2. Bro Bonar Law world be fad if the A. C. would be so ford as to furnish him, at their early consenience, with the complete least of the

storument of which versions Suglish and Armbic are sessued for distribution

1916

4th May. Submits report on the case and recommends that the whole of the fine Last previous Paper should be remitted. 15418

mr Rivio I have not looked up his sharidans W. Read. point about the Rs 1500 fine being possible. Toward his feelings are hunt by the reference to his experience. ? Substance of despetch a marked

> that the S. M. has approved of the Unde of the fine being remetted.

aga that in the should die ? of the can the I Mi conver with the

you in the west that the Contones authorities did not act in fraging

Com to have of 57495/15

the part have the of a few days

19/15; Dante same land the market and and

to heave Porter & Crust, and say

many kear the argest to

the be any par and that the lings had

16/16 

ST AFRICA PROTECTORATE.

GOVERNMENT HOUSE, WAIROBI,

27365 Res 9 JUN 16

Sir,

I have the honour to acknowledge the receipt of the duplicate copy of your despatch No.905 of December 17th respecting Mr. F. J. Pollerd's complaint against the Customs Department and in reply to attach copies of reports from the Chief of Customs and Mr. Sheridan, the magistrate who tried the case, and of the Court file.

- 2. I have been in consultation with the Attorney General and we are of opinion fat, as Messrs Porter and Crust very properly porve in their original letter of July 1st 1915, the magistrate had no option in the face of Mr. Pollard's plea of guilty but to convict and fine him the statutory amount.
- 3. It is not however very clear why he was convicted in relation to the Colobus skin. Evidence was given that that skin had been declared and so far as I am at present advised there is no law in force

THE RIGHT HONOURABLE

ANDREW BONAR LAW, P.C., M.P.,

SECRETARY OF STATE FOR THE COLONIES,

DOWNING STREET,

LONDON, S. W.

onief of cub-

Bheriden 1916.

court File

force in the Protectorate Which provides that a permi Vahell be produced before the export of any triphy can be allowed. The Game Ordinance 1909 Sentian 7 prohibits export for sale and gives power to a District Commissioner or Custems Officer for the detention of any of the things mentioned in the Section until he is satisfied that such the sof thronded for sale. Section 8 of the are prohibits, inter alia, the export of the skin of an animal which has been killed. captured or obtained in contravention of the Ordinance. The onus of proof in cases under Section 8 lies on the prosecution and not on the possessor of the trophy. It would thus seem that the prosecution was in fact wrongly prought in relation to the colobus skin.

- 4. As regards the ostrich feathers and eggs Mr. Pollard was entitled to emport them and his right to do so has been admitted, as stated by Messrs Porter and Crust. Is an advised however that the exporter of such articles should be in a position to prove that he has such a right and that the onus of establishing this lies upon him. The Customs Department keeps a list of Ostrich farmers as furnished regarded and that the only be regarded and the contract of th
- 5. Mr did statement that a did not know that was anything to dec the except the

8. 237



7th Maron 1916.

The Henourable
The Chief Secretary,
Nairobi.

#### Ra.Mr. F. J. Pollard.

Your memo No.12557/15 of the 26th February 1916.

### +++++++

Mr. Pollard declared the Colonia Monkey Skin - but could produce no Game permit for it. The permit has not yet been produced in accordance with the Game Regulations and Mr. Pollard was therefore apparently in unlawful possession of the skin.

- 2. The other articles found were in the same box as the Colobus Monkey skin.
- Ostrich Parmers on advices received from Administration
  Officers, See my minute No.47 of the 19th June 1915, No
  advice prior to the P.G's telegram referred to in the
  correspondence was received by the Chatquar. The telegram
  enquiring from the F.G. smether Mr. Pollard was an Osbatch

Farmer was sent on the 14th April 1915 the day Mr. Pollard

- 1915 paragraph 8 will show that Mr. Pellard's right to expert she Ostrich Feathers and Eggs heep been from mixed.
- 5. The fine was a heavy one but I make no suggestion as to mitigation, as this may well be left to the Magistrate who tried the case but as Mr. Pollard has not produced a Gene permit for the Colobus Monkey skin, I suggest that no remission of the fine quality and until he has done for the pas returned to the Country.
- 6. The Court file will give full particulars of the Goanese Clerk's sworn statement of what mack place at the interview with Mr. Pollard.
- 7. Mr. Pollard when before me, as far as I remember, only pleaded ignorance, showed resentment at Customs interference and mantained that he had done nothing wrong. I then decided that the case was one which it would be better to live to Magistrate to investigate.

