



EAST AFR. PROT  
12420

C.O.  
12420  
REC'D  
15-MAR-15

C.O.?  
tel  
Paceford  
1915  
14 March  
Last previous Paper.  
D. 1/14/15

Financial Situation East Afr. Prot.

Clearance asked for in para 1 of C.O. tel. of 8 March. Asks meaning of "local funds". Suggests that existing system of payment of all charges in first instance by Prot. Treasury must be continued.

to Mr. J. Anderson

- (a) We must bear part of the charges.
- (b) The G.O.C. has a disbursing staff and deals with the pay of the troops for India (see his tel. in letter from Mr. Croland attached - just received)
- (c) This must only apply to the payments the Prot. has hitherto made - i.e. "all the funds except pay of troops for India" (same telegram). It would be a convenient arrangement if Treasury will agree. It is much the same as in (b) in letter to Genl. on 3/25/15, except that the Prot. will continue to make the payments in the first instance.

To Treasury 19 March  
Copy G.O.C. with off. 19 March 15

Not subsequent Paper.

CA  
12833

Our (b) proposal on 3256 S. was mentioned to W.O. in our letter of 26 Jan. on 3368 (Wardle Stores). That is the last

see aft. on 6/24

(I.D. have heard of the Treasury, have been  
 informed) & it gave the Cleveland  
 agents about the sufficiency of the let-  
 ters and the originals to be sent  
 to the Gov. He is anxious to have copies of  
 Council. I said I would raise the point  
 but that we hadn't agreed as an arrange-  
 -ment with the Treasury who had objected to  
 our proposal (4) & asked each side to buy its  
 or share from the start. I explained that  
 we had this new proposal, which would have  
 us go to the Treasury & that as Genl. Wobshere  
 would be a reply by March 31<sup>st</sup> the let-  
 ters would be without doing any harm but that  
 it might be well to make it clear that  
 the Gov. of India would not call for his  
 local payments or the forces for India [I  
 had not then seen Genl. Wobshere's let-  
 -ter which was on its way - & I was puzzled, as  
 was the W.D. man, by the statement (6) in  
 the Gov's telegram]. The reply to Genl. W.  
 has no doubt gone by this time with this  
 modification.

? Copy of 12420 to Treasury, ask for the  
 £30,000 from W.D., & say that it seems  
 inevitable that the Post-<sup>to</sup> Gov. should  
 continue to buy sums chargeable to  
 W.D. funds & that in the vic<sup>o</sup> we hope  
 they will agree to the proposal of the  
 Gov. & the G.O. that all the Post-  
 -office payments (sums above the Extracts)  
 should be made good by W.D. subject to  
 final adjustment later on. Say that  
 we understand that the Post-<sup>to</sup> has indicated

provided all the funds except the  
pay of the troops for India

633

With regard to (a), may state that the Protestants  
must pay some part of these war-combatant  
charges & that we propose to ask the Gov.  
to report by despatch what the effect  
would be of dividing them according to  
the number of combatants but that  
we cannot pledge ourselves to accept  
such a division, as the troops for India,  
being intended for offensive purposes,  
in a greater degree than the local forces,  
ought to bear a <sup>larger share</sup> higher proportion of  
such charges as transport than the  
mere numerical proportion.

As regards the W.O., General Webster's  
references to our correspondence with the Gov.  
make <sup>unavoidable</sup> the triangular correspondence  
between us, W.O., & Treasury, which I shall want  
have tried to keep out of. The wrapper is  
much complicated by our dealings with  
the Treasury about the Bank Loans &c.,  
but I think we could send W.O. copy of  
our letter to Treas: on 3256, with enclosures,  
of 6246 & ~~6247~~ 6248, 8529 & afs of 61: of  
20: ~~6247~~ 6248; 10258, 10583 and both  
pages, & copy of 12420 & afs, for info L.F.  
with reference to letter of 11th on 6246 &c.  
Wed. 16/3/55

Printed as proposed ?

H. J. R.

18/III/15

Monet

Ch 18.3.15

420.  
PARAPHRASE

5810-14 604

TELEGRAM. The Governor of the East Africa Protectorate  
to the Secretary of State for the Colonies.



Received Colonial Office 8.55 p.m. 14th March 1913.)

Copy to War Office 19 March 1913. C. F. J.

Replying to your cypher telegram of March 8th, I  
 can give the assurance required in paragraph 1. I am  
 experiencing the greatest difficulty in the determination  
 of the allocation of common charges. What do you exactly  
 conclude in the expression in your telegram of February  
 1913 "local forces"? In addition to the normal local  
 garrisons and actual fighting forces raised locally, (we  
 have been obliged to find locally?) personnel of numerous  
 organizations such as ordnance, supply, transport, etc.,  
 foreign personnel is not absorbed in similar organizations  
 from India which serve all fighting units not only in  
 East Africa Protectorate but also Zanzibar, Uganda and  
 Somaliland. It has also been necessary to increase the staff  
 in such departments as the veterinary and medical  
 departments. The General Officer Commanding has in any  
 case no facilities or organization for making payments  
 locally, and the existing system, whereby all payments  
 are made in the first instance through the Protectorate  
 Treasury, must in my opinion be continued. The General  
 Officer Commanding and I recommend that the War Office  
 arrange to meet all bills drawn on account of war  
 expenses, in which all expenditure in connexion with the  
 Protectorate is included other than what the Protectorate  
 estimates provide for. Adjustment to be made later by  
 debit to the Protectorate when definite division can  
 be effected.

