

1935

38043

38043

KENYA
C0533/456

ROWETT INSTITUTE EXPERIMENTAL FARM AT NAIVASHA.

Previous

23157/36.

Subsequent

38043/37

su. 38043/1/35.

R 98

Previous			
Subsequent			

Naivasha Exp.
Farm.

1. Gov. Kenya 65.31st Jan. 35.

Seeks authority to expend the sum of £800 remaining under the Head 'Salaries and Travelling Expenses' of the Farm Fund Account on the erection of certain buildings. Requests telegraphic reply.

The difficulty about this is that the proposed expenditure will be from unexpended C.D.F. money, that is to say, from savings resulting from the replacement of the two senior original scientific officers in 1933 by locally engaged officers on temporary appointment.

The C.D.F.C. were informed in para 5 of the memorandum of 6 July 1934 (No. 172/34) of the circumstances necessitating the change in personnel.

As the new Laboratory and Animals Building will be required in connection with the revised programme of the Institute's activities - see para 6 of the C.D.F.C. memorandum of 6 July 1934 -

I approve the expenditure by telegram and inform the C.D.F.C. in a short letter to the Secretary.

C. J. Pennie
11. 2. 35

The L.S. Smith

Can you confirm my recollection that C.D.F.C. have definitely stated that they

don't wish to be troubled about minor
re-allocations of expenditure under a
C.D.E. scheme, introduced as the result
of experience, provided that no question
of principle is involved?

Dr. Hunter
11/2.

Mr. Director

the case you have in mind, arose,
I think, in connection with allocations
from the S.A.G.L. I attach, however,
a copy of a letter from the Office
which will probably answer your
question

11/2/35

? As proposed by Dr. G. G. G.

Dr. Hunter
12

Mr. Storkdale

This is clearly desirable, but you should
see it.

? We can approve

11.0.20
12.1.

I agree that the establishment
and equipment of a small laboratory at
Naivasha is desirable. The change in the
programme of work was clearly set out in
the documents submitted to the C.D.A.C.
registered as No. 17 in 23157/34. The
proposal

3
proposal is to utilize a sum of £800 savings
from the earlier grant for the necessary capital
expenditure. The estimates for the new grant,
i.e. from April 1st, 1935, - ~~and~~ ^{as} submitted with
despatch registered as No. 1 in 23157/34, do not
show any provision for either the erection and
equipment of a laboratory or for its maintenance,
unless the recurrent expenditure on the laboratory
is to be charged to the vote of £500 for the
upkeep of the Farm or to the vote of £100 for
incidentals.

I therefore feel that it would be
preferable to seek the approval of the C.D.A.C.
for the utilization of savings from the earlier
grant for the capital expenditure envisaged on
the understanding that any recurrent expenditure
on maintenance will be met from the new grants
which were authorized by the Treasury on August 17th
1934 (No. 25 in 23157/34).

If this policy is accepted, an
application should be made to the C.D.A.C. at
once, in order that it may be considered at the
next meeting on February 27th.

F. A. Storkdale

12th February, 1935.

I think we shall have to get the C.D.A.C. approval.
The sum originally provided was to cover salaries. There
~~on the 1935 grant~~ ^{one of the} ~~are savings~~ ^{because the} ~~two~~ officers died. The new
programme does not allow for building a laboratory.

So it would be a stretch to use the savings in
any way & not only will the C.D.A.C. have to agree
but I think Treas' approval must be sought. It is
a departure from the general lines of the approved grant and
the Office might well have refused to pass a grant for
buildings.

JM

3. F. Hale 5/0 (Treasury) 25 Feb. '35.

Encs. a copy of Audit Ref. Sheet regarding the C.O.F. grant in connection with the Nawacha Agl. Research Station & requests done, as to special arrangements to enable C.A.G. to satisfy himself money has been correctly expended mentioned above.

This relates to the D.C.A.'s statement flagged on 20214/34.

An account of the expenditure of the grant for C.O.F. for Nawacha, is audited annually by the Director of Agriculture.

The account is examined by the Colonial Auditor, & copies are sent to the D.C.A.

(See A/c for 1932/1933 & 1933/1934 on 2055/30 & 2015/34)

? If the D.C.A. agrees copies of the account this may be explained to Mr Hale & copies of the accounts sent to Treasury.

A. G. Griffiths
6/3/35

BP
6/3

But see my minute
BP

Mr. Freeston:

I prepared the draft reply to the Treasury some time back, but have had no time to minute till now.

The position of the matter is set out in the draft. It is, of course, for consideration whether the Office would like to approach the D.C.A. with a view to asking him whether he is prepared to give his certificate to this particular expenditure, but the case seems to fall within paragraphs 13 and 14 of the Colonial Office despatch of 29th November 1930. The C. & A.G.'s enquiry is whether any arrangements are contemplated which would enable him to satisfy himself that the money has been expended in accordance with the terms of the grant, and had the Governor observed the conditions of the Colonial Office despatch, the point presumably should not have arisen. The appropriate action would appear to be to ask the Governor to obtain the report required. The report should, of course, specify that the money has been expended in accordance with the terms of the grant, and the Governor should be asked to send any comments which may be necessary on the report. (This case is rather peculiar as the Local Auditor has apparently followed up the expenditure). As a matter of fact I understand that the local Auditor's report has actually been sent to the D.C.A., and from what I understand of its terms I am afraid it cannot be regarded as very satisfactory, which may account for the fact of the Governor not sending it to us, as he obviously should have done. As the D.C.A. is not called upon in view of the despatch of 29th November, to certify the account, I think the

See my minute
A/H

?
CPA

3. K. Hale 5/0 (Treasury) 25 Feb '35.

Encs. a copy of Audit Ref. Sheet regarding the C.O.F. grant in connection with the Nawascha Agl. Research Station & requests done, as to special arrangements to enable C.A.G. to satisfy himself money has been correctly expended mentioned therein.

This relates to the D.C.A.'s statement flagged on 20/11/34.

An account of the expenditure of the grants for C.O.F. for Nawascha, is submitted annually by the Director of Agriculture.

The account is examined by the Colonial Auditor, & copies are sent to the D.C.A.

(See A/S for 1932/1933 & 1933/1934 on 20/5/30 & 20/15/34)

? If the D.C.A. agrees copies of the account this may be explained to Mr Hale & copies of the accounts sent to Treasury.

A. J. G. 6/3/35.

L.P. 6/3

Review see my minute below JHB

Mr. Freeston:

I prepared the draft reply to the Treasury some time back, but have had no time to minute now.

The position of the matter is set out in the draft. It is, of course, for consideration whether the Office would like to approach the Director with a view to asking him whether he is prepared to give his certificate to this particular expenditure, but the case seems to fall within paragraphs 13 and 14 of the Colonial Office despatch of 29 November 1930. The C. & A. G.'s enquiry is whether any arrangements are contemplated which would enable him to satisfy himself that the money has been expended in accordance with the terms of the grant and had the Governor observed the conditions of the Colonial Office despatch, the point presumed should not have arisen. The appropriate action would appear to be to ask the Governor to obtain the report required. The report should, of course, specify that the money has been expended in accordance with the terms of the grant, and the Governor should be asked to send any comments which may be necessary on the report. (This case is rather peculiar as the Local Auditor has apparently followed up the expenditure). As a matter of fact I understand that the local Auditor's report has actually been sent to the D.C.A., and from what I understand of its terms I am afraid it cannot be regarded as very satisfactory, which may account for the fact of the Governor not sending it to us, as he obviously should have done. As the D.C.A. is not called upon in view of the despatch of 29th November, to certify the account, I think the

But see my minute L.P.

