19 26	321
	KENYA
	X, 4851
DE TY	Conf. 66 11th May 1926. 8 JUN 1926
	BOUNDARING OF MACHANOS BATTUR RESERVE.
	Tis copy map Bibwing streed. The copy map Bibwing streed.
	Comm.
Paper	1/6 West 1/3/6
2 443	Lines 1/6
of Prince	
ot Paper	
0485,2±	

I have found some difficulty in connecting up the areas mentioned in the enclosures o this despatch with those referred to in Mr. Maxwell a second memorandum in 21287/25. The two main areas in question are those south of the Thwaki and Kinngini Riversy marked A in the lower part of the map - and the Mus Hills - marked B in the upper part. As regards the former, Mr. Maxwell has now agreed to its exclusion from the reserve on the ground that the area is, when kahled uninhabitable and useless. He has also agreed to the exclusion of the Mua Hills area; on the ground that it is probable that the Akamba never owned that area and have admitted as much.

There are two other small areas in question the Machakda Township, Indicated by the violet ring in the upper how of the map which has been included in the reserve, and a small addition being part of the area 4869, indicated by the green line in the top portion of the map. As regards the reference at the end of the Chief Native Commissioner's letter to the Yatta Plateau, we know from other correspondence that the Government is considering the question of allowing the Akamba to graze their cattle on some portion I have on 4852 (Q.B.) suggested of the Plains. a reply covering both these despatche

NORMEN 1076/Vb

KENYA.

No. 66

X. 4851 // // Jay.

KENYA

GOVERNMENT HOUSE

NAIROBI

Sir.

CONFIDENTIA

- 8 JUN 1926

With reference to your Confidential Despatch

of the 7th December: 1925 on the subject of the final settlement of the boundaries of the Machakos (Ulu) and Lumbwa Native Reserves, I have the honour to transmit a copy of a map which shows the agreed boundaries of the former Reserve as provisionally gezetted under

Government Notice 417 of Catober 12th 1925, and also indicates the lines of the boundaries as proclaimed in 1906, 1910 and 1912 and as provisionally gazetted under the Grown Lands Ordinance 1915 in 1920.

2. Further explanatory memoranda of the Director of Land Surveys and Chief Native Commissioner are also enclosed for your information.

I have the honour to be

Sir,

Your most obedient, humble servant,

J. a Shorthest

किस्ता गर.

UT HONOURABLE

TENANT COLONEL L.C.M.S.ALERY, P.C., M.P.,

SECRETARY OF STATE FOR THE COLONIES,

DOWNING STREET, LONDON, SYW.

EXTRACT FROM CHIEF NATIVE COMMISSIONER'S MEMORANDUM

briefly as follows:-

of this country. ..

The principal excisions from the Native Reserve

as defined by the 1906 Proclamation are the area to the South of the Thyaki and Kianginia Rivers, and

(b) the Mua Hills. The former area has been excised because it.is

uninhabited, uninhabitable and quite useless . Tagree to a its excision.

The Acting Chief Native Commissioner agreed to the excision of the latter owing to the facts brought to light. by the Director of Surveys, facts which had not previously come to my notice and were not on record in my office which was not created until 1918. These facts are detailed by th Director of Surveys in the notes attached to his No. Cd. Br. 248/2 of 21st January, 1926 to you and are very

In 1908 Mr. Ainsworth was convinced that this area was part of the Akamba country - he says that in 1892 the nills were almost uninhabited owing to fear of the Maral but in 1895 the Akamba asked for and received parmission to alcupy them. In view of this the Secretary for Native Affairs, Mr. Hollis, estrongly opposed the alienation

Arfew months later however, many of the natives expressed a desire to move. They admitted that the land was not originally owned by them and it seems that they readily consented to an arrangement by which, without pressure, they returned to the plains.

Mr. Hollis then withdrew from his former position and definitely recommended that the Mua wills should be taken but of the Reserve.

It must be assumed that the reasons which influenced the Secretary of Native Affairs in coming to this decision were good and sufficient and the Acting Chief Native Commissioner has accordingly accepted them as conclusive/. conclusive.

