

1924

KENYA  
ZANZIBAR

FROM

F.O.

DATE

9th April, 1924

C.O.

17180

10 APR 24

## FOR CIRCULATION:-

Mr. ~~John Dugay~~Mr. ~~Cadell~~

Mr.

Dept. U.S. of S.

Sir H. Read

Perm. U.S. of S.

Purp. U.S. of S.

Secretary of State

Post Office Notice, 1922.

Sends copy note from  
London Amb. protesting  
against enforcement  
of new regulations.

## Previous Paper

B of Trade 54851/13  
11 Feby.  
80 b604 1924  
80 1925/14/15/16

## MINUTES

(Red) 1. Ballance's name on 3632 hr (Flagged)  
right) 2. Ballance's name on 3632 hr (Flagged)  
green) Since the victory of the French  
rights up to 1919. There can be no  
doubt that the post dues levied  
under the ordinance are for the  
maintenance of the post & lights  
necessary to organisation  
is with Co. 2.0. and concern in the  
arrives. They propose to send to the  
French Ambassador, and say they  
are correct in presuming as they do  
as to the dues.

[This has been delayed so I have tried to  
get a copy of the convention of S. German  
from the library. But their copy appears  
to be out. (Copy now written)  
G. W. W. 17.4.24

Please see separate notes  
within. ? Reply as proposed,  
including

## Subsequent Paper

5/6  
20964

Note of French protest against Kenya  
Port Order. 1922.

18

1. The Order is in Gazette 305723 (flagged).  
Section 14 gives power to levy port dues etc.

2. The French complaint is against the  
Rules published in Gazette of 23<sup>rd</sup>  
May, 1923 (G.D. 32657/23 flagged).  
These rules specify etc in detail the  
dues etc to be levied under the  
Order.

The 1924 Ests. provide for revenue  
as under:-

Light dues	£ 1400
Pilotage	£ 5300
Port dues	£ 6000
Total	£ 12,700

Estimated expenditure on the Port and  
Harbour Deptt. for 1924 -

£ 15,959. Practically all, if not  
all, of the work of the Deptt. may  
properly be regarded as services  
rendered to navigation. we are therefore  
well on the safe side.

3. The general question of the effect  
on French Treaty rights of the later  
Act of 1880 and the Saint Germain  
Convention.

In any further communication on  
subject, please quote

No. 1979/1929/60.

address,  
not to any person by name,

"The Under-Secretary of State,"  
Foreign Office,  
London, S.W.1.

17180

10 APR 24

49

FOREIGN OFFICE

S.W. 1.

Application, 1929.

Sir,

I am directed by Mr. George Henry McDonald<sup>1</sup> to trans it to you. There is a copy of a note from the French Ambassador protesting against the enforcement of the Kenya Post Office Ordinance, 1921 at Zanzibar and other ports of the coastal strip of the dominions of the Sultan of Zanzibar. I enclose to the French Ambassador of August 1st, 1921, a copy of his note enclosed in the letter from this department No. 1979/1929/60 of the same date, hereupon referred to. The Zanzibar Government are entitled to increase the Zanzibar port of call dues by virtue of the convention between the Government of Zanzibar and the Sultan, and the revising the General Act of Berlin, 1885, the Convention, General Act and Declaration of Berlin, 1890, and signed at St. Germains-en-Laye, September 1st, 1890, and in his note to him on the 29th of May last, 1921 (see the letter from your department No. 1979/1929/60), he refers to the provision in the General Act of Berlin, 1890, that "the States concerned" Article 1 of which is reproduced in the note, "are entitled to "resort to such measures as may be necessary to "protect their subjects and their property" and "to "apply in their respective dominions only such measures as "the said States, by mutual agreement, shall adopt." The Foreign Ambassador, in his note of the 29th of May last, 1921, the Foreign Office are justified in concluding that the Sultan Government are justified in concluding that the limit is imposed in acquiesced in their view that the limit is imposed in this.

The Under-Secretary of State,

Colonial Office.

this respect by the Franco-Muscat Treaty of 1844 were abolished by the Revising Convention.

