

EAST AFR. PROT

e.o.

63633

Rec'd

7 NOV 10

63638

211

India

1919

Nov

N. J. Desai

re observation to whole of his service. To count towards the pension prior to a contribution to India

in India & to

will give him a rate

The Desai paper will be
thanked & returned to the sender as soon
possible

Major Desai 2.12.19
by

Two points require a decision (1) the range in which pension should be based (the amount by the Desai in enclosure to 45038/18); and (2) whether Mr. Desai is to have pension for his Indian & E.A.P. service based on final E.A.P. salary.

(1) It was laid down some years ago in a Ceylon case (28172/09 Ceylon) a rule in ruling has since been applied in a h. African case (page 11801rd) "that any officer who retires

"to a higher class should be given the option of
"drawing pension on his average salary for the
last 3 years or of being pensioned on the salary
drawn by him previous to such promotion."

The average salary drawn by Mr. Dean at the
date of his retirement was £141. 7. 5. His
salary at the date of his promotion was £136.
The acting Treasurer's suggestion, that he should
be pensioned on the salary on which he would
have attained, if 152, if he had not been promoted,
goes beyond the ruling in the Taylor case. I
think it would be dangerous to extend the ruling
and, I would, ^A ^{Ans} not agree to the suggestion.

(2) - The Indian Office, in suggesting continuation
pension, may have, in mind the cases of Somalik
Bank subordinate officers who, under No. 5 of
the Somaliland Pension Regulations are allowed
pension based on Indian + Somaliland service,
leaving & paying the difference. The R.O.
Circular No. 112 (No. 2) provides that cases
of Indian + S.L. service shall be dealt with
as far as shown in the Rules under the Superannua-
tion Act, 1892, and those Rules make no
provision for continuation pension. I do not
remember a case of this kind in which a
continuation pension has been granted. The para-
phrased in what it may have been said could
mean - I may have misled you in referring to
the big case (400/1) A, in my minute attached to
(45038 18 P), as Mr. Hobie comes under the
Suff. Superannuation Act directly, and the

Desai doesn't want
to return to Government as at A; another
copy of this letter & enclosures, and say that his
pension should be raised as decided in the
Bharati case.

215

F.H. Dec 9, 1949

W. Hastings

The Bharati case is still not
available. The
decided by the
Court in part.
missing page appears to
be dated 1.01.1912 after

accd

P.M.
2/1/1949

by Desai

Records of a meeting

W. Hastings

as proposed by W. Amritrao - except
that I shall prefer not to hand over the
decision on the next interview discussion
to the P.T.O. or already

apparently, W. Desai will receive
an adequate pension for his previous
P.R.A. service - it is better
to say so at once! Accd

29.12.49

T.D.

Sir H. Ryde.

On the question of extorting
from pension officers ^{Subordinate} transferred from
Government posts a India - in the

opinion of subordinates of
such a long period as a pensioner to
the Treasury, that the ^{to} <sup>Under Secy
of State</sup>
private pension - Recovery of

(city bances) amount

of above pension, to the

I am asked that

you will take up

the matter

and give me your

opinion on the

subject

John said he is bound to

the act of Clapham. The Govt

got accordingly bound by the

same in a similar way

The Govt. acting in its judgment to

help to bind, to make subordinate,

I had told a story for case of

the transfer of subordinates from India

were bound to adopt the same

principle

Dept. W.C. 11/20

stone.

H. J. R. 4/7/20

for communication on this subject
undressed to—
Secretary of State for India,
Colonial Department,
India Office.
London, S.W.1.
Reference quoted:—
F. 11524

INDIA OFFICE,

WHITEHALL,

LONDON, S.W.1.

November, 1919.