In the circumstances I am of opinion that

Colonel Watkins was right in doing so. The probability I

that the Akamba never owned the Mua Hills but that they

occasionally grazed their cattle there when not prevented

by the Rasai.

I) feel that we should make a concession to the Machakos Akamba under which they, while be permitted to graz their cattle temporarily on some part of the Yaffa Figuresh pending the reconditioning of their own land but that is a matter for subsequent arrangement and not one which affect the boundary of their Reserve

(Sgd) G.V. MAXWELL

ECHIER NADIVE COMMISSIONER



Aromsty

The objections originally raised by the Chief Native Commissioner will be found in the first memorandum flagged in 21287 It is a little difficult to identify the particular areas in question, but they appear to be three in number (E) Farms 3977, 3978 and 3979 which are hatched in red at the top of the map. As regards two of these, 3977 and 3974, hr. Maxwell accepts the position that they have been irrevocably alienated but as regards the third, 3978, he recommends (and the Commissioner of Lands has agreed) that this farm should be included in the native reserve subjecting to existing rights. It does not however, appear from the despatch that this recommendation has been accepted.

(b) Farms 478 and 498, hatched in violet on the map These have already been included in the Reserve Gazette Notices in 2773.

(c) The area between the Karuma and the Chepkoisi
Rivers, hatched in yellow on the map.
These also have been included in the reserve under
the Gazette Notices in 2773.

There remain two other points. The
Sotki Post in the lower half of the map (hatched
in red) and the triangular portion outlined in
red at the top left hand corner of the map described
as the Central Karirondo Native Reserve; but
apparently the Chief Native Commissioner has no
representations.

Ti lesse

at one in regard to either of these two As regards the Ulu (Machakos) Reserva Beero 4:51. Acknowledge both despatches (say that the becretary of State Presumes that he will be informed in due course of the boundaries of all the native reserves as finally Gazetted, but that are necessary deplanations of an patients. he will be glad to be informed definition, whether the Coverament has accepted the recommendation of the native Commissioner that farm 3978 is included in the Lumbwa Reserve. Miklen 15/6/26 There were no special sea 3977 × 3979 should and also (for s is it I have been ful in the Reserve . is but he dot to haden for very find the rive and fair " chal Marriado 16.6 munded, see para 3 (b) of our deap Cony of 3 march/27 on for F. 4634/26 K.

393

2/ 100 100

GAP.

A title the harries to administrate the profile of your confidential demandance For. 65 and 67 of the 1100 key 40 romad to the schilerent of the bounds of the Uln(Euchalds) and India Mative Beschool

B. & procuss this & shall be informed in the course of the boundaries of the the control sections Simply Carolled, with any medicary capterbastomer in particular, I chalk to clad to to informed the I am correct to asserting that the Caverson's had accepted the recommentation of the chief battva Ci stoney that the eyes L.O. Ic. 5070 chould be inc in the Burken Bestrye.

> I have the homour to bu. fip.

> > Tony most obediens

mindle enimatts.

(Signed) L S. AME

SUTTEAUT COLDERL

HIR B.W.M. CHIEG. K.C.V.O. C.H.O. D.H.O.

020ss cte. ato.

7.4058/26 Konya. /7 6.26 Harding. huckburgh. Downing Street undle. **Z/** June 7 1926 astry-Gore. 31r 🧢 Carendon. have etc., to acknowledge the receipt of your confidential despatches Nos 166 and 67 KAFT. of the lith May in regard to the settlement of the boundary of the Ulu (Machakos tial and Lumbwa Native Reserves. 2.1 presume that v.Grige I shall be informed in due course of the boundaries of all the native reserves as finally Cazetted, with any necessary explanations; and in particular I shall be glad to be informed whether I am correct in assuming that the Government has accrited the recommendation of the Chief Native hiara LO Vo Commissioner that form 3978 should be included in the Lumbwe Reserve (Signed) L. haxaveon

Sir G. Grindle.

Sir C. Davis. Sir S. Wilson. Mr. Ormsbu-Gore Earl of Clarendon.

Mr. Amery.

Confidential

Cov.Grigg.

