

EAST AFR. PROT.

6795

REC'D
FEB 19

6795

Smith

20
1916
18 Jan.

Last previous Paper.

No. 66195

German East Africa

Forward 900, War Diary

for period 11 Dec. 1915 to 7 Jan. 1916

See Bottom by
see Road (Army)
see Field

PRINTED FOR PARLIAMENT
BY THE GOVERNMENT
PRINTERS, 1916

see marked passages

(Note that it would be possible
to take only 100 more soldiers for
military service.)

2 Duplicate to D. I. D. Army, for
personal & return 3/16

14/2/16

See under why he sends this in bulletins he
might be told to forward them as read

1/15/16
1/15/16
1/15/16

the subject...
ought to be...
the fund which...
an officer's effective pay...
must bear the non-
effective charges also

The payments referred to in para. 3
of your despatch, which had been
marked as action already
relatives of deceased
members of the
1st Battalion, K. A. R., who were killed in the vicinity
of the German East Africa
have been submitted to the K. A. R. and to any other cases of the
kind which have arisen or
may arise in future, and
the necessary adjustments
of payments already made
should be effected
communication
with the Gov. of Uganda,
a copy of the
correspondence has been sent

LAV.

The description inserted out
in the report of the Protectorate
have been subsequently contributed
to the defence of Uganda and
has been then taken into
taken place on the borders of
the Uganda Protectorate

1

Gov. 10984/16 E.A.P.
Uganda

C.D.
18 MAR
0.76. 223

Sir,

16 March 1916

Sir,

I have to acknowledge

the receipt of your despatch

Confidential No 10 of the

18th of January, with

regard to the incidence

of expenditures in connection

with pensions and other

non-effective charges arising out
of the operations in East Africa
in respect of common organizations.

(2) I concur with you

in your view that ^{charges of this}
nature should be met out of the
expenditure in respect of

the pay of the units, in view of the fact that they

are entitled to pensions or
gratuities ^{paid} to members of

the 4th Battalion, K.A.F.,
and I consider that

the funds of the Uganda Protectorate
should be charged to the

and I consider that

DRAFT

E. A. P.

Confidential

Gov. Sir H. Belfield

MINUTE.

Mr. Coode 14/3/16

Mr. Bottomley 15.3.16

Mr.

Mr.

Sir G. Fiddes.

Sir H. Just.

Sir J. Anderson.

Mr. Steel-Maitland.

Mr. Bonar Law.

Copy to be referred to

Copy to be referred to

3. done.

Uganda

MM Confidential

16 March, 1916

Gov. Sir P. Jackson

Sir,

A.C. 14/3/16

13 October 15.3.16/5.

2 drafts

Sir H. Balfield Conf N° 10 18/1/16

Sir H. Balfield Conf
(draft remains)

I have 2 to transmit to you, for your info & guidance, copies of corresp. with the Gov. of the E.A.P., on the subject of the incidence of expenditure in connection with the payment of pensions & other non-effective charges ^{arising out of the operations} ~~in respect of~~ ^{in East Africa} ~~of~~ common organizations.

2. You will observe that I have well stated the evidence that of non-effective charges must follow that of the effective pay of the units concerned. It is not possible to regard the liability of Uganda ~~as being limited to the charges~~

*File
L804
Kak.*

4. As regards European officers, the Governor of Uganda considers the precedent furnished by the case of Captain E. L. Musson, laid down in your despatch King's African Rifles No. 45 of the 15th of July, to be more equitable than that of Major Soames to which I have already referred.

5. I regret that I am unable to acquiesce in these suggestions. It is true that the 4th Battalion King's African Rifles has been employed in this Protectorate since the outbreak of hostilities but the scope of their activities has been largely confined to the defence of East Africa which implies the defence of Uganda also. I consider that any expenditure incurred in connection with the grant of pensions or gratuities to members of the 4th Battalion, King's African Rifles, should be a charge against the Uganda Protectorate and I take this opportunity of enquiring whether the ruling referred to in the case of Captain Musson was not given by inadvertence, as the Government of Uganda would certainly appear to be liable in respect of any gratuity awarded to this officer.

6. I should be glad of a ruling on the question.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your humble, obedient servant,

H. Conroy, Brevet

GOVERNOR

which it is laid down that the gratuity and pension of Major L. H. Soames, as an officer employed and paid for by the East Africa Protectorate, must be borne on East Africa Protectorate funds, even though this officer belonged to the 1st Battalion, King's African Rifles, which does not form part of the forces of this Protectorate, and was wounded in the course of operations which were in no way connected with the defence of East Africa and regarding which the local Government was not consulted.

3. The Government of Uganda has recently debited this Protectorate with certain amounts paid as gratuities to relatives of deceased members of the 4th Battalion, King's African Rifles, and from the correspondence which has taken place between us I understand that the Governor of Uganda justifies his action by contending that as, from the commencement of the war, practically the whole of this Battalion has served continuously in East Africa, any compensation to non-commissioned officers and men for casualties received in East Africa or when employed in offensive measures against German East Africa as an outcome of their employment in East Africa, should be a charge against this Protectorate. The additional plea is also put forward that, on the withdrawal of the 4th Battalion, it was necessary to raise a local auxiliary force of Baganda Rifles for the defence of the Uganda Protectorate, any expenditure in connection with casualties in this unit being a charge against the Government of Uganda.