

1925

E. AFRICA

C.P.O.  
20430

6 MAY 25

opportunity to observe with regard to  
 the railway (Lake Magadi)  
 the draft railway lease/that the Acting

Surveyor of Railways has now reported that

no record is available locally of the

original capital cost of the Magadi

Railway. It has also been

ascertained that the Crown Agents for

the Colonies are not able to furnish the

necessary information from their records.

The Crown Agents state that the former

Magedi Soda Company placed a contract

with McWane, Paulin and Company for the

construction of the railway in 1911 for the

sum of £515,806, but they are not able to

state the amount of the capital outlay. In

the circumstances, Mr. Amery would be glad to

know whether you are in a position to furnish

any indication as to the sum which should be

added to the construction

provided in Clause 21(A) of the draft railway

I am, etc.,

4. Messrs. Sutton, Dohmen and Oliver,

the solicitors to the C.A. have been instructed  
 to communicate to you direct with regard to the  
 amendment to the draft Bills and Railway leases.

CROWN AGENTS.

Date

2nd May 1925.

COLONIAL

*Colonial  
Survey  
Department  
of Posts  
and Telegraphs*

U.S. of S.

*U.S. of S.  
Survey of State*

Previous Note

MINUTES

*Dr. Thomson*

The minute has arise through  
 ignoring the effusion box, each of which  
 serve a purpose in the purpose of  
 contributions. To be the first point at  
 and a new maximum price on the  
 question and for the 2nd point  
 of what are to be and the amount will  
 be stated by the Committee that  
 Dr. Thomson has now reached £100, which  
 being provided to 50% which will in  
 any case shortly satisfactorily a maximum  
 of £900.

Support Paper

A  
2187

Mr. Joss

The extra here was due to an incorrect  
assumption on the part of the C.A. From 13<sup>th</sup> July 1921  
to 30<sup>th</sup> April 1923, the interest had been allowed at  
£15 instead of £16 4 p.m. from 1<sup>st</sup> May 1923 to  
26<sup>th</sup> Aug 1924 (Year his maximum was £400  
it was correct)

Mr. Jackson

This also is a case of applying the  
old efficiency laws.

I suppose that a communication - all  
these cases have been reported against the law, they  
should be referred to Mr. Jackson for his  
opinion.

With thanks

as Secretary  
Joint Committee  
of Comptroller  
and Auditor General

I think that the portion  
should be explained to the  
officers concerned, & that  
they should be given an opinion  
whether it was wise to give  
all of having a refund with  
interest at 5% or leaving the  
matter as it stands.

Cff 27.8.25.

W.M. 28/8/25.

HHD 27.8.25

At 9/5  
28/8/25

P/D.F.5433.

*S/INF*  
Mr. Jewell.

Colonial Office.

C.O.
20430
6 MAY 25

I enclose herewith a copy of a letter from the Treasurer, Kenya, regarding the collection of Widows' and Orphans' Scheme contributions from Dr. J. H. Thompson and Mr. H. B. Jess, Postal Clerk at rates higher than those applicable to their scales of salary and shall be glad if you will let us know what reply should be made.

When Dr. Thompson first became a contributor to the Scheme i.e., on the 1st October, 1921, the Government of Kenya furnished us with this Officer's Statement of Particulars, which showed that he entered the East African Service in 1913, and signed in red ink the entry that his maximum was £900 per annum. Consequently we assumed that he had planned his £800 bar and assessed him for Widows' and Orphans' contributions on his maximum of £900 per annum and a notification to this effect was sent to Kenya on the 13th September, 1921.

A Statement of Particulars form was also the only information furnished by the Colonial Government in the case of Mr. Jess, who became a contributor on the 13th September, 1921, and in the absence of ~~a~~ particulars concerning his salary, he was regarded for the purpose of the Scheme as on the usual scale applicable to Postal Clerks i.e., £300-15-£350 per annum. Contributions were therefore assessed at £10 per annum and a notification of this sent to Kenya on the 12th June, 1922.

The enclosed letter from Kenya points out that these assessments were wrong and asked for our views on the

question

question of a refund of contributions overcollected.

Dr.Thomson was transferred to Tanganyika Territory on the 4th October, 1923 and still contributes at £67.10.0 per annum i.e., £45 scale rate plus £22.10.0 additional annual contribution whereas the appointment of Mr. Jones [redacted] was transferred to Uganda on the 1st May, 1923, was terminated on the 26th August, 1924 but he remains covered for a widow's pension until the 16th August, 1925.

The Scheme has been under risk in both cases to pay a pension at a rate applicable to the contributions actually made by these officers and it seems doubtful whether we should offer refunds.

On the other hand if we are legally compelled to refund the contributions overcollected it would appear that this should be done as early as possible in order to relieve the Scheme of the extra risk, as Dr.Thomson could very well wait until all benefits under the Scheme had lapsed and then ask for a refund of the contributions overcollected. Similarly Mr. Jones could ask for a refund after the expiry of the 3rd period for which he remains registered for a widow's pension under the Scheme.

The case of Mr.R.P.Caldwell, Assistant Auditor Tanganyika Territory, concerning which we minuted to you on the 9th April, is similar to the above cases, and we shall be glad if you will please inform us whether a refund should be made in this case also.