See my minute A.J.G.

? L.P.

obvious action is to ask the Governor for the Auditor's report.)

Further action if above agreed to

W. H. B. 29/1/35

(For Council paper)
There is, of course, the alternative to approaching the D.C.A. that the conditions indicated in paragraph 5 of Colonial Office despatch of 26th January 1932 (No. 26 in 17050/31 Kenya) should be modified (or explained) to mean that the actual expenditure incurred on the Farm should be brought to account in the Kenya Annual Account.

W. H. B. 4/2/35

D.C.A.
Mr. Ford.

- This is a tangled story. The instructions to Kenya are contained in
- (a) para. 11, 12 of the Circ. despatch of 29/1/30 (loan copy annexed), and
 - (b) para 5 of the despatch of 26/1/32 (No 26 in 17050/31 Kenya below).

The intention of the latter despatch is, I submit, quite clear. The

Director of Agriculture draws up his statement of account; it is examined by the Col. Auditor; a copy is sent to the Col. Treasurer, who includes

it with the corresponding statements of account for other C.D.F. schemes in Kenya (para 12 of the Circular.) "It will subsequently be certified by the D.C.A." (para. 13).

The suggestion that the Nairobi Scheme falls within the scope of the last sentence of para 13 is scarcely tenable. The Director of Agriculture is just as much under the Governor's

control as is the Treasurer, and the advances are applied by the Government directly, not through any person - body of persons.

But it is put outside the accounts of the Colony - in the Treasurer's Account - & in my opinion does fall under the last sentence of para 13 of Circ. despatch of 29-11-30 A.H.

No
A.H.

The 1935/4 Nairobi account was duly certified by D.C.A. - see his minute under 8 on 23/11/34 Kenya. The present query from the C. & A.C. appears to arise from a mistaken inference from D.C.A.'s Report on the 23/3/34 Account. All that is necessary in reply is to tell C. & A.C. of the directions laid down in the despatch of 26/1/32.

Director

Mr. Flood,

1. As stated by Mr. Freeston, the Comptroller and Auditor-General may have drawn a wrong inference from paragraph (2) of my report on the C.D.F. statement of receipts and expenditure for the year 1933/34. The extract from the Auditor's covering letter which I quoted did not mean that the final expenditure under Scheme No. 208 had not been audited. It meant that the expenditure recorded under that scheme in the C.D.F. statement and in the books of the Colony (see para 11 of Circ. of 21.11.30) was merely the payment of the grant by the Treasurer to the Director of Agriculture. As pointed out in my minute of 15.12.34, on Kenya 25214/34 with reference to the report, the final expenditure by the Director of Agriculture of the grants is recorded in the Statement of the Naivasha Farm Fund Account, a copy of which is enclosed with No. 18. on Kenya 25157/34.

2. With the approval of the S. of S., the accounting directions contained in para. 5 of the S. of S's despatch No. 72 of 26.1.'32, were modified by para. 2 of Governor's despatch 119 of 12.3.'32; and, instead of the single Account contemplated in the former despatch, which would have included (a) the receipts from and the expenses of running the farm, (b) the C.D.F. grants, and (c) the expenditure on the two research officers for which the C.D.F. grants were given, two separate accounts were

kept -

6
kept - the Naivasha Farm Fund Account (which is confined to (b) and (c) plus the balance taken over from the Rowett Research Account as at 31st March 1932) and the Naivasha Farm Operating Account (which covers (a)). It is thus only the Naivasha Farm Fund Account which concerns the Treasury and the C. & A.G.; and it was the Naivasha Farm Operating Account only that was adversely criticised by the Auditor in his report of 19th May, 1934. (copy attached).

3. So I think that all the C.O. need do is to forward to the Treasury the audited statements of the Naivasha Farm Fund for 1932-3 and 1933-4 with some excuse for not having sent them before and explain to the Treasury as in para. 1 above.

4. I would not go here into the question of how the C. & A.G. can be satisfied in the case of expenditure by outside bodies which the Auditor in the Colony cannot examine. In this case the Auditor both can examine, and has examined, the expenditure and has certified the relevant accounts; and, if the C. & A.G. isn't satisfied when he has been furnished with those certified accounts, he can say what more he does want.

5. I have suggested on Mr. Boyse's draft what might be said to the Treasury.

A. J. Hardwig

Director of Colonial Audit.

12-4-35

*M. J. ... any further action
Your note on 11th 4th has
been received and ...
J. McHale Treasurer*

25. 4. 35

5 Treas S. 34-609/0222 — 3rd May 1935
Enclose proposed reply to E.H.D.

M. Sheeston

I do not think that we need raise any objection
to the suggested amended reply?

W. R. G. 8/5

I agree. The farm operation etc. can
well be omitted

W. R. G. 9/5 etc.

To State (Treas) etc - 5 and - 11/5/35

DESTROYED UNDER STATUTE

C.P.A.C.

6 March

Agree to proposal to utilize £800 savings on salaries
on the erection of a laboratory & small animals building at
the Navasack farm, provided that any recurrent expenditure
shall be met from the free grant.

O.A.G. Tel 64

25 March 35

Request to early reply to Nos.

DESTROYED UNDER STATUTE

The papers have been in active
circulation with D.C. Dept. Yesterday
however, I explained matters to the
Holk of the Treasury, & obtained
his assent to our telegraphing
as in def. Letter also to T's
When T's reply in recd, we
must send a copy to
to Gov. but after Dept. has
copy of the pp.

W. R. G. 26/3 etc

9 Tel to Governor, no. 75 26/3/35

10 To Treas. (etc) con. 30/3/35

D.L.

11 Treasury 4 APR. 35.

Conveys covering sanction for the utilisation of savings on the erection of a laboratory & small animals building.

Draft to Gov. Kenya here with

C. F. P. Smith
9/4/35

12 To Kenya 261 11 APR. 1935

(w/ls C.D.A.C. 1703 + No 1.)

BM

13 A/Governor's Deputy Pillory 252 16 May 35

Seeks confirmation of conditions of C.D.A.C. grant & further to close two previous accounts & open new account under head 'Nairobi Farm Account'.

to my records
R 247 from an
known source
6 55

Accounts Branch

May we have your observation

on this please.

C. F. P. Smith
17/5/35

14 Treasury 20 8 July 1935

DESTROYED UNDER STATUTE

encloses copy letter from MO asking that Comptroller be asked to arrange for certificates to be given to future a/c

MR. FREESTON

Please see Nos. 13 and 14. I think we can agree with the Governor's suggestion which fits in very aptly with the request of the Audit Office in No. 14. I submit drafts which I think adequately cover the cost.

W. H. Payne
19/7/35

15 To Kenya, 570 (13 amended) 31 JUL 1935

16 To H.R. Jones (14) (5 & 13 + 15) 20 31/1/35

Also Dept. cases & take copies of 16.

A/Governor's Deputy Pillory 252 16 May 35

Seeks reply to No 13.

DESTROYED UNDER STATUTE

This has closed with No 15

Put by

C. F. P. Smith
25/8/35

at once

18. Gov. Byrne - NO. 542 22-10-35

Trs. Report of the Nairobi Livestock Research Station for the period 1st Jan 1934 to the 31st March 1935, together with audited statements of the Nairobi Farm Operating account & the Farm Store account for the year ending 31st March 1935. Understands that the Report will be printed in England.