I have etc., to acknowledge the receip

of your Confidential despatches Nos. 66 and 6

settlement of the boundary of the Ulu(Machakos

and Lumbwa Native Reserves. 2.1 presume that

I shall be informed in due course of the

boundaries of all the native reserves as

explanations; and in particular I shall be

glad to be informed whether I am correct

the recommendation of the Chief Native Riarra L.O. No Commissioner that form 3978 should be

included in the Lumbwa Reserve.

in assuming that the Government has accepted

finally Gazetted, with any necessary

of the 11th May in regard to the

7. June, 1926.

KENYA.

No.6

GOVERNMENT HOUSE NATROBI.

KENYA

With reference to your confidential despatch of the 7th December 1925, with regard to the final lement of the boundaries of the Ulu (Machakos) and Lumbwa Native Reserves, 1 have the honour to transmit as desired in para caph. 2 of that despetch, a map which shows the agreed boundaries of the latter Reserve and also indicates the extent to which the boundaries now agreed upon doincide with or differ from those proclaimed in 1909 under the outlying Districts Ordinance 1902 and those provisionally Gazetted in 1919 under the Grown Lands Ordinance

I also enclose copies of Commissioner of Lands letter No.Cd.Br.1966/2 dated 21st January 1926, and of a confidential memorandum from the Chief Native Commissioner which sets forth the reasons which have guided him in accepting the boundary as provisionally Gazetted under Government Notice 417 of October 12th 1925.

I have the honour to be,

Sir.

Your most obedient humble servan

5. at horther

GOVERNOR! S

L.C.M.S.AMERY

1915.

21st January; 1926.

In reply please guote Na.Cd.Br. 1966/2

The Hon. Colonial Secretary.

Lumbwe Native Reserve.
Your Reference No. 0-010/120 of 29th
December 1925.

T forward ligrewith a plen shewing the various boundaries proposed for the Lumbwa-Native Reserve.

They are (1) 1909 Programation under outlying Districts under index 1902.

(2) 1919 Provisional Notice under Grown Lands-Andinance, 1915

(3) 1925 Provisional Notice.

37. The alterations in the boundaries have been; dealt with in my 163 cy of End April 1928 in reply to your No. 22235/11/45 of 14th August, 1924.

- 4. To thin I may add (a) that the area west of the Kimugu River and South of Kericho' township (Datched violet in the plan) has been added to the Native Reserve, as now provisionally described, for the purpose of the Native Trust Fund (but without effecting the position of the grantees of that area) in view of the fact that it was surveyed out of the Native Reserve without the previous sanction of the Secretary of State,
- 5. And (b) The area hatched yellow North and East of the Chepkoisi River, between L.O. Nos.4432 and 3945, has been added to the Native Reserve in view of the strong representations of the Hon. Chief Native Commissioner that this has always been occupied by natives and ought

to have been included in the Native Reserve

(Sgd) A.G.Baker

for COMMISSIONER OF LANDS: 15.

Encl. AI/DH.

Copy to Hon Acting Chief Wall to Complessions

Tour Reference No.A.12/3/11/12-12 of Tith January 1926, Copy of plan enclosed for your retention. See also my 1370.11 of even fints.

nativo appairs department.

ONRI DEDICTAT

Nairobi. 3/57 27th March 1926.

Ref. No. A.12/3/1/43. 111.

The Honographe the Colonial Secretary

LUMBWA AND EACHAROS NATIVE WISEPVES.

Ref. Your No S/"C" 510/120 of 29th Dec. '26.

LUMBVA.

L.O.Nos. 4078 and 4098. This Area was included in the Native Reserve in the 1909 and 1919 Gusette Proclamations but was alienated in 1920 without native convent or the sanction of the secretary of State.

It is now proposed to reinclude it in the "Native Reserve subject to existing rights, that is to say the renta will be paid not to General Revenue but to the Local Native Sund.

2. L.C.Ros. 3977, 3978 and 3979. These were included in the Native Reserve by the Proclamation of 1909, and the preliminary Notice of 1919. Their inclusion by the latter must nowever, be regarded as an error as they had been alienated and Government was irrevocably committed to a Er. Harquordt. The Chief Eative Commissioner therefore felt that he had no option but to agree to their excision in the new gazetting. In view, however, of the fact that No.3978 contains a salt lick much valued by the neighbouring