

1924

E. AFRICA
K. A. R.

C. O. 506
15953

WHITEHORNE, MAJOR A.C.

DATE
31st March 1924.

5 APR 24

CIRCULATION :-

~~Mr. C. P. ...~~

Mr. Williams
Mr. B. ...
Mr. ...
... U.S. of S.

K.A.R. ORDINANCES.

Returns galley-proofs, with minor alterations, as shewn.

Perm' U.S. of S.

Parl' U.S. of S.

Secretary of State.

Previous Paper

82
1364

MINUTES

You promised to add a note on the subject of the treatment of glossing in this revised Ordinance. (See (3) of Major Whitehorne's notes on 7866/24. Sections 43 (7) and 57 of the draft Reserve deal with this subject.

Will you afterwards have my notes (within) to Mr. E. ... and Mr. Williams, to see if they agree to my preparing a draft for consideration on the lines indicated?

805

19.4.24

to C. P. ... - cons - 22007 1924

Subsequent Paper

82
52509

in bilian

Now that the
Sg. has arrived in England
the question of flogging
should first be referred
to him; but I am in view
of the fact that discipline
is maintained without
difficulty in the R.A.F.
without flogging in peace time,
I should like to see it
abolished in the R.A.F.
in place except for
those offences for which it is
still a punishment in
the R.A.F., i.e. for offences
committed on the line of
march against the persons
or property of the inhabitants
of the country.

If this is agreed
to a promise should be
added to section 45 after
sub para (a) i.c.

See Section 45
[45(1)(b)]

as follows:—

507

"Provided that, unless the
offender be on active service,
corporal punishment shall
not be awarded except for
offences committed on the
line of march against the
persons or property of
the inhabitants of the country."
The words "on active service"
shall be inserted in
section 57 in stead as
it is, I think I can
hardly see award a
flogging even in peace
so that the wording will
meet the case.

J. D.

J. D.

I am not in agreement
with Colonel Siffert's suggestion
to abolish flogging in
R.A.F. except on active service.

recruited for the H.A.F. 85 to 100 from
Hassani & son of the paper mill

SEAS
28/8/24

This must be a mistake. The H.A.F.
are essentially a N. Nigerian people
& they are further backward. I feel
they are about the most civilized
all the while etc. 8/11/24

C.S. 20/5
Colonial Office

(1) Habit of Poll Tax - see minute by Major Edwards

to I.G. on Gov. 10506/24 EA In view of this?
the relevant section (82 (8) & (9)) still stands in the
Draft Ord.

W.S.
K.S.

As regards T.T. the local Habit of Poll Tax Ord.
contains the necessary provisions & in enacting the Draft
K.A.R. Ord. subsection 8 & 9 in Law to be deleted
& a reformer substituted to the Habit of Poll Tax Ord.
(See Major Whitehouse's ^{note} minutes - 7866 EA 24 & 25
Vol. 48205/24 KAR)

(2) Conversion rate for gratuity. See Mr. Seal's memo. under

on § 82. The converse of this question arose as regards
the Long Service & good Conduct gratuity & some interest exists
under the K.A.R. Regs. (See Secs 13/21 KAR & 13/22 KAR)
How it can be a question of conversion into local currency amounts
expressed in the reg. is striking.

The shilling conversion
must have been £40
to 100 or £60, but
the question
is "1200 sh."
conversion roughly
£40 in Mysore.

In the case of the gratuity on discharge dealt with in
§ 82 of the Draft Ord. the law has been expressed in local
currency with the result that there is a discrepancy at present
between the number of shillings paid in Mysore & T.T. after
on the one hand & the sterling payments on the other hand
made in Mysore. One must have uniform figures
that are set down in Mysore rather than some
local form in the other dependencies, but I don't think
it is necessary to direct existing arrangements to be amended
less of any difficulty, so far as I am aware, ~~and~~
~~discrepancy in the amount of the gratuity~~
in Mysore & the other dependencies. If we need so, I think it is
expedient to have Mysore but we are not suggesting any

X The question of retaining the power of ^{awarding} flogging in the K.A.R. was discussed today at a conference presided over by Sir H. Reid. Those present (besides Hanigba) were Col. Siffaw, Major [?], Mr. [?], Mr. [?] + myself.

The difficulty was pointed out by Sir H. Reid in justifying the retention in the K.A.R. of a punishment which - except when awarded by a Court Martial, or by a C.O. for offences or acts in some cases - has been abolished in the U.S.A.F.

