1931 1017302/A (Part 1) CO533/415 Estimates, 1932 LEVISION OF RECORDS SECTION This File should be returned to an Street when no longer required. Gart 2. lub-file B

PUBLIC RECORD OFFICE

CO533/415

ORDER NO. ⇒FN/E474
CAMERA NO. ⇒19
OPERATOR. ⇒EM
REDUCTION. ⇒12
EMULSION NO.⇒321061
DATE. ⇒2/5/72

CROWN COPYRIGHT

THESE COPIES ARE SUPPLIED FOR INFORMATION

AND RESEARCH ONLY-NO REPRODUCTION MAY BE

MADE FOR PUBLICATION WITHOUT THE ASSENT OF

THE PUBLIC RECORD OFFICE

PUBLIC RECORD OFFICE

END

TOTAL EXPOSURES ⇒

IMOAL REPORT

THE GERMAN MISSIONS (REPEAL) SILL, 1981,

Batis actory arrangements having been concluded for the conduct of the properties of the Leipzig Lutheran Mission in the Colony. There is no need to keep this Ordinance on the statute book,

In my opinion, his Ascellency the Governor may properly assent to this bill in the name and on behalf of his lalesty.

Mairobi,

Ernd June, 1931

Company Company

No. VIII of 1931.

An Ordinance to Repeal the German Missions Ordinance, 1924.

ENACTED by the Governor of the Colony of Kenya, with the advice and consent of the Legislative Council thereof, as follows:—

- 1. This Ordinance may be cited as "the German Short title. Missions (Repeal) Ordinance, 1931 ".,
- 2. The German Missions Ordinance, 1924, is hereby Repsal of Ordinance repealed.

Passed in the Legislative Council the fourth day of June, in the year of Our Lord one thousand nine hundred and thirty one.

This printed impression has been carefully compared by me with the fall which passed the Legislative Council, and is presented for authorization and assent as a true and correct copy of the said Bill.

G. BERESFORD STOOKE.

Acting Clerk of the Legislative Council.

No. VIII.

1931.



Colony and Protectorate of Kenya.

IN THE TWENTY-SECOND YEAR OF THE REIGN OF HIS MAJESTY KING GEORGE V.

JOSEPH ALOYSIUS BYRNE, K.O.M.G., K.B.E., C.B.,

Geography

Assented to in His Majesty's name this 10 day of 1931.

J. BYRNE

AN ORDINANCE TO REPEAL THE GERMAN MISSIONS ORDINANCE, 1924 No. 418



GOVERNMENT HOUSE, NAIROBI, KENYA.

20t July , 1931.

REGELVED 12 AUG 1931 OOL OFFICE

My Lord,

I have the honour to forward herewith two authenticated and 12 printed copies of an Ordinance intituled "The German Missions (Repeal) Ordinance 1931" which duly passed its third reading in the Legislative Council on the 4th June, 1931, and to which I assented in His Majesty's name on the 10th July, 1931, together with a copy of the Legal Report by the Attorney General.

I have the honour to be, My Lord, Your Lordship's most obedient, humble servant,

GOVERNOR.

THE RIGHT HONOURABLE
LORD PASSFIELD, P.C.,
SECRETARY OF STATE FOR THE COLONIES,
DOWNING STREET,
LONDON, S. W. I.

not be or necessary in any Timber Bill is dealy with Kenv. (SIGNED) J. J.: THOM: 18 be inconsistent with those contained in the deed. In these circumstances, I am advised that under the German Missions Ordinance and the trust deed of the 30th September, 1926, it would not have been within the powers of the trustees to return the property to its original owners.

