

W. Gooke The Carlon Paracon Menules provide & that women employees dololing personable after may receive gratuities on confulrony retirement on marriage after of the oevice. In Houghour , Malara the privilege is confued is Nurses a Women Teacher holding jennimalle offices with not less than of reaso service: There come is be an reason for margination in forms a time land within which the marrage old take place of tainle it can be taken for granted that he Porte concerned will ratify Themselve that the afflus for grating on marriage are gamme; , in the ordinary source of events women officials are sot likely to reagn until practically on the eve of marriage. In the cuis ? we action is accepting in the case of the light

employee to give so much notice of her intention to resign on the ground of marriage Such a provision would appear to be demille respectively to the state of grand of respectively arranged to a long is at lease and great to be missed

though at a property for do tout date force of the light of the same of marginity increased writing with

20- process of the procession much whater of trova ame for myself of a significant of the contents of enverous meeting to make the server is required to your spection, person of notice; the desert the thought the mine to be

2000, Commercia meter

mind for the confidence and retail we lought write a blesh saying that the questing for her handed, I ambuy be better dis designed to intoday any front condition for the years of grading

I am inclined to agen with L. Cum so for a se bulge store are comme

/2 17/2/ de. The conditions in Ceylon, breeply, are is seek you willy war your only - can which the coupling and tronger made deposit. the more much staped to the in the top sept with a catalogues The challeged traces have my attent The market garage 1 & source of your want more than for might by are 2 years to see you man we will

r 4.8 sylls.

The year water for the first of manage of the state of the one

which we have the second and the 10 minute sound on contract to to man cools to be not be provided by continue profession

the state of the s Para Contract profine amine he and done the to

1 / nu. ise

(Remdr. resignation be accepted and period between resignation and marriage should not exceed 6 months)

Mr. Jeffries Mr. Bottomley.

We should fix a limit and embody it in future offers of appointment. The Home limit of 3 months is too little for our people, but the 6 months suggested by the Governor is, I think, rather too long as a I should be inclined to fix it at normal limit. 4 months from cessation of service (i.e. end of leave if any) unless there are exceptional re delay beyond this period". But as regards Wiss Andrews herself, as there has hitherto been no specified rule, we should give as much lime as Iwould therefore tell her that reasonably possible. the usual rule will in future be 4 months from date of retirement but that in her case the Secretary of State is prepared to allow a period not exceeding 6 months from 19th December 1924?

We can write to the Governor when we know the gratuity and the shares.

M.J. 28.1.25

I think that the rule should be 3 months from end of leave, if granted, or 6 months from resignation if there is no leave, but we can't lay down anything without the consent of the G.P.O. as they have to bear part of the gratuities.

?Propose this to G.P.O. and register these minutes with copies of the relevant correspondence.

As regards Miss Andrews I would tell her that the rule is as stated by G.P.O w and leave her to say if there are exceptional circumstances (She was in the Home service once and though she has evidently forgotten the rule I don't see why it should not apply to her).

So proceed - telling C.P.O. what we have told Miss

In order to give her as much time as possible before 18th March, the letter to her should be got off quickly. As regards the more general action, perhaps Mr.Jewell will find out if any other Colonies are likely to be interested. (? Ceylon) and W.A have a few women.C.J.J. W.C.B. 29,1.25

To Andrews 30th January 1925

File 11291 & a

(Marriage gratuity).

As retards gratuities of woman employees of the Caylon Soverment generally, I do not think that there is any ned for us to take my notion, since the matter is one for the Caylon Government. There have, nowever, been one or two woman Postal employees seconded from the General Post Office, and questions of division or statuity might arise in such cases. It will, I think, he sufficient to tell Caylon what the General Post Office rule is, and assawighter this tale is likely to affect in any way the position of may employees seconded from the General Post Office, and whether there is any similar rule in to be in the case of Ca lon Government employees and service.

9, 24

LONDON .E.C.1.

15th January, 1925.

Sir.

With reference to your letter of the 30th ultimo, enclosing a copy of a communication from Miss M.L.Andrews, late Postal Clerk and Telegraphist in Kenys concerning her marriage gratuity, I am directed by the Postmaster General to state that under the regulations applicable to the Home Service it is required that the marriage take place within three months of resignation unless there are exceptional reasons for delay beyond this period.

I am to add that your letter of 28th Hovember, last, concerning the Imperial share of Miss Andrews' gratuity, is receiving attention, and a reply will be sent as soon as possible.

I am, etc.,

(Bd) H & Sambrook

for the Secretary.

er secretary of state, Colonial Office. 20. ------G.P.O.-----15th January 1925

(States marriage should take place within three months of resignation, to qualify for gratuity).

brog Safrica meno d/s/ds owell 3 Carlon No 122 To fi Wit Manning 5 Moh 1925 I have reto addres you wish regard to the gratuity which is layable on M marriage under fara. I of the Pension Minutes to female employees holding 3 pensionalle apple under the Caylon Foot. the regue afficiable to the from terris it is required that the marriage the take flace within three was of resignation unless there are exceptiona reasons for delay beyond this said have therefore to ariginize whether this rule is likely is affect in any

se for we of any suployees would for the GAC , robertes rimlar rule in force in the case of a fine that sufferer