chief of Oustons,

### MRMORANDUM

The Court file which is attached shows that the case was tried summarily by me, that the accused pleaded guilty and that the Goanese Clerk who gave evidence swore that only the Colobus skin had been declared. I think I suggested to the accused at the outset of the case the advisability of his roing represented by counsel and be decided not to act on my advice stating that he should plead guilty rather than have an adjournment. I excepted his plea of guilty and as well as I can say I came to the conclusion that the Gounese Sleek had spoken the truth. I inflicted the panelty rued its as I was bound to do so by law finding the accused guilty and no suggestion was made by the accused that I should exceptise my statubory power of reducing the senalty.

The accused there and then paid the penalty in English sovereigns.

It must I think be considered that the penalty relatively speaking is not really a very heavy one and end the outtemary penalty under the Ordinance being Rs:1,500/- which sum means if reduced by one-fourth would amount to Maisv6/-. Again issuing the accused being an European it was not such a hardship on him to pay the fine imposed as it would have been in the case of an Asiatic or a native.

2. I am not disposed at this stage to make any recommendation that the fine should be mitigated. Had an application to that effect been made to me at the trial I should have considered it. The may be of course that

the

that the District Official responsible should have notified the Chief of Gustoms that the accused was an Ostrich farmer and failed to do so. If this be the dang the portion of the fine relating to the Ostrich feathers and ears might be remitted, although in my opinion an Ostrich farmer exporting such articles should be in a position to satisfy the Customs Officials that he was an Ostrich farmer by producing his licence. At the same time I believe I did anquire from Mr. Jones in Court whether telegraphic enquiries had been made by the Customs authorities as to Mr. Follord being An Ostrick farmer and his answer was in the negative.

- 5. May I draw attention to paragraph 7 of Messrs.

  Forter & Sust's letter of the 17th Pesanter 1915

  addressed to the Secretary of State in which occur the
  words " to the fast that he had only held his appointment
  for a few days". I may be excused if I fail to
  understand what is meant by these words. If they are
  intended to refer to me I would merely cheeve that I
  was Resident Magistrate of Mompasa from January 1914
  to July 1915, so that at the date of the hearing of
  the case in question I had held the appointment for
  15 menths.
- 4. Mr. Pollard seems to me to be the sole author of his own misfortune in se much as he pleaded guilty, he paid the penalty imposed without demur and lastly he never appealed a course which he dould have adopted without being put to the inconvenionce of losing his steemer.

MAKUMU.

EVER March 1816,

hallundan

# EAST AFRICA PROTECTORATE.

En The Court of the Resident Magistrate at MONBASA	
SUN	IMARY TRIAL-Chapter XX, Criminal Procedure Ordinance.
samber 2	Criminal Case No. 437/15
f report or plaint	14.4. 15.
of complain-	H. M. Customs by C. Sones
parentage residence of	Ferdinand Joseph Polland
- complained	Concerned in unshipping or otherwise dealing with prohibit restricted or uncustomed goods Section 210 Gustom Ordinanc 1910 - monkey skins, Ostrich feathers &c.
æ ∂lany vedi	
of property	Value Rs.100/-
mored in more services	r plead guilty through ignorance. They didn't enumerate
said	and I said I had a colobus skin. I didn't tall these things to District Commissioner. They are worth Re 100/
}	
name with	On the plea I have got no option but to find Accused
on a brief treen of ons	guilty. The value is accepted by them at he 100/
	consequently the rine is to #10; 1 1 1 1 1 180/m Hs. 880/ or in versult a months.
- 20	and the second
fee n	En -

191 6

(Bgd ) Joseph Sheri

# NOTES OF PROCEEDINGS.

14. 4. 15.

Jones for Prosecution.

Accused in person.

SANI MATHIAS (sworn):-

Yesterday, 4.45 p.m. on duty at Customs House. The Accused came and asked me to pass his baggage. I asked him if he had anything to declare such as skins, Ostrich feather the said he had only Colonis skins.

