

1923

KENYA

63022

31 DEC 23

Mr. (London) (Cable)

FOR CIRCULATION:

Mr.

Mr.

Mr. U.S.A.

Print D.S.O.

Print U.S.A.

Abroad

Previous Paper
the Public at the

63022

Am. Code 3-21. 29 Dec. 23
26. (Rev. 16 Oct) Cipher Tel. 27 Dec. 23
Cipher above is T.O. 50. 29 Dec. 23

DATE

24 December 1923

SECRET

SUBJECT

Immigration Bill

MINUTES

Also received

① letter from London 17.12.23

② letter from Staff Sergeant

Bartle, 2nd Guards

(Enclosed, copy of tel. from

Govt. of India dated 23.12.23

125 " 100 "

Registered for record

1. history

8A

31.12.23

Am

Le

Subsequent Paper

1049

S. S. Thompson, Esq.

I agree with what suggested, & will endeavor to have the Viceroy's proposals carried out as far as I can in the full spirit of the meeting, as you know perfectly well that the only trouble now is
to get the men to work. In my opinion
it is not so much the men that are at fault, but
the men in authority (and a large number of them) - as also the R. Comptroller's Delays

513

now act like children. If you have enough
men in the big towns & cities it is
not difficult to get out the porters at English - but
the difficulty lies in that you cannot force them
to do what you want them to do
and you can't charge of payment back

for the delay.

As you know a fact is that the Viceroy's Committee
is responsible for the establishment of the Interim Govt.
and Mr. Z. is still in India. Within his R. Comptroller has
not received from the Government of India a withdrawal of

Legislation Bill provides that the "Colonial" are adopted, but was ~~described~~ made in the White Paper.

I would make it for Dr. Paul in the following
manner - if not convenient to write,

it to him at the earliest opportunity.
Dr. Coquelin's proposal will be discussed
but it is an alteration of the original Bill
and I can often see had better be done.
I consider mine of the best of him.
I propose a specific contribution of £100,
to be retained. This Dr. Coquelin should be given until
the 1st October, that is 20 days in you remain S. 98.
In calculating that 20 days in you remain S. 98.
In which case there will be no modification of the
principles enunciated in the White Paper.

As for the Vicerey's "new proposal" that the "Sapori Com-

544
Should be referred to discuss the draft provisions
of the Legislatiion Bill, I can only suggest that they
stand over for the present. You will note that Dr. Paul
does not attempt to add into the proposal in his
letter of 6th Oct.

27. 12. 23.

By 63022/123 Kew

PARAPHRASE telegram from the Governor of Kenya to the Secretary of State for the Colonies. Dated 27th December.

(Received Colonial Office 1.57 p.m. 27th December, 1923).

545

Clear the line.

Secret and Personal 27th December. Your telegram of 26th December secret and personal I have delayed reply in order to make full enquiry. There is every probability of Indians refusing to take part in the elections. Varma has resigned from both executive and legislative Council on general grounds. Passage of the Franchise Bill which is generous measure in favour of the Indians will doubtless do much to ease the position as regards the Indians. In reply to (a) It's necessary for Franchise Bill to be passed first week in January as otherwise there will be no time to hold the elections before 21st February when the term of the present control expires. In reply to (b) feeling of elected members is that if Immigration Bill will be introduced soon after return of Parliament they will not oppose the passage of the Franchise Bill in first week January.

My ~~own~~ personal opinion is that if the Franchise Bills passed and any modification in the underlying principle of the Immigration Bill is made shortly after (as a result of possible change of Government or from other political cause) it will mean the gradual revival of the whole controversy and opposition. Colonists have every confidence in yourself and the Government but they fear gravely that unless the Immigration Bill is passed soon after the Franchise Bill conditions beyond your control may arise in England or India and the principles of the Immigration Bill may be imperilled.

I am sure you will understand the above view of the Colonists. We all recognise the wisdom of not rushing through such the Immigration Bill without full consideration. You will be able to conjecture what is likely to happen when the new Parliament meets.

The ~~same~~

546

The India Office has now a full month for consideration of the Immigration Bill and only you can tell whether you are likely to be able to authorise introduction of that bill at the earliest possible date in January and alternatively what likelihood there is of Immigration Bill being altered in machinery & principle. So long as they are satisfied that no modification will be made in the White Paper principle, Liberals would not press for passage actually on or before January 15th.

P. T. N.D.W.

reading of the Bill, at all events, should be postponed until you have received and communicated to you the views of the Government of India.