Col. Siffaw said that he did not consider that the type of native recruited suffered much from the point of view of civilization in the East or East Coast.

It was however pointed out that in a large number of East Africa the K.A.R. were normally recruited under conditions approximately to ^{those of} active service + the fact that it was submitted, diffused in the K.A.R. has in U.S.A.F.

Col. Hanigba made it clear that he was quite in favour of restricting flogging to the narrowest limits consistent with safety, but he was strongly of the opinion that it was not wise to abolish completely by a stroke of the pen the existing power of flogging in respect. If the power to award flogging were lodged almost with more stringent conditions than was the prospect of an eventual complete abolition of flogging - except under conditions previous similar to those applicable

Mr. H.A.F.F. At Hampton museum dress alterations

In the opinion in favour of retaining flogging power expressed by Sir H. Byatt in 3/6/20/21 MAR/IT.

~~Mr. Williams~~

As a result of discussion it was suggested that the best solution in the circumstances would be to amend the Commence with ^{more} stringent restriction on the power to award flogging, with a Court martial etc require periodic returns modelled on the returns of Civil Hospitals to the command quarterly to the S. J. These returns would be examined by the I.G. who would send the S. J. with his comments. Also the maximum number of lashes should be fixed at 15 instead of 24, ^{as} at present. ~~and~~ the power to award lashes restricted to offences of a disciplinary nature or serious insubordination. Finally the procedure for awarding lashes to be made more formal.

It is understood that the modification of the existing policy is to be made as possible eventually to advise the Director in the event of a v.

H.A.F.F. of the K.A.R.

Mr. Williams is preparing a note on the ~~subject~~ ^{subject} which will be modified in the draft K.A.R. Order which will be required before effect to the above proposals. It has become clear that the ^{best} arrangement of the sections of the draft dealing with flogging will be necessary to make it clear.

James
11/4/21

Major Edwards has working copy of the O.S.

Mr. Dwyer.

Mr. Williams
Mr. Shockey

At a meeting held yesterday in Sir H. Read's room the question of flogging in the K.A.R. was discussed and it was decided that the K.A.R. Ordinance must be amended to restrict the use of corporal punishment.

Mr. Williams was instructed to draw up a paper on the question and in the afternoon he and I went into the matter. We very soon found that the whole of the sections dealing with the powers of the Commanding Officer are rather involved, for instance:-

Section 45 (1) deals with a "soldier" and 45 (2) B with native officers and N.C.O.s but if you look up section 104 for the definition of a soldier you will find a soldier includes a N.C.O. and so as far as I can see a N.C.O. could as the draft stands be punished under section 45 (1) which is obviously not intended.

Then the numbering and lettering of section 45 is very muddling, it starts (1) where --- is a soldier:

A. Save in the case of absence -----
and then B. suddenly deals with N.C.O.s whereas you would expect it to be second sub-para of (1) and to deal with soldiers.

I have made out a complete new draft of section 45 and attach it herewith.

The chief points are:-

- (1). I have altered the word soldier to private.
- (2). I have rearranged the numbering so that there are three paras (1), (2), (3), referring respectively to "privates", N.O.'s and N.C.O.'s, and "followers" these are subdivided into sub-paras A. B. and C.
- (3). I have embodied the new restrictions as to a corporal punishment.

I think that the definitions given in section 104 would be much better if put at the beginning of the Ordinance as was done in the 1911 one.

A further definition of what a private is I think must be added and possibly also of an "enlisted follower".

In section 32 the term "enlisted follower" is used and there must be some distinction between these and privates.

The Inspector General considers that the following definitions would be best:-

Non-Commissioned Officer.

as at present.

PRIVATE. includes enlisted gun carriers and stretcher bearers.

Enlisted Follower. means any other person who is enlisted for a term of service.

Follower. as at present.

It is interesting to note that in section 46 which deals with the powers of an O.C. Detachment they refer to "private" or "enlisted follower".

The only amendments that appear necessary in this para are the re-arranging of para (2) and (3) so as to conform with section 45 and the amending of the sections referred to in (4) A. and B. These I have done in red ink on the proof copy.

Section 47 will have to be slightly amended as at present a C.O. can delegate the full powers of a Commanding Officer which includes the power to flog, this is now not intended. The intention is not to allow an O.C. Detachment to have the power to flog except in a very grave emergency and then a full report of the case and summary of evidence would be sent to the Adjutant-General to justify the action taken.