* See sub file 1.

Accounts Dept.

The Report has been registered on a sub file & will be

and afterwards printed.

In view of the recent Audit
Question as regards the Statements
of Accounts, would you please
take action on the audited
Statements of The Naisasha
Farm Operating A/c and the
Naisasha Farm Fund A/c in
No 18.

C. F. Smith
26/11/35

Director
26

In view of Co. desps. of 31/July (No 18) in file
the Directors of Agric. should have appended a receipt
in the terms desired but presumably they have regarded
that desps. as applying to ops relating to future periods
of ops to be rendered after the receipt of the desps.

A/c. to go to Treas.

W. H. Bayly
26/11/35
Treas.

19. To Treas. w/ original
2nd encl. to No 18

21.12.35

and afterwards printed.

In view of the recent Audit
Queries as regards the Statements
of Accounts, would you please
take action on the audited
Statements of the Navasota
Farm Operating A/c and the
Navasota Farm Fund A/c in
No 18.

C. J. Forsythe
26/11/35

Director
26

In view of co-dep. of 31/July (No 18) in file
the Director of Agric. should have appended a certificate
in the terms desired but presumably they have reported
that dep. as applying to A/c relating to future periods
of A/c to be rendered after the receipt of the dep.

A/c. to go to Treas.

H. H. Royce
26/11/35
Treas.

19. To Treas. w/ original
2nd Encl. to No 18

21.12.35

(Conf. system. on S.F. 1 - for exam on the Report)

3874



KENYA.

No. 542

GOVERNMENT HOUSE,
NAIROBI,
KENYA.

RECEIVED
20 NOV 1935
C. O. REGY

21 OCTOBER, 1935.

Sir,

with reference to paragraph 9 of Sir Philip Cunliffe-Lister's despatch No. 72 of the 26th January, 1932, I have the honour to forward the Report of the Naivasha Livestock Research Station for the period 1st January, 1934 to the 31st March, 1935, together with the audited statements of the Naivasha Farm Operating Account and the Naivasha Farm Fund Account for the year ending the 31st March, 1935.

2. It is understood that the Report will be printed in England at the expense of the Naivasha Farm Account.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient, humble servant

BRIGADIER-GENERAL
GOVERNOR

THE RIGHT HONOURABLE,
MALCOLM MACDONALD, P.C., M.P.,
SECRETARY OF STATE FOR THE COLONIES,
DOWNING STREET,
LONDON, S. W. 1.

26/17050/31

Regd. Sub file 1.

2nd Encl. in orig. to Inves. (9)

Treasury do not require this a/c.

10

NAIVASHA FARM OPERATING ACCOUNT.

STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURE FOR THE PERIOD 1st APRIL, 1934 to 31st MARCH, 1935.

RECEIPTS

EXPENDITURE

1934.		£.	s.	cts.
April 1st.	Balance b/f:-			
	(Deposit Account) 2500.0.00			
	(Current " 425.8.71			
	Cash in hand 19.5.17	944	7	88
April 1st 1934 to March 31st 1935.	Livestock	447	10	23
	Butterfat and Milk	219	11	45
	Wool	245	7	67
	Sundries	44	7	36
	Interest on Fixed Deposit at Bank	14	19	90
	<u>Total</u> ...	<u>1,916</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>49</u>

1934		£.	s.	cts.
April 1st, 1934	Wages	266	13	72
to	Foodstuffs	598	11	66
March 31st, 1934.	Livestock and Insurance	278	5	00
	Freight Charges, etc..	102	0	94
	Farm buildings, machinery, implements and upkeep, etc... ..	420	1	44
March 31st, 1935.	Balance carried forward:-			
	Current Account 2247.6.39			
	Cash in hand 2. 3. 5. 34	250	11	73
	<u>Total</u> ...	<u>1,916</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>49</u>

Examined

D. H. Smith

COLONIAL AUDITOR.

24th July 1934

H. B. Waters

DIRECTOR OF AGRICULTURE.

Treasury do not require this ac.

10

NAIVASHA FARM OPERATING ACCOUNT.

STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURE FOR THE PERIOD 1st APRIL, 1934 to 31st MARCH, 1935.

RECEIPTS

EXPENDITURE

		£.	s.	cts.
1934.				
April 1st.	Balance b/f:-			
	(Deposit Account) 2500.0.00			
	(Current " 425.8.71			
	Cash in hand 19.5.17	944	7	88
April 1st	Livestock	447	10	23
1934				
to				
March 31st	Butterfat and Milk	219	11	45
1935.				
	Wool	245	7	67
	Sundries	44	7	36
	Interest on Fixed Deposit at Bank	14	19	90
	Total	1,916	4	49

		£.	s.	cts.
1934				
April 1st, 1934	Wages	266	13	72
to				
March 31st, 1934.	Foodstuffs	598	11	66
	Livestock and Insurance	278	5	00
	Freight Charges, etc.	102	0	94
	Farm buildings, machinery, implements and upkeep, etc.	420	1	44
March 31st, 1935.				
	Balance carried forward:-			
	Current Account 2247.6.39			
	Cash in hand 2.3.5.34	250	11	73
	Total	1,916	4	49

Examined

[Signature]

COLONIAL AUDITOR.

24th July 1934

[Signature]

DIRECTOR OF AGRICULTURE.

COPY

Original to Treasury (No. 19.)

NAIVASHA FARM FUND ACCOUNT

STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURE FOR THE PERIOD 1st APRIL, 1934 to 31st MARCH 1935

RECEIPTS

<u>1934</u>	E. s. Cts.
April 1st. To Balance brought forward	441 8 96
Grant from Colonial Development Fund for period 1st April, 1934 to 31st March, 1935	1,500 0 00
<u>Total</u>	<u>1,941 8 96</u>

EXPENDITURE

<u>1934</u>	E. s. Cts.	
April 1st 1934 to March 31st, 1935	Salaries of Farm Manager at £45 per month and Assistant Farm Manager at £25 per month	840 0 00
March 31st 1935	Balance at Bank	1,101 8 96
<u>Total</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>1,941 8 96</u>

Signed.

H. B. WATERS

Director of Agriculture

Examined

(Signed)

W. H. SMITH

Colonial Auditor

24th July, 1934

original to treasury (No. 17.)

NAIVASHA FARM FUND ACCOUNT

STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURE FOR THE PERIOD 1st APRIL, 1934 to 31st MARCH 1935

RECEIPTSEXPENDITURE

<u>1934</u>	£. s. Cts.	<u>1934</u>	£. s. Cts.
April 1st. To Balance brought forward	441 8 96	April 1st 1934	Salaries of Farm Manager at £45 per month and Assistant Farm Manager at £25 per month
Grant from Colonial Development Fund for period 1st April, 1934 to 31st March, 1935	1,500 0 00	to March 31st, 1935 840 0 00
		March 31st 1935	Balance at Bank
		 1,101 8 96
Total	<u>1,941 8 96</u>		Total <u>1,941 8 96</u>

Signed.

H. B. WATERS

Director of Agriculture

Examined

(Signed)

W. H. SMITH

Colonial Auditor

24th July, 1934

Original to Treasury (No.19.)