BELFIELD.

\*no. +no.



605

18th March, 1915.

Dear Mr Bottomley,

I send you herewith a copy of a telegram from General Wapshare.

As regards the latter part, we understand that the Protectorate will continue to furnish funds for its own forces. Other funds will be provided by the Government of India, and we have so informed General Wapshare.  
(copy enclosed)

Yours sincerely,

Copy of telegram from General Wapshare to War Office dated  
13th March, 1915.

200/4 March 12th. Your telegram 8th March from Colonial  
Office to Governor of East African Protectorate. Have  
consulted Governor and Commander in Chief and further report  
will be sent very shortly. Understand that after (the) March  
31st the Protectorate which has been hitherto providing (for)  
all the funds except pay of troops from India will do so no  
longer request as soon as arrangements can be made to place  
me in funds to meet war expenses from 1st April next.

General Wapshare.

Copy of telegram from General Wapshare to War Office dated  
13th March, 1915.

200/4 March 12th. Your telegram 8th March from Colonial  
Office to Governor of East African Protectorate. Have  
consulted Governor and Commander in Chief and further report  
will be sent very shortly. Understand that after (the) March  
31st the Protectorate which has been hitherto providing (for)  
all the funds except pay of troops from India will do so no  
longer request as soon as arrangements can be made to place  
me in funds to meet war expenses from 1st April next.

General Wapshare.



Copy of telegram to

General Wapshara,  
Nairobi.

Your telegram 13 March, 200 over 4, Government of India  
will provide cash, *except for local banks.*

Proomial.

*For local payments  
See memo on  
Sof/1420 S.*

124205



Confidential

Handwritten signature

603

19 March 1915

Sir,

With ref. to the letter from this Dept. of the (10583g) 8th of March, I am directed by Mr. Sey: Harcourt to transmit to you, to be laid before the L. Com. of the Treasury, the accompanying copy of a letter which has been received from the Gov. of the S.A.S. on the subject of the arrangements to be made for meeting local payments in connexion with the military operations in

1. Africa,
  2. & views of the Gov. of
- arrange in the first part

DRAFT.

Secretary of the Treasury

MINUTE.

Mr. Bostwick 18/3/15

Mr. Reid 19

Mr.

Mr.

Sir G. Fiddes.

Sir H. Just.

Sir J. Anderson.

Lord Tasington.

Mr. Harcourt.

tel: 14 March (1915)

tel: 19319 & tel: 19319  
Tel: 10158, 10563, 10146  
420 & aff. to W.S. Coll. U.S.  
for info. referring to  
date of 10 Feb or 1915

124205 E.A.P.



Confidential

603

19 March 1915

Sir,

With ref. to the letter from this Dept. of the (10583) 8<sup>th</sup> of March, now directed by Mr. Sey: Harcourt to transmit to you, to be laid before the L.C. of the Treasury, the accompanying copy of a letter which has been received from the Gov. of the S.A.S. on the subject of the arrangements to be made for meeting local payments in connexion with the military operations in 2 copies. 2. A view of the Govt's assurance in the first part

DRAFT.

Secretary of the Treasury

MINUTE.

- Mr. Bostwick 18/3/15.
- Mr. Read 19
- Mr.
- Mr.
- Sir G. Fiddes.
- Sir H. Just.
- Sir J. Anderson.
- Lord Islington.
- Mr. Harcourt.

*[Handwritten scribble]*

CA/1283

Gov. tel: 14 March (12420)

Letter to Treasury 2/3/15 & incl. 1/3/15 & tel: 1/23/15  
Tues: 10:58, 10:58 3/4/15  
4:10 AM aft. to W.O. Conf. Lt  
for inf. referring to  
the file on 6/24/6.

part of the total. Mr. Hayscourt  
hopes that T. L. will now

cause assumption the sum

\$2,300,000 to be paid from  
W.D. funds to the U.A. for  
the Col.

3. It seems to Mr. Hayscourt

to be inevitable that the  
Prot to Gov. should continue  
to pay ~~now~~ in the first  
instance sum ultimately

chargeable to W.D. funds

and in the event he hopes

that T. L. will approve of

the proposal of the Gov.

and the G.O. that all

the payments made by the

Prot to Gov. (for, above the

service, covered by its small

Estimate) should be made

Good from War Office

funds, subject to adjustment

of a later date. So far as

Can be understood it  
would appear that the

Prot to Gov. has provided

all payments except those

incurred with the pay of

the troops from India.

4. With regard to the question

asked by the Gov. as to the

interpretation of the term

"local forces", it is clear that

some part of the cost of the

organization, referred to

would be borne by the Prot to

and Mr. Hayscourt proposes

to urge the Gov. to report by

debate what the effect

would be of dividing these

charges in the ratio of the

number of combatants

borne on Prot to and on other

funds, that he cannot

pledge himself to accept

a division on these lines as

would be financially  
the cost of the troops from India, being

incurred for offensive purposes  
in a greater degree than the  
local forces, ought to bear  
a larger share of such  
charges as transport than  
the mere commercial proposition.

HPR.