their freedom & independence to produce and sell anywhere.

Studies that have been conducted in Africa indicate that contractual challenges are rising every day. In Zambia for example, breaching of contracts is common for most commodities owing to the lengthy litigation processes (Likulunga, 2009). The contractual problems have also been witnessed in Malawi, which has been caused by a legislative environment and weak regulations leading to a rise in default levels such as side selling, food insecurity and poverty (MASIP Secretariat Report, 2009).

The most critical factor that determines a SHF acceptance of a contract is the profitability gain derived from the contract in comparison to not entering the contract. In cases where the farmer perceives the terms of the contract to be unfavourable, they may call for negotiation or out rightly

reject the contract. In addition, the farmer may accept the contract not because it is fair but owing to the feeling that they are better off being contracted than without a contract.

Glover and Kusterer (2009) noted the importance of FBO's and NGO's in the structuring of farm production in smallholder contract farming. There is need to organize contract farming in a way that integrates farmer representatives in the management and design of the CF schemes. This is important to avoid unequal power relations (Little, 2014). According to Bachke (2010), in a study conducted in Mozambique, smallholder's who were CBO members benefited from high welfare gains as a result of participating in CF arrangements due to better accessibility to production inputs and higher production value. In a similar case, Harou (2010) revealed that Ghana pineapple farmers joined cooperatives under contract schemes because they perceived cooperatives with ability to demand for written contracts for the farmers, a higher bargaining power and ability to prosecute the contracting firms in cases where breach arises.

Empirical studies have revealed participation of FBOs, cooperatives and NGOs into contract arrangements has resulted into significant benefits; trust building among the parties, better contract terms compliance by both parties and better bargaining on behalf of contracted farmers. In addition, involvement of NGOs can reduce poverty traps often associated with regional inequality and geographic locations (Harou and Walker 2010).

Tschirley and Weber (2014) have studied Kenya's domestic horticultural production and marketing system. The findings recorded are that the efficacy of contracts vary in different market settings and the mere inclusion of a contract does not guarantee sustainable trade relationships. The authors recommend that equity schemes and public policies are essential in making CF an intervention with positive results in terms of sustainability, equity and efficiency.

In addition, the conditions set in terms of payment for delivering the correct quality and agreed quantity of the product in the contract design is critical to the smallholders. According to Miyata et al. (2009), the commonly used price options are variable options and fixed. The fixed option specifies the price prior production and is not negotiable after harvesting. In this case, if the prevailing market prices are higher than the contracted price during harvesting, the farmer loses (giving rise to side selling) and the contractor gains. However, the advantage to the farmer is assurance on a specific price and no worry on price fluctuations in the market. With the variable option the price is dependent on factors such as open market price for the product and performance criteria set out in the contract.

Empirical findings reveal that farmers are generally risk averse and as a result they will rather go for a fixed price to play safe (Tripathi et al. 2009). On the other hand, the risk averse farmers may still

go for variable price options for several reasons; suspicion that fixed prices are often lower than the market prices, consideration of insurance premium component of the contract price and due to unequal powers relations, that might favour the contracting firm.

Secondly, variable price option being dependent on performance, farmers will be forced to put in their best. Farmers believe they will do better than others and those who are confident in achieving the quality requirements will go for a variable option to get higher returns. Further, farmers reveal that CF gives farmers better access to inputs, improved and quality production and a guaranteed market.

## **2.7 Farmers Attitude and performance of Contract farming**

According to Shukla, Chaudhari et al (2011), majority of the farmers have favourable attitude towards contract farming. Kumar (2013) noted that many farmers in India opted for contract farming as a result of the positive attitude influenced by price protection on the crops. The findings further are strengthened by Mann & Kogl (2013) who emphasize that high profits gained through contract farming will serve as a catalyst for having many people have a positive attitude and accept CF.

The attitude a farmer forms towards CF is based on their experience with the benefits that come along with the arrangement. Abebe (2013) found that the main reasons farmers participated in CF was attributed to high returns, market certainty, linked services and other diverse opportunities. Therefore, farmers have a particular expectation while joining CF and if the expectations are fulfilled the farmers will have a positive attitude. On the contrary if the expectations are not fulfilled the farmers will form a negative attitude. The attitude formed by the farmers already in CF will determine if other farmers will join the arrangement or not and in turn influencing the performance of CF

## **2.8 Theoretical Framework**

This section looks at the theoretical foundation of the study. The theory of diffusion of innovations aligns well and provided useful insights to this study.

### **2.8.1 The theory of diffusion of innovations**

CF could be identified as an organizational innovation adopted by growers. The theory is conceptualized under two perspectives namely: infrastructure perspective by Brown and adopter perspective by Rogers. Numerous factors have been considered to have influence on the adoption and diffusion of innovations as drawn from the above-mentioned perspectives. According Rogers and Brown, the factors with significant attention are features of the innovation, such as complexity, observability, compatibility, trial ability and relative advantage. Other features put in consideration are the communication channels as well as the social system used to diffuse the innovation. From


Rogers's perspective, the important characteristics to be considered in an adopter are level of education, size of land, income, size of the household, land ownership and entrepreneurship skills are considered (Arumugam et al., 2011 and Adong et al., 2013).

According to Brown, there is another perspective that can be used to understand adoption and diffusion of innovations. The concept is to have the innovation attributes set by the diffusion agency which also strategizes how the adopters acquire the innovation. The influential variables under this perspective include location of the innovation in relation to the adopter, the degree of centralized versus decentralized organizational control and the coordination of the innovation distribution (Adong et al., 2013). Other factors raised by this perspective are the required infrastructure for adoption, cost of innovation, targeted beneficiaries, membership requirements, access to information and channels to promote the innovation (Adong et al., 2013).

In different studies, the two perspectives are used independently or dependently. This study combines both the structural and non-structural variables since all influence performance and perceptions of CF. The application of diffusion of innovation may possibly suggest the variables that influence performance of CF arrangements.

## **2.9 Conceptual framework**

A conceptual framework is the researcher's understanding of how specific variables in the study connect to each other (McGaghie et al., 2015). This study sought to establish the factors that influence performance of contract farming among sorghum smallholder farmers in Kenya, A case of Imenti North, Meru County. The independent variables were; the interlinked services available under the sorghum contract farming arrangement and their influence on CF, the income effect on performance of CF, the sociological factors influencing performance of contract farming and the effects of the nature of the contract on CF performance. The research thus determined the effects of those factors on the dependent variable which was the performance of contract farming.



**Figure 1: Conceptual Framework**

## **2.10 Research gaps**

There is no study conducted to identify how many SHFs under contract farming benefit from the interlinked services, which specific services reach the SHFs and which of these services affect the performance of sorghum CF in Imenti North, Meru County. In addition, previous researchers have not looked at the number of sorghum farmers with increased income in Imenti North, Meru County and the percentage of income increment after joining CF and whether any of this will affect performance of contract farming

Age, Gender, level of education and land size are the main sociological factors discussed by various authors. However, these factors have not been researched in Imenti North, Meru county to ascertain the level of influence on performance of sorghum contract farming. Further, other authors have not looked at the nature of contract variables that influence performance of contract farming in Imenti North, Meru County.

In addition, whilst most of the studies explore the impacts of CF on the livelihoods of participating smallholders, only in rare cases are the SHFs themselves asked explicitly about the factors that influence performance of contract farming. This study attempted to bridge the aforementioned literature gap using a case study of smallholder sorghum farmers in Meru County, Imenti North Sub County.

## **2.11 Summary of Literature Review**

The literature review focused on the four dependent variables under this study namely; interlinked services, income, sociological factors and nature of the contract. The findings of other researchers based on the objectives of the study are summarised below.

Authors have delineated that farmers under CF benefit from services such as input provision, credit accessibility and extension trainings. The literature review has also established that there is a positive effect on income for SHFs under contract farming.

From the literature review, it is noted that SHFs with high education levels have a probability of adopting CF thus boosting performance of the arrangement. With age as a sociological factor, youths are considered more open to change thus are likely to contribute more in performance of CF. On gender, most authors have found women to be less participating in CF. Further, land size has also been researched in relation to CF and from the review researchers have found out that the larger the farm size the lower the probability of participation in CF which negatively affects performance of CF.

According to the review from different authors, it is evident that the nature of the contract influences performance of contract farming. In most cases, SHFs are interested to know if the contracts are

written or oral and if the prices are variable or fixed. The other researchers are also confident that SHFs under contract and with membership in NGOs, FBOs and cooperatives get more benefits.

## **CHAPTER THREE**

### **RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

#### **3.1 Introduction.**

This chapter is an overview of the steps and methods to be applied during the study. The areas discussed include; the research design, target population, sample and sampling procedure. It also contained the research instrument which the researcher incorporated upon which validity and reliability tests of the instruments were done. The sampling design, the data collection procedures, data analysis, ethical considerations and operationalization of variables were an important component of the researcher and contained in this chapter.

