

1924

OV'S DEP DENHAM. 1285

KENYA

563

C.O.

49132

18 OCT 24

24th September 1924.

CIRCULATION:—

Mr.

Mr.

Mr.

Mr.

Mr.

Mr.

Parl. U.S. of S.

Re:

Secretary of State.

Previous Paper

MAGADI SODA CO. RECONSTRUCTION.

General Manager of Railway recommends year should end under Clause 7, on 31st October. Considers Bly Administration should have right to purchase, at a valuation, branch line leading from Kilimani to Company's works.

MINUTES

See on M.L. 50902/24, Kenya.

6205

18/10/24

Subsequent Paper

29798

KENYA.

No. 1285.



24th September, 1924.

Sir,

M. P.
23752

With reference to Colonial Office despatch No. 42 of the 30th May, relative to the reconstruction of the Magadi Soda Company by Messrs. Brunner Mond and Company, I have the honour to state that the General Manager of the Uganda Railway recommends that the date on which the year should end under Clause 7 should be the 31st October.

2. A matter which it is considered should be settled with the newly constructed Company is to secure for the Uganda Railway Administration the right to purchase, at a valuation, the branch line leading from the main line at Kilindini to the boundary of the Company's Works, on the understanding that the same facilities will be given to the Company's traffic as would be given if the branch line remains in their possession.

The reason why this is considered advisable is that a Siding has been taken off from the Company's branch line to the new Pier. Their branch line as far as this point will thus ultimately become the main Uganda Railway line to the Kilindini Pier, and will also become involved in Siding re-arrangements which must take place on this account.

THE RIGHT HONOURABLE

J. H. THOMAS, B.C., M.P.,
SECRETARY OF STATE FOR THE COLONIES,

DOWNING STREET,
LONDON, S. W.

566

3. With regard to the rough draft for the
lease of the pier and works at Kilindini, I regret
that it is at present impossible to furnish this
document; every endeavour, however, will be made to
expedite its preparation. It appears on investiga-
tion that a number of questions are involved in
respect of survey, area &c.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient humble servant,

GOVERNOR'S DEPUTY.

~~and especially those of the present day
have approached the ultimate~~

the following day we could

the following day he was again
seen at the same place.

During your visit there
you can & take a look at

1884-1885 - The New Bill

REPLYING
PLEASE ANSWER
NO. 18763/12/1/12



THE SECRETARIAT,

NAIROBI

569

COLONIAL OFFICE OF KENYA AND THE EAST AFRICAN PROTECTORATE



The Colonial Office

his compliments to the
Colonies and with

No. 1502 dated 29th Sept.

the regards who were

May 1924 and may be

page 2 between the two

Madras

where made

the necessary addit.

7 attach

Officer
in 25

It does not affect
as far as

might reasonably be made to the War Office whereby
the losses were determined. Part II of the 14th July 1919 and
the passages of all ranks who proceeded to England
within one year of the publication of the Notice in
the Official Gazette, viz 14th of May, 1919, should
be a charge against the expenses and adjustment
made accordingly in the Billable furnished with
Kenya despatch No. 1668 of the 7th of November last.

5. In regard to paragraph 10 of the Auditor's
letter it is to be presumed that in the event of
favourable consideration of these representations
the incidence of the cost of the return passages to
Kenya would also follow that of the passage home in
accordance with the ruling contained in Colonial
Circular telegram dated 20th June 1919.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient, humble servant,

GOVERNOR'S DEPUTY.

might reasonably be made to the War Office whereby
the total amount paid up to the 14th May 1919 and
the passages of all ranks who proceeded to England
within one year of the publication of the Notice in
the Official Gazette, via 14th of May, 1919, should
be a charge against the expenses and adjustment
made accordingly in the accounts furnished with
Kenya despatch No. 1565 of the 7th of November last.

3. In regard to paragraph 10 of the Andaman's letter it is to be presumed that in the event of favourable consideration of these representations the difference of the cost of the return passages to Kenya would also follow that of the passage home in accordance with the ruling quotation in Colonial Office telegram dated 20th June 1942.

I have the honour to be

315

Your most obedient, humble servant

GOVERNOR'S MUSEUM

(P. O. Box 591)

Nairobi, 5th August, 1924.

Ref: No. 1185/1923/19.

The Hon'ble Colonial Secretary,

NAIROBI.Free Passages to Ex-Members of the
East African Local Forces.

In the Kenya Despatch No. 520 of the 13th June 1923 the Secretary of State informed His Excellency that an arrangement had been agreed at which the cost of passages to Ex-Members of the East African Local Forces, when the individual left East Africa within 12 months of the date of his demobilisation, would be chargeable to the East African Common Charges Account, and the balance i.e. £.~~12~~ 10/- in passages when owing to the extension of the time, the individual left East Africa more than 12 months from the date of his demobilisation, would be chargeable to Kenya Funds.