I cannot quite see the necessity of fitting this in but perhaps the legal adviser will be able to do so as to embody this.

Japan - had to be included with the Commission of the Peace. Section corresponds to that of A.A. who was Commissioned during a military period of War.

So far as I can see, without having been present at the recent discussion on flogging, Major Edward's revised section 45 (attached) carries out what was intended.

513

On point of detail I would suggest that the passage marked A in 45 (1) A (3) should be transferred to §57 which deals with the general conditions affecting corporal punishment.

W.C.S.

I would suggest also that the numbers (1) (2) (3) should only occur once and should not be repeated before A, B, C.

As regards §47, the amendment necessary seems to be to insert after "same" in line 5, "Provided that the Officer commanding a detachment shall not have the power to impose corporal punishment ~~that is to say~~ except in circumstances of grave emergency and that he shall in every case furnish the commanding Officer with a full report of the case and a summary of the evidence in justification of his action; provided also that any sentence of reduction etc..."

W.C.S.

When the form of these sections is settled the next step is to obtain from the revised proof copies of the Kegeon for transmission to Govt.

*J.P.L.
12/16/24*

*H.F.D.
14/16/24*

As to cl. 45 - I think Major Edwards' revised version will be satisfactory as a whole. I have made a few trifling alterations on the copy annexed & agree with Mr. Dornie that the provisions marked A by him in (I)(A)(9) shd be incorporated in cl. 57, being added thereto as two subsections. The interpretation cl. might be altered as suggested by Mr. J. f. word

As to cl. 47 - I think the amendment suggested by Mr. Dornie with the slight alteration I have made may be adopted.

A. H.
16/6/24

I think the form of § 45 (after the first para) should be as follows:

- "Where a death with the case mentioned,
1. If the offender is a private or enlisted soldier, the C. O. may,
 - A. or in his or her absence, or
 2. A ...
 3. If the offender is a follower, the ...
- may, for an offence under this ...

In clause 43 - amendments by - Court Martial - I suggest that 24 clauses should be reduced to ...
As regards the phrase "which he"

Jeffries proposes to have to § 57, I think our conclusion was that it should be an explicit instruction (if not from the O.D. or) that the weapon should be a case & that half year's return, (i.e. for civil flying) should be sent home

agree that ...
* 2. 22

Mr. H. Kend-

I have kept ... as ... for ... at ... the ... of ... I ... to ... of ... the ... I have ... of the paper (20760) ... proposed the ... procedure in the W 477. It is not easy to see why ... is not easy to see why ... wait with the matter, ... the ... of the ...

Mr. Ehrhardt.
Mr. Bottomley.
Mr. Strachey.

Lord Arnold's decision to make the K.A.R. legislation uniform with that for the W.A.F.F. in respect of "flogging", in accordance with Colonel Gifford's recommendation, involves some further modification of the draft K.A.R. Ordinance. I have accordingly prepared a further draft on separate sheets herewith of Clause 42, see especially Sub-Section (1) A(2). I have also inserted a corresponding modification of Clause 41 (1), and re-written proviso (7) to the same Clause. It will be observed that in one respect the West African procedure is more rigorous than what we have proposed by way of compromise, in that when flogging is permissible, i.e. on active service or in the case of a soldier, the number of strokes, not 12, is allowed.

I have entered "lashes" in "strokes" in Clause 42 and in view of the decision taken on that subject to abolish the use of the "lash" in Tanganyika and Nyasaland it has fallen into disuse in Kenya, and we are suggesting its abolition in Uganda.

As

at present. 25/8/34
Lord Arnold

Dr. H. Lambert
We have recently had under our own the question of flogging for civil offences in the K.A.R. I think that we should see what is done in regard to the case of the K.A.R.

In view of the fact that the draft is at the disposal of the K.A.R. I think that we must give the impression that we are a trial. It is a fact that the original intention of the whole question was to be in accordance with a view to the total abolition of flogging.

It is a matter to be considered in the light of the fact that the original intention was to be in accordance with a view to the total abolition of flogging.

H. J. P.
25/8/34

I do not consider that there is sufficient reason to recommend the abolition of flogging in the K.A.R. The regulations for the K.A.R. must be in accordance with those for the W.A.F.F. in accordance with Colonel Gifford's recommendation.

think the number 24 is retained in accordance with the letter of uniformity. However the question may be raised by general reports in called for in the case then in the matter.