NAIVASHA FARM FUND ACCOUNT

OF RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURE FOR THE PERIOD 1st APRIL, 1934 to 31st MARCH 1935

EXPENDITURE

	£.	s.	Cts.	1934		£.	s.	Cts.
ward	441	8	96	April 1st 1934	Salaries of Farm Manager at £45 per month and Assistant Farm Manager at £25 per month	840	0	00
velop- 1st arch,	1,500	0	00	to March 31st, 1935				
				March 31st 1935	Balance at Bank	1,101	8	96
	<u>1,941</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>96</u>		Total	<u>1,941</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>96</u>

Signed.

H.B. WATERS

Director of Agriculture

W. H. SMITH

Colonial Auditor

24th July, 1934

12
16

C. O.

- Mr. Boyse 19.7.35
- Mr. *Travers* 22/7
- Mr.
- Mr. Parkinson.
- Sir G. Tomlinson
- Sir C. Bottomley.
- Sir J. Shuckburgh.
- Perm. U.S. of S.
- Party. U.S. of S.
- Secretary of State.

For Mr. Boyse, Sept

31 July, 1935

Dear Jones,

DRAFT.

H. R. JONES ESQ.

Fr. Kenya 16.5.55
(13)

To Kenya (d.h.)
2 copies

2 drafts

FURTHER ACTION.

Copy for file 400/208

With reference to your letter No.S.24609/0222 of the 8th July, 1935, regarding the Naivasha Agricultural Research Station, you may like to see the enclosed copy of a despatch from Kenya which has just come to me for action. We are proposing to agree to the suggestion to close the Naivasha Farm Fund Account, & *the Naivasha Farm operating account,* to be replaced by opening a new account under the head "Naivasha Farm Account". We are also suggesting that future accounts should bear the certificate of the Kenya Director of Agriculture as to the due observance of all the terms

and

nt. I enclose ~~x~~ copies of

used despatch ~~to~~, in case you

to send one to Balfour.

Yours sincerely,

(Sgt.) V.H. Boyse

C. O.

38043/35/Kenya

13
15

Mr. Boyse 19.7.35

Mr. ~~Fruster~~ 22/7.

Mr.

Mr. Parkinson.

Sir G. Tomlinson

Sir C. Bottomley.

Sir J. Shuckburgh.

Permt. U.S. of S.

Perly. U.S. of S.

Secretary of State.

C. O.
R 22 JUL
D 25

31 July, 1935

Sir,

DRAFT.

13

KENYA.

NO. 370

C. O.

I have etc. to refer to Mr. Pilling's despatch No. 232 of the 16th May, 1935, regarding the free grant of £11,000 from the Colonial Development Fund for the maintenance of the Naivasha Livestock Station ^{Reserve} for a further period of five years, and to inform you that I approve of the proposal to discontinue the two separate accounts which have hitherto been maintained for this purpose, and the opening of a new account under the head "Naivasha Farm Account".

2. I have at the same time to state that in view of the fact that ^{will cover} future accounts in addition to

FURTHER ACTION.

Copy for Mr. [unclear]

Copy to Mr. [unclear] (13)

workers will cover in addition certain
capital expenditure and farm operating
expenses, I shall be obliged if future
accounts may be supported by the certificate
of a responsible officer as to the due
~~observance~~ ^{observance} of all terms and conditions of
the grant in accordance with the procedure
set out in paragraph 12 in Lord Passfield's
Circular Despatch of the 29th November, 1930.
It is suggested that for this purpose the
Director of Agriculture is a suitable
officer.

I have ~~etc~~ ^{no objection}
to the issue of this grant in equal quarterly
instalments to be credited to the "Shiwocha
Farm Account", subject however to
any adjustments which may be necessary
from time to time ^{according to} ~~circumstances~~ ^{circumstances} with the
progress of the ^{final} expenditure from the grant.

Shaw

workers will cover in addition certain
capital expenditure and farm operating
expenses, I shall be obliged if future
accounts may be supported by the certificate
of a responsible officer as to the due
~~observance~~
~~observance~~ of all terms and conditions of
the grant in accordance with the procedure
laid down in paragraph 12 in Lord Passfield's
Circular Despatch of the 29th November, 1930.
It is suggested that for this purpose the
Director of Agriculture is a suitable
officer.

I have ~~etc~~ no objection
to the issue of this grant in equal quarterly
instalments to be credited to the "Kairua
Farm Account", subject however to
any adjustments which may be necessary
from time to time ^{according to} circumstances with the
progress of the ^{final} expenditure for the grant.

Harry

(Sgd.) MALCOLM MacDONALD

14 13



GOVERNMENT HOUSE, 24/5
NAIROBI,
KENYA.

KENYA.
No. 232.

RECEIVED
15 JUN 1935
G. O. REGY

16th MAY, 1935.

Sir,

With reference to your despatch No. 669 of the 29th of August, 1934, regarding the free grant of £11,000 from the Colonial Development Fund for the maintenance of the Naivasha Livestock Station for a further period of five years, on the advice of the Treasurer, I have the honour to request your confirmation that the grant of £2,200 a year is to be made under the same conditions as governed the former grant, that is equal quarterly instalments to be paid into a fund under the control of the Director of Agriculture, not subject to the supervision of the Treasurer but subject to audit by the Colonial Auditor as instructed in paragraph 6 of your despatch No. 72 of the 26th of January, 1932.

No 29,
2315 7/1/34

Amwell (1)

No 26
17050/31

2. As the conditions of the new grant do not necessitate the running of two separate accounts I propose, subject to your confirming the conditions referred to above, to close the "Naivasha Farm Fund Account" and the "Naivasha Farm Operating Account" and to open a new account under the head "Naivasha Farm Account". Any unexpended balances remaining in the existing accounts would be transferred to the new account into which also will be paid the quarterly grant from the Colonial Development Fund.

Copy to Mr. Jones (14) (15)

I should be glad for your approval of these proposals.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient, humble servant,

Milling
ACTING GOVERNOR'S DEPUTY

14 13



GOVERNMENT HOUSE, 24/5
NAIROBI,
KENYA.

KENYA.
No. 232.

RECEIVED
15 JUN 1935
C. O. REGY

16th MAY, 1935.

Sir,

With reference to your despatch No. 669 of the 29th of August, 1934, regarding the free grant of £11,000 from the Colonial Development Fund for the maintenance of the Naivasha Livestock Station for a further period of five years, on the advice of the Treasurer, I have the honour to request your confirmation that the grant of £2,200 a year is to be made under the same conditions as governed the former grant, that is equal quarterly instalments to be paid into a fund under the control of the Director of Agriculture, not subject to the supervision of the Treasurer but subject to audit by the Colonial Auditor as instructed in paragraph 6 of your despatch No. 72 of the 26th of January, 1932.

No 29.
2315 1/34

Amended

No 26
17050/31

Copy to H.R. James (14) (16)

2. As the conditions of the new grant do not necessitate the running of two separate accounts I propose, subject to your confirming the conditions referred to above, to close the "Naivasha Farm Fund Account" and the "Naivasha Farm Operating Account" and to open a new account under the head "Naivasha Farm Account". Any unexpended balances remaining in the existing accounts would be transferred to the new account into which also will be paid the quarterly grant from the Colonial Development Fund.

I should be glad for your approval of these proposals.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient, humble servant,

C. O.

Mr. *W. J. Shuckburgh* 9/4/31.
Mr. *Freeston* 10/4
Mr.

Mr. Parkinson.
Sir G. Tomlinson.
Sir C. Bottomley.
Sir J. Shuckburgh.
Permt. U.S. of S.
Parly. U.S. of S.
Secretary of State.