#### **3.2 Research design**

According to Orodho (2009), research design is the scheme, outline or plan that is used to generate answers to research problems and achieve the objectives of the study. The research design was descriptive, which is known as an organized experiential inquiry where the researcher does not have control of the independent variable as their expression has happened (Mugenda and Mugenda, 2012). The descriptive design was selected since it provided data from the population of study and portrayed the features of the target population fully. The descriptive research design was suitable in this study because the goals were to present a logical explanation that is based on facts and is truthful as possible. Descriptive design is appropriate because it involves description of events in a carefully planned way (Bryman & Bell, 2015). The design was fitting for the study, because the researcher intended to gather wide-ranging information useful in categorizing variables through description.

#### **3.3 Target population**

A target population is a group of individuals, objects or items from which samples are taken for measurement (Donald and Delno, 2006). According to (Pole & Lampard, 2010), a target population is classified as all the members of a given group to which the investigation is related, whereas the accessible population is looked at in terms of those elements in the target population within the reach for study.

The target population was 1200, which comprised of sorghum farmers' in Imenti North from four locations namely; Gachua, Kianjogu, Kwangombe and Ciothirai who were contracted by Shalem Investment Ltd one of the off takers in Meru County. From Shalem's database, the contracted farmers in Imenti North were 1200; therefore, the target population for investigation was drawn from 1200 respondents.



**Table 3. 1: Target Population**

<b>Locations</b>	<b>Target Population</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Gachua	440	37
Kianjogu	180	15
Kwangombe	370	31
Ciothirai	210	17
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1200</b>	<b>100</b>

### 3.4 Sample size and sampling procedures

Sampling is selecting a number of individuals from the target population for a study in such a manner that the individuals selected fairly represent the larger population from which they were selected (Mugenda and Mugenda, 2012). Selecting a sample size that is representative of a population reduces the length of time to be used during the study and cuts on the costs. This section explored the sample size and sampling procedures.

#### 3.4.1 Sample size

The sample size was calculated using Kothari and Garg (2014) formula with the confidence level at 95% and an error of 0.05. The proposed formula was shown below:

$$n = \frac{Z^2 \cdot N \cdot \sigma^2 p}{(N-1) e^2 + Z^2 \sigma^2 p} \quad (\text{Kothari and Garg, 2014})$$

Whereby;

n = size of the sample required

N = size of the population given as 1200

e= Acceptable error given as 0.05

$\sigma p$ = The standard deviation of the population and given as 0.5 where not known

Z = Standard variation at a confidence level given as 1.96 at 95% confidence level

The target population was 1200 and following the formula, the sample under this study was calculated as follows;

$$n = \frac{(1.96)^2 \times 1200 \times (0.5)^2}{(1200-1) (0.05)^2 + 1.96^2 (0.5)^2}$$

The sample size n was therefore =1152.48/3.9579

n=291

#### 3.4.2 Sampling procedure

The technique used for selection of contracted farmers was referred to as stratified proportionate random sampling technique. This is a nonbiased sampling method, whereby a heterogeneous population is grouped into homogenous subsets then a selection is made within the subsets to ensure

representation (Kothari, 2014). The method divides the population into a series of relevant strata, which ensures more representation and unbiased (Saunders, 2011).

The procedure to be used in calculating the sample size was called proportionate distribution as shown below;

**Table 3. 2: Sampling Frame**

<b>Locations</b>	<b>Population</b>	<b>Sample</b>
Gachua	440	107
Kianjogu	180	44
Kwangombe	370	89
Ciothirai	210	51
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1200</b>	<b>291</b>

For example;

$$\text{Sample size in Gachua location; } 440/1200 \times 291 = 107$$

### **3.5 Data Collection Instruments**

According to (Creswel, 2013), data collection instruments are tools used in the data collection about a phenomenon investigated. A questionnaire is a research instrument that gathers data over a large sample (Kombo and Tromp, 2016). A questionnaire is an instrument with a series of questions and other prompts for the purpose of gathering information from respondents. The questionnaires had both the closed ended questions and open ended. The research assistants were present to administer the questionnaires and help where necessary. Therefore, the respondents sought clarity if the questions were not clear. The research assistants helped the respondents who did not know how to read and write to capture their opinions.

The questionnaires were divided into seven sections (Part A to Part G) addressing the study objectives. Part A addressed demographic information, Part B; interlinked services and performance of sorghum contract farming, Part C; Income and performance of sorghum contract farming, Part D; sociological factors and performance of contract farming, Part E; nature of the contract and performance of CF, Part F; Farmers attitude and performance of CF and lastly part G; performance of CF in Imenti North, Meru County. Questionnaires were used since the study was concerned with factors that cannot be studied directly, ie people opinions and feelings.

#### **3.5.1 Pilot testing of the instrument**

Pilot testing is a small-scale trial, where a few respondents take the test and give feedback and comments on the mechanics of the test. The test establishes the validity and reliability of the research instruments before the actual research is conducted.

Nachmias & Nachmias (2009), noted that pilot testing is an important step in the research process because it reveals unclear questions and instructions in the instruments & also captures important

comments and suggestions from the respondents that enable the researcher to improve the efficiency of instruments to maximize response rate. To certify that the instruments are reliable, a pretesting and questionnaires were administered before the actual research. The sampled farmers for pre-testing were drawn from the contracted farmers in Imenti North where the sample size was drawn from.

According to Connley (2011) extant literature suggests that a pilot study sample should be 10% of the sample projected from the parent study. While Hill (2012) suggested 10 to 30 participants for pilots in survey research. The respondent for pilot study were expected to display similar characteristics as the actual study respondents.

In piloting the Instruments, questionnaires were administered to 30 contracted farmers from Imenti North (Gachua, Kwangombe, Ciothirai and Kianjogu) locations. Stratified proportionate random sampling technique was used to sample the respondents from Imenti North where the actual study took place. The findings were used to correct, amend and refine the instruments to enable increased reliability. During the piloting attention was focused on questions that made respondents uncomfortable so as to reduce response exhaustion during the administration of the questionnaire.

### **3.5.2 Validity of the instrument**

According to Orodho (2009), rationality of a test is the degree of how fit a test measures what it should measure and validity is the extent to which outcomes gotten from analysis of the data truly exemplify the phenomenon under inquiry. The researcher tested the instruments before the actual research commenced by involving an expert and in this case the supervisor to assess if the content of the test is valid to measure what it claims to measure. The questions were examined to ascertain if they measured the factors intended to be measured, simplicity of language and the similarity in which the respondents understood the questions. Questions that proved to be vague, ambiguous or confusing were deleted and the amendments incorporated in the questionnaire. A statistic expert was also engaged to assess the tools in order to identify any areas that hindered successful data analysis.

### **3.5.3 Reliability of the instrument**

Reliability can be defined as the consistency of one's measurement or the degree to which an instrument measures the same way each time; that is if the instrument is used under the same conditions with the same subjects (Trochim, 2016). It can also be the repeatability of one's measurement which is deemed reliable if there is similarity on the person's score on the same test given twice. Reliability of research instrument can be checked using a number of techniques which include; inter-rate reliability technique, split-half method, test re-test technique, inter-method technique and internal consistency technique.

In this study the reliability of the data collection instruments was tested using the test split-half method which was less time consuming and free from carry-over effects because the test is not done again. To determine the coefficient for this type of reliability; the same questionnaire was given to 30 contracted farmers in Imenti North sub-county who were split into half to have two groups comprised of 15 farmers each. The questionnaires were expected to have related results. Then to find the reliability coefficient, the study found out the correlation between the two groups was 0.82 using the coefficient alpha in SPSS.

### **3.6 Data collection procedures**

Before starting the process of data collection, the researcher got an introductory letter from the University of Nairobi which enabled application of a research permit from NACOSTI. The researcher requested permission from the Meru County Ministry of Agriculture to collect data from sorghum contract farmers.

Two research assistants were trained for one and half days on acceptable interviewing skills, understanding of the questionnaire and ethical considerations. The researcher was also with the research assistants helping the respondents & research assistants where necessary. A cover letter was attached to the questionnaire asking for consent from the respondents to participate in the study as well as introducing the purpose of the research.

The questionnaires were distributed to the respondents on specific dates through hand delivery. The respondents were given sufficient time to go through the questionnaires and familiarise with every detail needed. To make the exercise easy, the respondents were grouped to receive the questionnaires at central places. The venues were selected depending with close proximity to the localities of the respondents. The data collection involved two research assistants and the researcher with a target to complete the data collection in 4 days with every questionnaire taking an average of 15 minutes. The study involved only two research assistants and the researcher because the intention was to have 12 venues in the four days, which implied in a day only 3 researchers were required. The target was to have an average of 25 farmers in every venue per day where the questionnaires were administered. The filled questionnaires were gathered the same day for data analysis.