58. Section 7(2) of the Interpretation and General Clauses Ordinance provides that where an Ordinance repeals any other enactment. then, unless the contrary intention appears. the repeal was not affected /the previous operation of any enactment so repealed, or enything duly Hone or suffered in any enactment so repealed, or affected any right, privilege. obligation, or liability acquired, accrued or incurred under any enactment so repealed. It follows therefore that since no provision respecting their on the metter appears in the Ordinance which you have now forwarded to me, acts done under the German Missions Ordinance remain valid, and that the Trust created in 1926, remains in force and continues to govern both the trustees and the properties concerned.

it is free wably ?

doubtful whether the Ordinance which you have now forwarded to me accomplishes what it purports to to and before any advice is tendered to His Majesty regarding the type of the observations of the springs in the light of this despatch.

a dear Bote wheel arough the object similar of time which it is donned to arranglish to a ken molac a con 9 which I carryed The inholution of the Bile Various complications expired i Taygyika Mich with with September, 1926, has not been revoked, and the trust must therefore remain in force.

The trust deed empowers the trustees (paragraph 2(a)) to make over property to trustees and persons "either by way of lease or licence, or permission to use or occupy for fixed terms or periods, or permissibly at will or otherwise." I am advised that under that power the trueless could not convey the freehold interest, especially as under paragraph 2(b) they are to retain some control over later alienations. In any event, no such conveyance could occur without the previous written (which has not been give consent of the Secretary of State/ Again the power to sell under paragraph 2(c) does not enable trustees to sell for a nominal sum, and thereby to get rid of the trust, and the power given in paragraph 4 could not be invoked as new trusts cannot be de ared so as to be

Trust Limited was appointed as trustee under a trust deed of the 30th September, 1925 of which a copy was enclosed in Mr. Amery's despatch No. 928 of the same date.

4110/26.

 In the letter to the Conference of Missionary Societies of the 30th December,

No. e8 and 9 in 15637729.

1929, a copy of which was enclosed in Lord Passfield's confidential despatch of the cape date, it was suggested that the correct procedure in order to restore the property of the mission was to revoke the trust deed, and in the Ordinance which 1924 Ordinance, to include a clause vesting the property in the original owners. Subsequently. it transpired that the mission did not wish to start work again in Kenya, and it was arranged that questions regarding their property should be discussed locally with Mr. Raum - see Lord Passfield's confidential despatch of the lot.

3E on 16041/30.

March, 1930.

I am not aware of the result of these

discussions, but the trust deed of the 30th

September

0. 0.

Mr. Bestwood 1 | co

Mr. Trusted 200,

Mr. Renton 3/0

Mr. Tomlinson.

Sir C. Bottomley.

Sir J. Shuchburgh.

Sir G. Grindie.

Permit. U.S. of S.

Parly. U.S. of S.

Secretary of State.

DRAFT.

KENYA

CONFIDENTIAL

800

21540 20

Bile 28 x 30027 1x Tr.

Judou 28 x 30027 1x Tr.

To 1x Tr. 25 x 30027 1x Tr.

To 1x Tr. 25 x 30027 1x Tr.

7300/31 K

Downing Street,

September, 1931.

Sir,

Ordinance, 1931."

I have the honour to acknowledge the receipt of your despatch No.418 of the 20th July in which you forward, for the signification of His Majesty's pleasure, copies of "The German Missions (Repeal)

Ordinance, which the new Ordinance repeals, provided that all German mission property in the Colony should be wested in such trustees and upon such trusts as the Secretary of State might appoint and determine. The two missions affected by the Ordinance were the Neukirchen Mission and the Leipzig Evangelical Mission to trust was created, but in the case of the Leipzig Evangelical Mission to Africa Inland Mission

Trust

C. O.

Mr. Trusted 2/10
Mr. Trusted 2/10
Mr. Trusted 3/10
Mr. Temlinson.
Sir C. Bottomley.

Sir J. Shuchburgh.

Permt. U.S. of S. Parly. U.S. of S.

Secretary of State.

DRAFT.

KENYA

CONFIDENTIAL

8~

217972

Bile 28 1 2002 / 12 Tr.

| bullow 28 1 2002 / 12 Tr.

| 15 Tr. 004 Tr.

| 15 Tr. 004

7300/31 K

Downing Street,

September, 1931.

8 UU 1 1931

Sir,

I have the honour to acknowledge the receipt of your despatch No.418 of the 20th July in which you forwards, for the signification of His Majesty's pleasure, copies of "The German Missions (Repeal)

Ordinance, which the new Ordinance repeals, provided that all German mission property in the Colony should be vested in such trustees and upon such trusts as the Secretary of State might appoint and determine. The two missions affected by the Ordinance were the Neukirchen Mission. In the case of the Neukirchen Mission no trust was created, but in the case of the Leipzig.