As the draft now stands corporal punishment on active service or for offences on the line of march may be awarded in lieu of or in addition to other punishment, and not merely for certain specified offences. This follows the West African formula. (see Gold Coast Ordinance No. of 1923, Sections 43 and 43.) I have not included either in Clause 43 or in Clause 47 any provision for the Governor to prescribe by regulation the instrument of punishment, etc., as the sense of the minutes appears to be that this sort of thing should be dealt with by an executive instruction, apart from the Ordinance. (Mr. Bottomley's minute of 20th June).

On the line of march in peace time it may only be awarded for offences against the property of the inhabitants of the country.

In Clause 43, Sub-Section (1) I have put out Sub-Section (e), which is out of place, and provided for under Sub-Section (2) A.

Clause 43 (1) B. In Major Eward's draft the first paragraph runs, "in the case of absence without leave by a private or enlisted follower", while the second paragraph begins, "a private will also forfeit." I am not sure that in this case ^{also} it should not run, "a private or enlisted follower."

*have? Ask the military expert.
Mr. M. A. R. thinks the words should go in a separate section.*

The separate section which deals with the... of the... of the officer... provided... contemplated

contemplated in the preceding minutes regarding corporal punishment, appears, in view of Lord Arnold's decision, to be no longer necessary. It should, however, be noted that Section 47 of the W.A.F.F. Ordinance delegates to officers commanding detachments only those powers of a commanding officer defined by paragraph (iv) of Section 45, i.e. for punishing offences of drunkenness. Clause 103, Sub-Section (2). See last page of Mr. Seal's typewritten notes attached to this paper. I have altered this Clause as there suggested, but there appears to be some obscurity as to the position in view of the fact that Clauses 43 and 43 refer to flogging on active service, whereas Clause 103 Sub-Section (2) applies the foregoing sections to "native officers, non-commissioned officers, privates and enlisted followers, not on active service." Perhaps Mr. Eward will suggest some formula to make the intention clear. Perhaps, he would also consider whether any definition of the term "on active service" is necessary. Section 104 of the... Ordinance.

I think this sub. (2) shd be made into a substantive section + altered as shown by hand.

Other source is defined in 105 (a) ... make, adaptably.

Subject to the consideration of the above points, I think that the revised galley-proof with the substitution of the amended draft of Clause 45 might now go to the Crown Agents for revises to be printed, (if inter-leaved as in the case of the revised draft regulations for the K.A.R.)

The various other points touched upon in the preceding minutes appear to be matters to be dealt with by despatch when sending out the draft Ordinance to the Governors for their information.

OBG:W

3.6.24

(It does not appear to be necessary to tell Governors, in a despatch sent by way of the K.A.R. Ordinance, that the proposed regulation is to be considered in ordinary course.)

As a matter of form I think the expression 'dependency' used in the draft should be altered to 'obedience & respect' in the case of the Kinga tribe, to 'obedience' in the case of Tanganyika & to 'obedience & respect' in the case of Uganda, Nyalandi & Somaliland. Otherwise the various legislatures would appear to be exceeding their respective constitutional prerogatives.

Subject to this & my marginal notes to above minute as proposed by Mr. Williams.

Joyce

Oct. 7.10.24

A.S.

6/10

See notes appended draft of Clause 45
 amended in accordance with Lord Amherst's decision
 Revised 517

POWER OF COMMANDING OFFICER.

45. The commanding officer shall, upon an investigation being had of a charge made against a person subject to this Ordinance, of having committed an offence under this Ordinance dismiss the charge, if he in his discretion thinks that it ought not to be proceeded with; but where he thinks the charge ought to be proceeded with, he may take steps for bringing the offender to a court-martial or in the case of a soldier or a follower he may deal with the case summarily.

- (1) Where he deals with the case summarily, he may, if the offender is a ~~soldier, private, or enlisted follower~~ 81
- (A) Save in the case of absence without leave or drunkenness, impose on the offender any one or more of the following punishments:—
 - (a) Award to the offender imprisonment for a period not exceeding forty-two days.
 - (b) Reprimand, severely reprimand, or reduce any non-commissioned officer to a lower rank or to the rank of a private.
 - (c) Dismiss the offender from the regiment.
 - (d) Impose a fine not exceeding twenty-one days' pay, to be levied by stoppages from the offender's pay.
 - (e) Order the offender to suffer any deduction from his ordinary pay to make good the amount of any loss or damage he may have caused.
 - (f) Order confinement to barracks for any period not exceeding twenty-eight days, such confinement involving the taking of all duties in regular turn, attending parades and punishment drills, not exceeding one hour at a time nor four hours in all on the same day, inclusive of ordinary parades, and also liability to employment on duties of fatigue.
 - (g) Order extra guards and piquets, but only for minor offences or irregularities when on or parading for guard or piquet.

