

1925

KENYA

27034

REC'D
RE: 15 JUN 25

DATE

19th May 1925.

G. B. DEB. NORTHGATE,
CONF. 66

MR G. E. HADLEY. RETURN LEAVE.

States reasons why concession claimed by
Mr Hadley should not be granted.

MINUTES

Mr Hadley stated that in the minutes
of the 12th May 1925 meeting it was
agreed that Mr Hadley should be granted
leave for 14 days. Mr Hadley stated
that he had been granted 14 days leave
and that he had been granted 14 days
leave. Mr Hadley stated that he had
been granted 14 days leave and that
he had been granted 14 days leave.

Mr Hadley stated that he had been granted
14 days leave and that he had been granted
14 days leave. Mr Hadley stated that
he had been granted 14 days leave and
that he had been granted 14 days leave.

M.H. 19/6/25

that Mr Hadley is going on leave

Subsequent Paper

38868

Handwritten text at the top of the page, possibly including a name and a date or location. The text is partially obscured by the texture of the paper and the scanning process.

Oct 20.6.25

Handwritten text below the date, possibly a signature or a name.

KENYA

No. 56.

CONFIDENTIAL



27034

GOVERNMENT HOUSE

NAIROBI

KENYA

19th May, 1925.

Sir,

I have the honour to acknowledge the receipt of your Confidential despatch of the 23rd March last regarding the claim of Mr. G. E. Hadley, late Assistant Catering Manager, Uganda Railway, for the grant of return leave on the termination of his appointment.

2. The General Manager represents that Mr. Hadley definitely declined the leave offered to him in August, 1921, with full knowledge that had he done so he would be precluded from taking leave until the return of Mr. Brockart. His choice was made for private reasons and he was fully aware of the effect it would have on the date of his leave.

3. The case of Mr. T. Conscience differs from that of Mr. Hadley in that Mr. Conscience was debarred by special restrictions from obtaining leave during the War period 4th August, 1914, to 9th January, 1921, nor did he decline leave during that period to suit his own convenience. The similarity between the two cases would seem to be that neither officer was granted any leave in respect of the period during which he did not, owing to family circumstances, avail himself of leave. In the case of Mr. Conscience this period was from 9th January, 1921, to the date of his retirement.

RIGHT HONOURABLE

LIEUTENANT-COLONEL L. C. M. S. AUBRY, P.C., M.P.,

SECRETARY OF STATE FOR THE COLONIES,

DOWNING STREET,

LONDON, S.W.

4. For these reasons and in view of paragraph 3 of your despatch I trust that you will agree with the General Manager whose views I share that the concession claimed by Mr. Hadley should not be granted and that the decision already conveyed to Mr. Hadley locally should not be re-considered.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient, humble servant,

GOVERNOR'S DEPUTY

be supposed to have contemplated
forfeiting the leave altogether.

I therefore request that you
will pay careful heed to the
terms of the decision of the
Board, and that you
will see that the
leave is not
forfeited.

When this has been done
the leave is not
forfeited.

I am, Sir, very respectfully,
Your obedient servant,
L. S. AMERY

(Signed) L. S. AMERY