I asked if he had any permit for the colobus skins. A said no. I lok acquired to superintendent of Customs at kilinding, superintendent asked if he had got any permit said no. Superintendent asked if he had anything to declar said no. Superintendent asked if he had anything to declar said no. Superintendent asked if he had anything to declar said no. Superintendent asked if he had anything to declar asked to give me colobus skin. You can get permit next do I went to take colobus skin from box and I found Ostrich asked why he didn't declars these things and he said he was an Ostrich Farmer.

XXD.

Before I went to Superintendent of Customs I had looked in box.

### INPORMATION

BOER T. M. II. O.D.

In the Town Magistrate's Court of Mombasa 7. 2. Jones of Mombasa an Officer of Customs under the direction of the Chief of Customs, informs the Court:

That residenced voseph Polland was concerned in unshipping or otherwise dealing with probibited, restricted, or unoustoned goods, to wit

1 Colobus Monkey Skins.

g Leopard Skins.

Wild Cat Skins.

Ostrich Feathers.

3 Ostrien Egg Shells.

centrary to Section 810, of the Customs Ordinance, 1910, whereby the said Perdinand Joseph Polland has forfeited the sum of Rs.330/- being treble the value of the said goods (or the penalty of fifteen hundred Rupees), for which the Chief of Customs has elected to sue.

10n 210.

## EAST AFRECA PROTECTORATE.

0.77655.

MONBASA,

Received from F. J. Pollard the sum of Rupees Three constrained and thirty only on account of Fine Criminal Case No.437/15.

(8gd) Warren S. Wright

Rs.300

(Sga) G. A. Datoo.

Ja. E.M. 27865 16 June 1916 I have the honor to action the rect of Jun dep to 253 of the AP No 408 4th of May, with its and oriver. Belfield regarding the complaint made by Mr. Jowell 14/6/16
Mr. Bottonley 14:6.16 My 7. J. Pollard against the Tarton Lept 1the Protestrate, as Mr. Read. Sir H. Just. thannet to you a copy of a letter Sir G. Fiddes. Mr. Steel-Mailland. Mr. Bonar Law. which harlow adverses them mholine of our front of Arthur Porter o Court on the subject. 2. Toffened zur gung derection for the year of the face to the Pollard ldraft

29365 16 lle June 1916 Gertleven, with ref to the letter from the 19st of the 7th of Mil, I work wfor Juthet a despotch has now Arthu Porte + Coust been reid further Good the (AP, with regar to the conflant med by Mr. 7 & Pollar against the Custom. Dept of the Protestants 14/6/16= 2 The For States that he faith bottomley 14 6.28 for Atty Sent and opinion that as you Isewe in Juntate of the 1874 July 1915, the magistate ho no often, (30559/15) in the face of Mallaws. plan of quilty but to convert sit fine him the statutory amount with right tothe coloba Reign havers the 3 Su experse the opinion that the 5 promotions way wought brught A A STATE OF THE S

feather self & the Defield 5 The bosemen is of faring Plater that Mr. Pollow was a title that the Culous notherities did - Land to expect the another his right not exceed the former or act todo so bastom asmitto as stato impropely it saiding the rase by you . He is aversed, haven that to any state, we the find the exparts of such astarles thanks cares. of the case the Is consus be in a forition to prove that he has such a right as that the own of the viav. Sit Baffeld establishing the fact her in what monstants that answerth had The two law by tiefs at 1 harborn displayed at that it otrich famus as for the for the was no doubt thought reasonable then by an instate officer. to refer the whole matter tran butthe list can adop to regarded inpartial tribunal. He was that as a subsidiary check My Pollar affear their emplicates 4 the Sovernor considers that M. Pollans s Hakeunt that he did the issues and prejudiced his own and know that he had anything to by Alonday quilty to a declare except the colobus them to white stand may very possibly he correct The second second and any homenty the fact a holis when that the other artists were the b hall the requestion he is propose to avoid it 1 Bues for has off out

the purchase of the fire beingslade, genetic sustant server and it suffers to

The factorist that the

May state for four Lawrence that his wast for only a few days reference how a Relieux Magistate at the Fatines lot Mombon for After mouth.