### **3.7 Data analysis techniques**

Data analysis sought to fulfil research objectives and provide answers to research questions. The data collected was reviewed and comparison made to select the most accurate information from the respondents. This involved evaluating both the primary and secondary sources of data. A descriptive statistical approach was used to establish the factors influencing participation of SHFs in contract farming in Imenti North, Meru County. The quantitative data was coded so as to group the responses in dynamic categories. The data was analysed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences

(SPSS). The study also conducted a correlation analysis to determine the relationship between the variables under study. The findings were presented diagrammatically in tables for ease of understanding. The study used multiple regression analysis to determine the relationship between the dependent and independent variables.

### **3.8 Ethical considerations**

References were inserted to support and acknowledge ideas from other authors & sources. The researcher and assistants satisfactorily and clearly explained the purpose of the study to the respondents. Before commencing data collection, the researcher sought permission from the respondent to participate voluntarily in the study.

The researcher gave the respondents assurance that the information provided by them was handled with high discretion and served the research purpose only. The transmittal letter from the University of Nairobi was used to give the respondents confidence that the data collected is for educational purposes only. In conducting this study, appropriate consideration was made to avoid plagiarism by ensuring that other people's works used in both the proposal, research report was fully acknowledged and proper citations documented.

### **3.9 Operational definition of the variables**

An operational definition of variables is a statement presented in form of a table that intends to describe how a particular variable is to be measured, how an objector condition is to be organized (Cresswell, 2013) .The purpose of this was to make the research concepts measurable. The information was as in the Table 3.3.

**Table 3. 3: Operationalization of variables**

<b>Objective</b>	<b>Variable</b>	<b>Indicators</b>	<b>Measurement Scale</b>	<b>Tools of analysis</b>	<b>Type of data Analysis</b>
<b>1. To determine the influence of interlinked services on performance of contract farming among sorghum smallholder farmers in Imenti North, Meru County.</b>	<b>Independent Variable;</b> Interlinked services	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Number of services received by SHFs under CF</li> <li>• Number of farmers reached by the services</li> <li>• Number of services considered useful under CF</li> </ul>	Nominal Ordinal	Mean Percentage	Descriptive Regression
<b>2. To examine the influence of income on performance of contract farming among sorghum small holder farmers in Imenti North, Meru County.</b>	<b>Independent Variable;</b> Income	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Number of farmers with increased income</li> <li>• The percentage of income increment</li> </ul>	Nominal Ordinal	Mean Percentage	Descriptive Regression
<b>3. To assess the influence of sociological factors on performance of contract farming among sorghum smallholder farmers in Imenti North, Meru County.</b>	<b>Independent Variable;</b> Sociological	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Level of Education</li> <li>• Age</li> <li>• Gender</li> <li>• Family size</li> <li>• Land size</li> </ul>	Nominal Interval Ordinal Ratio	Partial correlation Percentages Mean	Descriptive Regression

<p><b>4. To evaluate the influence of the nature of the contract on performance of contract farming among sorghum smallholder farmers in Imenti North, Meru County.</b></p>	<p><b>Independent Variable;</b> Nature of the contract</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Number of oral versus written contracts</li> <li>• Number of contracts with fixed vs variable contract pricing options</li> <li>• Number of farmers with membership in FBOs, NGOs or cooperatives</li> </ul>	<p>Nominal Ordinal</p>	<p>Mean Percentages</p>	<p>Descriptive Regression</p>
<p><b>5.</b></p>	<p><b>Dependent Variable;</b> Performance of Contract farming</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Number of contract farmers</li> <li>• Number of acreages utilized under contract farming</li> <li>• The volume of sorghum delivered by contract farmers</li> <li>• Number of signed contracts</li> </ul>	<p>Nominal Ordinal Interval Ratio</p>	<p>Mean Percentages Partial correlation</p>	<p>Descriptive Regression</p>

## CHAPTER FOUR

### DATA ANALYSIS, PRESENTATION AND INTERPRETATION OF FINDINGS

#### 4.1 Introduction

This chapter presents information obtained from the primary instrument used in the study. It discusses the characteristics of the respondents and their opinions on the influence of interlinked services, income, sociological factors and nature of the contract on performance of contract farming among sorghum smallholder farmers in Imenti North. The researcher provided tables that summarized the collective reactions of the respondents.

#### 4.2 Response Rate

Questionnaires that the researcher administered were 291 and 209 respondents fully filled and returned them giving a response rate of 71.7%. This was within what Onabanjo (2010) prescribed as a significant response rate for statistical analysis and established at a minimal value of 50%. Table 4.1 shows the results.

**Table 4. 1: Response Rate**

	<b>Number of informants</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Response	209	71.7
Non- Response	82	28.3
<b>Total</b>	<b>291</b>	<b>100.0</b>

#### 4.3 Reliability Analysis

A pilot study was carried out to determine how reliable the questionnaires were. Reliability analysis was subsequently done using Cronbach's Alpha which measures the internal consistency by establishing whether certain items within a scale measure the same construct. Song, Coit, Feng and Peng (2014) established the Alpha value threshold at 0.7, thus forming the study's benchmark. This was as shown in Table 4.2.

**Table 4. 2: Reliability Analysis**

	<b>Cronbach's Alpha</b>
Interlinked services	0.945
Income	0.872
Sociological factors	0.933
Nature of the contract	0.742

The findings indicated that interlinked services had a coefficient of 0.945, sociological factors had a coefficient of 0.933, income had a coefficient of 0.872 and nature of the contract had a



coefficient of 0.742. All constructs depicted that the value of Cronbach’s alpha was above the suggested value of 0.7 thus it can be concluded that the instrument was reliable to capture the constructs.

#### 4.4 Background Information

This section required the respondents to indicate their general information including gender, highest level of education, age, number of years worked and their academic qualifications. This general information is presented in form tables.

The respondents were requested to indicate their gender. Their responses were as shown in table 4.3.

**Table 4.3: Gender of the Respondents**

	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Male	81	38.8
Female	128	61.2
<b>Total</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>100.0</b>

The results showed that majority of the respondents were females as shown by 61.2% while the rest were males as shown by 38.8%. This shows that the researcher considered all respondents irrespective of the gender to obtain reliable information concerning the subject under study.

The respondents were asked to indicate their highest level of education. Their responses are presented in Table 4.4.

**Table 4.4: Highest Level of Education**

	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Percent</b>
None	45	21.5
Secondary	49	23.4
University	18	8.6
Primary	58	27.8
College	39	18.7
<b>Total</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>100.0</b>

From the findings, the study found out that 27.8% had reached primary level, 23.4% had reached secondary level, 21.5% had not attained education at all, 18.7% had reached college level while 8.6% had reached university level. This implies that majority of the respondents

had attained basic education to be able to comprehend and give reliable information about the subject under study.

The respondents were further asked to indicate the age. Their responses are as shown in table 4.5.

**Table 4.5: Age Bracket**

	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Percent</b>
20-30 years	20	9.6
30-40 years	41	19.6
40-50 years	73	34.9
50 – 60 years	46	22.0
Above 60 years	29	13.9
<b>Total</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>100.0</b>

The findings illustrate that most of the respondents were aged between 40-50 years as shown by 34.9%, 22.0% were aged between 50 – 60 years, 19.6% were aged between 30-40 years, 13.9% were aged above 60 years while 9.6% were aged between 20-30 years. This shows that majority of the respondents were mature enough making them to be cooperative in giving reliable information concerning the subject under study.

The respondents also gave their respective locations. Most of the respondents as shown by 34.9% came from Kwangombe, while 23.4% came from Kianjogu, 22.5% had come from Ciothirai who and 19.1% had come from Gachua. The respondents also indicated where they sold their sorghum. The results are as shown in Table 4.6.

**Table 4. 6: Place of Sorghum Sale**

	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Percent</b>
SHALEM only	43	20.6
Brokers only	51	24.4
SHALEM & Brokers	86	41.1
Other contract markets	29	13.9
<b>Total</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>100.0</b>

The findings revealed that 41.1% of the respondents sold their sorghum to SHALEM & Brokers, 24.4% to Brokers only, 20.6% to SHALEM only while 13.9% sold to other contract markets. The respondents were also required to indicate the number of acres they had dedicated to sorghum under contract farming in the past season. Table 4.7 shows the results.

**Table 4. 7: Acreages Dedicated to Sorghum Under Contract Farming**

	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Percent</b>
¼ acre	35	16.7
½ acre	44	21.2
1 acre	50	23.7
2 acres	33	15.6
3 acres	25	11.8
4 acres	16	7.6
5 acres	7	3.4
<b>Total</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>100.0</b>

From the findings, majority of the respondents as shown, 23.7% had dedicated 1 acre, 21.2% had dedicated ½ acre, 16.7% had dedicated ¼ acre, 15.6% dedicated 2 acres, 11.8% dedicated 3 acres, 7.6% dedicated 4 acres while 3.4% had dedicated 5 acres in the last season. The small land sizes are a clear indication these are small scale farmers.