I understand that the telegram was sent to the Governor of Kenya after our conversation on Tuesday week referred only to the postponement of the Committee stage of the Bill. I feel strongly however that features in favour of postponing the Committee stage apply just equally if not more so to the second reading, which as the Vice-regal would commit the Government to the adoption of the Bill. There was nothing in our conversation of last week which led me to understand that there could be any serious objection to this change of programme. From my own point of view

549

I do not see how it would be possible to fulfil the pledge which was given to me and which I conveyed to the Government of India, that they would be consulted upon this measure, if they are only given the opportunity of expressing their views after the principle of the Bill, as it now stands, has been agreed to on the second reading.

Yours sincerely,

Pcd

COPY OF TELEGRAM.

From Viceroy, Department of Education, Health and Land, to Secretary of State for India.

Dated Viceroy's Camp, Rangoon, 25th December, 1923.

Received 25th December, 1923, 10.15 p.m.

550

XX

Clear the paper.

Kenya Inspection Bill. We understand from your telegram of 22nd November that Colonial Secretary, while authorising publication, had not approved of Bill and that he had called for opinion of various communities to be sent to him by the Governor, after which you and we should be consulted. We also understood that we should be consulted before the Bill was proceeded with and this impression is confirmed by paragraph number five of Colonial Office letter to India Office, dated September 18th. We received text of Bill on December 20th, and must take strong objection to being proceeded with and to second reading being concluded before end of the month without giving us opportunity to consider and express our views, or His Majesty's Government to take them into consideration. We should like to have ascertained how the Bill is regarded by the public and are publishing the text in Gazette on December 29th. So far as opinion has declared itself, it is opposed to the Bill. We invite your attention to the published speech at Imperial Conference and specially to Premier's concluding speech, in which he stated that, while he saw no prospect of these decisions being modified, he would give careful attention to such representations as the Committee appointed by the Government of India might desire to make to him. This declaration was understood, and can only be understood as meaning that the Colonial Office, while holding out no prospect of modification of these decisions, bound themselves

to hear representations of the case
was taken. It is the intention
of the unfortunate that I was not present
to see that my position was

that the present arrangements
are therefore strengthened
in our negotiations that
we are before the Hydrographer
and the special attention of His Majesty's
Government.

TELEGRAM FROM PARIS

REPLY TO LIAISON

POLITICAL

ARMED FORCES

judicious. Your suggestion of

views is not wholly without

substance.

decision would

never be considered

finalities or otherwise

our final liaison

has not yet been

one of Hughson Williams

or justify you, and that your

proceedings, and our correspond-

long interviews with Sapey at Riom.

I understand that Colcourt Office did not mean to stay their

action on main decisions indicated in White Paper,

but nowhere is there the faintest suggestion that now

complaint should not be heard on the proposals to be

submitted

The United Kingdom Government's decision to the Colonial Office, in giving effect to that amount of a trial of separation which economic interests of the natives of India demanded required (see para 9 of this Paper). However, several such committees were formed to consider the various proposals. An alteration will be possible in a short time now. They have been convened at the point of view of Government and members of legislature.

If the second reading of the Bill is taken on December 27th or before Committee can make representations, we shall be confronted with an established fact that principle of Bill has been accepted. Once Bill is passed the difficulty of amendment in the near future will be insuperable, as you know. Friends of Colonial Office to pay careful attention to Committee's representations will be required at every stage. Committee of this kind yet finally without any question immediately capturing intelligent public opinion here. Once it is realized in India that U.K.'s Government will not

even give time for these proposals to be considered by the Committee, H.M.'s Government; and my Government will, I feel certain, be accused of having tricked Indian people, and I am most anxious that we should place ourselves in the best position to answer such charges.

3. I beg you therefore to make the most strenuous attempts to obtain postponement of the second reading of the Immigration Bill, even if only for a period sufficient to enable us to clear up the various situation indicated in your telegram.

4. I cannot say anything definite at present regarding the dates of representation of our views on the Bill, but will communicate earliest possible. We have only just received text of the Bill.

5. I am communicating substance of Colonial Office's view to Narine, Supra & Rushbrook Villiers, and am requesting immediate reply without delaying until receipt of Westerton-Smith's memorandum which has not yet reached me. It will take time to read Supra the intervening.

Telegram from the Viceroy,

Dated 26th December 1923.

555

CLEAR THE LINE.