2. The Auditor has drawn attention to the fact that there are many cases of Officers who were discharged before the concession of free passages was approved, and he has raised the question whether in such cases the cost of the passages should not be a charge against the War Office.

3. I attach a copy of the Audit letter, and as there is a sum of £.14,000 involved His Excellency may think it worth while raising the point with the Secretary of State, as the Colony seems to have a reasonable claim. On the other hand it will be seen from the Despatch referred to, that the War Office and Treasury are not disposed to be over-generous in the matter, and that we have

who were discharged before the concession was granted and who availed themselves of it many months later is a charge against the War Office or Kenya Colony.

5. The allocation of the cost of the passages appears to have been followed strictly in accordance with the ruling quoted previously, and without any consideration to the periods existing prior to 14.5.19.

6. To illustrate the meaning of this more clearly the following examples are quoted :-

Date of demobilisation	Date of publication	Date of Passage	Debit	Name
24.3.16.	14.5.19.	19.12.19.	Kenya	Capt W. Rantzen
25.3.17.	14.5.19.	22.5.20.	"	C. W. Morgan
27.3.18.	14.5.19	17.12.19	"	Lt B. Keightly Smith
28.3.19	14.5.19	29.3.20	"	Capt E. Hutchinson

The cost of return passages of the above have also been debited to Kenya.

If, on the other hand, the date of the Official Gazette, i.e. 14.5.19, is taken as the date from which all demobilisations effected prior to, as well as after it, are to be calculated, for the purpose of allocating the cost of the passages, then a considerable sum included in the debit to the Colony would be thrown back on the common Charges Account. It must be borne in mind that the demobilisations which were made prior to 14.5.19 were resuscitated by the proclamation granting the concession, and it is possible that the Army Council or whatever body issued the instructions for the allocation of the cost of these passages could not have been fully informed as regards the discharges which had already been effected, when they decided upon fixing the time limit

who were discharged before the concession was granted and who availed themselves of it many months later is a charge against the War Office or Kenya Colony.

5. The allegation of the cost of the passages appears to have been followed strictly in accordance with the ruling quoted previously, and without any consideration to the periods existing prior to 14.5.19.

6. To illustrate the meaning of this more clearly the following examples are quoted :-

Date of demobilisation	Date of publication	Date of Passage	Debit	Name
24.5.18.	14.5.19.	10.12.19.	Colony	Capt W. Rantzen
25.3.17.	14.5.19.	12.5.20.	Colony	Capt W. Morgan
27.3.18.	14.5.19	17.12.19.	Colony	Lt B. Keightly Smith
27.3.19	14.5.19	29.3.20	Colony	Capt E. Hutchinson

The cost of return passages of the above have also been debited to Kenya.

If, on the other hand, the date of the Official Gazette, i.e. 14.5.19, is taken as the date from which all demobilisations effected prior to, as well as after it, are to be calculated, for the purpose of allocating the cost of the passages, then a considerable sum included in the debit to the Colony would be thrown back on the common Charges Account. It must be borne in mind that the demobilisations which were made prior to 14.5.19 were resuscitated by the proclamation granting the concession, and it is possible that the Army Council or whatever body issued the instructions for the allocation of the cost of these passages could not have been fully informed as regards the discharges which had already been effected, when they decided upon fixing the time

limit

limit from the date of demobilisation.

8. It will be clearly seen that the Colony is being asked to bear the cost of those passes granted to men who were demobilised long before the concession was under consideration, and whose claims only came into being when the notice appeared in the Gazette and the local press.

9. The interpretation set on Colonial Office despatch No. 820 has, in my opinion, been too liberal and that the 12 months extension should have been calculated from 14.5.19., and not from the date of demobilisation in the case of those already demobilised.

10. Taking into consideration the period of extension granted by the War Office the following appears to me to be a more suitable arrangement than that already followed.

From Kenya: Date of embarkation up to 14.5.20 debit Common Charges

Date of embarkation after 14.5.20
debit Kenya Colony.

Return Passages to Kenya.

Five weeks allowed for journey from Kenya to England and passage to be taken within 6 months of arrival in England, date of embarkation up to 21.11.20 debit Common Charges Account.

Date of embarkation after 21.11.20
debit Kenya Colony.

11. The amount involved is approximately £14,000.

Sd/- H. J. Webster,

ACTING SENIOR ASST. AUDITOR.

VOL.1924

(2545) 2200/20 5,000 19/10 M. & R. Ltd., Co. Rd.

