E AFRICA Foreign Offic Treaty arrangement having longo. 1916 7 meh Sendo lete addressed to B.g. resp proposed review of aciding arrangement was different as to correct date by which question of Mich revision the he desided apon 3437 at once. K. J.R. 8/11/16

REC"

106

The Under Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs presents

his compliments to the Under-Secretary of State for the Colorus, — and by direction of the Secretary of State, transmits herewith copies of the under-mentioned

paper.

Foreign Office,

Marchy 1016.

Reference to previous correspondence :

Lotter to Foreign Office: No. 8434/1916, of Jeb 23.

Description of Inclosure

Name and Date.

Subject.

To the Borghed of Revision of

tof Kevision of treat, wrangement

March 4 Conventional

Basin of the Congo

Similar letter sent to

Trade

REC

35688/0.

PEGE 8 MAR 18

March 1

107

Bir :-

with reference to your latter Annill of the Eth witime, I am directed by Secretary Sir E. Grey to transmit to you havewith a copy of correspondence with the Colonial Office relative to the existing treaty arrangements affecting the territories comprised in the Conventional Basin of the Congo.

As regards the Customs Duties levied in the British. German and Italian territories of the Eastern some which form the subject of the Declaration of December 22nd, 1896. I am to observe that the whole question turns on the date of entry into force of the General Act of Brussels and of the Agreement between Great Britain, Germany and Italy, which came into force at the same time (see article 5 of the Agreement. p.519 of Map of Africa by Treaty). In your letter of February 12th the date is given as August 31st, 1891 which is the date which would naturally be assumed to be the right one, on reading articles 99 and 100 of the Act of Brussels and observing that the protocol referred to in article 99 was actually signed on July 2nd, 1891. But the date given in the Map of Africa by Treaty (p. 517 foot-note 1) is April and, 1892, and this is the correct date, as appears from a circular note from the Belgian Government of February 17th, 1892, stating that April 2nd

had

he Secretary

to the Board of Trade.

had been agreed upon by the signatory Powers as a result:
of the inability of Pertugal to ratify the Act sarlier (It
was as a matter of fact ratified by Pertugal on Harch Soth,
1892).

Germany therefore same into force on April 2nd, 1892. It was to remain in force for successive periods of five years, and it was necessary, in order to terminate it, for one of the Contracting Parties to demand its revision not less than six months before the and of the current quinquennial period (article 5). The second quinquennial period expired on April 2nd 1902. By notes of September 13th and September 42th, 1901, (i.e. more than six months before April 2nd, 1902) His Majesty's Covernment demanded its revision, and the Agreement is therefore is longer in force. It expired, not in 1901, as appears from the Colonial Office letter of February 23rd, but on April 2nd, 1902, and there is therefore no need to denounce it new.

It may be added that if the Agreement were still in force the end of the current quinquennial period would be April 2nd, 1917, and the last date for denunciation therefore Geteber 2nd, 1916, and not February 29th, 1916.

I am.

Sir.

Your most obedient,

hamble Servant,