50043/35- 15
90/12
C. O.
R. LEADER
D. 11

Reful, 1935

Sir,

I have etc to acknowledge the receipt of your despatch No 65 of the 31st of January in which you requested authority to expend a sum of £200 remaining under Head "Salaries and Travelling Expenses" of the Nairobi Livestock Research Station Farm Fund Account, upon the erection of a Laboratory and Small Animals House with equipment to include a ~~small~~ electric light and a gas plant.
2 On receipt of your despatch

DRAFT.

Kenya ~~to~~
No 261
for.

~~Kenya~~
~~(C.O.C. 1708)~~
~~Kenya~~ ~~C.O.C.~~ ~~6/2/31~~
~~Kenya~~

FURTHER ACTION.

Copy, with encl.
to A.G. Dept.
Copy sent 11/4

38043/35- 15
9012

C. O.

Mr. *J. W. Smith* 9/14/35.
Mr. *Freeston* 10/2

C. O.
R 10 APR
D 11

Mr.
Mr. Parkinson.
Sir G. Tomlinson.
Sir C. Bottomley.
Sir J. Shuckburgh.
Permt. U.S. of S.
Party. U.S. of S.
Secretary of State.

April, 1935

Sir,

I have etc to acknowledge the receipt of your despatch No 65 of the 31st of January in which you requested authority to expend a sum of £200 remaining under Head "Salaries and Travelling Expenses" of the Nairasha Livestock Research Station Farm Fund Account, upon the erection of a Laboratory and Small Animals House with equipment to include a ~~small~~ electric light and a gas plant.

2 On receipt of your despatch

DRAFT.

Kenya to No 261 for.

~~Kenya to No 261 for.~~
~~6/2/35~~
~~6/2/35~~

FURTHER ACTION.

Copy, with encl. to Ag. Dept.
Copy sent 11/4

Despite I considered it
necessary to consult the
Colonial Development Advisory
Committee. I enclose for
your information, a copy of the
Memorandum submitted to the
Committee at their 76th meeting
and a copy of ^{the Committee's} their letter
of recommendation.

3. You will be aware from
my telegram No. 78 of the
26th of March that the Lords
Commissioners of the Treasury
have sanctioned the utilization
of the sum of £500 for the
purpose proposed, on the
understanding that any recurrent
expenditure on the maintenance
of the new buildings will
be met from the Colonial
Development Fund grants
for the period commencing
the 1st of April, 1925.

I have etc.

16 11

Any reply to this letter should be addressed to—

THE SECRETARY

TREASURY,

WHITEHALL, LONDON, S.W.1.

and the following number quoted:

S.34609/0222.



TREASURY CHAMBERS.

4 April, 1935.

Sir,

RECEIVED
- 4 APR 1935
C.O. REGY

I have laid before the Lords Commissioners of His Majesty's Treasury Mr. Wood's letter of the 30th ultimo (38043/35) signifying Sir Philip Cunliffe-Lister's concurrence in the recommendation of the Colonial Development Advisory Committee, conveyed in the Secretary's letter of the 6th ultimo that the Government of Kenya should be authorised to expend the sum of £800 on the erection of a suitable laboratory and a small animals building at the Naivasha Livestock Research Station.

This amount represents savings on grants previously approved from the Colonial Development Fund to meet the salaries of the scientific workers at the Station.

In view of the fact that the unexpended portion of the grant would otherwise have lapsed on the 31st March, Sir Philip Cunliffe-Lister has notified the Governor that he may proceed with the desired diversion, on the understanding that

The Under Secretary of State,
Colonial Office.

that any recurrent expenditure on maintenance will be met from the free grant of not exceeding £11,000 authorised by Treasury Letter of the 17th August, 1934 (S.34609/0222), and I am now to request you to convey to the Secretary of State Their Lordships' covering sanction for the action taken.

25/23157/34

A copy of this letter has been sent to the Secretary of the Colonial Development Advisory Committee.

I am,

Sir,

Your obedient Servant,

R. V. Neil Stephens

C. O.

17
10 7

(R-297)

38647/35

Mr. Deaton 26

3/7

Key - Answered by No 11 sub.

Mr.
Mr.

- Mr. Parkinson.
- Mr. Tomlinson.
- Sir C. Bottomley.
- Sir J. Shuckburgh.
- Permt. U.S. of S.
- Parly. U.S. of S.
- Secretary of State.

C. D.
R 27 MAR
D 29.

50 MAR 1935

DRAFT.

Sir

The Secy
Tg.

To open let.
encl. 2

I am in li
refer to the letter of
the 6th of March from
the Col. Sec. Admin
C'to (above you), in
which the Committee
concurred in the request put
forward for a request put
forward for investigation by the report

of Kenya, that
of £800 savings -
salaries at the Nairobi Forest
Research Station - the erection
of a laboratory & small animals
building.

2. The S. of S. is entirely
in accord with the C'ty's
recommendation, and it has been
ascertained since officially that T.L.
Comms of the C'ty, have desired to
raise no objection. In view of
the fact that the unexpended portion
of the grant would otherwise lapse
on the 31st March. S. in P.C.L.
has notified the Gov. in a letter
of which a copy is enclosed.

C. O.

Mr.

Mr.

Mr. Parkinson.

Mr. Tomlinson.

Sir C. Bottomley.

Sir J. Shuckburgh.

Permt. U.S. of S.

Parly. U.S. of S.

Secretary of State.

DRAFT.

18
that he may proceed with
the desired decision, subject
to the understanding named in
the C'ty's letter. I am
now to request that an
expression of T.L.'s covering
sanction for the action
taken.

(Signed) J. E. W. FLOOD

C. O.

28/02/35

19
9
boxed & sent
3.30 pm
26/3/35
[Signature]

Mr. [Name] 26/3/35

Mr.

Mr.

Mr. Parkinson.

Mr. Tomlinson.

Sir C. Bottomley.

Sir J. Shuckburgh.

Permt. U.S. of S.

Parly. U.S. of S.

Secretary of State.



[Signature]

Lo

N^o 78. Your tel. 64.

Proposals in your despatch
31 Jan. N^o 65 appeared
on understanding that any
recurrent expenditure on
maintenance will be met
from C.D.F. grants for period
commencing 15th April 1935

DRAFT. Tel.

Yours Naught

Communications on this subject
should be addressed to—

THE SECRETARY.

Telephone : WHITEHALL 9191.



COLONIAL DEVELOPMENT ADVISORY COMMITTEE,
COLONIAL OFFICE,
DOWNING STREET,
S.W. 1

C.D.A.C. 1724.

RECEIVED 6th March, 1935.

6-MAR 1935

EGY

Sir,

I am directed by the Colonial Development Advisory Committee to request you to inform Secretary Sir Philip Cunliffe-Lister that, at their 76th meeting on the 27th of February, they considered a request from the Government of Kenya for authority to expend the sum of £800, representing savings on the salaries of the scientific workers at the Naivasha Livestock Research Station, on the erection of a suitable laboratory and a small animals building at the Station. (C.D.A.C. 1703).

2. The Committee are satisfied that the establishment and equipment of a small laboratory at Naivasha is desirable, particularly in view of the extended programme of activities approved for the Station. They agree to the utilisation of the £800 savings for the purposes proposed, on the understanding that any recurrent expenditure on maintenance shall be met

The Under Secretary of State,
Colonial Office.

from the free grant recommended in their
er of the 28th of July, 1934. (C.D.A.C. 1557).
3. A similar letter is being sent to the
ury.