3. Subject to the concurrence of the Secretary of State for the Colonies it is proposed to reply to the Count de Saint-Aulaire in the above sense, and if, as it is presumed, such is the case, to add that the dues in question can be justified as payment "for services rendered to navigation itself" in accordance with Article 6 of the Revising Convention.

I am,

Sir,

Your obedient Servant,

G. Maunder

copie.  
A 1979/1979/64).

Le Gouvernement de la Colonie et du Protectorat du Kenya a récemment mis en application à Mombasa et dans les autres ports de la zone côtière des Etats du Sultan de Zanzibar les dispositions de la "Port Office Ordinance, 1942", qui ont été publiées dans le numéro 16 la Gazette officielle de ce Gouvernement en date du 23 Mai dernier. Aux termes de ces dispositions des droits de port, de phare et de pilotage sont maintenant appliqués aux navires de commerce qui visitent la port de Mombasa et les autres ports de la zone côtière des Etats du Sultan de Zanzibar.

L'ambassadeur de France a été chargé d'attirer l'attention de Son Excellence le Principal Secrétaire de l'Ambassade de Sa Majesté pour les Affaires étrangères sur le fait que le Gouvernement français juge cette ordonnance contraire à l'article 1<sup>e</sup> du traité franco-mascatois de 1862.

Le Ministre de Sainte-Hélène a accepté cette accusation pour renouvelier à Son Excellence le principal Secrétaire les assurances de sa haute considération.

Ambassade de France à Paris.

Albert Géte Hesse,

22 Mars 1944.

17180/2

52

Kenya

Zanzibar

21  
28 April 1924

DRAFT.

Re Under S. 75.

T.O.

Answer  
209<sup>2</sup>

3/3/25

MINUTE.

Mr. Whitaker 224

Mr. Phipps 24/4/25

Mr.

Sir C. Davis.

Sir G. Grindle.

Sir H. Read.

Sir J. Masterton Smith.

Mr. Ormsby-Gore.

Duke of Devonshire.

a Post Ord 1922  
11/20/25  
Gazette 923 Mar  
S 2659/3

Sir

I am etc. to ask the rest of  
you after A 1929/1929/60

of the 9<sup>th</sup>, April regarding  
the French boat  
~~and~~ <sup>2</sup> policy against the capture  
of the Kenya "Pac Ordinance"

1922 at Mombasa and  
other ports of the coast

ship of the dominions of the  
Sultan of Zanzibar. ~~(to)~~ 12 Jan  
Request you to inform Mr.

Scot. Ramsay Macdonald  
(Mr Thomas)  
that to concus in the  
reply which it is proposed  
to make to the French  
ambassador in the matter  
He ~~will~~

~~Mr. Ramsay Mac Donald~~ ~~has~~ ~~not~~ ~~been~~  
He agrees that the French Govt. may be informed  
~~the~~ ~~assumption~~ ~~that~~ ~~the~~ ~~order~~  
carried under the order  
~~importation~~ can be justified as  
payment "for services rendered to  
navigation itself" in accordance  
with Article 6 of the ~~Protocol~~  
Convention of Saint Germain en Laye.

~~I am~~  
3. I am to enclose for ~~you~~  
<sup>Kings</sup> <sup>8/1922</sup>  
copies of the Port Ordinance<sup>(s)</sup> of the  
Eng... 28th of May last which  
contains the rules made under the  
<sup>Mr. Ramsay Mac Donald's</sup>  
Ordinance, are enclosed for your information

I am etc  
(signed) G. GRINDLE

~~Mr Ramsay Mac Donald~~ ~~is correct as~~  
He agrees that the French Govt. may be informed  
~~to accept~~ that the sum  
levied under the order  
~~importation~~ can be regarded as  
payment "for services rendered to  
navigation only" in accordance  
with Article 6 of the ~~Port~~  
Convention of Saint Germain-en-Laye.

~~I am to thank you for~~  
3. ~~I am to thank you for~~  
<sup>Ramsay</sup> copies of the Port Ordinance<sup>8/1922</sup> of the  
Eng... 23rd of May last which  
contains the rules made under the  
Order, are enclosed for your  
<sup>Mr. Ramsay Mac Donald</sup> information

I am etc  
(Signed) G. GRINDLE