Evangelical Mission the Africa Inland Mission

Trust

to history of the and two wires and The carlos history is manany = 7 A on 18637 129 . The has & is suborbed in pun 2 & 3 of the dark Frank (Acopy of the your amongstrant deed and at original ones - is Cost 2 15637 129 " to Cov bent (Tes 28 (Jut ands) + fill blom . bono -(1 Amus)

as to be inconsistent with these contained in the deed.

Section 7(2) of the Interpretation and General Clauses Ordinance (cap.1) provides that where an Ordinance repeals any other enactment, then, unless the contrary intention appears, the repeal shall not affect the previous oceration of any enactment so repealed or anything duly done or suffered under any enactment so repealed, or affect any right, privilege, obligation or liability acquired, accrued or incurred under any enactment so repealed.

The repeal of the Ordinance therefore does not affect the present trust, which continues to govern both the trustees and the property

MiRobert Long. as a the law of the T.T. Bile en . 4 2 a 2027 31 77 ombile 11 let 3 lin (d. \$2(1) (1) Penin to Cl 2 (1) (3.) d. 6 (4.) The solutule Kynthy som other passages If this is so the notice w. homely be to sond keny a hop . explaining that for the learns of at in you mainly

above to after her with so what it 3 purpos to : endorgacon ? to TT. Rile appears & mysting that what is required in kings is an Alex on similar appearing in the regions in the care of King suise they were due to object wich do in am i tanya:

Platams

I agree but avoid luggest wint Kenyo be asked if some thing on the line of 1. T. But arrived you effect to what they derive to do sather their suggesting that that is what is required

W. W. Trusted

Il We course

& coming desp

Africa 10 0 1

Though to Thurber) Draft benevit for common On coming to raft, it seems to an desirable to go more at leight int as to be inconsistent with those contained in the deed.

Section 7(2) of the Interpretation and General Clauses Ordinance (cap.1) provides that where an Ordinance repeals any other anactment, then, unless the contrary intention appears, the repeal shall not affect the previous oceration of any enactment so repealed or anything duly done or suffered under any enactment so repealed, or affect any right, privilege, obligation or liability acquired, accrued or incurred under any enactment so repealed.

The repeal of the Ordinance therefore does not affect the present trust, which continues to govern both the trustees and the property

conserned.

Ha Robert D. Jin 11 .9.31.

MiRobert Long. The dat is make 0,34 m to Com of the Tit Bile em . 4 28 on 2027 /21 77 omite or last is line (d. \$20) (1) Penen to Cl. 2 (1) (3.) d. 6 (4.) The soludule Kynithy some other passages If his is so the action w formall be to some key a hop coplaining that for the

learn of at i just

Hous to hilrary

So byrne 418 ______ 20 July 2 Two authenticated and printed capies of Surman Immerion (hipeal) broken ance to 6 of 1931, with begal report by attorney General.

28.8.31

I do not know what it is intended to do with the property, but I very much doubt if this Ordinance effects what is required. The Ordinance which it is proposed to enact in the for similar purposes is much more complicated.

Section 3 of the German Missions Ordinance wests the property in such trustees and upon such trusts as the Secretary of State may appoint and detarmine. I gather that the Secretary of State appointed the Africa Inland Mission Trust, Limited, as trustee, and executed the deed the draft of which is on 7396/24. That deed empowers the trustees (paragraph 2(a)) to make over property to other trustees and persons "either by way of lease or licence or permission to use or occupy for fixed terms or periods or permissibly at will or otherwise". In the first place, I doubt whether, under that power. they could convey the freehold interest, especially as under 2(b) they retain some control over later alienations. Moreover, the power is not to be exercised in the case of a permanent transfer without the previous written consent of the Secretary of State, and I see no indication that such consent has been given. The power to sell under 2(c) does not enable them to sell for a nominal sum and thereby get rid of the trust, and the power under clause 4 does not help, as new trusts cannot be declared so