#### **4.5 Interlinked Services**

The study sought to determine the influence of interlinked services on performance of contract farming among sorghum smallholder farmers in Imenti North, Meru County. The study asked the respondents to indicate the services they receive as a benefit of participating in contract farming. Table 4.8 shows the findings.

**Table 4.8: Benefits of Participating in Contract Farming**

	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Trainings	79	37.8
Input loan	43	20.6
Input supply arrangements	65	31.1
Linkages to crop insurance cover	22	10.5
<b>Total</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>100.0</b>

The findings revealed that 37.8% of the respondents received the benefit of trainings, 31.1% received input supply arrangements, and 20.6% received input loans while 10.5% received linkages to crop insurance cover.

The study further sought to find out the beneficial influence of interlinked services aspect on smallholder farmers. The findings were as illustrated in Table 4.9.

**Table 4.9: Aspects of Interlinked Services**

	<b>Mean</b>	<b>Std. Deviation</b>
Trainings	4.333	0.687
Input loan	3.976	0.811
Input supply arrangements	3.929	0.677
Crop insurance cover	4.024	0.811

From table 4.9, the respondents indicated that trainings were the most beneficial as shown by a mean of 4.333, followed by crop insurance cover as shown by a mean of 4.024, input loan as shown by a mean of 3.976 while input supply arrangements as shown by a mean of 3.929 had the least benefit. This is in line with Guo et al. (2009) who discovered in a study of contract farming in some eastern provinces in China, that small holder farmers are attracted to contract farming so as to obtain the advantages of technical assistance to improve product quality, credit access and input supply arrangement.

The respondents were asked to further indicate other services that were not currently offered by their sorghum contractor and were felt to be beneficial to a farmer and should be included in the Contract farming. The respondents indicated that they needed transportation services of produce from their homes to the collection centres and farm machinery arrangements such as tractors and threshers. This conforms to Evaline (2015) who states that access to extension services is viewed as an intangible incentive and more so helps the farmers to increase productivity.

#### **4.6 Income**

The study sought to determine the influence of income on performance of contract farming among sorghum small holder farmers in Imenti North, Meru County. The study sought to know the respondents' annual average income from sorghum before joining contract farming. The results were as shown in Table 4.10.

**Table 4.10: Annual Average Income from Sorghum Before Joining Contract Farming**

	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
0-50,000	117	56.0
50,001- 100,000	83	39.7
100,001-500,000	9	4.3
500,001-1,000,000	0	0.0
Over 1,000,000	0	0.0
<b>Total</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>100.0</b>

From the findings, majority of the respondents indicated that they used to earn an annual average income of between 0-50,000 before joining contract farming as shown by 56.0%, 39.7% indicated that they earned between 50,001- 100,000, 4.3% indicated they earned between 100,001-500,000, 0.0% indicated that they earn between 500,001-1,000,000 while 0.0% indicated that they earned over 1,000,000. The respondents further indicated the annual average income from sorghum after joining contract farming. Table 4.11 shows the results.

**Table 4.11: Annual Average Income from Sorghum After Joining Contract Farming**

	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Percent</b>
0-50,000	43	20.6
50,001-100,000	129	61.7
100,001-500,000	29	13.9
500,001-1,000,000	8	3.8
Over 1,000,000	0	0.0
<b>Total</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>100.0</b>

The findings show that 61.7% of the respondents get an annual average income of between 50,001-100,000 after joining contract farming, 20.6% of them get income of between 0-50,000, 13.9% get income of 100,001-500,000, 3.8% get an income of between 500,001-1,000,000 while 0.0% get an income of over 1,000,000. The results also indicate that there was a 35.4% decrease in the number of farmers that earned 0-50,000 after joining CF, there was a 22.0% increase in those that earned 50,001- 100,000, there was a 9.6% for those that earned between 100,001-500,000, there was a 3.8% increase in those that earned 500,001-1,000,000 while none of the farmers earned over 1,000,000.

The respondents indicated the causes for increased income where most of them as illustrated by 59.6% indicated that it was due to increased yields while 40.4% indicated that it was due to increased prices. This concurs with Singh (2012) who states that adoption of contract farming overcomes the problem of uncertainty in the income to be earned since the contractors specify the price in advance.

#### **4.7 Sociological Factors**

The research sought to determine the influence of sociological factors on performance of contract farming among sorghum smallholder farmers in Imenti North, Meru County. The respondents indicated the age bracket that highly participates in contract farming. The responses were as shown in Table 4.12.

**Table 4. 12: Age Bracket That Highly Participates in Contract Farming**

	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Percent</b>
20-30 yrs	28	13.4
30-40 yrs	63	30.1
40-50 yrs	56	26.8
50 – 60yrs	27	12.9
Above 60	35	16.7
<b>Total</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>100.0</b>

The study found that 30.1% of the respondents indicated between 30-40 yrs, 26.8% indicated between 40-50 yrs, 16.7% indicated above 60yrs, 13.4% indicated between 20-30 yrs while 12.9% indicated between 50 – 60yrs.

The respondents were requested to indicate the level of education in which most of the farmers are actively involved in contract farming. This was presented in Table 4.13.

**Table 4. 13: Level of Education that is Actively Involved in Contract Farming**

	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Primary	50	23.9
Secondary	47	22.5
College	41	19.6
University	25	12.0
Never gone to school	46	22.0
<b>Total</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>100.0</b>

The study found that 23.9% of the respondents indicated that those that had reached the primary level are actively involved in contract farming, 22.5% indicated secondary level, 22.0% indicated had not attained education at all, 19.6% indicated the college level while 12.0% indicated university level. The respondents further indicated their level of agreement with statements regarding the sociological factors. Table 4.14 shows the results.

**Table 4. 14: Sociological Factors**

	<b>Mean</b>	<b>Std. Dev.</b>
Gender of a farmer influences performance of contract farming	3.976	0.811
Age of a farmer influences performance of contract farming	4.381	0.764
Education level of a farmer influences performance of contract farming	4.333	0.687
The land size of a farmer influences performance of contract farming	2.810	1.065

The findings revealed that the respondents strongly agreed that the age of a farmer influences performance of contract farming as shown by a mean of 4.381 and education level of a farmer influences performance of contract farming as shown by a mean of 4.333. The respondents agreed that the gender of a farmer influences performance of contract farming as shown by a mean of 3.976. The respondents however, were undecided on if the land size of a farmer influences performance of contract farming as shown by a mean of 2.810. This concurs with Oswald (2010) who noted that sociological factors have an influence on the performance and success of entrepreneurs and enterprises.

#### 4.8 Nature of the Contract

The study sought to determine the influence of the nature of the contract on performance of contract farming among sorghum smallholder farmers in Imenti North, Meru County. The respondents were required to indicate the type of contract they have with the sorghum contract market. The findings are as shown in Table 4.15.

**Table 4.15: Type of Contract**

	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Oral contract	117	56.0
Written Contract	92	44.0
<b>Total</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>100.0</b>

The findings reveal that 56.0% of the respondents had oral contracts while the rest as shown by 44.0% had written contracts. Further, most of the respondents shown by 92.7% indicated that they preferred written contracts most compared to oral contracts since it is more formal. Moreover, the respondents were asked to indicate the type of price option their sorghum contract market offers. The findings were as presented in Table 4.16.

**Table 4.16: Type of Price Option**

	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Percentage</b>
Fixed price option	87	41.6
Variable price option	122	58.4
<b>Total</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>100.0</b>

From the table, 58.4% of the respondents used the variable price option while 41.6% used the fixed price option. The respondents further indicated that they preferred the variable price option since the prices can go higher depending with market prices. The study also asked the

respondents to indicate whether the participation of FBOs, NGOs and cooperatives in contract farming arrangements were beneficial. The results were as shown in Table 4.17.

**Table 4.17: Opinion on the Participation of FBOs, NGOs and Cooperatives in Contract Farming Arrangements**

	<b>Frequency</b>	<b>Percent</b>
Yes	188	90.0
No	21	10.0
<b>Total</b>	<b>209</b>	<b>100.0</b>

From the table, 90% of the respondents indicated that the participation of FBOs, NGOs and cooperatives in contract farming arrangements was beneficial. These findings conform to Poppo (2012) who states that written contracts are more specific on the roles and responsibilities of the involved parties, quality issues, farming practices, monitoring practices, penalties and arbitration issues. Further, Glover and Kusterer (2009) noted the importance of FBO's and NGO's in the structuring of farm production in smallholder contract farming is to organize contract farming in a way that integrates farmer representatives in the management and design of the CF schemes

#### **4.9 Farmers Attitude**

The study further requested the respondents to rate the statements on the farmers attitude. Table 4.18 shows the results.