(2) Award of corporal punishment not exceeding fifteen lashes for offences defined in sections 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, and 13 of this Ordinance shall be subject to the Governor shall by regulation prescribe the instrument with which the punishment shall be inflicted.

In all cases where the commanding officer proposes to award corporal punishment a General Order shall be issued and filed for subsequent inspection.

(3) On active service, all punishment within the meaning of section 45 (1) of this Ordinance for any period not exceeding 28 days shall be served in addition to, or without prejudice to, any other punishment which the offender may be liable to suffer for a period exceeding 28 days of the same nature and not exceeding twenty-eight days.

(4) In the case of absence without leave by a soldier, private, or follower, the commanding officer may deal with the case summarily, and may award imprisonment, without or without hard labour, for any period not exceeding forty-two days, provided that the term of imprisonment awarded, if exceeding seven days, shall not exceed the term of absence.

A private will also forfeit 30 days pay for every day of absence in the same way as laid down in the Royal Warrant for Pay for the Army.

It can take be
 regarded for the
 this when
 we sent out
 revised
 OBG:W

Punishments for drunkenness.

(1) C. (a) The offence of drunkenness by a soldier may be dealt with and summarily punished by the commanding officer as follows:—

(a) For the first offence the offender shall be admonished or confined to barracks, but no fine shall be inflicted:

(b) For the second and every subsequent offence as follows:—

If within three months of the previous offence, by fine to the amount of nine days' pay with or without confinement to barracks:

If over three months and within six months of the previous offence, by fine to the amount of six days' pay with or without confinement to barracks:

If over six months and within nine months of the previous offence, by fine to the amount of three days' pay with or without confinement to barracks:

If over nine months of the previous offence by admonition or confinement to barracks:

Imprisonment

Such fine may be levied by stoppages from the offender's pay:

(c) Where a soldier is liable to a fine, and four preceding instances of drunkenness have been recorded against him within the previous twelve months, an amount of three days' pay shall be added to the amount of the fine laid down:

(d) For an act of drunkenness on duty, as defined by section 19, or when an act of drunkenness is accompanied by any other offence, the offender may be sentenced, as provided by section 45 (1), to imprisonment with or without hard labour, or confinement to barracks in addition to the fine:

(e) Any non-commissioned officer convicted of drunkenness, in addition to any fine as aforesaid, may be reprimanded, severely reprimanded, or reduced to a lower rank or to the rank of a private.

(5) If the offender is a native officer, warrant or non-commissioned officer, (save in the case of absence without leave or drunkenness), impose on the offender any one or more of the following punishments:—

Reprimand, severely reprimand, Officer may

(a) Reprimand, severely reprimand, or reduce any native officer, warrant or non-commissioned officer to a lower rank or to the ranks:

(b) Dismiss the offender from the regiment:

(c) Impose a fine not exceeding twenty-one days' pay, to be levied by stoppages from the offender's pay:

(d) Order the offender to suffer any reduction from his ordinary pay to make good the amount of any loss or damage he may have caused:

2 3

(6) A native officer, warrant or non-commissioned officer ~~or soldier~~ will also forfeit one day's pay for every day of absence without leave in the same way as is laid down in the Royal Warrant for Pay for the Army.

x C.

A native officer, warrant or non-commissioned officer convicted of drunkenness may be punished as follows:— (1) C. above in addition to any of the punishments in (2) A.

(6) If the offender is a follower, for an offence under this Ordinance:—

Award to the offender any one or more punishments described in clauses (a), (b) or (c) of part A of this sub-section; and in addition to or without any other punishment, impose a fine not exceeding shillings twenty.

£10 x 8

My next in next. The usual rule of the English language? If appeal considered proper, as it is and in Sub (3) probably checked. I appear to send the C.P. we say 15/15 and 15/15

Notes on the revised draft Ordinance for the King's African Rifles.

1. The sequence of the Sections of the draft has been rearranged so as to correspond with the sequence observed in the Army Act. (Compare the Ordinances for the West African Frontier Force (e.g. G. Coast Ordinance XIII of '23)).