I am, Sir,

Your obedient servant,

L.S. Smith

Secretary to the Committee.



Telephone No.: WHITEHALL 1234.

In a reply


Please quote Regd. No.

S. 34609/0222.



521
TREASURY CHAMBERS,
WHITEHALL, S.W.1.

3rd May, 1935.



Dear Boyse,

Many thanks for your letter of 25th April about
the Audit query on the Colonial Development Fund grant
to the Naivasha Agricultural Research Station, Kenya.
We should like to give a little fuller reply than you
propose. Do you agree with the enclosed?

I am not quite clear what relevance the Naivasha
Farm Operating Account has to the present query. Need
we send more than the Fund account?

If we send the other too, it may only create
another mystery and provoke more questions.

Yours sincerely,

E. Hale

V.H. Boyse, Esq., O.B.E.,
Colonial Office.

Colonial Development Fund 1933.

Kenya. Scheme No.208 - Annual Free Grants of £1,500 in 1932/33, 1933/4 and 1934/5 to pay the salaries and expenses of two scientific workers at the Naivasha Research Station (T.L. S.34609/0222 of 21.12.31.)

These grants are passed through the Kenya Treasury accounts as a receipt from the Colonial Development Fund and equivalent issues are made to the Director of Agriculture. Only these issues are recorded in the Kenya Annual Accounts, the actual expenditure against the grant being recorded in the Naivasha Farm Fund Accounts. The Naivasha Farm Fund Accounts for 1932/3 and 1933/4 showing the actual expenditure incurred by the Director of Agriculture from the grants made in those years have been forwarded by the Governor of Kenya to the Colonial Office, and copies are enclosed herewith. It will be observed that the accounts are duly certified by the local auditor (who is responsible to the Director of Colonial Audit). Arrangements will be made for future accounts to be available for inspection.

Colonial Development Fund 1933.

Kenya. Scheme No.208 - Annual Free Grants of £1,500 in 1932/33, 1933/4 and 1934/5 to pay the salaries and expenses of two scientific workers at the Naivasha Research Station (T.L. S.34609/0222 of 21.12.31.)

These grants are passed through the Kenya Treasury accounts as a receipt from the Colonial Development Fund and equivalent issues are made to the Director of Agriculture. Only these issues are recorded in the Kenya Annual Accounts, the actual expenditure against the grant being recorded in the Naivasha Farm Fund Accounts. The Naivasha Farm Fund Accounts for 1932/3 and 1933/4 showing the actual expenditure incurred by the Director of Agriculture from the grants made in those years have been forwarded by the Governor of Kenya to the Colonial Office, and copies are enclosed herewith. It will be observed that the accounts are duly certified by the local auditor (who is responsible to the Director of Colonial Audit). Arrangements will be made for future accounts to be available for inspection.

C. O.

38043/35.

4 23

- Mr. Royce M/S
- Mr. Landon (v. minute)
- Mr.
- Mr (Parkinson)
- Sir G. Tomlinson.
- Sir C. Bottomley.
- Sir J. Sauchburgh.
- Perm. U.S. of S.
- Parly. U.S. of S.
- Secretary of State.

This case as altered
J. 15-4/35

? Shall I refer this
Have sent you letter about it
& I want to write to Jimmy
In view of emergency of life
no longer necessary

March, 1935.

C. O.
R 16 APR
D 18

Dear Hale,

I enclose a draft reply to

DRAFT.

E. HALE, ESQ.,
TREASURY.

the Reference Sheet enclosed in your
letter to me No. S.34609/0282 of 25th
February 1935 regarding the Naivasha

Agricultural Research Station, Kenya.

[This will perhaps have to be regarded
as only an interim reply as on looking
into the matter I find that it raises
rather a large question.]

The facts of
the case are these:-

For some good reason the

Colonial Office decided that the
administration of the scheme should be
placed in the hands of the Kenya Director
of Agriculture who thus became responsible
for the expenditure from the grant. The
grant is paid over to the Governor of

Kenya,

Omit
2/14

Naivasha Farm R/C
Mombasa Farm R/C 983-24

FURTHER ACTION.

Copy to Mr. [unclear]
Copy to Mr. [unclear]
Copy to Mr. [unclear]

Kenya, passes through the Kenya
Treasury accounts as a receipt from the
C.D.F. and an equivalent issue is made
to the Director of Agriculture. As a
matter of fact ~~the~~ ^{The} local auditor (who
is an officer responsible to the D.C.A.)
~~has~~ ^{however} followed up the expenditure and has
~~reported thereon to the D.C.A.~~ Copies
of the accounts certified by the local ~~auditor~~ ^{firm} were
~~sent direct~~ ^{sent} to the
Colonial Office by the Governor of Kenya.
These unfortunately were filed and the
necessity of sending them to the Treasury
was overlooked. ~~They are not included. It is suggested for the present~~
~~to be sent to the Audit Office of the Government.~~
The annual statements of receipts
and expenditure in respect of schemes in
Kenya assisted from the C.D.F. for the years
1932/3 and 1933/4 have been prepared and
audited by the D.C.A. in accordance with
the usual practice and furnished to you,
but these statements have, of course, only
included the receipts of £1,500 issued from

omit []

They should be included

(then insert
Q & omit
the rest of
this draft
A.J.H.)

Kenya, passes through the Kenya
Treasury accounts as a receipt from the

C.D.F. and an equivalent issue is made
to the Director of Agriculture. As a

omit []

matter of fact The local auditor (who
is an officer responsible to the D.C.A)

however
~~has~~ followed up the expenditure and ~~has~~
~~reported thereon to the D.C.A.~~ Copies

of the accounts certified by the local ~~him~~ *were*
auditor ~~have been~~ sent ~~direct~~ to the
Colonial Office by the Governor of Kenya.

These unfortunately were filed, and the
necessity of sending them to the Treasury

At they should be included

was overlooked. *They are not included. It is suggested for the present*
only to the Audit Office of the Government.

The annual statements of receipts

and expenditure in respect of schemes in

Kenya assisted from the C.D.F. for the years

1932/3 and 1933/4 have been prepared and

audited by the D.C.A. in accordance with

the usual practice and furnished to you,

but these statements have, of course, only

included the receipts of £1,500 issued from

the

*(Here insert
Q & omit
the rest of
this draft
A.J.H.)*

Q

The C. & A. G. ~~1/2/34~~ ^{may} 24

have drawn a wrong ~~impression~~ inference from para 2 of the D.C.A.'s report on the Kenya C.D.F. statement of receipts and expenditure for the year 1933/34.

The extract from the Auditor's covering letter which the D.C.A. quoted did not mean that the final expenditure under Scheme 208 had not been audited.

It meant that the expenditure recorded under that Scheme in the C.D.F. Statement and in the books of the Colony was merely the payment of the grant by the Treasurer to the Director of Agriculture; and the

D.C.A. when forwarding the C.D.F. statement & his report to the Col Office, drew attention to the audited Supplementary Statement

Q

The C. & A. G. ~~of the 24th~~ ^{may} 1934

have drawn a wrong ~~impression~~ inference from para 2 of the D.C.A.'s report on the Kenya C.D.F. statement of receipts and expenditure for the year 1933/34.

The extract from the Auditor's covering letter which the D.C.A. quoted did not mean that the final expenditure under Scheme 208 had not been audited.