1. Private and personal. Is continuation of my private and personal telegram of the 23rd December. Our private and personal telegram No. 4188 dated 21st December only reached me this afternoon (Tuesday) & your telegram to you had arrived.

2. I have communicated with Sapru but his answer cannot reach me before to-morrow evening at the earliest. His travels from Allahabad to Poona. My message was sent to him midday 26th at Poona.

3. His terms and conditions are definite, and if Sapru admits their correctness we have laboured under a very serious misconception. The same misconception unfortunately is generally present in the minds of the Indian public.

4. Even if the proposal to consult the Colonies Committee on the provisions of the Immigration Bill is proved to be "a new proposal and altogether different from the agreement reached between Sapru and the Colonial Office", nevertheless I should very earnestly urge its consideration and acceptance on its merits even now, and that second reading of Bill,

which

which ~~Committee~~ do not accept the principle of the
Immigration Bill, passed until some time after the
Committee has been appointed. I do not know if it
does not the difficulties involved in its removal,
for the King & Government are His Ministers. Besides
I am not laying any claim on the ~~Immigration~~
difficulties which ~~exist~~ exist for the removal of
the King if the property cannot be sold. The King's
which weight is ~~not~~ ~~not~~ is that
the Committee will be bound to decide upon the
whole of facts relating the ~~King~~ ~~King~~
and the Conference that the ~~King~~ ~~King~~
comes ~~into~~ into ~~into~~ and discussion between the ~~King~~ ~~King~~
State for the Colonies and Our Government.
Committee upon all questions affecting British Subjects
domiciled. ~~King~~
particularly ~~King~~
give careful attention to ~~King~~
government of India and the right given to make to him.
Though it may have been in His mind that the Committee
would not assemble before the Immigration Bill had been
passed, this was not made clear at the Conference as
far as I can discover, and promised by the Prime
Minister of full and careful consideration (? was
given

subject or like
this has certainly
had the effect
of discrediting
the question
of Smith's statement. The
whole statement of the [unclear]
is information of the public
[unclear] limitation, nor
is it subject to any such [unclear] in
any other country or country in the world.
The public have all along
had both their attention &
confidence based upon it. Are you
not, then, have been [unclear] a wrong
impression, and that neither you nor I were ever
informed until now of this private limitation?

28 Dec. 1923

John Foster Smith

I think I had better

You back the railroad telegram

I am at one that we shall

try to get myself at

and open a lot of time

at the front of getting the

523

26 Jn. 1923

John Brewster Smith

I think I had better

have back the enclosed telegram

I am not now fit enough
and you the best off by far
and you ought to have

left the country yesterday.

to the bottom of the
yellow ferruginous sand.

The river has not yet been
able to see down, but probably
there was during the last few
days until it came that the
entire view of the country
would be from the riverbank so
absolutely free to him.

J. M. C. 1870

to the number of 2
April (from) you.

The Army has not yet been
able to see them, but ⁱⁿ prints
they have done the last two
days make it clear that the
antithesis ^{is} between the two
parties in your government is
absolutely irreconcileable.

Yours
McClellan

COPY OF TELEGRAM 559

From Viceroy, Department of Education, Health and Lands, to Secretary of State for India.

Dated Viceroy's Camp, Rangoon, 25th December, 1923.

Received 25th December, 1923, 10.15 p.m.

XX

Line.

Mya. Immigration Bill. We understand from your telegram of 22nd November that Colonial Secretary, while authorising publication, had not approved of Bill and that he had called for opinion of various communities to be sent to him by the Governor after which you said it should be consulted. We also understood that it would be consulted before the Bill was proceeded with and this impression confirmed by paragraph number five of Colonial Office letter to India Office, dated September 18th. We received text of bill on December 20th and first take it, any intention to be proceeding with and to send reading being submitted before end of the month, without giving us opportunity to consider and express our views, or His Majesty's Government to take them into consideration. We should like to have ascertained how the Bill is regarded by the public, after publishing the text in Gazette on December 29th. So far as opinion has declared itself, it is opposed to the Bill. We invite your attention to the published speech at Imperial Conference and specially to Premier's concluding speech, in which he stated that, while he saw no prospect of these decisions being modified, he would give careful attention to such representations as the Committee appointed by the Government of India might desire to make to him. This declaration was understood, and can only be given as meaning, that the Colonial Office, while holding out no prospect of modification of these decisions, will

~~MINUTE.~~

Mr.

Mrs.

Mr. Davis.

Sir G. Grindle.

Sir H. Read.

