

EAST AFR. PROT.

1367

C.O.
1367

RECD
8 JAN 20
23

Adams Curson
Assoc.

1920

7 Jan'y

Last previous Paper.

9.0
0987
1091 19

Ariatic Clerks of Milly Audit + M.L.C

2 copies petition from - referred to in
Mr. Sadwakar's Telegram

Mr. Mackay

ack receipt.

1920 1/12 8 10

Rec'd 12 Jan 20

Subsequent Paper

100
1921

President of Committee

Chairman of Executive Committee
H.H. THE AGA KHAN.

Hon. Secretary and Treasurer
R.V. S. L. POLAK

Deputy Chairman:
Sir M. M. BHOWNAKREE
K.C.I.E.

Indians Overseas Association.

Ans: Kaloph, Strand, London
Kaloph, London.
Zone Central 2832

47-48, Danes Inn House,

265, Strand, London, W.C.2

January 7th.

1921.



The Under Secretary of State,
Colonial Office, S.W.1.

Sir,

I beg to acknowledge with thanks the receipt of your letter No. 68196/1919 of the 8th ultmo., the contents of which I note.

I now beg to enclose herewith a copy of the telegram referred to in Mr. Tedwaler's telegram, for your information.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your obedient Servt.

ENCL.

A of the petitioners is briefly thus:-

Petitioners are Asiatics and were engaged early in 1914-1915 in the Military Audit Department and Military Labour Corps. Majority of them have been attested for the convenience of the Department and have been serving loyally since that year. When the economic conditions became more and more acute they applied to the General Officer Commanding and also to His Excellency the Governor of the Protectorate for relief and requested that the privileges due to Military men be granted. They also pointed out that other Asiatic Clerks of the East Africa Pay Corps who were on the same footing as your Petitioners have been granted the Military privileges of War Bonus and Gratuity. As they were attested and their case therefore should be similarly treated, but this was declined on the ground that the petitioners were Civil men. Petitioners having been compelled to throw themselves on the help of the Government applied for civil concessions as recommended

Sir Alfred Lascelles in the case of Civil Servants. This was also declined for the reason that the petitioners were neither Civilian nor Military men and that individuals concerned were locally engaged temporary clerks who were taken on as Civilians to supplement the establishment of certain Military Departments and as they therefore are not entitled to any of the concessions applied for. The staff Captain Last friend Expeditionary Force further stated in his letter of 22nd October last "They were given certain allowances on account of extra cost of living and in view of the fact that several had rendered long service. General Officer Commanding sanctioned their being given leave on discharge according to the length of the service". Petitioners fail to understand the reason given above. According to office records it is clear

that Military Audit Department was considered merely a Civil Department by the authorities and after the ruling of His Excellency the Governor to this effect, citizenship is only due by the Civilian men rendered long term. But as it was found inconvenient for

Government to allow clerks to work on Civil conditions in the Military such restrictions as attestations were imposed on them and thus the Petitioners were compelled by these restrictions to share all the hardships entailed by Military service along with other Military men but were not allowed to participate the benefits due to such service. From the above it would be clear to you that the Petitioners were neither Civil nor Military men in an exiguous one causing grievous injustice to your humble petitioners. It is further stated that the petitioners were temporary Civil clerks engaged to supplement the establishments of certain Military Departments and hence no concessions are due. So far as the Military Audit Department is concerned the above statement is far from truth. The clerks were never engaged to supplement the Military establishment but were ordered to start a new branch of Civil Audit after the advent of the war and formed the main body of the Military Audit staff in British East Africa. It might as well be stated that no terms of agreement were set out before the petitioners were engaged in 1914/15 and subsequent to that year it is therefore doubtful what the Staff Corps in East African expeditionary Force really meant by "temporary" and how the state of being "temporary" became a disqualification for the concession asked for. The petitioners have not worked for few days or few months only but for about five years and further entered into agreement on 1st April 1919 with the Government to serve one year or less as required after the Military operations ceased to exist and the Peace Treaty was signed and ratified. The men of the permanent Civil staff went on leave and have by this time returned on duties and yet the petitioners who were considered only "temporary" have not been granted leave after such a long period of service. The Petitioners of course understood that after six months of the termination of the war, services of the petitioners would be discharged with if this is to be taken as the temporary excuse then the whole war was after all a temporary one and the supernumerary staff of the Military home combatant or otherwise will on the temporary service but in spite of all this the military

privileges appear to have been given. Such being the case the Petitioners consider it a piece of misfortune that such an excuse should have been brought forward in the way of relief although they did so excellent work refraining all the time from protesting against more trying hardships they had to contend with during the critical times of the war. Petitioners' services have been at least as long if not longer as those of any staff of permanent civil department, the rigours of war were equally trying to both permanent and temporary; the Petitioners therefore doubt the justification for withholding the grants of relief on the Military or civil scale which was deemed so imperative and necessary by the Home Authorities. It is simply bewildering to the Petitioners to see that a differentiation should have been made between Asiatics and natives whose case is identical with the Petitioners. For your information it might be stated that the Asiatic clerks of the East African Regt Corps were also temporarily engaged like the Petitioners &c &c were attested and considered as soldiers and the chief paymaster has seen his way to meet his clerks and privileges such as War Bonus and Gratuity have been granted, yet the Acting Director of Military Audit and Director of Military Labour thought fit to deprive their clerks of the relief on the grounds above referred to. A petition was submitted to the Government here for grant of relief on civil basis as recommended by Sir Alfred Lascelles but the reply received from the chief secretary to the Government is as follows:-

"I am directed to state that clerks of the Military Audit Department having been engaged at an evaluative scale of salary are not entitled to any payment in respect of war bonus, house allowance, passage to India or leave allowances on account of service in that Department."