DESP. NO.	DATE	SUBJECT
3314	2 Oct.	Purchase of Food chassis
339	7	Charges in Offices, March 4, 1923
332	7 "	Treaty for age of 60 years in administrative branch
336	8	Loan safety of deposits
344	13	Postal and Tel. Dept.
346	14	Draft Estimate, 1925
348	15	Alienations of land
352	16	Boundary of Sub-plots Reserve
350	16	Native Board of Health
356	16	Military, Police and Customs according to surrender of巡撫ally to
354	16	Railway Draft Estimate, 1925
Conf	16	Administration of Township Posts
355	16	Education Dept. appointments
356	16	Native Civil Service
358	18	General East Railway
366	22	Pension for 2nd K.P.S.A.M.
368	22	M.C. Estate
370	27	Pension for J. Fernandes
371	27	Visit of Prince of York
370	28	Pension for J. W. Longa
Conf	28	Report of Native Affairs Sept. 1923
372	3 Nov.	Report of Select Oct. 1923
373	3	Alleged removal of natives
373	3	Commission of agricultural areas
374	3	Defence Bonus Bill
375	3	Kigadi S. Record offices
376	3	Exchange of land
377	3	Estimates, 1925. Salaries
378	3	Inter-colonial Railway in progress

MINUTES.

MINUTES NOT TO BE WRITTEN
ON THIS SIDE

Mr. B. [unclear]

This is the ancient
question of Canadian trade
policy and the last 3 years
we have had much factued
in the papers & while we
are unable to find it to
the advantage of Canada
to manufacture

we ought to make one
elsewhere

available in Canada to

the appearance of

canadian paper

we are not in a position to do well

as make the paper in

style of the U.S. for its paper

a few months

on 29.02.94

Mr. Hogg
of C.A.

Yes - if you will be

so good.

Johnston 27.10.94
Please see attached documents

12.11.94

The Federal
Government

As the C.A. observe, the Canadian
British Trade Council are entitled to
preferential tariff rates - we do so
greatly to the advantage of Canada.

I am inclined to repeat what
our Ambassador, Acting City of the
C.A.'s view. Say that while no
exact definition of "British material"
can be given the S. A.S. consider
that the Colonial Govt may
reasonably pay more regard
to the interests of native manufacturers
in this country, backed by funds
for the capital required for the
development of the colony, than
to those of the Central States of
the U.S. The American price and English
people carry this order should
placed in the hands of the Govt
also will be able to negotiate
a suitable British material
available at a reasonable price.
Last 13.0.94

At Bottomley

Lans

What regard to the law now

of Mrs. Williams' estate

I made some inquiries in

Canada & I also was

assured that in the case

of the Ford Motor Co. of the world

was done in Canada, but

Canadian Ford Company

is of course only a branch

of the American concern and

any claim whether honorary

or not is actually performed

In Canada it makes no difference

to the government that you will

not depend on us for your

but I told it ought to buy

the roads.

I often write to you

4
Dear Sirs it is admitted that
a bus is worth about

200000 mls. & so the bus

is worth about 200000

mls. & so the bus is worth about

200000 mls. & so the bus

is worth about 200000

mls. & so the bus is worth about

200000 mls. & so the bus

is worth about 200000

mls. & so the bus is worth about

200000 mls. & so the bus

is worth about 200000

mls. & so the bus is worth about

200000 mls. & so the bus

is worth about 200000

mls. & so the bus is worth about

10-1-26
The only journal of the
regional and local news
of the West of the Rockies
is the "Daily News".
Date: Oct 1891/92

KENYA.

No. 1314.



GOVERNMENT HOUSE,

NAIROBI,

KENYA.

6

2nd October, 1924.

C.O.
50113

24 OCT 24

Sir,

With reference to Colonial Office despatch No. 827 of the 8th August, relative to the purchase through the Ford Agents in this Colony of four touring and four one ton truck chassis for the use of the Military Authorities, I have the honour to state that the vehicles in question were not actually in this Colony when the order was placed but were in transit to Mombasa.

31067

2. The Chassis were manufactured in Canada and in this connection I should be glad of an official definition of the term "British make" as applicable to Motor Cars and Accessories. I am informed on the authority of the Local Agents for the Ford Company of Canada that 85% of the labour employed in the manufacture of the cars is Canadian as is also the material utilized. I understand further that when the Canadian factory was established only 20% of the Capital was subscribed by the Ford Company of America, the remaining 80% being subscribed in Canada and elsewhere within the Empire.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient, humble servant,

E. W. L. Graham

THE HONOURABLE

H. THOMAS, P.C., M.P.,

MINISTER OF STATE FOR THE COLONIES,

DOWNING STREET, LONDON, S. W.

GOVERNOR'S DEPUTY,

~~proceedings suspended~~
~~therefore that it would be~~
~~more economical~~
~~to buy~~
~~Col. Frank~~
and ale, and the amount in
this amount to about 500
~~but~~ very fair and accurate

The amount will be paid in
one month after the 20th October
by agents of the Tax + Company
agent.

One cent less than 30% of the cost of 1000
bottles of beer.

It is understood that the agent
will be responsible for the
amount paid by the manufacturer
in consequence.

It is understood that

before my despatch

to you of the 23rd of

May 1967

8M 31067/xx

(Signed) L. S. AMERY