**Table 4. 18: Farmers Attitude**

	<b>Mean</b>	<b>Std. Dev.</b>
Farmer's satisfaction influences performance of contract farming	4.381	0.764
Farmer's experience influences performance of contract farming	3.976	0.811

The table shows that the respondents strongly agreed that farmers satisfaction influences performance of contract farming as shown by a mean of 4.381 and also that farmer's experience influences performance of contract farming as shown by a mean of 3.976. This concurs with Kumar (2013) who noted that manu farmers in India opted for contract farming as a result of the positive attitude influenced by price protection on the crops.

#### **4.10 Performance of Contract Farming in Imenti North, Meru County**

The study sought the respondents' opinion on the aspects of performance of contract farming in Imenti North, Meru County. The findings were as shown in Table 4.19.



**Table 4.19: Aspects of Performance of Contract Farming**

	<b>Mean</b>	<b>Std. Dev.</b>
No of contract farmers has greatly increased	3.619	0.962
No of acreages utilized under contract farming has greatly increased	3.833	1.034
The volumes of sorghum delivered under CF has greatly decreased	4.167	0.794
The number of contracts signed by SMHs under CF has greatly increased	3.714	1.132

The findings reveal that the respondents strongly agreed that the volumes of sorghum delivered under CF have greatly decreased as shown by a mean of 4.167. The respondents agreed that; the number of acreages utilized under contract farming has greatly increased as shown by a mean of 3.833, the number of contracts signed by SMHs under CF has greatly increased as shown by a mean of 3.714 and the number of contract farmers has greatly increased as shown by a mean of 3.619. This conforms to Bellemare and Bloem (2018) who note that the efficacy of a contract arrangement is determined by the type of interaction between farmers, buyers and other stakeholders involved in the contract scheme.

#### 4.11 Regression Analysis

Regression analysis was used to test the relationship between the variables where it shows how the dependent variable is influenced by the independent variables. The independent variables include the interlinked services, income, sociological factors and nature of the contract while the dependent variable is the performance of contract farming.

**Table 4.20: Model Summary**

<b>Model</b>	<b>R</b>	<b>R Square</b>	<b>Adjusted R Square</b>	<b>Std. Error of the Estimate</b>
1	0.862	0.742	0.737	0.197

Table 4.20 above is a model fit which establish how fit the model equation fits the data. The adjusted  $R^2$  was used to establish the predictive power of the study model and it was found to be 0.737 implying that 73.7% of the variations in performance of contract farming among sorghum smallholder farmers in Imenti North are explained by changes in interlinked services, income, sociological factors and nature of the contract.

**Table 4.21: ANOVA Test**

<b>Model</b>	<b>Sum of Squares</b>	<b>df</b>	<b>Mean Square</b>	<b>F</b>	<b>Sig.</b>
Regression	23.121	4	5.780	147.047	.000
Residual	8.019	204	0.039		
<b>Total</b>	<b>31.14</b>	<b>208</b>			

The probability value of 0.000 indicates that the regression relationship was significant in determining how interlinked services, income, sociological factors and nature of the contract influence performance of contract farming among sorghum smallholder farmers in Imenti North. The F calculated at 5 percent level of significance was 147.047. Since F calculated is greater than the F critical (value = 2.3719), this shows that the overall model was significant.

**Table 4.22: Coefficients of Determination**

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
	B	Std. Error	Beta		
	(Constant)	2.345	0.182		
Interlinked services	0.664	0.196	0.584	3.388	.002
Income	0.774	0.208	0.562	3.721	.000
Sociological factors	0.509	0.115	0.497	4.434	.000
Nature of Contract	0.733	0.312	0.572	2.349	.024

The established model for the study was:

$$Y = 2.345 + 0.664X_1 + 0.774X_2 + 0.509X_3 + 0.733X_4 + \epsilon$$

Where: -

Y= Performance of contract farming among sorghum smallholder farmers in Imenti North

$\beta_0$ =constant

X<sub>1</sub>= Interlinked services

X<sub>2</sub>= Income

X<sub>3</sub>= Sociological Factors

X<sub>4</sub>= Nature

The regression equation above has established that taking (interlinked services, income and nature of contract), performance of contract farming among sorghum smallholder farmers in Imenti North will be 2.345. The findings presented also show that taking all other independent variables at zero, a unit increase in the interlinked services would lead to a 0.664 increase in the score of performance of contract farming among sorghum smallholder farmers in Imenti North. Thus, variable was significant since 0.002 < 0.05. This conforms to Dorward (2010) who posits that small holder farmers may consider participating in contract farming so as to access inputs from the contracting firm especially in a situation where there are not many input suppliers in the area.

Further, the findings show that a unit increase in the score of income would lead to a 0.774 increase in the score of performance of contract farming among sorghum smallholder farmers in Imenti North. Thus, variable was significant since  $0.000 < 0.05$ . This concurs with Minot (2014) who re-evaluated contract farming in developing countries found that generally contract farming caused an increase in the income of small holder farmers.

From the findings, the coefficient for sociological factors is 0.509 which is significant since  $p=0.000$  is less than 0.05, meaning that when a unit change in sociological factors changes leads to 0.509 units change in performance of contract farming among sorghum smallholder farmers in Imenti North. This is confirmed by Oswald (2010) who noted that sociological factors have an influence on the performance and success of entrepreneurs and enterprises.

The study also found that a unit increase in the score of nature of contract would lead to a 0.733 increase in the score of performance of contract farming among sorghum smallholder farmers in Imenti North. Thus, variable was significant since  $0.024 < 0.05$ . This is in line with Poppo (2012) who states that a contract being oral/written determines to a large extent the sharing of various farming and marketing risks among the contracted parties.

Overall, income had the greatest effect on performance of contract farming among sorghum smallholder farmers in Imenti North in Kenya followed by nature of contracts, then interlinked services and sociological factors had the least effect on the performance of contract farming among sorghum smallholder farmers in Imenti North in Kenya.

## CHAPTER FIVE

### SUMMARY OF FINDINGS, DISCUSSION, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

#### 5.1 Introduction

In this chapter, summary of the findings, conclusions and recommendations are presented. The purpose of the study was to establish the factors that influence performance of contract farming among sorghum small holder farmers. Specifically, the study sought to establish the influence of interlinked services, income, sociological factors and nature of the contract on performance of contract farming among sorghum smallholder farmers in Imenti North.

#### 5.2 Summary of the Findings

The first objective was to determine the influence of interlinked services on performance of contract farming among sorghum smallholder farmers in Imenti North, Meru County. The study found that farmers received benefits from participating in contract farming where they found that trainings was the most frequent benefit, then input supply arrangements, input loans and crop insurance cover was the least received benefit. In terms of the most beneficial service, the ranking is as follows; trainings, Insurance cover, input loan and the least beneficial being inputs arrangement.

In regard to income, the study sought to determine the influence of income on performance of contract farming among sorghum small holder farmers in Imenti North, Meru County. The study determined that the farmer's annual average income increased after joining contract farming and this was linked to high yields as most of the respondents indicated.

The research sought to determine the influence of sociological factors on performance of contract farming among sorghum smallholder farmers in Imenti North, Meru County. The study found that most of the farmers that participated in contract farming as indicated by the respondents were aged between 30-40 years while most of the farmers who were also actively involved had reached the primary level. Further, the study found that it was strongly agreed that the age of a farmer influences performance of contract farming and education level of a farmer influences performance of contract farming. It was agreed that the gender of a farmer influences performance of contract farming while it was undecided that the land size of a farmer influences performance of contract farming.

The study sought to determine the influence of the nature of the contract on performance of contract farming among sorghum smallholder farmers in Imenti North, Meru County. The study found that most of the farmers preferred written contracts compared to oral ones. Also, it was found that majority of the respondents preferred the variable price option. The study also found that the participation of FBOs, NGOs and cooperatives in contract farming arrangements was beneficial.

The study further found that farmers satisfaction influences performance of contract farming and that farmer's experience influences performance of contract farming. The study also found that it was strongly agreed that the volumes of sorghum delivered under CF has greatly decreased. It was agreed that; the number of acreages utilized under contract farming has greatly increased, the number of contracts signed by SMHs under CF has greatly increased and the number of contract farmers has greatly increased.

### **5.3 Discussion of the Findings**

This section presents the discussion of the findings for each variable.

#### **5.3.1 Interlinked Services**

As cited by Prowse (2012), contract farming can encourage technology and skill transfer supporting the small holder farmers in meeting vital crop standards required in the market. It provides numerous benefits such as increase on-farm diversification, technical assistance and skill transfer since contract farming offers the opportunity to learn basic concepts on production. This therefore encourages farmers to voluntarily participate in extension training if their needs and preferences are addressed.