It meant that the expenditure recorded under that Scheme in the C.D.F. Statement and in the books of the Colony was merely the payment of the grant by the Treasurer to the Director of Agriculture; and the

D.C.A. when forwarding the C.D.F. statement & his report to the Col Office, draw attention to the audited Supplementary Statement

recording the actual
expenditure - i.e. the
Navasha Farm Fund
Account - which the
Col Office had already
received from the Governor

C. O.

2 15 fs

Mr.

Mr.

Mr.

Mr. Parkinson.

Sir G. Tomlinson

Sir C. Bottomley.

Sir J. Sturges.

Mr. W. G. S.

Mr. W. G. S.

Secretary of State.

Draft reply to Reference Sheet

Copies of accounts certified

by the local Auditor of expenditure
submitted by the Director of Agriculture,
Kenya, out of the two issues of £1,500
from the C.D.F. included in the Kenya

Annual Accounts for 1932/3 and 1933/4
have been received from the Colonial Office &
are available for inspection.

The question whether these

accounts are to be certified by the
C.D.F. is under consideration by the
Colonial Office who, that the Gov.

is being asked to forward to the Colonial Office the reports of the
audit with the terms of para 13 & 14
of the Working dir. of 28 Nov 1930
and a further communication will
be addressed to you on receipt of his
reply

DRAFT

Omit? 2
2/1/34

Local audits on Kenyan accounts
in accordance

OTHER ACTION.

Auditor, Kenya to Hon. Director of Agriculture,

Ref. No.573.

19th May, 1934.

Naivasha Farm Fund Account.

I return herewith duly certified the statements in respect of the Naivasha Farm Fund Account and the Naivasha Farm Operating Account.

2. As regards the latter account I am unable to certify that the figures shown on the expenditure side are correctly allocated to the sub-heads specified, as it is impossible to verify from the only book of account kept i.e. a badly kept cash book, what each payment represented. It is probable that in the item "Foodstuffs" certain sums have been included which should have gone to "Equipment etc."

3. As stated above the system of accounts kept by the Farm consists of a small cash book only and although I do not want to suggest any elaborate system it would in my opinion be helpful to all concerned if an analysed cash book ruled into columns on the lines adopted by the Accountant of your department for the year 1934, were put into use.

4. True revenue and expenditure figures are not reflected in the account as submitted, owing to the practice of crediting net amounts only. As an example of my meaning I would quote the case of the Creamery who pay, monthly, certain sums in respect of milk, butter - fat etc. From the amount due to the far, the Creamery deduct the cost of cattle

cake etc. purchased from them by the farm, and the net amount only is shown in the farm cash book as a credit. Therefore the item "Butterfat and milk", on the Receipt side of the account and the item "Foodstuffs" on the Expenditure side do not represent true figures.

5. I would suggest that your Accountant be permitted to visit the farm and go into the whole question there with a view to putting the farm accounts on a more satisfactory footing.

(Sd) W.H. SMITH.

Auditor.

28
3



TREASURY CHAMBERS,
WHITEHALL, S.W.1.

Telephone No. : WHITEHALL 1234.
In any reply
please quote Regd. No.
B.34609/0222.

RECEIVED
27 FEB 1935
C. O. REGY

25th February, 1935.

Dear Freeston,

I enclose a copy of Audit Reference Sheet No. 1/
1935 on the Colonial Development Fund grant in connection
with the Naivasha Agricultural Research Station, Kenya.

We should be glad if you would let us have your
observations on the final paragraph and at the same time
suggest the form of reply that should be sent to the
Comptroller and Auditor General.

I have sent a copy of the Reference Sheet to
Boyse,

Yours sincerely,
Ekali

J. B. Freeston Esq., O.B.E.,
Colonial Office.

COPY

The Accounting Officer,
COLONIAL DEVELOPMENT
FUND,
F. I. Treasury,
S. W. I.

12 February, 1935.

Colonial Development Fund, 1933.

Kenya. Scheme No.208:- Annual Free Grants of £1,500 in 1932/
1933/4 and 1934/5 to pay the salaries and expenses of two
scientific workers at the Naivasha Agricultural Research
Station (T.L. S.34609/0222 of 21.12.31).

24/170509/31

Handwritten notes:
no 23214/34
~~...~~
...

The account rendered by Kenya for 1932/3, certified corre
by Director of Colonial Audit on 19.1.34, shows under
Expenditure "Amount paid from Colonial Development Fund, £1,500"

The account for 1933/4 shows "Amount disbursed locally,
£1,500" and is accompanied by a Report, dated 12.12.34, by
Director of Colonial Audit indicating that as expenditure is
under the control of the Director of Agriculture, the Colonial
Treasurer pays over the full amount of each quarterly grant
and no details of the expenditure appear in the latter's
accounts.

It is requested that it may be stated whether any special
arrangements are contemplated in this case which will enable the
Comptroller and Auditor General to satisfy himself that the
money has been expended in accordance with the terms of the
grant.

(Sgd.) J.W. BALMFORD,
DIRECTOR OF AUDIT.

Handwritten notes:
(See no. 18 in
23157/34
also no. 7 in
3153/33)

COLONIAL DEVELOPMENT ADVISORY COMMITTEE.

Kenya: Naivasha Livestock Research Station.

With reference to the papers noted in the margin,

C.D.A.C.-

- 900
- 906
- 1556
- 1557

I circulate, for the consideration of the Committee, a Colonial Office memorandum submitting a request from the Government of Kenya for authority to expend a sum of £800, representing savings on the salaries of the scientific workers at the Station, on the erection of a suitable laboratory and small animals' building at the Naivasha Livestock Research Station.

L.S. Smith.

Secretary to the Committee.

Colonial Office,

15th February, 1935

MEMORANDUM.

At their 71st meeting on the 25th July 1954, the Colonial Development Advisory Committee had before them an application from the Government of Kenya for free grants at the rate of £2,200 per annum for five years to enable the researches into nutritional problems which were being undertaken at the Naivasha Livestock Research Station to be continued and expanded. In the memorandum submitted to the Committee on that occasion, the Committee were reminded that in December 1951, free grants at the rate of £1,500 per annum were sanctioned from the Colonial Development Fund to defray the salaries of the scientific workers employed at the Station during the years 1952-53 and the two succeeding years, and it was explained that the grants then applied for were to enable the work to be continued as from the 1st April, 1955.

On the Committee's recommendation a free grant of the net cost, not exceeding £11,000, of the maintenance of the Station for a period of five years beginning on the 1st April, 1955 was sanctioned.

A despatch has now been received from the Governor of Kenya in which he requests authority to expend the sum of £800, representing savings on the salaries of the scientific workers at the Station. The savings have been made possible by the replacement of two of the original research workers (one of whom, it will be recalled, resigned his appointment and the second was killed in a motor accident during 1953) by locally engaged officers on temporary agreement, with lower salaries and no passage allowance.

It is proposed to expend the sum of £800

61.1.55

MEMORANDUM

At their 17th meeting on the 25th July 1934 the Colonial Development Advisory Committee had before them an application from the Government of Kenya for three grants at the rate of £2,500 per annum for five years to enable the research station to deal with the problems which were being undertaken in the station. The Committee decided that the station should be continued and expanded in the year 1934-35 and that the Committee on that occasion recommended that in December 1934 the Committee were minded that in December 1934 three grants at the rate of £1,500 per annum were sanctioned from the Colonial Development Fund to defray the salaries of the scientific workers employed at the station during the years 1934-35 and the two succeeding years, and it was explained that the grant then applied for was to enable the station to be continued as from the 1st April 1935. On the Committee's recommendation a grant of the net cost not exceeding £1,500 for the maintenance of the station for a period of five years beginning on the 1st April 1935 was sanctioned. A despatch has now been received from the Governor of Kenya in which he requests authority to spend the sum of £300, representing savings on the salaries of the scientific workers at the station. The savings have been made possible by the replacement of two of the original research workers (one of whom is still recalled, resigned his appointment and the second was killed in a motor accident during 1933) by locally engaged officers on temporary agreement, with lower salaries and no passage allowance. It is proposed to expend the sum of £300 savings

savings from the earlier grant on the erection of a suitable Laboratory and Small Animals Building in stone or concrete at an estimated cost of £500, with equipment to include a small electric and gas plant at an estimated cost of £200.

