

to prohibit 2nd class men from travelling
by M.M. - see minutes on 11650

507

If such cases arise, I think we might
make some allowance. The case of Gen.

-J. is, I think, exceptional (see No 2633).

He was sent home by a German steamer
owing to illness, and so was unable to
obtain ^{the} rebate ^{attached to} ~~the~~ return passage by
one line.

When the new arrangements proposed
on 11650 are brought into force there
should be no more difficulties in this
direction.

Perhaps the best way out of the difficulty
will be? to say in writing as proposed
on 11650 that any officer who travelled
to England by M.M. before the last
of our dep. on 11650 shall be allowed
to return to the Post. by M.M. whether
he is a 2nd or 1st class officer. (We
can take the risk in the case of 2nd class
cases that this will include).

This is approved of the officials
that the main benefit is that
they will be able to travel through the
country without the allowance for the M.M.

Colonel does
not think
it is fair
to take
the ticket

of account, except perhaps as regards the
date of issue, and also how actually left
the Post.

W. Read
23/3/15

at once
W. R.

27/3/15

W. Read
Mr. Pittman

You that we have decided on the M.M.
as the regular line to all officers we have
to consider

(1) the case of married officers ^{home} who took
single passages for their wives by M.M.
or other lines than U.C., on the as-
-sessment that they would have to
return by U.C.

(2) the case of married officers who took
U.C. tickets for their wives
on the understanding that they would
have to return by U.C.

We cannot be understood to compensate
officers in all extra expense due to the war,
but I think that some assistance should
be granted in the above cases - as officers
had no reason to suppose that the U.C.
arrangement would be done away with
when they took their passages.

In case (1) the officer would have to pay

Tel. sent to G.
on 11.5.15

only U.C. because
they did not know
they would have to
return U.C.

(C) or some
I forgot

not the full amount
if he gets a rebate
from U.C.

a return M.M. passage - In his wife had to
know that the M.M. would be made the
regular line. He will get some rebate for

instead of taking two singles by
the M.M. but he might be refunded
the difference between the cost of 2 singles
and the cost of a return.

In case (2) The officer has to give
up the return half of his U.C. ticket
and the U.C. Co. will only refund to
him the diff^{erence} between the cost of a
single and a return by their line. He
then has to buy a single M.M. ticket.
That additional expense about £20.
This might be refunded.

The concession "would of course only apply
to officers who left the Post before
our telegram as to the new arrangements
arrived."

Washington 10th March 1915
C.O. (1189)
left Post before ^{that married officers who}
^{take only a note for all passages}
^{by U.C. or other lines}
and took single passages
by M.M. or other lines for their wives or else
return passage by U.C. may be refunded
any passage ticket expense in excess of cost of
return M.M. passage ^{then} on return to the Post.
return tickets. ^{concessions regarding}
the return position for the

and and
and 2

N 92 26 4 75

? 5000 - we must half off on over the
kind of relocation.

W. J. 26 4 15

stone.

H. J. R.

26/IV/15

11880

REC'D
REN 12 MAR 15

TELEGRAM.

503

The Deputy of the Governor of the East Africa Protectorate to the Secretary of State for the Colonies.

(Received, Colonial Office, 10.36 p.m., 11th

March, 1915.).

19.88. Owing to present irregular Union
Cable service it is occasionally necessary to
book return passages by other lines. This will
entail expense on married officers who will lose
rebate granted on return passage for their wives
and families. Governor therefore asks authority to
refund such officers on their return to
Protectorate any extra expense so incurred by them.

BOWRING.

Gov. 11880/1915

25 MAR. 1915

Est. 20 512

sent 30/3

23 March
manubris

DRAFT Telegram.

Gov. Raioli

Your tel. of 11 March N^o.

uncleship

MINUTE.

Mr. Harper 23/3/15

[11880]

88 Agreement with
Adoseta

Mr. Bottomley 23.3.15

Mr.

Union - Castle Line

Mr.

Yermada

Sir G. Fiddes.

now terminated

Sir H. Just.

Sir J. Anderson.

Lord Islington.

Mr. Harcourt.

see my despatch of 27 Feb 1915
uglified

[7924]

130

question of
quarantine

San Yermada 151
Copy comes Res. 3/20/15

Res. 1208/15

P.T.O.

future arrangements

amicably

under consideration

conjoining

and the point ~~to which~~

referred =

you refer to will be dealt with

referred to dealt with

in despatch on general question

thereout

future arrangements

anciently

under consideration

conjoining

and the point ~~to which~~

alejaron =

you refer to will be dealt with

reconite dapinabit

in despatch on general question

= = = =

Harcourt