A comparative table of the draft Ordinance and of the East Africa Protectorate Ordinance (No.15 of 1902) is annexed.

2. §3(1) The term "Dependency" when of general application is defined in §104(17), but where, as in this subsection, reference is intended to the particular Dependency in which the Ordinance is to be enacted, the words "Colony", "Protectorate" or "Territory" must be substituted

e.g. in §3(2) it is of general application.

in §3(3) it requires alteration.

3. §3(2) (Zanzibar was not included in the scope of the earlier Ordinances.)

4. §3(3) The proviso to this subsection is for inclusion in the Tanganyika Territory Ordinance only. Attention is drawn to the Confidential despatch from Sir H. Byatt of the 14th of October, 1921.

5. Sections 4 to 41, which differ in form from the corresponding

sections

? not necessary that it should be
no
K.A.R.
26th Nov 1921

v. 59190/21 K.A.R.
T.T.

£27

No. 9 of 1911, the gratuities were expressed at the equivalent in sterling of that scale at Rs.15 to the £1. In consequence of the change of currency in Kenya, Uganda and the Tanganyika Territory, it is necessary to express the gratuities in sterling at the equivalent of the former scale in ~~Rupees~~, but at Rs.10 to the £1. This will necessitate increasing the scale in Nyasaland by 50% over that contained in the existing Ordinance, but no alternative is seen as it is obviously desirable that uniformity should be maintained throughout the King's African Rifles in this matter.

12. §32. The question of exemptions from Poll Tax has been the subject of correspondence between the Secretary of State and the Governors of Kenya, Uganda and the Tanganyika Territory and it will be seen from

that

O.A.G. 42807/23 *£100 Kenya*

the Secretary of State is now awaiting the result of an investigation into the matter by the Inspector General, King's African Rifles, during his present tour. In the circumstances the wording of §26(8) and (9) of the earlier Ordinance has been retained, but this will be subject to further consideration

also Gov.
M. S. K.A.R.
T.T.

consideration in the light of the decision taken on receipt of the Inspector General's report.

13. §96.(1) This subsection will require amendment, in the case of Uganda, in the sense of Section 8 of Uganda Ordinance 10 of 1912.

See B.C.
New Ordinances
Notes on 1912

14. §103(2). This clause has been taken from the earlier Ordinance (833) but it appears to require amendment in form for "The remaining Sections of this Ordinance apply" substitute "Sections 4 to 61 of this Ordinance apply".

15. §104(15). It will be observed that the Somaliland Camel Corps is included for the purposes of the Ordinance in the expression "battalion" or "unit".

16. §106. Provision will be necessary for the repeal of existing Ordinances subject to the two provisos shown.

St. Winifrede.
Undercliff.
Boscombe.
Bournemouth

521



~~29. GLAZBURY ROAD.~~

~~W. 19~~

ack'd with thanks

31 3 54.

Yours truly

Mr. Williams.

I return the galley-proofs of the KAR. Ordinances
acted. I was very glad to have the chance of correcting them: it is
very more satisfactory to see a job like this through to its final
the alterations are very few & are mainly due to initial slips. I was
glad as the expression 'Point of Summary General Action' is used:
not know if this is correct. tho I think it is, but could you have this
point checked?

Yours sincerely,

A. J. H. H. H. H. H.



L. 15953 ²⁴⁵ R.A.

522

C. D.
20 OCT
D. 20

fz

22 Oct 1924

DRAFT.

CA

not
Amend.
52509

(13617)

MINUTE.

Mr.

Mr.

Mr. OSW 18.10.

Sir C. Davis.

Sir G. Grindle.

Sir H. Read.

Sir J. Masterton Smith.

Mr. Ormsby-Gore.

Duke of Devonshire.

fz

Gentlemen,

In reference to your
letter G of the 21st March
revises 956
Last I am etc. do tr. to you

a corrected galley proof of the
~~Draft~~ Order for the K. A. R.
It will be observed that for
clause 45 as it stands in
the text of the galley proof
an amended version, as shown
on separate sheets accompanying
the corrected proof, sh^d be
substituted.

I am to request that
a notice of the corrected proof

Corrected Galley proof
amended clause 45 to be
inserted in proof. ✓

may now be printed, which
shall be inter-learned as in the
Case of the reuses of the
Draft U.A.R. Regulations,
about 50 copies may be
sent to the Dept. ~~in due course~~.

The corrected galley proof shall be returned
into the reuses.

(Signed) G. GRINDLE