The Secretary of State is advised that the establishment and equipment of a small laboratory at Naivasha is most desirable, particularly in view of the extended programme of activities approved for the Station. He trusts, therefore, that the Committee will agree to the utilisation of the £300 savings from the earlier grant for the capital expenditure envisaged, on the understanding that any recurrent expenditure on maintenance shall be met from the new grants which were recommended by the Committee at their meeting on the 25th July 1934 (C.D.A.C. 1533), and sanctioned by the Treasury on the 17th August, 1934.

Colonial Office,
15th February, 1935.

31.1.35

AIR MAIL

KENYA
No. 65.

Government House,

Nairobi,

KENYA.

31st January, 1935.

Sir,

With reference to my despatch No. 119 of the 12th March 1932 relative to the financial arrangements in connection with the Naivasna Livestock Research Station, I have the honour to seek your authority to expend the sum of £800 remaining under the Head 'Salaries and Travelling Expenses' of the Farm Fund Account on the erection of certain buildings to which I refer later.

These savings have been made possible by the replacement of two of the original research workers (due in one case to death and in the other to early retirement) by locally engaged officers on temporary agreements with lower salaries and no passage allowances.

2. It will be appreciated that the projected investigations under the long-range programme approved for the Station by the Colonial Advisory Council of Agriculture and Animal Health on the 26th June 1934, are quite different in nature from those which have been carried out up to the present. While under the old scheme the work was largely designed to demonstrate the costings of various farming operations and the value of expert farm management, under the new scheme the work will be more strictly scientific, and will be concerned with the influence of nutritional factors upon animal disease in general and sterility in particular. This fundamental change in the programme of the Institute calls for the provision of laboratory accommodation and equipment.

3. The cost of erecting a suitable Laboratory and Small animals Building in stone or concrete is estimated to be £500 and the equipment which will include a small electric light and a gas plant is estimated to require an additional sum of £300.

4. It is evident that if the Laboratory is to be suitably equipped at the outset, the initial expenditure will be considerably more than can be covered by one yearly allotment from the 1935 Vote, and in the circumstances the Director of Agriculture has recommended that the savings on the provision for Salaries and Travelling Expenses may be utilised for the purpose so as to ensure the efficiency of the institute from its commencement.

5. As the period of the grant expires on March 31st 1935, I should be grateful if your decision may be communicated to me by telegraph.

I have etc.

(sgd.) J. Byrne.

BRIGADIER-GENERAL.

GOVERNOR.

Draft.

MEMORANDUM.

(C.D.A.C.)
1936

Mr. Grossmith 13/1/36
Mr. Freeston 13
Mr. Hand 13
Sir J. Campbell 13/2/
Sir C. Britton 13/2
Mr. S. Smith

At their 71st meeting on the 28th July 1934, the Colonial Development Advisory Committee had before them an application from the Government of Kenya for free grants at the rate of £2,200 per annum for five years to enable the researches into nutritional problems which were being undertaken at the Naivasha Livestock Research Station to be continued and expanded. In the memorandum submitted to the Committee on that occasion, the Committee were reminded that in December 1934 free grants at the rate of £1,500 per annum were sanctioned from the Colonial Development Fund to defray the salaries of the scientific workers employed at the Station during the years 1932-33 and the two succeeding years, and it was explained that the grants then applied for were to enable the work to be continued as from the 1st April, 1935.

On the Committee's recommendation a free grant of the net cost, not exceeding £11,000, of the maintenance of the Station for a period of five years beginning on the 1st April, 1935 was sanctioned.

A despatch has now been received from the Governor of Kenya in which he requests authority to expend the sum of £800, representing savings on the salaries of the scientific workers at the Station. The savings have been made possible by the replacement of two ^{of the} original research workers (one of whom, it will be recalled, resigned his appointment and the second was killed in a motor accident during 1933,) by locally engaged officers on temporary agreement, with lower salaries and no passage allowance.

It is proposed to expend the sum of £800

savings

savings from the earlier grant on the erection of a suitable Laboratory and Small Animals Building in stone or concrete ^{than} estimated ~~to~~ cost £500, with equipment to include a small electric and gas plant at an estimated cost of £300.

The Secretary of State is advised that the establishment and equipment of a small laboratory at Naivasha is most desirable, particularly in view of the extended programme of activities approved for the Station. He trusts, therefore, that the Committee will agree to the utilisation of the ^{£500} savings from the earlier grant for the capital expenditure envisaged, on the understanding that any recurrent expenditure on maintenance shall be met from the new grants which were recommended by the Committee at their meeting on the 25th July 1934, and sanctioned by the Treasury on the 17th August, 1934.

(C.D.A.C. 1336)



37

RECEIVED

- 8 FEB 1935

C. O. REGY

31st

JANUARY, 1935.

Sir,

With reference to my despatch No. 119 of the 12th March 1932 relative to the financial arrangements in connection with the Naivasha Livestock Research Station, I have the honour to seek your authority to expend the sum of £800 remaining under the head 'Salaries and Travelling Expenses' of the Farm Fund Account on the erection of certain buildings to which I refer later.

These savings have been made possible by the replacement of two of the original research workers (due in one case to death and in the other to early retirement) by locally engaged officers on temporary agreements with lower salaries and no passage allowances.

2. It will be appreciated that the projected investigations under the long-range programme approved for the Station by the Colonial Advisory Council of Agriculture and Animal Health on the 26th June 1934, are quite different in nature from those which have been carried out up to the present. While under the old scheme the work was largely designed to demonstrate the costings of various farming operations and the value of expert farm management, under the new scheme the work will be more strictly scientific, and will be concerned with the influence of nutritional factors upon animal disease in general and sterility in particular. This fundamental change in the programme of the Institute calls for the provision of laboratory accommodation and equipment.

3. The cost of erecting a suitable Laboratory and Small Animals Building in stone or concrete is estimated to be £500 and the equipment which will include a small electric

light ...

THE RIGHT HONOURABLE

MAJOR SIR PHILIP GUNLIFE-LISTER, P.C., G.B.E., M.C., M.P.,
SECRETARY OF STATE FOR THE COLONIES,
DOWNING STREET.

Not on
1/650/31.

13 on
1050/31.

light and a gas plant is estimated to require an additional sum of £300.


4. It is evident that if the Laboratory is to be suitably equipped at the outset, the initial expenditure will be considerably more than can be covered by one yearly allotment from the 1935 vote, and in the circumstances the Director of Agriculture has recommended that the savings on the provision for salaries and travelling expenses may be utilised for the purpose as as to ensure the efficiency of the Institute from its commencement.

5. As the period of the grant expires on March 31st 1935, I should be grateful if your decision may be communicated to me by telegraph.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient,
humble servant,



BRIGADIER-GENERAL.
GOVERNOR.