Mr. Caldwell is married and commenced to contribute on the 24th January, 1925. We assessed his Widows' and Orphans' contributions at £30 per annum but were afterwards informed by the Government of Tanganyika Territory that there was an efficiencybar in his scale of salary at £800 per annum. Contributions have therefore been overcollected at the rate of £8 per annum with effect from the 24th January, 1925.

COPY

242

The Treasury,

Nairobi, 10th March, 1928.

'Recd. C.A. 14th April.

Eldest and Orphans' Pension Scheme.

It has come to my notice that Dr.J.H.Thomson, Medical Officer, and Mr.H.B.Joss, Postal Clerk contributed to the Scheme in 1923 at a rate higher than that applicable to their scales of salary and I shall be grateful if you will let me have your advice on the subject.

Dr.Thomson was then drawing £700 on the scale of £600 - £900 but as there is an efficiency bar at £800, his maximum for the purposes of the scheme was £800 and his contributions should therefore have been at the rate of £40 per annum. Your notification No.1386 K.P.D.P.5433 dated the 13th September, 1921, however, stated that his normal contribution would be at the rate of £45 per annum, i.e. that applicable to a maximum salary of £900 and contributions were registered at this rate. In addition to his voluntary subscription of £22. 10. 0 per annum, during the period from 1st October, 1921 to the 3rd October, 1923. There would appear to be an excess-recovery therefore, of £10. 1. 3.. Dr.Thomson was transferred to Tanganyika in October, 1923.

The case of Mr.H.B.Joss is similar. His scale of salary was £150 to £290, whilst your notification No. 774 K.P.D.P.5829 registered his contribution at £18 per annum i.e. the rate applicable to a maximum salary of £400 per annum. Mr.Joss contributed at the rate of £18 per annum during the period from the 13th September, 1921 to the 30th April, 1923, when he was transferred to Uganda. In this instance there appears to have been an excess-recovery of £4. 18. 0.

Ref. No. 1937/24

242

The Treasury

Bathgate, 10th March, 1928

(Recd. C.A. 14th April)

Gentlemen,

Doctors' and Officers' Pension Scheme.

It has come to my notice that Mr. J. R. Thompson, Medical Officer, and Mr. H. J. Ross, Postal Clerk contributed to this Scheme in 1923 at a rate higher than that applicable to their grades of salary and I shall be pleased if you will let me have your opinion on the subject.

Dr. Thompson was then drawing £700 on the scale of £600 - £800 but as there is an efficiency band of £600 his contribution for the purposes of this scheme was £800 and the postage billings should therefore have been at the rate of £40 per annum. In our notification No. 1266 R. D. P. S. dated the 13th September, 1923, it is however stated that the defined contribution would be at the rate of £40 per annum i.e. that would give a maximum salary of £600 and no contributions were deducted at this rate. In addition to this voluntary contribution of £40, £10 per annum, during the period from 1st October, 1921 to 24th April, 1922, Dr. Thompson would appear to have an excess remuneration of £15. J. S. Dr. Thompson was transferred to Uganda on 24th October, 1922.

The case of Mr. H. J. Ross is similar. His scale of salary was £130 to £240. Under your notification No. 774 R.P.S. 1. 1922 he stated his contribution at £10 per annum i.e. the rate applicable to a starting salary of £400 per annum. This was deducted at the rate of £10 per annum during the period from the 13th September, 1921 to the 24th April, 1923, when he was transferred to Uganda. In this instance there appears to have been an excess remuneration of £4. 17. 0d.

As these Officers were erroneously advised as to the amount to be contributed by them I should be glad to receive your views on the question of a refund. I may add that neither of the Officers concerned has qualified for a payment, and, as my refund would reduce the amount of pension payable, it is probable that they could prefer the pension to remain as it is at present.

I am keeping this matter pending, until I receive your comments on the subject.

I have the honour to be

Gentlemen,

Your obedient Servant,

(Sgd. M.W.BATLBB)

For Treasurer.

22

As these Officers were erroneously advised as to the amount to be contributed by them, I should be glad to receive your views on the question of a refund. I may add that neither of the Officers concerned has applied for a pension, and, as any refund would reduce the amount of pension payable, it is probable that they would prefer the position to remain as it is at present.

I am keeping this matter pending until I receive your comments on the subject.

I have the honour to be,

Ostlerman,

Your obedient servant,

Sgt. R. W. GALT, M.A.

for TROOPER

Jewell 11/3/25

CA  
204307 25

243

Opposite 16/6

Birches

1. Sycamore

2. Daff.

3. Holly

4. Merton Street

5. Holly-Gorse

6. Holly

AFT. Minutes

for the previous meeting

18 June

112.5

With ref to your minute P/15F5433

Agree

With

of the 30th of April, regard to the  
Meeting of Widar Mtn.

Premier Schen contributions from

Dr J.H. Thom - T.T. R. 15P. per

bush. & Mr R.P. Gallwell T.T.

at rates higher than those  
applicable to their savings  
safety. It has been decided

that the position should be  
explained to the Board.

This, I understand, ~~that~~ they  
should begin an option. It is  
exercised now direct for all.

Very - - - - - with interest  
at first for one month  
rather as it stands. Please  
~~arrange~~ accordingly.

of the excess  
commissions

(a) George Jeffries.