

24

EAST AFR. PROT  
66257

C.O.  
66257  
REC'D  
FEB 23 NOV 19

60

was

Mr K. V. Durvedi

1919  
19 Nov

Last previous Paper.  
500  
529

In view of serious offence does not consider deserving of restoration of previous service for pension purposes

~~Mr Mackay~~

If we now take up the case with the Indian Office I suppose we must assign the matter to the I.O. view of the matter

I gather that Durvedi's offence was a matter of policy (see Sec 6072 (1)(c)) and that any ordinary meaning of leniency hardly applies but I doubt whether I.O. would accept the proposal in para 2 of 52915.

I don't know about this  
Ref  
2/12/19

Mr Secretary

It seems quite inadequate to ask for a decision was sent to full committee was given to the I.O. in 3/29/19/19

which appears to show that the committee should have been informed of the fact that

Copy of above to go that 14 Aug 20 1919

at subsequent Paper

800  
74380

20 3/2/19

Lu. H. Reed

Dear Sir  
I have been a while to  
to read the paper & to see  
already decided this attention  
I should prefer to put the  
and for \$10 <sup>standing to the inclusion of the article</sup> say that if  
they are indeed open to  
to have part of the money  
sent to us to the S. A. fund.

I thought this was only in the  
course of the year (I think it probable  
that the hospital (related with  
operation) but also because I was  
and that the S. A. should be as  
I have no purpose to tell  
I had seen in a case of  
months of time

I have been  
I should like to see  
to examine and  
that you may tell me about the  
thing I have been told  
I have no doubt that  
conclusion was open to serious doubt,  
and that it happened at a time when  
the general feeling was very serious on  
the question of hospital  
I should like to see  
measures of the

Yours truly  
L. H. Reed  
at once  
11. 2. 57

20 Jan 1914

Dear Mr Herbert,

I think he very  
glad to know what has  
been done as regards  
the Court Clerk, Recheval,  
who was released with  
a prison recently while

early next week - time

underlying a sentence based

on him by a military court.

A recommendation was made

that he should be granted

a pardon & / should

like to know the Sec of

State's decision.

Do not bother to reply

J. S. ...

William ...

late C. J. ...  
H. S. ...

Any further communication on this  
should be addressed to—

Secretary,  
War Office,  
Admiral House,  
Victoria Embankment, E.C.4.  
following number quoted.

165/1000. (F.3.)

444,544 Hop. 5820.

*CO*

462

CO  
66257  
REC'D  
Rm 20 NOV 19

War Office,

~~Admiral House,~~  
Cornwall House,  
~~Victoria Embankment,~~  
Stamford Street,  
E.C.4.  
S.E. 1.

9 November, 1919.

Sir,

*sw*

In reply to your letter No. 52915/19 of 15th October last, regarding the question of grant of a pension or gratuity to Keshavlal V. Dwivedi, on his recent release from imprisonment, I am commanded by the Army Council to acquaint you, for the information of Secretary Viscount Milner, that in view of Dwivedi's extremely serious offence, for which he was sentenced to death (sentence commuted to 14 years R. I.), and of the leniency shown to him by the Authorities in Africa in remitting about 10 years of his sentence, it does not appear that the case is a deserving one, for restoration of previous service for pension purposes.

I am,

Sir,

Your obedient Servant,

*M. D. Carter*

Under Secretary of State,  
Colonial Office,  
S. W. 1.

W.D. CAP.  
66257  
9

463

Confidential

17 Dec 1919

(1906  
74)

See with refer to letter F-2076 of this date  
of June 1912, I am directed to transmit to you  
to be laid before Mr. Secretary Montague,

DRAFT.

U.S.G.S.

India Office

MINUTE

Jan 10 1912  
Mach 15 1912

- Mr. B. S. ...
- Mr. Grindley
- Mr. H. ...
- Mr. ...
- Mr. ...
- Mr. ...
- Mr. ...
- Mr. ...

the accompanying copies of correspondence  
in the case of Keshavlal V. Desai,  
of the I.A.S. This man was among  
the Indian political prisoners

whose release was reported by  
the Govt of I.A.S. on 1st June  
dated the 3rd of May 1919

of which was under the letter  
from this Dept. No. 37458  
of the 22nd of July last.

I am to draw the request  
you ordered by Mr. Montague  
attention to the ...

EMP Cal. 27 Jan 1916 (1095211)

EMP Cal. 13 Jan 1916 (3279114)

enclosed in original in view of ...

W.D. 24 Aug 1916 (3279114)

EMP Cal. 110 11 July 1919 (59915)

To W.D. 15 Oct 1919

W.D. 14 Nov (4238)

101 10/26

of the evidence upon which Keskaral  
was convicted. The Sec of the S.P.  
who is a Magistrate in this country,  
has also stated that his judicial opinion  
was of the opinion that the conviction of  
the conviction was open to serious doubt,  
and that it happened at a time when the  
general feeling <sup>in East Africa</sup> was very nervous on the  
question of Indian sedition.

3. If the S.P. for India is  
willing to surrender the grant of a  
pension in respect of the Indian part of  
Keskaral's service, a pension for the  
East African part of his service will be  
granted to him.

(Signed) H. J. READ.