The provision of extension services make contract farming a resourceful marketing arrangement because they provide trainings and inputs arrangement which largely influences farmer's operations thereby impacting positively on the quality and quantity of crops produced. Key and Runsten (2013) argue in line with the study findings that inputs supply arrangements and financial linkages are important elements of a contract design targeting small holder farmers since small holder farmers are limited due to the lack of access to certified inputs and input loans.

#### **5.3.2 Income**

From the findings it is evident that with contract farming, there is an assured market and certainty in income. The returns a farmer gets from selling in the spot market or to brokers

depends on the prevailing market prices, the level of urgency that a farmer has to fulfil the existing needs with the money and ability to negotiate with the traders. This creates substantial uncertainty in the income to be earned. According to Singh (2012), contract farming overcomes this problem since the contractors specify the price in advance.

Further, the findings of the study were proven by Minot (2014) who re-evaluated CF in developing countries, found that generally contract farming caused an increase in the income of small holder farmers. Ramaswami (2013) confirms that the average returns of the contracted and non-contract farmers differ since contract farmers gain appreciably in terms of income. Contract farming exposes farmers to improved farming methods which in turn enhance their yields and as a result there is a positive revenue effect for contract farmers.

### **5.3.3 Sociological factors**

Oswald (2010) noted that sociological factors have an influence on the performance and success of entrepreneurs and enterprises. The study findings are also in line with the statement as it was proven that the factors have a strong and significance effect on the performance of the contract farming. Rugimbana (2009) reveals that to achieve efficacy in use of inputs and modern agro-technology, it requires a certain degree of education to enable farmers to decode and comprehend the complex nature and make efficient selection, as well as to make appropriate allocation of resources so as to benefit the new opportunities offered by superior inputs.

Gender is a key factor likely to influence production and performance of CF. Similarly, education has a significant level of influence on productivity. Asante (2011) was revealed that farm size influenced the decision of farmers to participate in the arrangement. This therefore reveal that sociological factors have a great influence on the performance of contract farming in Kenya.

### **5.3.4 Nature of the contract**

The nature of the contract comprises of two major aspects which include; form of the agreement in terms of written/oral and the conditions stipulated in the contract. As per the findings, majority of the respondents preferred the written contract that is affirmed by Poppo (2012) to be more specific on the roles and responsibilities of the involved parties, quality issues, farming practices, monitoring practices, penalties and arbitration issues.

Contrary to the findings, Schipmann and Qaim (2011) argue that in most studies oral contracts are obeyed than written contracts. However, in situations where the contractors are not integrated into the farmers social networks the farmers may not trust oral contracts for the fear of the firm not showing up to purchase the products. Farmers will prefer written contracts since they carry a commitment of market certainty which is needed before production. Therefore, a written contract gives more market assurance than an oral contract.

### **5.3.5 Farmers Attitude**

According to Shukla et al (2011), majority of the farmers have favourable attitude towards contract farming. High profits gained through contract farming serve as a catalyst for having many people have a positive attitude and accept CF. The attitude a farmer forms towards CF is based on their experience with the benefits that come along with the arrangement. It was found that the main reasons farmers participated in CF was attributed to high returns, market certainty, linked services and other diverse opportunities.

### **5.3.6 Performance of Contract Farming**

Performance of contract farming is measured by indicators such as number of farmers benefiting from CF, volumes of sorghum delivered by contracted farmers, number of acreages utilized under CF and number of contracts signed. This is in line with the study findings as Prowse (2012) postulates that CF is when a company lends inputs (fertilizers, seeds, pesticides, credit or trainings) to the crop producers and in return the firm gets all the purchasing power over the contracted crop.

## **5.4 Conclusion**

The study deduced that interlinked services has a positive and significant influence on the performance of contract farming. Hence, the study concludes that contract farming provides diverse opportunities to farmers ranging from accessibility to a reliable market and most importantly provision to credit access, inputs, marketing and production services (training and extension services). The study also concludes that contract farming offers the opportunity to learn basic concepts on production. The study concludes that farmers take part in contract farming so as to increase productivity and income to escape poverty as well as improve their livelihood.

From the findings, it was concluded that income has a positive and significant influence on the performance of contract farming. The study concludes that with contract farming, there is an assured market and certainty in income. The study concludes that the returns a farmer gets

from selling in the spot market or to brokers depends on the prevailing market prices, the level of urgency that a farmer has to fulfil the existing needs with the money and ability to negotiate with the traders. Therefore, contract farming overcomes this problem since the contractors specify the price in advance.

The study deduced that sociological factors have a positive and significant influence on the performance of contract farming. The study concludes that sociological factors have an influence on the performance and success of entrepreneurs and enterprises. The study further concludes that to achieve efficacy in use of inputs and modern agro-technology, it requires a certain degree of education to enable farmers to decode and comprehend the complex nature and make efficient selection, as well as to make appropriate allocation of resources so as to benefit the new opportunities offered by superior inputs.

The study established that the nature of contract has a positive and significant influence on the performance of contract farming. The study concludes that whether a contract is oral/written, it determines to a large extent the sharing of various farming and marketing risks among the contracted parties. The study concludes that farmers prefer written contracts since they carry a commitment of market certainty which is needed before production. Therefore, a written contract gives more market assurance than an oral contract.

The study concludes that, farmers have a particular expectation while joining CF and if the expectations are fulfilled the farmers will have a positive attitude. Also, the study concludes that the attitude formed by the farmers already in CF will determine if other farmers will join the arrangement or not and in turn influencing the performance of CF.

### **5.5 Recommendations**

The study recommends that the farmers remain loyal to the contract markets who are doing their part by offering trainings, linkages and premium prices. This is because when farmers side sell their produce to brokers, deliveries to contract markets reduces affecting the performance of CF arrangements. The contract markets should as well endeavour to find out reasons attributing to the disloyalty and strive to seal all the side selling gaps to win the loyalty of farmers since quantities are affecting CF performance.

The study recommends that the farmers should focus on the quality of the products produced. The farmers should ensure that the products are of the required standards to make sure that



the consumers who are also the buyers are satisfied. This will ensure raking of high profits hence promoting and encouraging more farmers to participate in contract farming.

The study recommends that there should be renegotiation to ensure that some bottlenecks are addressed including repayment terms on loans and customized loan products for farmers to encourage more SHFs to accept input loans for production. This can create a mutual relationship between contracting parties thus enhancing engagement in contract farming among sorghum farmers. Further, the study recommends for renegotiation when the farmer perceives the terms of the contract to be unfavourable. For instance, more written contracts are preferred, therefore contractors should sign written contracts with sorghum farmers.

The study recommends that FBO's and NGO's should be involved in the contract farming activities so as to assist in the structuring of farm production in smallholder contract farming. There is need to organize contract farming in a way that integrates farmer representatives in the management and design of the CF schemes. Also, the study recommends that there should be involvement of NGOs to reduce poverty traps often associated with regional inequality and geographic locations.

The study recommended that the government should contribute towards more farmer trainings through the Ministry of Agriculture Officers, involve research institutions to come up with new & high yielding sorghum varieties, assist the farmers by minimising the price of the essential farm input equipment's as well as providing tractors for hire to be accessible to farmers at a cheaper rate. This will assist the farmers in the contract farming to incur little production costs, increase yields as well as consistently boost their income and curb the poverty cycle.

## **5.6 Recommendations for Further Studies**

The study recommends that since this study was only limited to Imenti North in Meru county, a similar study should be done in other counties in Kenya. The study also recommends that a study should be conducted with different factors that influence influencing performance of contract farming in Kenya. Further, another study should be done with the focus being on other crop farmers such as maize or dairy and poultry farmers. There is need for a study to be conducted for a period longer than two months so as to compare the findings. In addition, another study should be carried out to ascertain the reasons attributed to most farmers selling to brokers and other markets instead of being loyal to the contractors yet the prices offered are high as well as many other benefits that come along with CF.

## REFERENCES

- Aazamil, M. H. (2011). *Socio-economic Factors Affecting Rural WP in Productive Cooperation: Case Study of Paveh Ball-Making Cooperative*. Iran: University of Tehran.
- Abebe, G. e. (2013). Contract Farming Configuration: Smallholders' Preferences for Contract Design Attributes. *Food Policy*, 14-24.
- Adong et al. (2013). What Factors Determine Membership to Farmer Groups in Uganda? Evidence from the Ugandan Census of Agriculture 2008/9. . *Journal of Sustainable Development*,, 6(4): 37-55.
- al, A. e. (2013). Contract Farming configuration:smallholders' preferences for contract design attributes. *Food policy*, 40,14-24.
- Allison. (2009). *Multiple Regression: A primer. Thousands Okas*. CA: Pine Forge Press.
- Arumugam et al. (2011). Determinants of fresh fruits and vegetables farmers' participation in contract farming in Peninsular Malaysia. *International Journal of Agricultural Management and Development*, 1(2): 65-71.
- Asante, B. S. (2011). Determinants of Small Scale Farmers' Decision to Join a Farmer Based Organizations in Ghana. CSIR- Crops Research Institute. . *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, Vol. 6 (10), pp. 2273-2279.
- Banful, A. (2010). *Old Problems in the New Solutions? Politically Motivated Allocation of Programme Benefits and the "New" Fertilizer subsidies*. Washington DC: International Food policy Research Institute.
- Barrett, C. e. (2012). Smallholder Participation in Contract Farming: Comparative Evidence from Five Countries. . *World Development*, , Vol. 40, No. 4. 715-730.
- Bellemare & Bloem. (2018). "Does contract farming improve welfare? " *World Development*, Elsevier, vol. 112(C), pages 259-271.
- Bijman. (2008). *Contracting farming in developing countries*. Wageningen University.