216

C.O./
6363

7. NOV. 19

EX-19

With reference to your letter dated 31st October, 1919,
MS. B. 58. Vol. 19, I am directed to state that after consultation
with the Government of India, the Secretary of State for India in
the course of his service in the Indian Customs Department
and Mr. Deasell's period in India proceeding to East Africa being
allowed to count for pension from India's resources. A copy of
letter dated 13th September 1919 from the Finance Secretary to
the Government of India on the subject is enclosed for information.
Mr. Montagu presumes that, as in the case of various other
servants with previous service under the Government of India,
the whole period of Mr. Deasell's services, both in India and East
Africa will be allowed to count towards his final pension, subject
to a contribution from the Government of India in respect of
his Indian service.

I have the honour to be, Sir,
your obedient servant,

Under Secretary of State
Colonial Office.

Financial Department.

D.O. No. 1075 C.S.R.

Sindia, the 13th September,
1919.

Dear Sir,

217

In reply to your letter No. F.12759 dated 19th November, 1918, I enclose a copy of a letter from the Government of Bombay, from which it will be seen that the services of Mr. N. J. Desai were not formally transferred to the East Africa Protectorate as required by Article 473-C.B.R. The Government of India, however, has no objection to this irregularity being condoned and so his being permitted by the Secretary of State to count as pensionable his service in the Bombay Customs Department from the 30th June 1899 to the 13th November 1901. It has been ascertained that that service was pensionable.

Yours faithfully,

(Sd) E.M. Cook.

Financial Secretary,
the Office,
London.

218

Copy of a letter from the Chief Secretary to the
Government of Bombay to the Secretary to the Government of India
in the Finance Department, no. 4394 of 1919, dated 30th April
1919.

With reference to Mr. Bhandari's letter No. 980/1918,
dated 8th February 1919 requesting that the Government of
India may be informed of the circumstances in which, if ever,
the name and conditions under which, the service of Mr. J. D.
Desai was transferred to the East Africa Protectorate, I am
privileged to state that Mr. Desai, who served as a weigh-clerk
in the Bombay Customs Department, was, at his own request,
transferred to British East Africa with a view to taking up
an appointment there. He was, however, allowed to retain a lien
on his Bombay appointment till 7th May 1904, and was treated as
on leave without pay during the period. It does not appear
that he was transferred or deputed to Africa by the Bombay
Customs Department. His service and pay in the latter
Department were as shown below:-

Designation.	Pay Rs.	From	To
Substantive pro tem Clerk.	30	30.6.1899	7.3.1899
Permanent Clerk.	30	8.8.1899	13.1.1901.
Substantive pro tem Clerk.	35	14.1.1901	12.8.1901,
Permanent Clerk.	35	13.8.1901	13.11.1901

2. The accompaniments to the letter under reply are
returned herewith.

~~10~~
65638 Earl
19

219

10

January

on the general life of Isador, for
the benefit of his wife and son who
are now in Europe.

alone to Mr. & Mrs. ~~Isador~~
to 13 Nov 1903

be awarded a pension in recognition of 15
years completed service, based on his
pensionable emoluments at the date of
his

As regards the proportion due in
Indian service being

severable from the Govt. of India.
He is in accordance with the ruling in the Bowes-Lyon case, 1913
I regret I be unable to 1913 of 28 Oct. 1913
decide the question. See the ~~Decided by~~ ~~India~~ ~~affair~~
~~Decided by~~ ~~India~~ ~~affair~~
~~Decided by~~ ~~India~~ ~~affair~~

Report in my office, 1913 dated

Desir's pay would be kept for him
to other posts in India for which
he has been appointed.

It is suggested that for his
services in connection with the
war he should be granted a sum
and one hundred rupees should
be given to him as gratuity on
his retirement.

As regards his retired salary at the
date of his promotion, it has been allowed
Rajon based on his salary at the date of his promotion
and promotion in ~~the Indian~~ ^{the European} post of eight,
which would create an undesirable precedent. Mr.
Desir must therefore be provided on the
average, say £161.7.5. stated in the paper of
particular and his total pension will amount
to £42.8.3 per annum.

Chancery