The reason for non-payment of concessions asked for entirely arises from the reasons given by the staff Captain East African Regimental Corps and which have been dealt with in the preceding pages. In the opinion of the chief secretary the reason that petitioners were Non-Civil and non-military and temporary is of

but he considers that salaries were inclusive of War Bonus, House Allowance and all other items the petitioners claim. In consequence none would be granted. It must be clearly stated here that on the date of the Petitioners' appointments in 1914 or subsequent to that year scale of salaries on civil basis ranging from Rs. 50/- to Rs. 250/- was arranged. Then the War writers it was impossible for any one to say how long the war would last and far less to gauge and count the effects of the world-wide war on the Civil or Military services of this country. In view of this fact how the starting salary of say Rs. 75/- to 90/- is inclusive of War Bonus, Gratuity, House Allowance, Passage India and Leave Allowance on account of service or other compensations granted to relieve the employees from the same income condition ruling in or after 1917. Instances can be suitably cited and it can be proved that the salaries were not inclusive ones. This fact will be driven home by the instance which is given below:-

A certain male clerk was employed in March 1916 on salary of Rs. 75/- per month subsequently his salary was raised to Rs. 80/- which included Rs. 15/- for Ration Allowance. This termed in official records as consolidated pay. Further increments having been granted every year his salary reached to Rs. 125/- in August 1919. In consideration of the high cost of living a sum of Rs. 30/- as from April 1919 was added to his salary making a total of Rs. 155/- per month. Later on his services were transferred to the Protectorate service and his salary there was 75/- plus Rs. 45/- for House Allowance Rs. 50/- for War Bonus making a total of Rs. 170/- per month. If this clerk were to join the Protectorate service in 1917 he would have drawn the same amount of Rs. 155/-. This is generally the case with all petitioners. In the year 1916 a certain allowance of Rs. 15/- to Rs. 30/- in lieu of rations was given and this was added to the salaries of the petitioners and is being termed as consolidated. If the salaries of petitioners were inclusive of everything the petitioners confidently believe that this extra allowance would never have been added to their salaries subsequent to their

encouragement. The Petitioners made repeated representations to Civil and Military Authorities and pointed out how they felt into the depth of distress by pursuance of a policy so harmful to the interests of the Petitioners. The General Officer Commanding ruled later on by which Petitioners were granted 57 days' wages after three years' completed service that also at the option of the Department Officer and addition of rupees thirty for a month to cover the increased cost of living commencing from April 1919 for those who agreed to serve for a year or less as required from that date. The inadequacy of this palliative scale would be obvious to you when it is stated that the Petitioners stood in graver necessity of relief in and after 1917 when they are getting less pay than in April 1918 and the fact Sir Alfred Lamuelles would not have recommended higher scale of concessions unless he found grave conditions justifying his doing so. The Highest civil or Military authorities in the country having graver or more important matters pressing upon their attentions hardly find opportunity to go into the details of such matters and a tendency of relying upon the decisions submitted by the Heads of Departments unfortunately arises and in countries like this where there is such profuse growth of colour prejudice matters prove disastrous to the coloured subordinate staff generally, unless they are really under a very sympathetic officer as is not the case at present of the unfortunate Petitioners. The Petitioners frankly admit that they have been in other matters treated in a fair manner by their officers and it has been a pleasure to work with them for so long a time. All the civil concessions granted so generously by Home authority for relief have been given to the civil servants both Europeans and Asiatics alike. But the great war is over all Europeans both temporary and permanent, combatant or non-combatant have all been paid with Bonus, Gratuity and other allowances, without hesitation but not a single Asiatic save a few Aviation artisans and mechanics has been favoured with the grant of these military privileges but he is - some reason or other. Not only were granted to the Asiatic etc

are now being recovered as a result of representations made by the Petitioners for grant of these privileges to the General Officer Commanding, East African Expeditionary Force.

Your humble Petitioners therefore beg to bring to your attention the sad disappointment which prevails amongst the large section of the Asiatic Clerks of the Military Audit and Military Labour Corps in this country at the unjust treatment meted out to them on so prejudicial and so obviously unjustifiable grounds and earnestly beseech you that the relief on the lines indicated in the enclosed cablegram be granted at an early date to your humble Petitioners.

(Signed)

S. B. Padwalker

For the Petitioners,