- Bijman, J. (2008). *Contract Farming in Developing Countries: An Overview*. . Netherlands: Wageningen Univeristy Press.
- Birthal, P. J. (2010). *Vertical coordination in high value commodities: implications for the smallholders*. MTID Discussion Paper No. 85. Washington: IFPRI.
- Bryman & Bell. (2015). *Business research methods*. USA: Oxford University Press.
- Chavas, J.-P. (2010). Structural Change in Agricultural Production: Economics, Technology and Policy. *Handbook of Agricultural economics*, Vol 1A 263-285.
- Chen and Martin . (2010). "The Developing World is Poorer than we Thought, but no Less Successful in the fight against poverty". *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, vol 125 Issue 4, pp. 1577-1625.
- Connlley. (2011). *Hardbook for research synthesis*. New York: Russell Sage.
- Cresswell. (2013). *Research Design: Qualitative, quantitative and mixed methods approaches (2nd ed)*. Thousands Oaks CA: SAGE Publications.
- Creswel. (2013). *Research Design Qualitative, Quantitative and Mixed Methods Approach*. California: Sage Publications, Thousands Oaks.
- Dorward, A. e. (2010). A Policy Agenda for Pro-poor Agricultural Growth. *World Development*, 71-74.
- Evaline, S. E. (2015, June 25). Factors that influence Technical Efficiency of sorghum production:A case of small holder sorghum producers in Lower Eastern Kenya. *Research Article*, p. 11.
- Faith, C. (2017, August 15). *Top Sorghum producing countries in the world*. Retrieved from World Atlas: <https://www.worldatlas.com/articles/top-sorghum-producing-countries-in-the-world.html>
- Foti, G. i. (2009). Measuring payoffs to agricultural training among small holder tobacco producers. *International NGO Journal*, 3(3), 33-37.
- Frayne, O. T. (2008). *New Generation Cooperative Membership: How do Members Differ from Non-Members?*

- Gibbon, P. Y. (2009). *Revenue effects of Participation in Smallholder Organic Cocoa Production in Tropical Africa: A case study, DIIS Working paper 2009:06 Copenhagen*. Danish: DIIS Working Paper 2009:06 Copenhagen.
- Glover, D. a. (2010). *Small farmers, big business: contract farming and rural development*. London: Macmillan.
- GoK. (2013). County Intergrated Development Plan Meru County. *Government of Kenya, Nairobi, Kenya*.
- Govere, J., Jayne, T., and Nyoro, J. (2009). *Small-holder Commercialization, Interlinked Markets and food crop productivity; cross country evidence in Eastern and Southern Africa*. Michigan : MSU.
- Guo, H. J. (2005). *Contract Farming in China: Supply Chain or Ball and Chain?* Chicago: Paper presented at the 15th Annual World Food & Agribusiness Symposium, IAMA.
- Gwengi, I. (2016, July 31st). *EABL seeks more sorghum farmers as demand for senator soars*. Retrieved from Standard Digital: <https://www.standardmedia.co.ke/article/2000210328/eabl-seeks-more-sorghum-farmers-as-demand-for-senator-soars>
- Harou, A. &. (2010). *The Pineapple Market and the Role of Cooperatives*. . Cornell University.
- Hill. (2012). Whsample size is “enough” in internet survey research? *Interpersonal Computing and Technology: An Electronic Journal for the 21st Century*,., 6(3-4).
- Kalamata. (2009). *Performance assessment of extension services under contract farming arrangement: A case study of flue-cured Tobacco Growers in Urambo district*. Morogoro, Tanzania: Sokoine University of Agriculture.
- Karli, A. B. (2009). Factors Affecting Farmers' Decision to Enter Agricultural Cooperatives Using Random Utility Model in the South Eastern Anatolian Region of Turkey. *Journal of Agricultural and Rural Development in the Tropics and subtropics*, volume 107, No.2, PP 115-127.

- Kelly & Pemberton. (2016). An assessment of the Household food security status and local foods Grown in Rural Bahamas. *The journal of the Caribbean Agro-Economic Society*, 8(1).
- Key & Runsten. (2013). Contract Farming, Smallholders and Rural Development in Latin America: The organization of Agro-processing firms and the scale of out-grower production. *World development*, vol 27, No.2 381-401.
- Kirsten, J. &. (2002). Linking Agribusiness and Small-scale Farmers in Developing Countries: Is There a New Role for Contract Farming? *Development Southern Africa*, , Vol. 19, No. 4. 503-529.
- Kothari and Garg. (2014). *Research methodology Methods and Techniques*. . 3rd ed. New Delhi:: New Age International (P) Ltd., p.63.
- Little, P. (2014). *Contract Farming and the Development Question. Living Under Contract*. University of Wisconsin Press.
- Losch, Freguin and E.White. (2011). *Rural transformation and late developing countries in a globalizing world. A comparative analysis of rural change*. Washington D.C: World bank.
- MacNealy and Mary. (2009). *Strategies for Empirical Research in Writing*. New York: longman.
- Mann & Kogl. (2013). On the acceptance of animal production in rural communities. *Land use policy*, 20(3), 243-252.
- Masakure. (2011). Why do small scale producers choose to produce under contract? *Lessons from non-traditional vegetable exports from Zimbabwe*, *World development*, 33(10):1721-1733.
- Masvongo J, M. J. (2013). Viability of tobacco production under smallholder farming sector in Mount Darwin District Zimbabwe. *Journal of Development and Agricultural Economics*, 5(8), 295-301.
- McGaghie et al. (2015, January 5). *Problem statement, conceptual framework and research question*. Retrieved from <http://goo.gl/qLIUFg>

- Meshesha. (2011). Impact of contract farming on household income of smallholder farmers: .  
*The case of organic honey production in South West Ethiopia, Sheka Zone .*
- Minot, N. (2014). *Contract Farming and its effects on small farmers in less developed countries*. Michigan: MSU International Development Papers. East Lansing.
- Miyata, S. M. (2009). Impact of Contract Farming on Income. Linking Small farmers, Packers and supermarkets in China. *World Development*, vol 37 No.11 pp 1781-1790.
- Moyo. (2014). *Effectiveness of a contract farming arrangement: A case study of tobacco farmers in Mazowe distict in Zimbabwe*. Zimbabwe: stellenbosch university.
- Mugenda and Mugenda. (2012). *Research Methods: Qualitative Approaches*. Nairobi: Africa center for technology studies.
- Mwamakimbula. (2014). *Assessment of the factors impacting agricultural extension training programs in Tanzania:a descriptive study*. Tanzania: Iowa State University.
- Mwambi,Oduol, Mshenga, Saidi. (2016). "Does contract farming improve smallholder income? The case of avocado farmers in Kenya",. *Journal of Agribusiness in Developing and Emerging Economies*,, Vol. 6 Issue pp.2-20.
- Nachmias & Nachmias. (2009). *Research Methods in the Social Sciences (5th ed)*. New York: St Martins Press.
- Narayanan, S. (2010). *Contract Farming as Frictional Equilibrium: A Theoretical Viewpoint with Empirical Evidence from Southern India*. Cornell University.: Unpublished Ph.D. Dissertation.
- Nugussie, Z. (2009). *Why Some Rural People Become Members of Agricultural Cooperatives while Others do not*. Ethiopia.: Mekelle University.
- Obwona. (2013). *Determinants of technical efficiency differentials amongst small and medium-scale farmers in Uganda: A case of tobacco growers:AERC Research paper 152*. Nairobi: AFrican Economic Research Consortium.
- Orodho. (2009). *Techniques of writing research proposals and techniques*. Nairobi: Harlifax printers.