Sir J. Mackenzie Smith.

Mr. Chitty-Gore.

Dicks of Dorking.

As regards the present
situation of the Governor, his
resignation has, however,

not been accepted, but

~~has been accepted~~

(as a ~~provisional~~)

The Earl is offering
the office of the Govt. of
India for the consideration
of his friends and that

~~for the present are as follows~~
~~as of 1st of October~~
~~the principal accounts are~~

This has to do with a
liquid which we have in
a certain amount and it
consists of water and
salt. We shall add
water to the salt until
we get a solution that will
be pure to the last degree
upon the mixing of the two
will make a little hole.

Your owing

~~for the present any more than
by reason of the fact
that the present exigencies are~~

~~This may be an excellent
opportunity for us to make
a start in our experiments
concerning the nature of the
shells of other forms and
to understand the condition
as far as they have obtained
upon the wing of the bird.
This will be a valuable task.~~

Yours very

Clean the house

562

Governor

Harold

St. 99 & Executive

Collected
5/6

2-0 P.M.
29/12/23

No
You are 29/12 Regon of 24 December 293.

Madam/Lady I hope you will be informed
as soon as legislation is introduced 21st December 23
I would like Bill upto be passed before January
an earlier term for holding election before 2nd January

Immigration Bill. I have been told by my friends
only received text of Immigration Bill so late as 20th
December, and Government of India have made changes
since posted to P.M. for India against introduction
of Bill until they have had time to consider the
terms and express their views to P.M. for India.

This postat is reinforced by fact that 15 November
for printing effect in House of Commons on the
~~shorter statement~~ ^{made} in reply that

There will be full opportunity for consideration by

Dear General Sir, The fact of India before Draft Ordinance
was enacted.

It is clear that more time cannot be given before
Draft Bill is introduced, but if the India
has present first of Dec 16, it can do so
now when Draft Bill at earliest from India
moment, and I will telegraph you as soon
as I can on final introduction of Bill.

I am now awaiting further information
for telegram of 27 Dec No 395 have been
been telegraphed to India.

Dear Board &c. The fact of India before myself & others
was enacted.

It is clear that some thing must be done before
downgrading to be in order, but left for India
had given first of all to let him have time
run upon Dept Bill at earliest possible
moment, and I will telegraph to you
as soon as there is any reduction of Bill
I assume that alterations will be inserted &
your telegram of 27 Dec: No 395 has been
been telegraphed to India.

Enclosed is a telegram from the Secretary of State under the
Colonial Government of Kenya.

Sent by telex at 11.30 a.m. 29th December 1923)

Class B

564

December 29th. ——————
Your secret and personal telegram 27th December. See
my official telegram of 29th December you will appreciate
that I can only proceed in this matter without regard to
political contingencies here and I most cordially request
you delay of introduction of Bill solely upon the merit
that Peel is supporting the appeal of the Government
of India for time for consideration. It is made clear
postponement does not involve any question of modifica-
tion of the principles laid down in the White paper and
members of Legislative Council may be so informed.

DEVONSHIRE.

final paragraph was
over by me to Sir Malcolm
who concurred on Lord Peel's
provision that it was under-
stood that Lord Peel was
to place his own interpretation
the meaning of the principles
down in the White Paper.
(J.M.S. 29.12.)

Telegram from the Secretary of State for the Colonies
to the Governor of Kenya.

(Sent 2 p.m. 29th December 1923)

Dear Sirs

565

29th December. Your telegram of 24th December No. 396
states that Franchise Bill will be introduced in session
of Legislative Council beginning 31st December and I
assume that Bill will be passed during same session so
as to give time for holding Elections before 21st
February. Immigration Bill. I now learn that Viceroy's
Council only received text of Immigration Bill so late
as 20th December, and Government of India have made
strong protest to Secretary of State for India against
introduction of Bill until they have had time to consider
its terms and express their views to Secretary of State
for India. This protest is reinforced by Secretary of
State for India who points out that in House of Commons
on the 15th November specific statement was made on my
behalf that there would be full opportunity for con-
sideration by the Government of India before draft
Immigration Ordinance was enacted.

It is clear that more time must be given before Immigra-
tion Bill is introduced. Secretary of State for India
has pressed Government of India to let him have their
views upon Bill at earliest possible moment and I will
telegraph

546

telegraph to you as soon as I can authorize introduction
of Bill. I assume that alterations to Bill detailed in
your telegram of 27th December No.395 hav. u.s.w. been
telegraphed to India.