- Oswald, R. a. (2010). Antecedents of small business performace. *New England Journal of Entrepreneurship*, 3(2): 21-33.
- Oya. (2012). Contract farming in subsaharan Africa: A survey of Approaches, Debates and Issues. *Journal of Agrarian Change*, 12(1), 1-33.
- Parekh. (2013). Innovations in traditional Indian dairy foods. Global dairy industry and food security. *In Souvenir, 41st Dairy Industry Conference & IIDE. IDA (west zone) Mumbai*, (pp. 21-30).
- Pole & Lampard. (2010). *Practical Social Investigation. Qualitative and Quantitative Methods in Social Research*. Harlow: Prentice-Hall.
- Poppo, L. &. (2012). Do Formal Contracts and Relational Governance Function as Substitutes or Complements? *Strategic Management Journal*,, Vol. 23. 707-725.
- Porter, G. a.-H. (2009). Comparing contracts: An evaluation of contract farming schemes in Africa. *World Development*, Vol 25, No.2, pp. 227-238.
- Prowse. (2012). Contract Farming in Developing Countries -. *A Review. Agence Française de Développement (AFD)*.
- Ramaswami, B. B. (2013). *Efficiency and Distribution in Contract Farming:The case of indian poultry Growers. MTID Discussion Paper No. 91*. Washington DC: IPFRI.
- Rugimbana. (2008). *Assessment of the impact of contract farming on farm productivity and returns:a case study of tobacco in Uyui distict*. Tanzania: Sokoine University of Agriculture. Mororgoro.
- Schipmann, C. &. (2011). Supply Chain Nature , Contract Agriculture and Farmers Marketing Preferences: . *The Case of Sweet Pepper in Thailand. Food Policy*,, No. 36. 667-677.
- Sedgwick, P. (2012, July 04). Pearson's co-efficient. *the bmj*.
- setboonsarng et al. (2011). Contract farming and poverty reduction: the case of organic rice contract farming in Thailand. *Poverty staretegies in Asia*, 266.
- Shukla, Chaudhari et.Al. (2011). Attitude of Banana farmers towards contract farming in south Gujarat, India. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Research*, 45(4), 331-335.

- Simmons, P. (2012). *Overview of Smallholder Contract Farming in Developing Countries*. Rome: FAO.
- Singh, S. (2012). Contracting Out Solutions: Political Economy of Contract Farming in the Indian Punjab. *World Development*, 1621-1638.
- Trochim. (2016). *Research Methods knowledge base*. Retrieved from Natorp Boulevard Mason: Atomic Dog: <http://www.socialresearchmethods.net/kb/reotypes.php>
- Tsado, Ojo & Ajavi. (December 2014). Impact of training the trainers programme on rice farmers income and welfare in North Central, Nigeria. *Journal of advanced Agricultural technologies*, vol 1 No.2.
- Tschirley et al. (2009). *Contract Farming in Sub-Saharan Africa: value chains & implications for development cooperation*.
- Warning, M. a. (2012). The Social Performance and Distributional Consequences of Contract Farming: An Equilibrium Analysis of the Arachide de Bouche Program in Senegal. *World Development*, vol 30, No.2 pp 255-263.
- Wolf, S. e. (2011). Policing Mechanisms in Agricultural Contracts. *Rural Sociology*, , Vol. 66. 359-.
- Woodend. (2013). *Potential of contract farming as a mechanism for the commercialization of smallholder agriculture:the Zimbabwe case study*. Zimbabwe: Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO).
- Yaron D, D. A. (2009). Innovations on family farms:the Nazareth region in Israel. *American journal of agricultural economics*.



## APPENDICES

### Appendix I: Letter of Transmittal

Christine Kanana

P.O BOX 1432

MERU

08 May 2019

Dear respondents

#### RE: REQUEST TO FILL QUESTIONNAIRE

I am a student undertaking Masters of Arts Degree in project planning and management at the University of Nairobi, College of Education and External Studies, School of Continuing and Distance Education. I am currently carrying out a study on factors that influence the performance of contract farming among sorghum small holder farmers in Kenya, a case of Imenti North, Meru County.

I hereby request that you kindly provide the necessary information to complete the attached questionnaire since you have been selected to participate in this study. Kindly note that your contributions are critical and with your input the project is assured of success. Any information provided will be treated with utmost confidentiality and will only be used for academic purpose.

Your assistance and cooperation is highly appreciated.

Yours Sincerely,

Mbaabu Christine Kanana

L50/9517/2017

## **Appendix II: Research Questionnaire for smallholder farmers**

This questionnaire is for collecting data for academic purposes only. The study seeks to establish the factors that influence performance of contract farming among sorghum small holder farmers in Kenya, a case of Imenti North, Meru County. The information will be handled with utmost confidentiality. Do not indicate your name or identification on this questionnaire. Answer the following questions as honestly as possible by either filling in the blank or ticking appropriately.

### **PART A: DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION**

1. What is your gender?                      Male [    ]                      Female [    ]
2. What is your highest level of education?  
None                      [    ]  
Secondary                      [    ]  
University                      [    ]  
Primary                      [    ]  
College                      [    ]
3. What is your age bracket  
20-30      [    ]                      30-40      [    ]                      40-50      [    ]  
50-60      [    ]                      Above 60      [    ]
4. Which Location do you come from? .....
5. Where do you sell your sorghum?  
SHALEM only      [    ]                      Brokers only[    ]  
SHALEM & Brokers      [    ]                      Other Contract markets[    ]
6. How many acreages had you dedicated to sorghum under contract farming last season?.....

### **PART B: INTERLINKED SERVICES AND PERFORMANCE OF SORGHUM CONTRACT FARMING**

7. Which of the following services do you receive as a benefit of participating in contract farming?
  - Trainings                      [    ]
  - Input loan                      [    ]

- Input supply arrangements [ ]
- Linkages to crop insurance cover [ ]

8. Rate the above services in order (1-4), from the most beneficial to the least beneficial to you as a smallholder farmer?

- Trainings [ ]
- Input loan [ ]
- Input supply arrangements [ ]
- Crop insurance cover [ ]

9. Which other services are not currently offered by your sorghum contractor that you feel are beneficial to a farmer and should be included in the Contract farming?

.....  
 .....  
 .....

**PART C: INCOME AND PERFORMANCE OF SORGHUM CONTRACT FARMING**

10. What was your annual average income from sorghum before joining Contract farming?

- 0-50,000 [ ]
- 50,0001-100,000 [ ]
- 100,001-500,000 [ ]
- 500,001-1,000,000 [ ]
- Over 1,000,000 [ ]

11. What is your annual average income from sorghum after joining contract farming?

- 0-50,000 [ ]
- 50,0001-100,000 [ ]
- 100,001-500,000 [ ]
- 500,001-1,000,000 [ ]
- Over 1,000,000 [ ]

12. If there has been an increase/decrease in the annual average income from sorghum after joining contract farming, what could have been the cause?

- Causes for increased income? High Yields [ ] High Prices [ ]
- Others.....

- Causes for decreased income?      Low Yields [    ]      Low Prices [            ]  
 Others.....

**PART D: SOCIOLOGICAL FACTORS AND PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACT FARMING**

13. Based on age, tick the age bracket that highly participates in Contract farming  
 20-30 [    ]                      30-40 [    ]                      40-50 [    ]  
 50-60 [    ]                      Above 60 [    ]
14. What is your age in years.....
15. Based on the level of education, tick the level that gets actively involved in contract farming.  
 Primary [    ]      Secondary [    ]                      College [    ]                      University  
                     [    ]      Never gone to school [    ]
16. On a scale of five, rate the following statements  
 (Strongly agree=1, agree=2, undecided=3, disagree=4, strongly disagree=5)

Statement	1	2	3	4	5
Gender of a farmer influences performance of contract farming					
Age of a farmer influences performance of contract farming					
Education level of a farmer influences performance of contract farming					
The land size of a farmer influences performance of contract farming					

**PART E: NATURE OF THE CONTRACT AND PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACT FARMING**

18. What type of contract do you have with your sorghum contract market?  
 Oral contract [    ]                      Written Contract [    ]
19. What type of contract is most preferred by contracted farmers?  
 Oral contract [    ]                      Written Contract [    ]
20. What type of price option does your sorghum contract market offer?  
 Fixed price option [    ]                      Variable price option [    ]
21. What type of price option is most preferred by contracted farmers?  
 Fixed price option [    ]                      Variable price option [    ]

22. Is participation of FBOs, NGOs and cooperatives in Contract farming arrangements beneficial?

Yes [ ] No [ ]

**PART F: FARMERS ATTITUDE AND PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACT FARMING**

23. On a scale of five, rate the following statements

(Strongly agree=1, agree=2, undecided=3, disagree=4, strongly disagree=5)

Statement	1	2	3	4	5
Farmer's satisfaction influences performance of contract farming					
Farmer's experience influences performance of contract farming					

**PART G: PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACT FARMING IN IMENTI NORTH, MERU COUNTY**

24. On a scale of five, rate the following statements

(Strongly agree=1, agree=2, undecided=3, disagree=4, strongly disagree=5)

Statement	1	2	3	4	5
No of contract farmers has greatly increased					
No of acreages utilized under contract farming has greatly increased					
The volumes of sorghum delivered under CF has greatly decreased					
The number of contracts signed by SMHs under CF has greatly increased					

**Thank you for your time, I appreciate your participation**

**Appendix III: Plagiarism Report**